

R/Z INDEX THEORY

JOHN LOTT

ABSTRACT. We define topological and analytic indices in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -theory and show that they are equal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to introduce an index theory in which the indices take value in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} . In order to motivate this theory, let us first recall the integral analog, the Atiyah-Singer families index theorem.

Let $Z \rightarrow M \rightarrow B$ be a smooth fiber bundle whose fiber Z is a closed even-dimensional manifold and whose base B is a compact manifold. Suppose that the vertical tangent bundle TZ has a spin^c -structure. Then there is a topologically defined map $\text{ind}_{\text{top}} : K^0(M) \rightarrow K^0(B)$ [1], which in fact predates the index theorem. It is a K -theory analog of “integration over the fiber” in de Rham cohomology. Atiyah and Singer construct a map $\text{ind}_{\text{an}} : K^0(M) \rightarrow K^0(B)$ by analytic means as follows. Given $V \in K^0(M)$, we can consider it to be a virtual vector bundle on M , meaning the formal difference of two vector bundles on M . The base B then parametrizes a family of Dirac operators on the fibers, coupled to the fiberwise restrictions of V . The kernels of these Dirac-type operators are used to construct a virtual vector bundle $\text{ind}_{\text{an}}(V) \in K^0(B)$ on B , and the families index theorem states that $\text{ind}_{\text{an}}(V) = \text{ind}_{\text{top}}(V)$ [4]. Upon applying the Chern character, one obtains an equality in $H^*(B; \mathbf{Q})$:

$$(1) \quad \text{ch}(\text{ind}_{\text{an}}(V)) = \int_Z \widehat{A}(TZ) \cup e^{\frac{c_1(L_Z)}{2}} \cup \text{ch}(V),$$

where L_Z is the Hermitian line bundle on M which is associated to the spin^c -structure on TZ .

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9101920.

The Atiyah-Singer families index theorem is an integral theorem, in that $K^0(\text{pt.}) = \mathbf{Z}$. It is conceivable that one could have a more refined index theorem, provided that one considers a restricted class of vector bundles. What is relevant for this paper is the simple observation that from (1), if $\text{ch}(V) = 0$ then $\text{ch}(\text{ind}_{an}(V)) = 0$. Thus it is consistent to restrict oneself to virtual vector bundles with vanishing Chern character.

We will discuss an index theorem which is an \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} -theorem, in the sense that it is based on a generalized cohomology theory whose even coefficient groups are copies of \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} . To describe this cohomology theory, consider momentarily a single manifold M . There is a notion of $K_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}^*(M)$, the K -theory of M with \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} coefficients, and Karoubi has given a geometric description of $K_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$. In this description, a generator of $K_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ is given by a complex vector bundle E on M with trivial Chern character, along with a connection on E whose Chern character form is written as an explicit exact form [16, 17]. By adding Hermitian structures to the vector bundles, we obtain a geometric description of $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$, the K -theory of M with \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} coefficients. The ensuing generalized cohomology theory has $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^0(\text{pt.}) = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$.

One special way of constructing an element of $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ is by taking the formal difference of two flat Hermitian vector bundles on M of the same rank. It is well-known that flat Hermitian vector bundles have characteristic classes which take value in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} , and \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} -valued K -theory provides a way of extending these constructions to the framework of a generalized cohomology theory. We show that one can detect elements of $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ analytically by means of reduced eta-invariants. This extends the results of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer on flat vector bundles [3].

Returning to the fiber bundle situation, under the above assumptions on the fiber bundle $Z \rightarrow M \rightarrow B$ one can define a map $\text{ind}_{top} : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B)$ by topological means. A major point of this paper is the construction of a corresponding analytic index map. Given a cocycle \mathcal{E} for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$, we first define an analytic index $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) \in K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B)$ when \mathcal{E} satisfies a certain technical assumption. To define $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})$, we endow TZ with a metric and L_Z with a Hermitian connection. The technical assumption is that the kernels of the fiberwise Dirac-type operators form a vector bundle on B . The construction

of $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})$ involves this vector bundle on B , and the eta-form of Bismut and Cheeger [8, 10]. If \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the technical assumption, we effectively deform it to a cocycle which does, and again define $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})$.

We prove that $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E})$. Our method of proof is to show that one has an equality after pairing both sides of the equation with an arbitrary element of the odd-dimensional K -homology of B . These pairings are given by eta-invariants and the main technical feature of the proof is the computation of adiabatic limits of eta-invariants.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}$, the Chern character on $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}$, and describe the pairing between $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}$ and K_{-1} in terms of reduced eta-invariants. Section 3 contains a short digression on the homotopy invariance of eta-invariants, and the vanishing of eta-invariants on manifolds of positive scalar curvature. In Section 4 we define the index maps $\text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})$ in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} -valued K -theory, provided that the cocycle \mathcal{E} satisfies the technical assumption. We prove that $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E})$. In Section 5 we show how to remove the technical assumption. In Section 6 we look at the case when B is a circle and relate ind_{an} to the holonomy of the Bismut-Freed connection on the determinant line bundle. Finally, in Section 7 we briefly discuss the case of odd-dimensional fibers.

I thank Xianzhe Dai and Dan Freed for helpful discussions and the referee for his/her comments. I thank the UC-Berkeley Mathematics Department for its hospitality while this paper was written.

2. \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -THEORY

Let M be a smooth compact manifold. Let $\Omega^*(M)$ denote the smooth real-valued differential forms on M .

One way to define $K^0(M)$ (see, for example, [18]) is to say that it is the quotient of the free abelian group generated by complex vector bundles E on M , by the relations that $E_2 = E_1 + E_3$ if there is a short exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{i} E_2 \xrightarrow{j} E_3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let ∇^E be a connection on a complex vector bundle E . The geometric Chern character of ∇^E , which we will denote by $\text{ch}_Q(\nabla^E) \in \Omega^{even}(M) \otimes \mathbf{C}$, is

given by

$$(3) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^E) = \text{tr} \left(e^{-\frac{(\nabla^E)^2}{2\pi i}} \right).$$

Then $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^E)$ is a closed differential form which, under the de Rham map, goes to image of the topological Chern character $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E) \in H^{\text{even}}(M; \mathbf{Q})$ in $H^{\text{even}}(M; \mathbf{C})$.

