

ON THE HOMOTOPY THEORY OF n -TYPES

GEORG BIEDERMANN

(communicated by Gunnar Carlsson)

Abstract

We achieve a classification of n -types of simplicial presheaves in terms of $(n - 1)$ -types of presheaves of simplicial groupoids. This can be viewed as a description of the homotopy theory of higher stacks. As a special case we obtain a good homotopy theory of (weak) higher groupoids.

1. Introduction

A homotopy n -type, or, for short, n -type is the homotopy type of a space X whose homotopy groups $\pi_s X$ vanish in degrees $s > n$. “Space” in our context means a simplicial set or, more generally, a (pre-)sheaf of simplicial sets on a small Grothendieck site \mathcal{C} . The Postnikov sections of a space form the principal example. This notion is intimately connected with things like moduli spaces, stacks and higher category theory. How?

Moduli problems are about enumerating and parametrizing different solutions to a given question, and therefore at the core of mathematics. A useful answer to a moduli problem usually involves having a precise idea about the structure of the solutions: the *set* of isomorphism classes of all solutions is interesting; however, a construction functorial in the isomorphisms cannot be represented as a function on this moduli set. Two objects can be isomorphic in more than one way. A better answer is obtained by considering the moduli *groupoid* that also records these isomorphisms. If the moduli problem also depends on a further parameter, one obtains a (pre-)sheaf of groupoids. If this sheaf satisfies descent, i.e. not just morphisms but also objects can be glued according to an underlying Grothendieck topology, then we arrive at the notion of a stack. If we apply the classifying space functor to a stack, we obtain a sheaf of 1-types: 0-simplices represent objects, 1-simplices represent isomorphisms and there is no higher homotopy.

In higher category theory we study categories that possess not only objects and morphisms, but also 2-morphisms (these are morphisms between morphisms), 3-morphisms, and so on. A strict n -category is a category-object in the category of $(n - 1)$ -categories. But this notion is too strict. We want the higher coherences not to hold up to equality, but up to coherent isomorphism (or homotopy). Thus we are

Received November 13, 2006, revised November 19, 2007; published on April 7, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55U40, 54B40, 18F20, 18D05, 55P15.

Key words and phrases: homotopy, simplicial set, simplicial groupoid, simplicial presheaf, simplicial sheaf, stack, Postnikov section.

This article is available at <http://intlpress.com/HHA/v10/n1/a13>

Copyright © 2008, International Press. Permission to copy for private use granted.

looking for a weak version of an n -category. This is complicated. For moduli problems, luckily, all morphisms are invertible; thus we are interested in weak higher groupoids. Since the appearance of Grothendieck’s “Pursuing Stacks” [Gro83] it is a generally accepted principle that the homotopy theory of (weak) higher groupoids should be the same as the homotopy theory of n -types.

Trying to define a good notion of (weak) higher groupoid has been a major motivation in higher category theory, but the difficulties with higher coherence laws are hard to resolve. In a more recent development, sometimes called “derived algebraic geometry”, the point of view is often the other way around: higher groupoids are defined as n -types, and the homotopy theory of spaces is modified accordingly via Bousfield localization to describe the theory.

It is my suggestion to classify n -types not via higher groupoids, but to stop halfway in between, in the category of groupoids enriched in simplicial sets. While avoiding the higher coherence issues, we gain the possibility of working with groupoids instead of sets, thus giving a reasonable substitute for the theory of higher groupoids. After harvesting the results about the theory of simplicial (pre-)sheaves in the literature, it is not difficult to observe that the whole approach (pre-)sheafifies, and we end up with a new description of the homotopy theory of higher stacks.

Let me explain the details. A good way to describe homotopy theory is via Quillen model structures; see [DS95]. The right notion of equivalence of this structure is a Quillen equivalence. The goal of this article is to give sense to the following diagram of Quillen equivalences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 s\mathrm{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^n & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{G} \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{W}} \end{array} & s\mathrm{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})^{n-1} \\
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \downarrow L^2 \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow i \\ \downarrow L^2 \end{array} \\
 s\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}^n & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{G} \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{W}} \end{array} & s\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})^{n-1}.
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

In this diagram, $s\mathrm{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the category of simplicial presheaves (also known as presheaves of simplicial sets) on the site \mathcal{C} , $s\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the category of simplicial sheaves, $s\mathrm{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})$ is the category of presheaves of groupoids enriched in simplicial sets and $s\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})$ is the category of sheaves of such groupoids. The functor L^2 is the sheafification functor, i is the forgetful functor, G is the loop groupoid functor and \overline{W} is the classifying space or universal cocycle functor. These last two functors were introduced in [DK84]; see also [GJ99, V.7]. The n in this diagram refers to a truncated model structure, where the weak equivalences only take homotopy groups up to degree n into account.

For $\mathcal{C} = *$ and $n = \infty$ this reduces to the well-known Quillen equivalence between simplicial sets and simplicial groupoids proved in [DK84]. But, even for $\mathcal{C} = *$ and $n < \infty$ on one side or $n = \infty$ and \mathcal{C} arbitrary on the other side, diagram (1) seems to be new. As already explained, for $n = 1$ we retain the correspondence between groupoids and 1-types.

The homotopy theory of simplicial (pre-)sheaves is well-prepared ground; see Remark 3.5 for some references. There are several model structures including the injective or global structure and the projective or local structure, all Quillen equivalent to each other. We will see that we can start from any of these so-called intermediate

model structures and obtain a diagram (1), all of them Quillen equivalent to each other. So there is plenty of freedom.

The globally or injectively fibrant objects in the n -truncated model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ or $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are actually sectionwise n -types. Hence, for finite n the theory amounts to a classification of (pre-)sheaves of n -types in terms of (pre-)sheaves of truncated groupoids enriched in simplicial sets. These injectively fibrant models of n -types of simplicial (pre-)sheaves have received some attention in recent publications as n -(hyper-)stacks; see, e.g., [Lur] and [TV]. So the above diagram gives different ways of describing the homotopy theory of higher stacks, of which the right-hand side seems to be completely new. Also on the left-hand side this technique offers new insights; e.g., we identify sets of generating trivial cofibrations relative to the underlying model structures on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ or $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

I begin in Section 2 with a very brief overview of a localization process from [BF78], and improved on in [Bou00], which I will use later on to obtain the truncations.

Then in Section 3, I quickly recast the necessary homotopy theory on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$. There are several choices for such underlying model structures exhibited in [Jar03] (see also [Isa05]) called intermediate model structures, because they sit in between the well-known projective and injective model structures. Each of these structures serves equally well as a starting point for our theory. The corresponding theories on the groupoid side are developed in Section 5. It is an important and quite intricate point to not neglect set-theoretic difficulties. We will not be concerned with it though, since Jardine in [Jar87] has dealt with this problem by considering the concept of small sites and I simply adopt his point of view. See also [Jar05].