If ∇_1^E and ∇_2^E are two connections on E , there is a canonically-defined Chern-Simons class $CS(\nabla_1^E, \nabla_2^E) \in (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(M) \otimes \mathbf{C})/\text{im}(d)$ [2, Section 4] such that

$$(4) \quad dCS(\nabla_1^E, \nabla_2^E) = \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla_1^E) - \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla_2^E).$$

To construct $CS(\nabla_1^E, \nabla_2^E)$, let $\gamma(t)$ be a smooth path in the space of connections on E , with $\gamma(0) = \nabla_2^E$ and $\gamma(1) = \nabla_1^E$. Let A be the connection on the vector bundle $[0, 1] \times E$, with base $[0, 1] \times M$, given by

$$(5) \quad A = dt \partial_t + \gamma(t).$$

Then

$$(6) \quad CS(\nabla_1^E, \nabla_2^E) = \int_{[0,1]} \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(A) \pmod{\text{im}(d)}.$$

One has

$$(7) \quad CS(\nabla_1^E, \nabla_3^E) = CS(\nabla_1^E, \nabla_2^E) + CS(\nabla_2^E, \nabla_3^E).$$

Given a short exact sequence (2) of complex vector bundles on M , choose a splitting map

$$(8) \quad s : E_3 \rightarrow E_2.$$

Then

$$(9) \quad i \oplus s : E_1 \oplus E_3 \longrightarrow E_2$$

is an isomorphism. Suppose that E_1, E_2 and E_3 have connections $\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}$ and ∇^{E_3} , respectively. We define $CS(\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}, \nabla^{E_3}) \in (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(M) \otimes \mathbf{C})/\text{im}(d)$ by

$$(10) \quad CS(\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}, \nabla^{E_3}) = CS((i \oplus s)^* \nabla^{E_2}, \nabla^{E_1} \oplus \nabla^{E_3}).$$

One can check that $CS(\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}, \nabla^{E_3})$ is independent of the choice of the splitting map s . By construction,

$$(11) \quad dCS(\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}, \nabla^{E_3}) = \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^{E_2}) - \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^{E_1}) - \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^{E_3}).$$

DEFINITION 1. A \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} K -generator of M is a triple

$$\mathcal{E} = (E, \nabla^E, \omega)$$

where

- E is a complex vector bundle on M .
- ∇^E is a connection on E .
- $\omega \in (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(M) \otimes \mathbf{C})/\text{im}(d)$ satisfies $d\omega = \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^E) - \text{rk}(E)$.

DEFINITION 2. A \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} K -relation is given by three \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} K -generators $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ and \mathcal{E}_3 of M , along with a short exact sequence

$$(12) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{i} E_2 \xrightarrow{j} E_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

such that $\omega_2 = \omega_1 + \omega_3 + CS(\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}, \nabla^{E_3})$.

DEFINITION 3. [16, Section 7.5] The group $MK_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}(M)$ is the quotient of the free abelian group generated by the \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} K -generators, by the \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} K -relations $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_3$. The group $K_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ is the subgroup of $MK_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}(M)$ consisting of elements of virtual rank zero.

The group $K_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}$ is part of a 2-periodic generalized cohomology theory $K_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}^*$ whose Ω -spectrum $\{G_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ can be described as follows. Consider the map $\text{ch} : BGL \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} K(\mathbf{C}, 2n)$ corresponding to the Chern character. Let \mathcal{G} be the homotopy fiber of ch . Then for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $G_{2j} = \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} \times \Omega\mathcal{G}$ and $G_{2j+1} = \mathcal{G}$ [16, Section 7.21].

DEFINITION 4. We write $K_{\mathbf{Z}}^*(M)$ for the usual K -groups of M , and we put $K_{\mathbf{C}}^0(M) = H^{\text{even}}(M; \mathbf{C})$, $K_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(M) = H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbf{C})$.

There is an exact sequence [16, Section 7.21]

$$(13) \quad \dots \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} K_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\beta} K_{\mathbf{Z}}^0(M) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} K_{\mathbf{C}}^0(M) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where ch is the Chern character,

$$(14) \quad \alpha(\omega) = ([C^N], \nabla^{flat}, \omega) - ([C^N], \nabla^{flat}, 0)$$

and β is the forgetful map.

It will be convenient for us to consider generalized cohomology theories based on Hermitian vector bundles. Let E be a complex vector bundle on M which is equipped with a positive-definite Hermitian metric h^E . A short exact sequence of such Hermitian vector bundles is defined to be a short exact sequence as in (2), with the additional property that $i : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $j^* : E_3 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries with respect to the given Hermitian metrics. Then there is an equivalent description of $K^0(M)$ [18, Exercise 6.8, p. 106] as the quotient of the free abelian group generated by Hermitian vector bundles E on M , by the relations $E_2 = E_1 + E_3$ whenever one has a short exact sequence (2) of Hermitian vector bundles. The equivalence essentially follows from the fact that the group of automorphisms of a complex vector bundle E acts transitively on the space of Hermitian metrics h^E .

Hereafter, we will only consider connections ∇^E on E which are compatible with h^E . Then $\text{ch}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\nabla^E) \in \Omega^{even}(M)$, $CS(\nabla_1^E, \nabla_2^E) \in \Omega^{odd}(M)/\text{im}(d)$ and $CS(\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}, \nabla^{E_3}) \in \Omega^{odd}(M)/\text{im}(d)$. We can take the splitting map in (8) to be j^* .

DEFINITION 5. An \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -generator of M is a quadruple

$$\mathcal{E} = (E, h^E, \nabla^E, \omega)$$

where

- E is a complex vector bundle on M .
- h^E is a positive-definite Hermitian metric on E .
- ∇^E is a Hermitian connection on E .
- $\omega \in \Omega^{odd}(M)/\text{im}(d)$ satisfies $d\omega = \text{ch}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\nabla^E) - \text{rk}(E)$.

DEFINITION 6. An \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -relation is given by three \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -generators \mathcal{E}_1 , \mathcal{E}_2 and \mathcal{E}_3 of M , along with a short exact sequence of Hermitian vector bundles

$$(15) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{i} E_2 \xrightarrow{j} E_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

such that $\omega_2 = \omega_1 + \omega_3 + CS(\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}, \nabla^{E_3})$.