In Section 4 the Bousfield-Friedlander localization from Section 2 is employed to obtain a model structure where the weak equivalences are given by maps that induce isomorphisms on all homotopy sheaves up to degree n , and where the fibrant objects are exactly the simplicial presheaves whose homotopy groups above degree n vanish. Fibrant approximation is given by taking n -th Postnikov stages. The existence of such a model structure was certainly folklore for a long time and it has been described in [Hir03], [Lur] and [TV] as the left Bousfield localization along the map $\partial\Delta^{n+2} \rightarrow \Delta^{n+2}$. In this article the structure is obtained by Bousfield-Friedlander localization ([BF78] and [Bou00]), along the n -th Postnikov section functor P_n .

More importantly one also obtains an analogous truncation on the side of groupoids. Then it is easy to establish the diagram of Quillen equivalences on the first page. All of this is spelled out in Sections 5 and 6. As explained in the beginning I argue that the truncated model structures on groupoids enriched in simplicial sets are a good way of avoiding horrendous technical difficulties with higher groupoids.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Rick Jardine for providing so much input via lectures, lecture notes, preprints and conversations. Being in London, Ontario, I simply could not help starting to think about simplicial presheaves.

2. Bousfield-Friedlander localization

Bousfield-Friedlander localization is a setup to localize a right proper model category along a coaugmented functor satisfying some reasonable axioms. It was used in [BF78] to introduce a model structure on spectra, which has the stable homotopy category as its homotopy category. The axioms there seemed complicated and somehow tailored for the previous use, but the situation was greatly improved in [Bou00] which makes this way of localizing model categories extremely efficient. It has the advantage of being simple to prove and the resulting model structure remains under good control: simplicial enrichments and properness properties are inherited and fibrations can be characterized quite explicitly.

Let \mathcal{M} be a right proper model category. Let $Q: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a functor with coaugmentation $\eta: \text{id} \rightarrow Q$. Before we give the axioms that Q and η have to satisfy, we describe what is supposed to become the localized model structure.

Definition 2.1. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{M} is called

- (i) a **Q -equivalence** if $Qf: QX \rightarrow QY$ is a weak equivalence.
- (ii) a **Q -fibration** if f has the right lifting property with respect to cofibrations that are also Q -equivalences.

The new cofibrations are simply the old ones. We call these classes of maps the **Q -structure** on \mathcal{M} and denote the structure by \mathcal{M}^Q .

Definition 2.2. We will refer several times to the following axioms:

(A.4) Q preserves weak equivalences.

(A.5) The maps $\eta(QX)$ and $Q(\eta x): QQ(X): QX \rightarrow QQX$ are weak equivalences.

(A.6) Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & QA & \longrightarrow & QX \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & QX \\
 \downarrow g & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & QB & \xrightarrow{\cong} & QY \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y & \xrightarrow{\cong} & QY
 \end{array}$$

Here f is a fibration between fibrant objects, such that $\eta(X): X \rightarrow QX$ and $\eta(Y): Y \rightarrow QY$ are weak equivalences. The front square is a pullback and $QB \rightarrow QY$ is a weak equivalence. Then $QA \rightarrow QX$ is a weak equivalence.

The last axiom (A.6) simply asserts that the localized model structure \mathcal{M}^Q will be right proper. The fact that we can assume $\eta(X)$ and $\eta(Y)$ to be equivalences is one of the improvements in [Bou00, 9.4]. We only have to test right properness on local objects and an object X is local if and only if it is fibrant and $\eta(X)$ is a weak equivalence. Another far more important improvement was the removal of a dual axiom of (A.6). The following theorem is taken from [Bou00, 9.3].

Theorem 2.3. *For a right proper model category \mathcal{M} with a coaugmented functor Q that satisfies (A.4), (A.5) and (A.6), the Q -structure is a right proper model structure on \mathcal{M} . If the original model structure on \mathcal{M} is left proper or simplicial, then so is the Q -structure. If \mathcal{M} has functorial factorization, so has \mathcal{M}^Q . A map $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Q -fibration if and only if it is a fibration such that the following square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta(X)} & QX \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow Qf \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\eta(Y)} & QY \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback square.

3. Simplicial presheaves and sheaves

In this section we are going to review very briefly the theory of simplicial presheaves and sheaves as outlined in [Jar05].

Let \mathcal{C} be a small Grothendieck site. A presheaf with values in a category \mathcal{D} is a contravariant functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . For the category of such presheaves we write $\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{D})$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the category of simplicial sets. A simplicial presheaf X is a functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and we denote the respective category by $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} := \text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})$. We denote the category of simplicial sheaves with values in \mathcal{D} by $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{D})$ and let $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}} = s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{S})$. These categories support various model structures. But first we observe that we have simplicial enrichments.

Remark 3.1. The categories $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are simplicially enriched, tensored and cotensored with the following definitions: For X and Y in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ or $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$, K in \mathcal{S} and $U \in \mathcal{C}$ we set:

$$\begin{aligned} (X \otimes K)(U) &:= X(U) \times K, \\ \text{map}(X, Y)_n &:= \text{Hom}_{s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}}(X \otimes \Delta^n, Y), \\ \text{hom}(K, X)(U) &:= \text{map}_{\mathcal{S}}(K, X(U)). \end{aligned}$$

In the sheaf case the tensor is the associated sheaf to the presheaf described above. All the model structures we will consider on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ or $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are simplicial model structures when equipped with the above functors.

Let us first concentrate on the presheaves. To describe the weak equivalences we have to introduce the right analogue of homotopy groups. We define homotopy groups of simplicial sets by

$$\pi_s(X, x) := \pi_s(\text{Ex}^\infty X, x),$$

where on the right side we take the simplicial homotopy group of the fibrant replacement $\text{Ex}^\infty X$ of X . Obviously, the Ex^∞ -functor can be promoted to an objectwise fibrant replacement functor on the category $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Let $\tilde{\cdot} : \text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the sheafification functor. Later on, $L^2 : s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ will be its simplicial extension.

Definition 3.2. To a simplicial presheaf $X: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ we can associate two presheaves of sets, the presheaf of vertices X_0 and the presheaf of components $\pi_0 X$. Further, for every $U \in \mathcal{C}$, $s \geq 1$ and $x \in X_0(U)$, we have a group $\pi_s(X(U), x)$, which we can assemble into a group object of presheaves over X_0 in the following way: Let

$$\pi_s X(U) := \coprod_{x \in X_0(U)} \pi_s(\text{Ex}^\infty X(U), x),$$

where the projection to $X_0(U)$ takes the summand $\pi_s(X(U), x)$ to x . For $s \geq 1$ these gadgets are group objects fibred over X_0 , which are abelian for $s \geq 2$. We denote the associated group object of sheaves fibred over \tilde{X}_0 by $\tilde{\pi}_s X$ and call it the **s-th homotopy sheaf** of X , where we often leave the map to \tilde{X}_0 to be understood.

Definition 3.3. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a **local weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms

$$\tilde{\pi}_0 X \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_0 Y$$

and pullback diagrams of sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\pi}_s X & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\pi}_s Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{X}_0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y}_0 \end{array}$$

for all $s \geq 1$. This last condition can also be rephrased by saying that for $s \geq 1$ the canonical map

$$\pi_s X = \coprod_{x \in X_0} \pi_s(X, x) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X_0} \pi_s(Y, fx) =: \pi Y_f$$

induces an isomorphism of associated sheaves fibred over X_0 . This corresponds for the case $\mathcal{C} = *$ to the usual requirement that $X \rightarrow Y$ induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_s(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_s(Y, fx)$$

for all $s \geq 0$ and all basepoints $x \in X$. The vanishing of a homotopy group $\pi_s(X, x)$ for all basepoints x in the classical setting $\mathcal{C} = *$ corresponds to the fact that the canonical map

$$\tilde{\pi}_s(X) \rightarrow \tilde{X}_0$$

is an isomorphism of sheaves.

All the model structures we will consider on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ will have local weak equivalences as their equivalences. In particular, they will all have equivalent homotopy categories associated to them. The difference lies in the choice of fibrations and cofibrations.

Definition 3.4.

- (i) A map $X \rightarrow Y$ is called **projective** or **local** if it induces a fibration $X(U) \rightarrow Y(U)$ of simplicial sets in each section $U \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (ii) A map $X \rightarrow Y$ is called a **projective** or **local cofibration** if it has the left lifting property with respect to all objectwise fibrations that are also local weak equivalences.

- (iii) A map $X \rightarrow Y$ is called **injective** or **global** if it induces a cofibration $X(U) \rightarrow Y(U)$ of simplicial sets in each section $U \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (iv) A map $X \rightarrow Y$ is called an **injective** or **global fibration** if it has the right lifting property with respect to all injective cofibrations that are also local weak equivalences.

We also have so-called intermediate S -model structures: Let $S = \{A_\ell \rightarrow B_\ell | \ell \in L\}$ be a set of cofibrations in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ containing I_{proj} ; see Remark 3.8. We denote by I_S the set of cofibrations of the form

$$(A_\ell \times \Delta^n) \sqcup_{(A_\ell \times \partial \Delta^n)} (B_\ell \times \partial \Delta^n) \rightarrow B_\ell \times \Delta^n. \tag{2}$$

The **S -cofibrations** Cof_S are given by the saturation of I_S . The **S -fibrations** are given by the right lifting property with respect to S -cofibrations that are also local weak equivalences. Observe:

$$\text{Cof}_{\text{proj}} \subseteq \text{Cof}_S \subseteq \text{Cof}_{\text{inj}}.$$

The fact that these give proper simplicial cofibrantly generated model structures on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is proved in [Jar03]. We will refer to these model structures as **intermediate model structures** including the projective and the injective ones and denote a choice of one of them by \mathcal{I} .

Remark 3.5. The local or projective model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ with respect to the chaotic topology on \mathcal{C} was first constructed in [BK72] and generalized to other topologies in [Bla01]. The global or injective structure was constructed in [Jar87]. Its predecessor in the sheaf case was found in [Joy]. The S -model structures on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ were constructed in [Jar03]. Their existence can also be derived from a more general context in [Bek00]. The homotopy theory of stacks was first studied in [Hol].

All of these structures serve equally well as a starting point for a theory of (pre-)sheaves of n -types in the next section.

Of course, these model structures are all Quillen equivalent to each other by the identity functor. To summarize we give the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Each \mathcal{I} -model structure from Remark 3.5 is a cofibrantly generated proper simplicial model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$.*

The interesting part here is cofibrant generation. In fact it makes everything work by the small object argument. It is easily seen for the projective structure, and the generating sets are easy to display. First let us define the free presheaf functor L_U .

Definition 3.7. For each $U \in \mathcal{C}$, let $L_U : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the left Kan extension of the functor which assigns to every simplicial set the constant simplicial presheaf over U ; i.e., for every $K \in \mathcal{S}$ and every $V \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$L_U K(V) := \coprod_{V \rightarrow U} K.$$

Thus L_U is the left adjoint to taking U -sections.

Remark 3.8. Now the generating sets for the projective model structures on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{proj}} &:= \{L_U \partial \Delta^{s+1} \rightarrow L_U \Delta^{s+1} \mid U \in \mathcal{C}, s \geq 0\} \cup \{\emptyset \rightarrow L_U \Delta^0 \mid U \in \mathcal{C}\}, \\ J_{\text{proj}} &:= \{L_U \Lambda_k^{s+1} \rightarrow L_U \Delta^{s+1} \mid U \in \mathcal{C}, s \geq 0, s+1 \geq k \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The projective model structure exists more generally for small presheaves on arbitrary sites as described in equation [CD05] and [BCR07]. The real challenge lies in the injective structure. Then the case of the other intermediate model structures is done by juggling with what we already have ([Jar03]).

Remark 3.9. It is in the proof of the existence of the injective model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$, where we have to be careful with the set-theory. Let \mathcal{C} be a small site, i.e. with a set of objects. Let α be an infinite cardinal such that $\alpha > |\text{Mor}\mathcal{C}|$. A presheaf X is α -bounded if

$$\left| \bigsqcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} X_n(U) \right| \leq \alpha.$$

Define:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{inj}} &:= \{i: A \hookrightarrow L_U \Delta^n \mid n \geq 0, i \text{ sectionwise monomorphism}\}, \\ J_{\text{inj}} &:= \{j: A \hookrightarrow B \mid j \text{ local weak equivalence and sectionwise monomorphism,} \\ &\quad B \alpha\text{-bounded}\}. \end{aligned}$$

These sets are generators for the (trivial) cofibrations of the injective structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The factorizations are constructed by the small object argument with respect to these sets. We will need these sets for the injective structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ and $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$.

The description of the generating set of trivial cofibrations for the intermediate S -structures is a little bit involved and to that end we refer to [Jar03]. Let us just note it exists and call it J_S . The set I_S was described in equation (2).

Note that all fibrations, no matter in which model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$, are in particular objectwise fibrations. Hence the following theory of long exact homotopy sequences, which we have copied from [Jar05], is always available.