DEFINITION 7. The group $MK_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}(M)$ is the quotient of the free abelian group generated by the \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -generators, by the \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -relations $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_3$. The group $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ is the subgroup of $MK_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}(M)$ consisting of elements of virtual rank zero.

A simple extension of the results of [16, Chapter VII] gives that the group $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}$ is part of a 2-periodic generalized cohomology theory $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^*$ whose Ω -spectrum $\{F_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$ is follows. Consider the map $\text{ch} : BU \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^\infty K(\mathbf{R}, 2n)$ corresponding to the Chern character. Let \mathcal{F} be the homotopy fiber of ch . Then for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $F_{2j} = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \times \Omega\mathcal{F}$ and $F_{2j+1} = \mathcal{F}$.

DEFINITION 8. We put $K_{\mathbf{R}}^0(M) = H^{\text{even}}(M; \mathbf{R})$ and $K_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(M) = H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbf{R})$.

There is an exact sequence

$$(16) \quad \dots \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} K_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\beta} K_{\mathbf{Z}}^0(M) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} K_{\mathbf{R}}^0(M) \rightarrow \dots$$

Remark. As seen above, the Hermitian metrics play a relatively minor role. We would have obtained an equivalent K -theory by taking the generators to be triples (E, ∇^E, ω) where ∇^E is a connection on E with unitary holonomy and ω is as above. That is, ∇^E is consistent with a Hermitian metric, but the Hermitian metric is not specified. The relations would then be given by short exact sequences of complex vector bundles, with the ω 's related as above.

It will be useful for us to use \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded vector bundles. We will take the Chern character of a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded Hermitian vector bundle $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$ with Hermitian connection $\nabla^E = \nabla^{E_+} \oplus \nabla^{E_-}$ to be

$$(17) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^E) = \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^{E_+}) - \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^{E_-}).$$

We define the Chern-Simons class $CS(\nabla_1^E, \nabla_2^E)$ similarly.

There is a description of elements of $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ by \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycles, meaning quadruples $\mathcal{E} = (E_{\pm}, h^{E_{\pm}}, \nabla^{E_{\pm}}, \omega)$ where

- $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$ is a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded vector bundle on M .
- $h^E = h^{E_+} \oplus h^{E_-}$ is a Hermitian metric on E .
- $\nabla^E = \nabla^{E_+} \oplus \nabla^{E_-}$ is a Hermitian connection on E .
- $\omega \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(M)/\text{im}(d)$ satisfies $d\omega = \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^E)$.

Given a cocycle for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ in the sense of Definition 7, of the form $\sum_i c_i \mathcal{E}_i$, one obtains a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle by putting

- $E_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\pm c_i > 0} c_i E_i$
- $h^{E_{\pm}} = \bigoplus_{\pm c_i > 0} h^{c_i E_i}$
- $\nabla^{E_{\pm}} = \bigoplus_{\pm c_i > 0} \nabla^{c_i E_i}$
- $\omega = \sum_i c_i \omega_i$.

Conversely, given a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle, let F be a vector bundle on M such that $E_- \oplus F$ is topologically equivalent to the trivial vector bundle $[\mathbf{C}^N]$ for some N . Let (h^F, ∇^F) be a Hermitian metric and Hermitian connection on F . There is a $\Theta \in \Omega^{odd}(M)/\text{im}(d)$ such that $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^{E_-} \oplus \nabla^F) = N + d\Theta$. Then

$$(E_+ \oplus F, h^{E_+} \oplus h^F, \nabla^{E_+} \oplus \nabla^F, \Theta + \omega) - (E_- \oplus F, h^{E_-} \oplus h^F, \nabla^{E_-} \oplus \nabla^F, \Theta)$$

is a cycle for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ in the sense of Definition 7, whose class in $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ is independent of the choices made.

An important special type of \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle occurs when $\dim(E_+) = \dim(E_-)$, ∇^{E_+} and ∇^{E_-} are flat and $\omega = 0$. In this case, the class of \mathcal{E} in $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ lies in the image of a map from algebraic K -theory. (The analogous statement for \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} K -theory is described in detail in [16, Sections 7.9-7.18].) More precisely, let KU_{alg}^* be the generalized cohomology theory whose coefficients are given by the unitary algebraic K -groups of \mathbf{C} , and let \widetilde{KU}_{alg}^* be the reduced groups. In particular, $\widetilde{KU}_{alg}^0(M) = [M, BU(\mathbf{C})_{\delta}^+]$, where δ indicates the discrete topology on $U(\mathbf{C})$ and $+$ refers to Quillen's plus construction. The flat Hermitian vector bundle E_{\pm} on M is classified by a homotopy class of maps $\nu_{\pm} \in [M, \mathbf{Z} \times BU(\mathbf{C})_{\delta}]$. There is a homology equivalence

$$\sigma : \mathbf{Z} \times BU(\mathbf{C})_{\delta} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \times BU(\mathbf{C})_{\delta}^+$$

and $(\sigma \circ \nu_+ - \sigma \circ \nu_-) \in [M, \mathbf{Z} \times BU(\mathbf{C})_{\delta}^+]$ defines an element $e \in \widetilde{KU}_{alg}^0(M)$. Furthermore, there is a natural transformation $t : \widetilde{KU}_{alg}^0(M) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ and the class of \mathcal{E} in $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ is given by $t(e)$.

The spectrum F is a module-spectrum over the K -theory spectrum. The multiplication of $K_{\mathbf{Z}}^0(M)$ on $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ can be described as follows. Let \mathcal{E} be a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle. Let ξ be a vector bundle on M . Let h^{ξ} be an arbitrary

Hermitian metric on ξ and let ∇^ξ be a Hermitian connection on ξ . Put

$$(18) \quad (\xi, h^\xi, \nabla^\xi) \cdot \mathcal{E} = (\xi \otimes E_\pm, h^\xi \otimes h^{E_\pm}, (\nabla^\xi \otimes I_\pm) + (I \otimes \nabla^{E_\pm}), \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^\xi) \wedge \omega).$$

This extends to a multiplication of $K_{\mathbf{Z}}^0(M)$ on $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$.