Remark 3.10. A projective fibration $p: X \rightarrow Y$ of projectively fibrant simplicial presheaves induces a long exact sequence of homotopy sheaves in the following way: There is a simplicial presheaf $F \rightarrow X_0$ fibred over X_0 such that

$$F(U) = \bigsqcup_{x \in X_0(U)} p^{-1}p(x)$$

for all $U \in \mathcal{C}$. Write $F_{p(x)} = p^{-1}p(x)$. The object F has homotopy groups $\pi_s F \rightarrow X_0$ with

$$\pi_s F(U) = \bigsqcup_{x \in X_0(U)} \pi_s(F_{p(x)}, x)$$

for $s \geq 1$, and there is a fibred sheaf of sets $\tilde{\pi}_0 F \rightarrow \tilde{X}_0$ defined in the obvious way. There are also homotopy groups $\pi_s X \rightarrow X_0$ and $\pi_s Y_p \rightarrow X_0$, where by the latter we

mean

$$\pi_s Y_p = \bigsqcup_{x \in X_0(U)} \pi_s(Y, p(x)),$$

which is fibred in an obvious way over X_0 . For $s \geq 1$ these fibred group objects carry an action by the fundamental groupoid πX of X , and there is a long exact sequence of πX -functors

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_2 Y_p \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1 F \rightarrow \pi_1 X \rightarrow \pi_1 Y_p \rightarrow \dots$$

fibred over X_0 . As depicted, we will usually leave the map to X_0 to be understood. We can extend this exact sequence to the right. Write $p^* \pi Y$ for the inverse image of πY along the groupoid map $\pi p: \pi X \rightarrow \pi Y$. Then $\text{Aut}_{p^* \pi Y}(x) = \pi_1(Y, p(x))$. The path components $\pi_0 F_{p(x)}$ of the fibres determine a functor $\pi_0 F: p^* \pi Y \rightarrow \text{Sets}$. This functor restricts to the standard action of $\pi_1(Y, p(x))$ on $\pi_0 F_{p(x)}$, and also restricts to a functor $\pi_0 F: \pi X \rightarrow \text{Sets}$. The usual boundary maps

$$\pi_1(Y, p(x)) \rightarrow \pi_0 F_{p(x)}$$

are πX -equivariant, meaning that they determine a map $\partial: \pi_1 Y \rightarrow \pi_0 F$ of πX -functors. The set $\pi_0 F_{p(x)}$ is pointed by $[x]$, so that the map ∂ is a transformation of πX -functors taking values in pointed sets. One shows that the sequence

$$\pi_1 X \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_1 Y \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_0 F$$

is exact in each section. The diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0 F_{p(x)} & & \\ \alpha_* \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & & \pi_0 X \\ & \swarrow & \\ \pi_0 F_{p(y)} & & \end{array}$$

commute for each $\alpha: p(x) \rightarrow p(y)$ in πY and the induced function

$$\text{colim}_{x \in p^* \pi Y} \pi_0 F_{p(x)} \rightarrow \pi_0 X$$

is a bijection. The “action” of the groupoid $p^* \pi Y$ on the various $\pi_0 F_{p(x)}$ restricts to an action of $\pi_1(Y, p(x))$ on the set $\pi_0 F_{p(x)}$, and there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0 F_{p(x)} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \pi_0 F_{p(x)} / \pi_1(Y, p(x)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ \lim_{y \in p^* \pi Y} \pi_0 F_{p(y)} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_0 X, \end{array}$$

where π is the canonical surjection and i is an injection. Note that we can now sheafify everything to obtain such a long exact sequence for the associated homotopy sheaves.

Let us finally describe the theory of simplicial sheaves. We denote by

$$i: s\text{Shv}_C \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_C : L^2$$

the adjoint pair given by the forgetful functor i and the sheafification functor L^2 .

For a class \mathcal{C} of morphisms in a category, let **\mathcal{C} -inj** be the class of morphisms having the right lifting property with respect to all elements of \mathcal{C} , and **\mathcal{C} -cof** be the class of morphisms having the left lifting property with respect to all elements of \mathcal{C} -inj.

Definition 3.11. A map in $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is called a **local weak equivalence** or an **injective cofibration** if it is one in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The maps of the class $L^2(I_{\text{proj}}) - \text{cof}$ are called **projective cofibrations**. Given a set S of cofibrations in $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ containing $L^2(I_{\text{proj}})$, the class of **S -cofibrations** is given by the saturation of I_S in $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ constructed as in Definition 3.4. The corresponding fibrations in all cases are defined by the right lifting property.

Theorem 3.12. *Each of the model structures from Definition 3.11 is a cofibrantly generated proper simplicial model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The functors*

$$i: s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} : L^2$$

form a Quillen equivalence for the respective structures on both sides.

The proof of the lifting axioms in this theorem relies on the useful observation proved in [Jar05] that a map is a fibration in one of the model structures from Definition 3.11 in $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ if and only if it is a fibration in the corresponding structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$. We will use the analogous argument in the proof of Theorem 5.4 for the groupoid case.

4. Truncated simplicial presheaves and sheaves

For ordinary simplicial sets there is a coaugmented functor $P_n: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, called the n -th Postnikov section, whose coaugmentation induces the following isomorphisms

$$\pi_s P_n K \cong \begin{cases} \pi_s K, & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq n \\ 0, & \text{or } s > n \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

of homotopy groups for all basepoints of a Kan complex K . Since the classical construction of P_n is only homotopically meaningful on fibrant simplicial sets we precompose it with a fibrant replacement functor on \mathcal{S} , e.g. Ex^∞ , and still denote the resulting functor by P_n . So for a simplicial set K , $P_n K$ denotes the n -th Postnikov section of $\text{Ex}^\infty K$. Now we are going to extend this to presheaves. Assume that we have chosen once and for all one of the model structures mentioned in Remark 3.5.

Definition 4.1. For a simplicial presheaf X we can consider the presheaf

$$P_n X := P_n \circ X: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{P_n} \mathcal{S}.$$

This induces a functor P_n on simplicial presheaves which comes equipped with a natural transformation $p_n: \text{id} \rightarrow P_n$ from the identity functor to the n -th Postnikov stage, which for $n \geq 1$ induces isomorphisms

$$\tilde{\pi}_s X \cong \tilde{\pi}_s P_n X$$

for $0 \leq s \leq n$ of sheaves fibred over \tilde{X}_0 and isomorphisms

$$\tilde{\pi}_s P_n X \cong \tilde{X}_0$$

for $s \geq n$.

Given Definition 3.3 about the relation of the classical case with the presheaf case, these equations are the exact analogs of equation (3). The coaugmented functor $P_n: s\text{Pre}_C \rightarrow s\text{Pre}_C$ satisfies the axioms (A.4), (A.5) and (A.6) given in Definition 2.2.

Proof of the axioms. (A.4) and (A.5) are obvious. Axiom (A.6) follows immediately from the long exact sequence of homotopy groups of the pullback square:

$$\dots \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_s A \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_s X_\alpha \oplus \tilde{\pi}_s B_g \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_s Y_{f\alpha} \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_{s-1} A \rightarrow \dots$$

fibred over A_0 . The isomorphisms $\tilde{\pi}_s B \cong \tilde{\pi}_s Y_\beta$ for $0 \leq s \leq n$ fibred over B_0 imply $\tilde{\pi}_s A \cong \tilde{\pi}_s X_\alpha$ in the same range fibred over A_0 . \square

We then obtain the following model structure.

Definition 4.2. For any intermediate model structure \mathcal{I} on $s\text{Pre}_C$ the n - \mathcal{I} -model structure on $s\text{Pre}_C$ is given by the following classes of maps: A cofibration will simply be an \mathcal{I} -cofibration of the underlying intermediate structure. Further we will call a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ in $s\text{Pre}_C$

- (i) an **n -equivalence** if the induced map $P_n X \rightarrow P_n Y$ is a local weak equivalence.
- (ii) an **n - \mathcal{I} -fibration** if it has the right lifting property with respect to all cofibrations of the underlying structure that are at the same time n -equivalences.

We will write $s\text{Pre}_C^n$ for the category of simplicial presheaves equipped with the n - \mathcal{I} -model structure.

Obviously the n -equivalences are exactly the maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ that induce isomorphisms $\pi_0 X \cong \pi_0 Y$ and

$$\tilde{\pi}_s X = \coprod_{x \in X_0} \tilde{\pi}_s(X, x) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X_0} \tilde{\pi}_s(Y, fx) = \tilde{\pi}_s Y_f$$

of fibred objects for $1 \leq s \leq n$.

Theorem 4.3. *The n - \mathcal{I} -structure on $s\text{Pre}_C$ arising from any intermediate structure \mathcal{I} is a proper simplicial model structure. A map $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is an n - \mathcal{I} -fibration if and only if it is an \mathcal{I} -fibration and the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{p_n(X)} & P_n X \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow P_n p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{p_n(Y)} & P_n Y \end{array} \tag{4}$$

is a homotopy pullback square in the original structure.

This theorem follows directly from Theorem 2.3, that is from [Bou00, 9.3]. The simplicial structure is the one of the underlying categories described in Remark 3.1. If we decide to change the underlying model structure, then the n -model structure arising from the new intermediate structure will be Quillen equivalent to the old one by the identity functor.

It is worth mentioning that we obtain the same truncated model structures if we use the coskeleton functor instead of Postnikov sections: cofibrations are the same anyhow, and, since $\text{cosk}_{n+1} K \simeq P_n K$ for fibrant K , weak equivalences are the same. So in the pullback diagram (4) we can replace P_n by cosk_{n+1} . The coskeleton is a purely categorical construction. However it does not preserve projective fibrations as P_n does. Therefore we prefer the latter one.

We have the following characterization of n - \mathcal{I} -fibrations.

Corollary 4.4. *A map $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is an n - \mathcal{I} -fibration if and only if it is an \mathcal{I} -fibration, and for some projective fibrant approximation $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ the induced maps $\tilde{\pi}_s \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_s \tilde{Y}$ are isomorphisms of objects fibred over \tilde{X}_0 for all $s > n$.*

Proof. This follows from the comparison between the long exact homotopy sequences of the vertical maps in the homotopy pullback square (4). \square

It follows that the \mathcal{I} -fibrant objects of the n - \mathcal{I} -structure are the \mathcal{I} -fibrant simplicial presheaves X such that $\tilde{\pi}_s X \cong \tilde{X}_0$ for $s > n$.

Remark 4.5. A map between globally fibrant objects is a local weak equivalence if and only if it is a sectionwise weak equivalence: it is a weak equivalence between objects which are fibrant and cofibrant and is therefore a homotopy equivalence, and hence a weak equivalence in all sections. This is proved explicitly in [Jar05], although it was in the background of Jardine's papers for some time. The following corollary is [Jar97, Prop. 6.11], but we repeat the proof.

Corollary 4.6. *A n -injectively fibrant object in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an n -type in each section.*

Proof. The proof is by induction. Suppose first that F is 0-injectively fibrant. So it is injectively fibrant, and, for $s \geq 1$, we have $\tilde{\pi}_s F \cong \tilde{F}_0$. This shows that \tilde{F} is isomorphic to $\tilde{\pi}_0 F$ viewed as a constant simplicial object. Then by the previous remark, $F \simeq \tilde{F}$ is sectionwise acyclic.

Now suppose F is 1-injectively fibrant, so that $\tilde{\pi}_s F \cong \tilde{F}_0$ for $s \geq 2$. Then F is weakly equivalent to its first Postnikov section $P_1 F$. We have a fibre sequence

$$GK(\pi_1 F, 1) \rightarrow GP_1 F \rightarrow GP_0 F,$$

where G denotes a functorial injectively fibrant model. $GP_0 F$ is sectionwise acyclic by the previous argument. Furthermore:

$$\pi_s GK(A, n)(U) \cong \begin{cases} H^{n-s}(U, A|_U), & \text{for } s \leq n \\ 0, & \text{for } s > n. \end{cases}$$

Thus the long exact homotopy sequence proves that F has homotopy groups sectionwise vanishing above degree 1. We proceed inductively along the Postnikov tower. \square

Remark 4.7. Obviously, if $I_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a set of generating cofibrations for the underlying intermediate model structure \mathcal{I} , then $I_{\mathcal{I}}$ is also a set of generating cofibrations for the n -structure arising from it, because we did not change cofibrations.

We wish to describe generating sets of trivial cofibrations relative to the underlying intermediate structure \mathcal{I} . Let $J_{\mathcal{I}}$ be a set of generating trivial cofibrations for \mathcal{I} . For each $U \in \mathcal{C}$, let $L_U: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the functor defined in Definition 3.7 Then we set:

$$J_{\mathcal{I},n} := J_{\mathcal{I}} \cup \{L_U \partial \Delta^s \rightarrow L_U \Delta^s \mid U \in \mathcal{C}, s \geq n + 2\} \cup \{* \rightarrow L_U \partial \Delta^{n+2} \mid U \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

The sets $J_{\mathcal{I}}$ were described in Remark 3.9. The next result gives n -structures a very combinatorial flavour. Interestingly enough, this result will not be used in the rest of the article except for providing similar generating sets for the truncated structures on groupoids.

Lemma 4.8. *The set $J_{\mathcal{I},n}$ forms a set of generating trivial cofibrations for the n -structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$.*

Proof. Fix an intermediate model structure \mathcal{I} . We will prove that a map has the right lifting property with respect to $J_{\mathcal{I},n}$ if and only if it is an n - \mathcal{I} -fibration. Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be an \mathcal{I} -fibration. Since all intermediate model structures on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are right proper it suffices, by the following Lemma 4.9, to restrict our attention to maps p between injectively fibrant simplicial presheaves.

We invoke Remark 4.5. It is then clear by Corollary 4.4 that an n - \mathcal{I} -fibration between injectively fibrant objects has the right lifting property with respect to $J_{\mathcal{I},n}$.

Conversely, let p have the right lifting property with respect to $J_{\mathcal{I},n}$. Then $J_{\mathcal{I}}$ accounts for the fact that p is an \mathcal{I} -fibration, $\{L_U \partial \Delta^{s+2} \rightarrow L_U \Delta^{s+2} \mid s \geq n, U \in \mathcal{C}\}$ for $\tilde{\pi}_s F \cong \tilde{X}_0$ for $s \geq n + 1$, where F is the fiber of p as in Remark 3.10, and the right lifting property with respect to $\{* \rightarrow L_U \partial \Delta^{n+2} \mid U \in \mathcal{C}\}$ shows that $\tilde{\pi}_{n+1} X \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_{n+1} Y_p$ is an epimorphism. \square

In the previous proof we have used the following lemma due to Kan and whose proof we will display for the benefit of the reader.