There is a homology equivalence $c_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^\infty K(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}, 2n - 1)$. Thus one has \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} -valued characteristic classes in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -theory. It seems to be difficult to give an explicit description of these classes without using maps to classifying spaces [23]. We will instead describe \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q} -valued characteristic classes. We will define a map

$$(19) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}} : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q})$$

which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(M) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & K_{\mathbf{Z}}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \text{- Id.} \downarrow & & \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}} \downarrow & & \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}} \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R}) & \longrightarrow & H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^{even}(M; \mathbf{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \dots, \end{array}$$

where the bottom row is a Bockstein sequence. Upon tensoring everything with \mathbf{Q} , it follows from the five-lemma that $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}$ is a rational isomorphism. (Note that β is rationally zero.)

We define $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}$ on $MK_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}(M)$. Let \mathcal{E} be an \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -generator. Put $N = \text{rk}(E)$. The existence of the form ω implies that the class of $E - [\mathbf{C}^N]$ in $K_{\mathbf{Z}}^0(M)$ has vanishing Chern character. Thus there is a positive integer k such that kE is topologically equivalent to the trivial vector bundle $[\mathbf{C}^{kN}]$ on M . Let ∇_0^{kE} be a Hermitian connection on kE with trivial holonomy. It follows from the definitions that $\frac{1}{k}CS(k\nabla^E, \nabla_0^{kE}) - \omega$ is an element of $H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R})$.

DEFINITION 9. Let $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E})$ be the image of $\frac{1}{k}CS(k\nabla^E, \nabla_0^{kE}) - \omega$ under the map $H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q})$.

Lemma 1. $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E})$ is independent of the choices of ∇_0^{kE} and k .

Proof. First, let ∇_1^{kE} be another Hermitian connection on kE with trivial holonomy. It differs from ∇_0^{kE} by a gauge transformation specified by a map $g : M \rightarrow U(kN)$. We can think of g as specifying a class $[g] \in K_{\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$. Then $\frac{1}{k}CS(k\nabla^E, \nabla_0^{kE}) - \frac{1}{k}CS(k\nabla^E, \nabla_1^{kE}) = \frac{1}{k}CS(\nabla_1^{kE}, \nabla_0^{kE})$ is the same, up

to multiplication by rational numbers, as the image of $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}([g]) \in H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbf{Q})$ in $H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbf{R})$, and so vanishes when mapped into $H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q})$. Thus $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E})$ is independent of the choice of ∇_0^{kE} .

Now suppose that k' is another positive integer such that $k'E$ is topologically equivalent to $[C^{k'N}]$. Let $\nabla_1^{k'E}$ be a Hermitian connection on $k'E$ with trivial holonomy. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{k}CS(k\nabla^E, \nabla_0^{kE}) - \frac{1}{k'}CS(k'\nabla^E, \nabla_1^{k'E}) \\
 (20) \quad &= \frac{1}{kk'} \left(CS(kk'\nabla^E, k'\nabla_0^{kE}) - CS(kk'\nabla^E, k\nabla_1^{k'E}) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{kk'}CS(k\nabla_1^{k'E}, k'\nabla_0^{kE}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the previous argument, the image of this in $H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q})$ vanishes. Thus $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E})$ is independent of the choice of k . \square

Proposition 1. $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}$ extends to a linear map from $MK_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}(M)$ to $H^{\text{odd}}(M; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q})$.

Proof. We must show that $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}$ vanishes on K -relations. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_3$ is a K -relation. By multiplying the vector bundles by a large enough positive integer, we may assume that E_1, E_2 and E_3 are topologically trivial. Let $\nabla_0^{E_1}$ and $\nabla_0^{E_3}$ be Hermitian connections with trivial holonomy. Using the isometric splitting of E_2 as $E_1 \oplus E_3$, we can take $\nabla_0^{E_2} = \nabla_0^{E_1} \oplus \nabla_0^{E_3}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E}_2) - \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E}_1) - \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E}_3) \\
 &= CS(\nabla^{E_2}, \nabla_0^{E_2}) - CS(\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla_0^{E_1}) - CS(\nabla^{E_3}, \nabla_0^{E_3}) - \omega_2 + \omega_1 + \omega_3 \\
 (21) \quad &= CS(\nabla^{E_2}, \nabla_0^{E_1} \oplus \nabla_0^{E_3}) - CS(\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla_0^{E_1}) \\
 &\quad - CS(\nabla^{E_3}, \nabla_0^{E_3}) - CS(\nabla^{E_2}, \nabla^{E_1} \oplus \nabla^{E_3}) \\
 &= 0. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

One can check that the restriction of $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}$ to $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ does fit into the commutative diagram, as claimed.

We now describe $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}$ in terms of \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycles for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$. Let $\mathcal{E} = (E_{\pm}, h^{E_{\pm}}, \nabla^{E_{\pm}}, \omega)$ be a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle. Let us first assume that E_+

and E_- are topologically equivalent. Let $\text{Isom}(E_+, E_-)$ denote the space of isometries from E_+ to E_- .

DEFINITION 10. For $j \in \text{Isom}(E_+, E_-)$, put

$$(22) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{E}, j) = CS(\nabla^{E_+}, j^* \nabla^{E_-}) - \omega.$$

By construction, $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{E}, j)$ is an element of $H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R})$.

Proposition 2. *We have that $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{E}, j)$ depends on j only through its class in $\pi_0(\text{Isom}(E_+, E_-))$.*

Proof. Acting on sections of E_+ , we have $j^* \nabla^{E_-} = j^{-1} \nabla^{E_-} j$. Let $j(\epsilon)$ be a smooth 1-parameter family in $\text{Isom}(E_+, E_-)$. From the construction of the Chern-Simons class, we have

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{E}, j(\epsilon)) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{tr} \left(\frac{d}{d\epsilon} (j(\epsilon)^* \nabla^{E_-}) e^{-\frac{j(\epsilon)^* (\nabla^{E_-})^2}{2\pi i}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{tr} \left((j(\epsilon)^*)^{-1} \frac{d(j(\epsilon)^* \nabla^{E_-})}{d\epsilon} e^{-\frac{(\nabla^{E_-})^2}{2\pi i}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{tr} \left([\nabla^{E_-}, \frac{dj(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} j(\epsilon)^{-1}] e^{-\frac{(\nabla^{E_-})^2}{2\pi i}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} d \text{tr} \left(\frac{dj(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} j(\epsilon)^{-1} e^{-\frac{(\nabla^{E_-})^2}{2\pi i}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{E}, j(\epsilon))$ is represented by an exact form and vanishes in $H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R})$. \square

The topological interpretation of $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{E}, j)$ is as follows. In terms of (16), the isometry j gives an explicit trivialization of $\beta([\mathcal{E}]) \in K_{\mathbf{Z}}^0(M)$. This lifts $[\mathcal{E}]$ to an element of $K_{\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(M) = H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R})$, which is given by $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{E}, j)$.

For a general \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle $\mathcal{E} = (E_{\pm}, h^{E_{\pm}}, \nabla^{E_{\pm}}, \omega)$, there is a positive integer k such that kE_+ is topologically equivalent to kE_- . Let $k\mathcal{E}$ denote the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle $(kE_{\pm}, kh^{E_{\pm}}, k\nabla^{E_{\pm}}, k\omega)$. Choose an isometry $j \in \text{Isom}(kE_+, kE_-)$. Then $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E})$ is the image of $\frac{1}{k} \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}}(k\mathcal{E}, j)$ under the map $H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^{odd}(M; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q})$. This is independent of the choices of k and j .

With respect to the product (18), one has

$$(24) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi) \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\xi \cdot \mathcal{E}).$$

On general grounds, there is a topological pairing

$$(25) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : K_{-1}(M) \times K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}.$$

We describe this pairing analytically. Recall that cycles for the K -homology group $K_{-1}(M)$ are given by triples $\mathcal{K} = (X, F, f)$ consisting of a smooth closed odd-dimensional spin^c -manifold X , a complex vector bundle F on X and a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow M$ [5]. In our case, we may assume that f is smooth. The spin^c -condition on X means that the principal $GL(\dim(X))$ -bundle on X has a topological reduction to a principal spin^c -bundle P . There is a Hermitian line bundle L on X which is associated to P . Choosing a soldering form on P [20], we obtain a Riemannian metric on X . Let us choose a Hermitian connection ∇^L on L , a Hermitian metric h^F on F and a Hermitian connection ∇^F on F . Let $\widehat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(X)$ be the closed form which represents $\widehat{A}(TX) \in H^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbf{Q})$ and let $e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L)}{2}} \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(X)$ be the closed form which represents $e^{\frac{c_1(L)}{2}} \in H^{\text{even}}(X; \mathbf{Q})$. Let S_X denote the spinor bundle of X .

Given a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle \mathcal{E} for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$, let $D_{f^*\nabla^{E_{\pm}}}$ be the Dirac-type operator acting on L^2 -sections of $S_X \otimes F \otimes f^*E_{\pm}$. Let

$$(26) \quad \bar{\eta}(D_{f^*\nabla^{E_{\pm}}}) = \frac{\eta(D_{f^*\nabla^{E_{\pm}}}) + \dim(\text{Ker}(D_{f^*\nabla^{E_{\pm}}}))}{2} \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}$$

be its reduced eta-invariant [2, Section 3].

DEFINITION 11. The reduced eta-invariant of $f^*\mathcal{E}$ on X , an element of \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} , is given by

$$(27) \quad \bar{\eta}(f^*\mathcal{E}) = \bar{\eta}(D_{f^*\nabla^{E_+}}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{f^*\nabla^{E_-}}) - \int_X \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L)}{2}} \wedge \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^F) \wedge f^*\omega.$$

Proposition 3. Given a cycle \mathcal{K} for $K_{-1}(M)$ and a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle \mathcal{E} for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$, we have

$$(28) \quad \langle [\mathcal{K}], [\mathcal{E}] \rangle = \bar{\eta}(f^*\mathcal{E}).$$

Proof. The triple $(X, [\mathbf{C}], Id)$ determines a cycle \mathcal{X} for $K_{-1}(X)$, and $[\mathcal{K}] = f_*([F] \cap [\mathcal{X}])$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\mathcal{K}], [\mathcal{E}] \rangle &= \langle f_*([F] \cap [\mathcal{X}]), [\mathcal{E}] \rangle = \langle [F] \cap [\mathcal{X}], f^*[\mathcal{E}] \rangle \\ &= \langle [\mathcal{X}], [F] \cdot f^*[\mathcal{E}] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that \mathcal{E} is defined on X and that F is trivial. We now follow the method of proof of [3, Sections 5-8], where the proposition is proven in the special case when ∇^{E_+} and ∇^{E_-} are flat and ω vanishes. (Theorem 5.3 of [3] is in terms of $K^1(TX)$, but by duality and the Thom isomorphism, this is isomorphic to $K_{-1}(X)$.) By adding a Hermitian vector bundle with connection to both E_+ and E_- , we may assume that E_- is topologically equivalent to a trivial bundle $[\mathbf{C}^N]$. Then E_+ is rationally trivial, and so there is a positive integer k such that both kE_+ and kE_- are topologically equivalent to $[\mathbf{C}^{kN}]$. Choose an isometry $j \in \text{Isom}(kE_+, kE_-)$. As in [2, Section 5], the triple (E_+, E_-, j) defines an element of $K_{\mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(X)$, which maps to $K_{\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(X)$. The method of proof of [3] is to divide the problem into a real part [3, Section 6] and a torsion part [3, Sections 7-8]. In our case, the torsion part of the proof is the same as in [3, Sections 7-8], and we only have to deal with the modification to [3, Section 6].

Replacing E_{\pm} by kE_{\pm} , we may assume that E_+ and E_- are topologically trivial, with a fixed isometry j between them. Then $CS(\nabla^{E_+}, j^*\nabla^{E_-}) - \omega$ is an element of $H^{odd}(X; \mathbf{R})$ which, following the notation of [3, p. 89], we write as $b(\mathcal{E}, j)$. As explained in [3, Section 6], under these conditions there is a lifting of $\bar{\eta}(\mathcal{E})$ to an \mathbf{R} -valued invariant $\text{ind}(\mathcal{E}, j)$, which vanishes if $\nabla^{E_+} = j^*\nabla^{E_-}$ and $\omega = 0$. Using the variational formula for the eta-invariant [2, Section 4], one finds

$$(29) \quad \text{ind}(\mathcal{E}, j) = \int_X \hat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L)}{2}} \wedge (CS(\nabla^{E_+}, j^*\nabla^{E_-}) - \omega).$$

Then the analog of [3, Proposition 6.2] holds, and the rest of the proof proceeds as in [3]. \square

Note that if we rationalize (28), we obtain that as elements of \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\eta}(f^*\mathcal{E}) &= \langle \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}([\mathcal{K}]), \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}([\mathcal{E}]) \rangle \\
 (30) \qquad &= \left(\widehat{A}(TX) \cup e^{\frac{\text{cs}(L)}{2}} \cup \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F) \cup \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(f^*\mathcal{E}) \right) [X].
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark. As mentioned in Definition 3, by removing the Hermitian structures on the vector bundles, one obtains \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} -valued K -theory. Although the ensuing Dirac-type operators may no longer be self-adjoint, the reduced eta-invariant can again be defined and gives a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : K_{-1}(M) \times K_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}$. In [15], this was used to detect elements of $K_3(R)$ for certain rings R . For analytic simplicity, in this paper we only deal with self-adjoint operators.

3. HOMOTOPY INVARIANTS

Let M be a closed oriented odd-dimensional smooth manifold. Let Γ be a finitely-presented discrete group. As $B\Gamma$ may be noncompact, when discussing a generalized cohomology group of $B\Gamma$ we will mean the representable cohomology, given by homotopy classes of maps to the spectrum, and similarly for generalized homology.

Upon choosing a Riemannian metric g^{TM} on M , the tangential signature operator $\sigma_M = \pm(*d - d*)$ of M defines an element $[\sigma_M]$ of $K_{-1}(M)$ which is independent of the choice of g^{TM} .

DEFINITION 12. We say that Γ has property (A) if whenever M and M' are manifolds as above, with $f : M' \rightarrow M$ an orientation-preserving homotopy equivalence and $\nu \in [M, B\Gamma]$ a homotopy class of maps, there is an equality in $K_{-1}(B\Gamma)$:

$$(31) \qquad \nu_*([\sigma_M]) = (\nu \circ f)_*([\sigma_{M'}]).$$

We say that Γ satisfies the integral Strong Novikov Conjecture ($SNC_{\mathbf{Z}}$) if the assembly map

$$(32) \qquad \beta : K_*(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$$

is injective, where $C_r^*\Gamma$ is the reduced group C^* -algebra of Γ .

The usual Strong Novikov Conjecture is the conjecture that β is always rationally injective [19, 26]. One knows [19] that

$$(33) \quad \beta(\nu_*([\sigma_M])) = \beta((\nu \circ f)_*([\sigma_{M'}])).$$

Thus $SNC_{\mathbf{Z}}$ implies property (A). Examples of groups which satisfy $SNC_{\mathbf{Z}}$ are given by torsion-free discrete subgroups of Lie groups with a finite number of connected components, and fundamental groups of complete Riemannian manifolds of nonpositive curvature [19]. It is conceivable that all torsion-free finitely-presented discrete groups satisfy $SNC_{\mathbf{Z}}$. Groups with nontrivial torsion elements generally do not have property (A).

Given $\mathcal{E} \in K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B\Gamma)$, let $\bar{\eta}_{sig}(\nu^*\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ denote the reduced eta-invariant of Definition 11, defined using σ_M as the Dirac-type operator.

Proposition 4. *If Γ has property (A) then $\bar{\eta}_{sig}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$ is an (orientation-preserving) homotopy-invariant of M .*

Pf. This is a consequence of Proposition 3 and Definition 12. \square

Suppose now that M is spin and has a Riemannian metric g^{TM} . Let D_M be the Dirac operator on M , acting on L^2 -sections of the spinor bundle. Its class $[D_M]$ in $K_{-1}(M)$ is independent of g^{TM} . Given $\mathcal{E} \in K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B\Gamma)$, let $\bar{\eta}_{Dirac}(\nu^*\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ denote the reduced eta-invariant of Definition 11, defined using D_M .

Proposition 5. *If g^{TM} has positive scalar curvature and Γ satisfies $SNC_{\mathbf{Z}}$ then $\bar{\eta}_{Dirac}(\nu^*\mathcal{E}) = 0$.*

Pf. From [26], the positivity of the scalar curvature implies that $\beta(\nu_*([D_M]))$ vanishes. Then by the assumption on Γ , we have that $\nu_*([D_M]) = 0$. The proposition now follows from Proposition 3. \square

Let $\rho_{\pm} : \Gamma \rightarrow U(N)$ be two representations of Γ . Let $E_{\pm} = E\Gamma \times_{\rho_{\pm}} \mathbf{C}^N$ be the associated flat Hermitian vector bundles on $B\Gamma$. By simplicial methods, one can construct an element \mathcal{E} of $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B\Gamma)$ such that $\nu^*\mathcal{E}$ equals the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle on M constructed from the flat Hermitian vector bundles ν^*E_{\pm} . (If $B\Gamma$ happens to be a manifold then \mathcal{E} can be simply constructed from the flat

Hermitian vector bundles E_{\pm} .) Because of the de Rham isomorphism between the kernel of the (twisted) tangential signature operator and the (twisted) cohomology groups of M , in this case one can lift $\bar{\eta}_{sig}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$ to a real-valued diffeomorphism-invariant $\eta_{sig}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$ of M [2, Theorem 2.4]. Similarly, let \mathcal{R} denote the space of Riemannian metrics on M and let \mathcal{R}^+ denote those with positive scalar curvature. If M is spin then one can lift $\bar{\eta}_{Dirac}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$ to a real-valued function $\eta_{Dirac}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$ on \mathcal{R} which is locally constant on \mathcal{R}^+ [2, Section 3].

It was shown in [28] that if the L-theory assembly map of Γ is an isomorphism then $\eta_{sig}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$ is an (orientation-preserving) homotopy-invariant of M . If the assembly map β is an isomorphism (for the maximal group C^* -algebra) then one can show that $\eta_{sig}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$ is an (orientation-preserving) homotopy-invariant of M , and that $\eta_{Dirac}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$ vanishes on \mathcal{R}^+ [14]. The comparison of these statements with those of Propositions 4 and 5 is the following. Propositions 4 and 5 are more general, in that there may well be elements of $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B\Gamma)$ which do not arise from flat vector bundles. However, when dealing with flat vector bundles the results of [28] and [14] are more precise, as they are statements about unreduced eta-invariants. The results of [28] and [14] can perhaps be best considered to be statements about the terms in the surgery exact sequence [29] and its analog for positive-scalar-curvature metrics [12, 27].

4. INDEX MAPS IN \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} K -THEORY

Let $Z \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a smooth fiber bundle with compact base B , whose fiber Z is even-dimensional and closed. Suppose that TZ has a spin^c-structure. Then π is K -oriented and general methods [11, Chapter 1D] show that there is an Umkehr, or “integration over the fiber”, homomorphism

$$(34) \quad \pi_! : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B).$$

To describe $\pi_!$ explicitly, we denote the Thom space of a vector bundle V over a manifold X by X^V , and we denote its basepoint by $*$. Let $i : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ be an embedding of M . Define an embedding $\hat{\pi} : M \rightarrow B \times \mathbf{R}^d$ by $\hat{\pi} = \pi \times i$. Let ν be the normal bundle of $\hat{\pi}(M)$ in $B \times \mathbf{R}^d$. With our assumptions, ν is K -oriented, and as $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}$ -theory is a module-theory over ordinary K -theory,

there is a Thom isomorphism

$$r_1 : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1+d}(M^\nu, *).$$

The collapsing map $B^{B \times \mathbf{R}^d} \rightarrow M^\nu$ induces a homomorphism

$$r_2 : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1+d}(M^\nu, *) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1+d}(B^{B \times \mathbf{R}^d}, *).$$

Finally, there is a desuspension map

$$r_3 : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1+d}(B^{B \times \mathbf{R}^d}, *) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B).$$

The homomorphism $\pi_!$ is the composition

$$K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{r_1} K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1+d}(M^\nu, *) \xrightarrow{r_2} K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1+d}(B^{B \times \mathbf{R}^d}, *) \xrightarrow{r_3} K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B).$$

For notation, we will also write $\pi_!$ as the topological index :

$$(35) \quad \text{ind}_{top} = \pi_!.$$

Let $\widehat{A}(TZ) \in H^{even}(M; \mathbf{Q})$ be the \widehat{A} -class of the vertical tangent bundle TZ . Let $e^{\frac{c_1(L_Z)}{2}} \in H^{even}(M; \mathbf{Q})$ be the characteristic class of the Hermitian line bundle L_Z on M which is associated to the spin^c -structure on TZ . One has

$$(36) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E})) = \int_Z \widehat{A}(TZ) \cup e^{\frac{c_1(L_Z)}{2}} \cup \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Give TZ a positive-definite metric g^{TZ} . Let L_Z have a Hermitian connection ∇^{L_Z} . Given a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle $\mathcal{E} = (E_\pm, h^{E_\pm}, \nabla^{E_\pm}, \omega)$ on M , we have vertical Dirac-type operators $D_{\nabla^{E_\pm}}^Z$. As Z is even-dimensional, for each fiber, the kernels of $D_{\nabla^{E_+}}^Z$ and $D_{\nabla^{E_-}}^Z$ are \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces:

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(D_{\nabla^{E_+}}^Z) &= (\text{Ker}(D_{\nabla^{E_+}}^Z))_+ \oplus (\text{Ker}(D_{\nabla^{E_-}}^Z))_-, \\ \text{Ker}(D_{\nabla^{E_-}}^Z) &= (\text{Ker}(D_{\nabla^{E_-}}^Z))_+ \oplus (\text{Ker}(D_{\nabla^{E_+}}^Z))_-. \end{aligned}$$

ASSUMPTION 1. The kernels of $D_{\nabla^{E_\pm}}^Z$ form vector bundles on B .

That is, we have a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded vector bundle Ind on B with

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} Ind_+ &= (\text{Ker}(D_{\nabla^{E_+}}^Z))_+ \oplus \\ Ind_- &= (\text{Ker}(D_{\nabla^{E_+}}^Z))_- \oplus (\text{Ker}(D_{\nabla^{E_-}}^Z))_+. \end{aligned}$$

Then Ind inherits an L^2 -Hermitian metric h^{Ind_\pm} .

In order to define an analytic index, we put additional structure on the fiber bundle. Let $s \in \text{Hom}(\pi^*TB, TM)$ be a splitting of the exact sequence

$$(39) \quad 0 \longrightarrow TZ \longrightarrow TM \longrightarrow \pi^*TB \longrightarrow 0.$$

Putting $T^H M = \text{im}(s)$, we have

$$(40) \quad TM = T^H M \oplus TZ$$

Then there is a canonical metric-compatible connection ∇^{TZ} on TZ [7]. Let $\widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(M)$ be the closed form which represents $\widehat{A}(TZ)$. Let $e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{TZ})}{2}} \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(M)$ be the closed form which represents $e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{TZ})}{2}}$.

One also has an L^2 -Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\text{Ind}\pm}$ on Ind . There is an analytically-defined form $\tilde{\eta} \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B)/\text{im}(d)$ such that [8, 10]

$$(41) \quad d\tilde{\eta} = \int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{TZ})}{2}} \wedge \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^E) - \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^{\text{Ind}}).$$

DEFINITION 13. The analytic index, $\text{ind}_{\text{an}}(\mathcal{E}) \in K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B)$, of \mathcal{E} is the class of the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle

$$(42) \quad \mathcal{I} = \left(\text{Ind}_{\pm}, h^{\text{Ind}\pm}, \nabla^{\text{Ind}\pm}, \int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{TZ})}{2}} \wedge \omega - \tilde{\eta} \right).$$

It follows from (41) that \mathcal{I} does indeed define a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B)$. One can show directly that $\text{ind}_{\text{an}}(\mathcal{E})$ is independent of the splitting map s . (This will also follow from Corollary 1.)

Proposition 6. *If the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle \mathcal{E} for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ satisfies Assumption 1 then for all $x \in K_{-1}(B)$, we have*

$$(43) \quad \langle x, \text{ind}_{\text{an}}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle = \langle x, \text{ind}_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle.$$

Pf. It suffices to show that for all cycles $\mathcal{K} = (X, F, f)$ for $K_{-1}(B)$, we have

$$(44) \quad \langle [\mathcal{K}], \text{ind}_{\text{an}}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle = \langle [\mathcal{K}], \text{ind}_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 3, by pulling the fiber bundle and the other structures back to X , by means of f , we may assume that the base of the fiber bundle is X . By changing \mathcal{E} to $(\pi^*F) \cdot \mathcal{E}$, we may assume that F is trivial. That is, $[\mathcal{K}]$ is the fundamental K -homology class x_X of X .

By Proposition 3, we have $\langle x_X, \text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle = \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{I})$. Let TM have the spin^c-structure which is induced from those of TZ and TX . Let $L_M = L_Z \otimes \pi^* L_X$ be the associated Hermitian line bundle. Let $x_M \in K_{-1}(M)$ be the fundamental K -homology class of M . There is a homomorphism $\pi^! : K_*(X) \rightarrow K_*(M)$ which is dual to the Umkehr homomorphism, and one has $\pi^!(x_X) = x_M$. Then

$$(45) \quad \langle x_X, \text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle = \langle x_X, \pi_!(\mathcal{E}) \rangle = \langle \pi^!(x_X), \mathcal{E} \rangle = \langle x_M, \mathcal{E} \rangle = \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Thus it suffices to show that as elements of \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} , we have

$$(46) \quad \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{I}) = \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Let g^{TX} be a Riemannian metric on X and let $g^{TM} = g^{TZ} + \pi^* g^{TX}$ be the Riemannian metric on M which is constructed using $T^H M$. Let ∇^{L_X} be a Hermitian connection on L_X and define a Hermitian connection on L_M by

$$(47) \quad \nabla^{L_M} = (\nabla^{L_Z} \otimes I) + (I \otimes \pi^* \nabla^{L_X}).$$

Let $D_{\nabla E_{\pm}}$ be the Dirac-type operators on M and let $D_{\nabla \text{ind}_{\pm}}$ be the Dirac-type operators on X . From the definitions, we have

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{E}) &= \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla E_+}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla E_-}) - \int_M \hat{A}(\nabla^{TM}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_M})}{2}} \wedge \omega, \\ \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{I}) &= \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla \text{ind}_+}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla \text{ind}_-}) - \int_X \hat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_X})}{2}} \wedge \\ &\quad \left(\int_Z \hat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_Z})}{2}} \wedge \omega - \tilde{\eta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{E}) - \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{I}) &= \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla E_+}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla E_-}) \\ &\quad - \left(\bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla \text{ind}_+}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla \text{ind}_-}) + \int_X \hat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_X})}{2}} \wedge \tilde{\eta} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_M \hat{A}(\nabla^{TM}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_M})}{2}} \wedge \omega - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_X \hat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_X})}{2}} \wedge \int_Z \hat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_Z})}{2}} \wedge \omega \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, consider a rescaling of the Riemannian metric on X to

$$(50) \quad g_\epsilon^{TX} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} g^{TX}.$$

From [10, Theorem 0.1'], in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} we have

$$(51) \quad 0 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{E_+}}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{E_-}}) - \left(\bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{Ind_+}}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{Ind_-}}) + \int_X \hat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{LX})}{2}} \wedge \tilde{\eta} \right) \right].$$

(Theorem 0.1' of [10] must be slightly corrected. The correct statement is

$$(52) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{\eta}(D_x) \equiv \int_B \hat{A} \left(\frac{R^B}{2\pi} \right) \wedge \tilde{\eta} + \bar{\eta}(D_B \otimes \text{Ker } D_Y) \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

This follows from [10, Theorem 0.1] as follows. Following the notation of [10], we have trivially

$$(53) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1=0} \text{sign}(\lambda_x) \equiv \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1=0} 1 \pmod{2},$$

and this last term is the number of small nonzero eigenvalues. The total number of small eigenvalues is $\dim(\text{Ker}(D_B \otimes \text{Ker } D_Y))$, and so

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1=0} \text{sign}(\lambda_x) \equiv \dim(\text{Ker}(D_B \otimes \text{Ker } D_Y)) - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \dim(\text{Ker}(D_x)) \pmod{2}.$$

Dividing the result of [10, Theorem 0.1] by 2 and taking the mod \mathbf{Z} reduction yields (52). The stabilization assumption of [10, Theorem 0.1] is not necessary here, as a change in the sign of a small nonzero eigenvalue will change the left-hand-side of (53) by an even number. (I thank X. Dai for a discussion of these points.)

Furthermore, in the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit, ∇^{TM} takes an upper-triangular form with respect to the decomposition (40) [8, Section 4a], [10, Section 1.1]. Then the curvature form also becomes upper-triangular. As

$$(54) \quad c_1(\nabla^{LM}) = c_1(\nabla^{LZ}) + \pi^* c_1(\nabla^{LX}),$$

we obtain

$$(55) \quad 0 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_M \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TM}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L M)}{2}} \wedge \omega - \int_X \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L X)}{2}} \wedge \int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L Z)}{2}} \wedge \omega \right].$$

Now $\bar{\eta}(\mathcal{E}) - \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{I})$ is topological in nature, and so is independent of the Riemannian metric on X , and in particular of ϵ . Combining the above equations, (46) follows. \square

Corollary 1. *If the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle \mathcal{E} for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ satisfies Assumption 1 then $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E})$.*

Pf. The Universal Coefficient Theorem of [30, eqn. (3.1)] implies that there is a short exact sequence

$$(56) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{-2}(B), \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_{-1}(B), \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

As \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} is divisible, $\text{Ext}(K_{-2}(B), \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}) = 0$. The corollary follows from Proposition 6. \square

Corollary 2. *If the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle \mathcal{E} for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ satisfies Assumption 1 then*

$$(57) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})) = \int_Z \widehat{A}(TZ) \cup e^{\frac{c_1(L_Z)}{2}} \cup \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Pf. This follows from Corollary 1 and equation (36). \square

Remark. It follows *a posteriori* from Corollary 1 that if \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycles which satisfy Assumption 1 and represent the same class in $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ then $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}_1) = \text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}_2)$ in $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B)$.

Remark. Suppose that there is an isometry $j \in \text{Isom}(Ind_+, Ind_-)$. As in Definition 10, we can use j to lift $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})$ to $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{I}, j) \in H^{odd}(B; \mathbf{R})$. In particular, we get a unique such lifting when $Ind_+ = Ind_- = 0$, given by $\int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L Z)}{2}} \wedge \omega - \bar{\eta}$.

5. THE GENERAL CASE

In this section we indicate how to remove Assumption 1. The technical trick, taken from [22], is a time-dependent modification of the Bismut superconnection. Let us first discuss eta-invariants and adiabatic