Lemma 4.9. *Let \mathcal{M} be a right proper model category. Consider the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow h_1 & \downarrow p & \nearrow h_2 & \downarrow \tilde{p} \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

where i is a cofibration and p and \tilde{p} are fibrations. Then a lifting h_1 exists if and only if a lifting h_2 exists.

Proof. Existence of h_1 clearly implies the existence of h_2 . Now suppose h_2 is given. Construct a pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \tilde{p} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

The canonical map $X \rightarrow P$ is a weak equivalence by right properness. Factor it into a trivial cofibration $j: X \rightarrow E$ followed by a trivial fibration $E \rightarrow P$. X is a retract

of E over Y by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\ j \downarrow & \nearrow r & \downarrow p \\ E & \longrightarrow & Y. \end{array}$$

In the following diagram the lifts are easily seen to exist, and h_1 is given by rH :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{r} & E & \xrightarrow{\cong} & P & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow & & \searrow H & & \nearrow & & \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & & & & & Y & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y}. \end{array}$$

□

Lemma 4.8 allows us to characterize fibrations by point set data. As an example we have the following description of n -fibrations of simplicial sets, which seems to be unknown even in this elementary case. Fibrant n -types, i.e. fibrant objects in the n -structure, can therefore be described in a quite nice combinatorial manner. The lemma also cleans up a loose end from [Bie, 3.9], where $M_s K$ denoted the s -th matching set of the simplicial set K .

Lemma 4.10. *Let $K \rightarrow L$ be a fibration between fibrant simplicial sets and $n \geq 0$. This map induces isomorphisms on homotopy groups in degrees $s > n$ for all base-points; i.e., it is an n -fibration, if and only if for $s \geq n + 2$ the induced maps*

$$K_s \rightarrow M_s K \times_{M_s L} L_s$$

and the map

$$M_{n+2} K \rightarrow M_{n+2} Y \times_{Y_0} X_0$$

are surjective. Here the last map is induced by some map $* \rightarrow \partial \Delta^{n+2}$.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 4.8 in the case of $\mathcal{C} = *$ by adjointness. □

We can describe an analogous n - \mathcal{I} -model structure for the category $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ of simplicial sheaves.

Definition 4.11. The n - \mathcal{I} -structure on $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is given by the following classes of maps: We call a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ in $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$

- (i) an **n -equivalence** if $X \rightarrow Y$ is an n -equivalence in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- (ii) an **n - \mathcal{I} -fibration** if it has the right lifting property with respect to all \mathcal{I} -cofibrations in $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ that are at the same time n -equivalences.

The cofibrations of this structure will be the usual \mathcal{I} -cofibrations of $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Along the lines of [Jar05], the following theorem is straightforward to check.

Theorem 4.12. *The n - \mathcal{I} -structure on $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a proper simplicial model structure. The adjoint pair of functors*

$$i: s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} : L^2$$

form a Quillen equivalence if we provide both categories with the n - \mathcal{I} -structure.

I would like to summarize quickly how these n -structures for $0 \leq n \leq \infty$ fit together. Everything said here applies to sheaves and presheaves of simplicial sets as well as later to groupoids enriched in simplicial sets.

The identity functor on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ maps $(n + 1)$ -equivalences to n -equivalences and preserves cofibrations. So $\text{id}: s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+1} \rightarrow s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^n$ is a left Quillen functor and we obtain a Quillen pair:

$$\text{id}: s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+1} \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^n : \text{id}.$$

The right derived functor $R(\text{id})$ is given by an objectwise fibrant replacement functor composed with the n -th Postnikov section functor P_n . The same holds for the Quillen pair:

$$\text{id}: s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^n : \text{id}$$

The induced functors

$$\text{Ho}(s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ho}(s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^n)$$

and

$$\text{Ho}(s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^n) \hookrightarrow \text{Ho}(s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}})$$

are full embeddings and therefore coreflections.

5. Sheaves and presheaves of simplicial groupoids

Let Gd denote the category of small groupoids. Let $s\text{Gd}$ denote the category of small groupoids enriched in simplicial sets. An object in this category is a simplicial groupoid, whose simplicial set of objects is discrete. By abuse of language we will often refer to these gadgets simply as simplicial groupoids. For a small Grothendieck site \mathcal{C} , let $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ denote the category of presheaves in $s\text{Gd}$. We will sometimes refer to the objects of this category simply as presheaves of simplicial groupoids. Analogously, we will talk about sheaves of simplicial groupoids and the category $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$.

We would like to put a model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$, which is Quillen equivalent to a chosen one on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The natural way to do this is to transfer the model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ via a pair of adjoint functors. There are at least two such pairs. The first one is the adjoint pair of functors given by

$$\Pi d^* : s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd}) : dB(-).$$

Here B denotes the the bisimplicial presheaf obtained by applying the classifying space functor B to a simplicial groupoid and d denotes the diagonal of the bisimplicial object. Its left adjoint is the composition of the left adjoint d^* of the diagonal functor d with the fundamental groupoid functor Π . The second pair is

$$G : s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd}) : \overline{W},$$

where $G : s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ is the loop groupoid functor and \overline{W} is the universal cocycle functor from [DK84], also discussed in [GJ99, V]. The first pair was used in [JT93]. The second pair was taken up by [Luo]. I follow the second approach.

Let \mathcal{I} denote an intermediate model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ as in Remark 3.5.

Definition 5.1. We call the following classes of maps the transferred \mathcal{I} -model structure or simply **\mathcal{I} -structure** on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$. A morphism $G \rightarrow H$ is

- (i) a **local equivalence** if $\overline{W}G \rightarrow \overline{W}H$ is a local weak equivalence in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- (ii) an **\mathcal{I} -fibration** if $\overline{W}G \rightarrow \overline{W}H$ is an \mathcal{I} -fibration in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- (iii) a **\mathcal{I} -cofibration** if it has the left lifting property with respect maps which are \mathcal{I} -fibrations and local weak equivalences.

Before we embark on a proof of the existence of the model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$, we would like to describe generating sets for the (trivial) cofibrations. Note that $\overline{W}: s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd}) \rightarrow s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ was defined sectionwise, therefore it is obvious that we obtain generating sets for the \mathcal{I} -structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ by applying $G: s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ to the corresponding generating sets in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

To summarize we define:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{proj}}^{s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})} &= \{GL_U \partial \Delta^n \rightarrow GL_U \Delta^n \mid U \in \mathcal{C}\}, \\ J_{\text{proj}}^{s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})} &= \{GL_U \Lambda_k^n \rightarrow GL_U \Delta^n \mid U \in \mathcal{C}, n > 0, n \geq k \geq 0\}, \\ I_{\text{inj}}^{s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})} &= \{Gi: GA \hookrightarrow GB \mid i \text{ monomorphisms in } s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}, B \text{ } \alpha\text{-bounded}\}, \\ J_{\text{inj}}^{s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})} &= \{Gj: GA \hookrightarrow GL_U \Delta^n \mid j \text{ monomorphisms and local weak equivalence} \\ &\quad n > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

These are the generating sets for the projective and the injective structures on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$. Since I did not describe the generating (trivial) cofibrations for the intermediate \mathcal{S} -structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ earlier, I will not describe the corresponding ones for $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ now. I refer to [Jar03] and apply G .

Theorem 5.2. *For an intermediate model structure \mathcal{I} from Remark 3.5, the transferred \mathcal{I} -structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ from Definition 5.1 is a right proper cofibrantly generated model structure. The pair*

$$G: s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd}) : \overline{W}$$

forms a Quillen equivalence.

Unfortunately I do not know whether these model structures are left proper or simplicial.

Proof. To prove the existence for the injective model structure there is [Luo]. The path to success is the following: first one proves that trivial injective cofibrations in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ are closed under pushouts by a Boolean localization argument. Then one uses the small object argument to prove the factorizations. Then the lifting axioms are either obvious or proved by the retract argument.

This can be bootstrapped to the other cases: We need to supply generating sets of (trivial) cofibrations that determine the corresponding (trivial) fibrations via the lifting property. We have that as we saw just before stating the theorem. Then we realize that (trivial) cofibrations push out: First, pushouts of cofibrations are, by their definition, cofibrations through the right lifting property. Then, if they are trivial, they are in particular trivial injective cofibrations, which push out to weak equivalences as

pointed out above ([Luo]). Together, this implies that (trivial) cofibrations are closed under pushouts, so the small object argument proves everything.

Right properness is clear for the projective structure, since all objects are projectively fibrant. Since all \mathcal{I} -fibrations are projective fibrations, right properness now follows in general. \square

Let us put the intermediate model structures on $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$.

Definition 5.3. A map in $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ will be called a **local weak equivalence** or a **projective**, or **injective**, or **\mathbf{S} -fibration** if it is so in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$. The corresponding cofibrations are always defined by the left lifting property.

The following theorem is proved in the same way as for the case of presheaves; see [Jar87] or [Jar05]. We simply realize that sets of generating (trivial) cofibrations are obtained by applying the sheafification functor L^2 . The second Quillen equivalence involving (G, \overline{W}) follows from [DK84].

Theorem 5.4. *The intermediate model structures on $s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ exist. They are right proper and cofibrantly generated. The pairs of adjoint functors*

$$i: s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd}) \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd}) : L^2$$

and

$$G: s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightleftarrows s\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd}) : \overline{W}$$

form a Quillen equivalences.

The last theorem puts the last corner of diagram (1) for the case $n = \infty$ in place. The fact that diagram 1 is commutative is obvious. We can now start to truncate the groupoid side of it.

6. Truncated sheaves and presheaves of simplicial groupoids

We can localize these structures on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ in the same way as we did with $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Let us describe the Postnikov section functor for simplicial groupoids.

Definition 6.1. For a simplicial groupoid G we define its **n -th Postnikov section** $P_n G$ in the following way:

$$\text{Ob}(P_n G) = \text{Ob}(G).$$

Then for all $x, y \in \text{Ob}(G)$ we take as morphisms:

$$(P_n G)(x, y) = P_n(G(x, y)),$$

where P_n on the right-hand side denotes the n -Postnikov section of a simplicial set. The source and target maps of G induce canonical source and target maps for $P_n G$.

Remark 6.2. For a simplicial groupoid G there is a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(x, y) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mor}(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (s, t) \\ * & \xrightarrow{(x, y)} & \text{Ob}(G) \times \text{Ob}(G), \end{array} \quad (5)$$

where s and t are the source and target maps $\text{Mor}(G) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(G)$. Hence we observe that $P_n G$ is simply given by the data $P_n \text{Mor}(G) \rightrightarrows \text{Ob}(G)$. Both vertical maps in (5) are clearly projective fibrations. Note that for this reason we do not need to apply a fibrant replacement to give P_n homotopy meaning.

The following observation can be found in [Jar06]. For a simplicial groupoid G and an object y in it, let G/y denote the slice category of G over y , whose objects are the morphisms $x \rightarrow y$ and whose morphisms are the obvious commuting triangles. This is an honest simplicial groupoid, whose simplicial set of objects is not discrete. Still, $dB(G/y)$ is contractible.

Lemma 6.3. *For all objects x and y of a simplicial groupoid G there is the following homotopy pullback square:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(x, y) & \longrightarrow & dB(G/y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \xrightarrow{x} & dBG. \end{array}$$

The previous Lemma 6.3 can be extended to presheaves sectionwise. It then states that for all $s \geq 1$ we have

$$\tilde{\pi}_s dBG \cong \tilde{\pi}_{s-1} G \quad (6)$$

fibred over $\widetilde{\text{Ob}(G)}$, where $\tilde{\pi}_s G$ denotes the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \bigsqcup_{x, y} G(x, y)(U).$$

Lemma 6.4. *For $n \geq 0$ the canonical map $G \rightarrow P_n G$ induces isomorphisms*

$$\tilde{\pi}_s dBP_n G \cong \begin{cases} \tilde{\pi}_s dBG, & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq n+1 \\ \widetilde{dBG_0 = \text{Ob}(G)} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We certainly have $\tilde{\pi}_0 dBG \cong \tilde{\pi}_0 dBP_n G$. For all other $s > 0$ the claim follows from the isomorphisms in equation (6). \square

Lemma 6.4 states that for $n \geq 1$:

$$\overline{W}P_{n-1} G \simeq dBP_{n-1} G \simeq P_n B G \simeq P_n \overline{W} G. \quad (7)$$

It follows easily that the coaugmented functor $P_n : s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd}) \rightarrow s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ satisfies the axioms (A.4), (A.5) and (A.6), so that we can localize the category $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ along it.

Definition 6.5. Again let \mathcal{I} denote an intermediate model structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ from Remark 3.5 and consider the transferred \mathcal{I} -structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ in Definition 5.1. For $n \geq 0$ we call the following classes of maps the **n - \mathcal{I} -structure** on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$. A morphism $G \rightarrow H$ is

- (i) an **n -equivalence** if $P_n G \rightarrow P_n H$ is a local equivalence in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$.
- (ii) an **n - \mathcal{I} -fibration** if it has the right lifting property with respect to all \mathcal{I} -cofibrations in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ that are also n - \mathcal{I} -equivalences.

Cofibrations remain the same as for the \mathcal{I} -structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$.

Theorem 6.6. *The n - \mathcal{I} -structure on $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ is a right proper cofibrantly generated model structure. For $n \geq 1$ the pair of functors*

$$G: s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}^n \rightleftarrows s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})^{n-1} : \overline{W}$$

forms a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. The existence of the model structure follows from the general Theorem 2.3, since P_n satisfies (A.4), (A.5) and (A.6): The first two axioms follow from the corresponding fact about Postnikov sections of simplicial sets and (A.6) follows directly from the isomorphisms (7). Right properness is inherited.

It follows from Lemma 6.4 that \overline{W} maps $(n-1)$ - \mathcal{I} -equivalences of presheaves of simplicial groupoids to n - \mathcal{I} -equivalences of simplicial presheaves. Given the characterization in Theorem 2.3 of fibrations in the localized model structures it also follows that \overline{W} maps $(n-1)$ - \mathcal{I} -fibrations in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ to n - \mathcal{I} -fibrations in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Hence we have a Quillen pair. Then it follows that a map $G X \rightarrow H$ is an $(n-1)$ - \mathcal{I} -equivalence in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ if and only if the map

$$\overline{W}P_{n-1}GX \rightarrow \overline{W}P_{n-1}H$$

is a weak equivalence in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$. But again from Lemma 6.4:

$$\overline{W}P_{n-1}GX \simeq P_n \overline{W}GX \simeq P_n X$$

and $\overline{W}P_{n-1}G \simeq P_n \overline{W}G$. So we have a Quillen equivalence.

The generating set of trivial cofibrations is given by $GJ_{\mathcal{I},n}$, where $J_{\mathcal{I},n}$ from Remark 4.7 generates the trivial n - \mathcal{I} -cofibrations in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$. We need to show that a map $H \rightarrow K$ in $s\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Gd})$ is an $(n-1)$ - \mathcal{I} -fibration if it has the left lifting property with respect to $GJ_{\mathcal{I},n}$. But then $\overline{W}H \rightarrow \overline{W}K$ has the left lifting property with respect to $J_{\mathcal{I},n}$. This, together with the isomorphisms (7), shows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1}H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1}K \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback. Since $H \rightarrow K$ is an \mathcal{I} -fibration, Theorem 2.3 shows that $H \rightarrow K$ is an $(n-1)$ - \mathcal{I} -fibration. \square

To cover the sheaf case we simply observe that we can copy the previous argument line by line.

Theorem 6.7. *The n - \mathcal{I} -structure on $s\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})$ is a right proper cofibrantly generated model structure. For $n \geq 1$ the pairs of functors*

$$L^2: s\mathrm{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})^{n-1} \rightleftarrows s\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})^{n-1} : i$$

and

$$G: s\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}^n \rightleftarrows s\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})^{n-1} : \overline{W}$$

form Quillen equivalences.

Let $j_n: \Delta_n \hookrightarrow \Delta$ be the inclusion of the category of finite ordinals $\leq n$ into the whole category Δ . For a complete category \mathcal{C} , let $s_n\mathcal{C}$ denote the category of n -truncated simplicial objects over \mathcal{C} , i.e. functors $\Delta_n^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Let further $j_n^*: s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s_n\mathcal{C}$ denote the restriction along j_n and let $r^n: s_n\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{C}$ be its right adjoint. Obviously we have

$$r_n j_n^* \cong \mathrm{cosk}_n.$$

As in the case of $s\mathrm{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and using the isomorphism

$$(\mathrm{cosk}_n G)(x, y) \cong \mathrm{cosk}_n(G(x, y)) \simeq P_{n-1}G(x, y)$$

for every simplicial groupoid G , $n \geq 1$ and all $x, y \in \mathrm{Ob}(G)$, we can truncate any \mathcal{I} -model structure on $s\mathrm{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})$ with respect to cosk_n and obtain the same n - \mathcal{I} -model structure. It is then easy to set up a Quillen equivalence

$$j_n^*: s\mathrm{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd})^n \rightleftarrows s_n\mathrm{Pre}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Gd}) : r_n$$

with actual n -truncated objects.

References

- [BCR07] G. Biedermann, B. Chorny, and O. Röndigs, Goodwillie's Calculus and model categories, *Adv. Math* **214** (2007), 92–115.
- [Bek00] T. Beke, Sheafifiable homotopy model categories, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **129** (2000), 447–475.
- [BF78] A.K. Bousfield and E.M. Friedlander, Homotopy theory of Γ -spaces, spectra, and bisimplicial sets, in *Geometric applications of homotopy theory II*, 80–130, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **658**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [Bie] G. Biedermann, Truncated resolution model structures, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* **208** (2007), 591–601.
- [BK72] A.K. Bousfield and D.M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Math. **304**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [Bla01] B.A. Blander, Local projective model structures on simplicial presheaves, *K-Theory* **24** (2001), 283–301.
- [Bou00] A.K. Bousfield, On the telescopic homotopy theory of spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 2391–2426.
- [Bro99] R. Brown, Groupoids and crossed objects in algebraic topology, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **1** (1999), 1–78 (electronic).

- [CD05] B. Chorny and W.G. Dwyer, Homotopy theory of small diagrams over large categories, arXiv:math.AT/0607117, 2006.
- [DK84] W.G. Dwyer and D.M. Kan, Homotopy theory and simplicial groupoids, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **46** (1984), 379–385.
- [DS95] W.G. Dwyer and J. Spaliński, Homotopy theories and model categories, in *Handbook of algebraic topology*, 73–126, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [GJ99] P.G. Goerss and J.F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Progr. in Math. **174**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [Gro83] A. Grothendieck, Pursuing stacks, unpublished, manuscript distributed from Bangor, 1983.
- [Hir03] P.S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Math. Surveys and Mono. **99**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Hol] S. Hollander, A homotopy theory for stacks, arXiv:math.AT/0110247.
- [Isa05] Daniel C. Isaksen, Flasque model structures for simplicial presheaves, *K-Theory* **36**(3-4) (2005), 371–395, 2006.
- [Jar87] J.F. Jardine, Simplicial presheaves, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **47** (1987), 35–87.
- [Jar97] J.F. Jardine, *Generalized étale cohomology theories*, Progr. in Math. **146**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.
- [Jar03] J.F. Jardine, Intermediate model structures for simplicial presheaves, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* **49** (2006), 407–413.
- [Jar05] J.F. Jardine, Lectures on simplicial presheaves, <http://www.math.uwo.ca/~jardine/index.html>, 2005.
- [Jar06] J.F. Jardine, Homotopy classification of gerbes, arXiv:math.AT/0605200, 2006.
- [Joy] A. Joyal, Letter to A. Grothendieck.
- [JT93] A. Joyal and M. Tierney, Classifying spaces for sheaves of simplicial groupoids, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **89** (1993), 135–161.
- [Luo] Z. M. Luo, Closed model categories for presheaves of simplicial groupoids and presheaves of 2-groupoids, arXiv:math.AT/0301045.
- [Lur] J. Lurie, On infinity topoi, arXiv:math.CT/0306109.
- [TV] B. Toen and G. Vezzosi, Homotopical algebraic geometry I: Topos theory, *Adv. Math.* **193** (2005), 257–372.

Georg Biedermann gbiederm@pim-bonn.mpg.de

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany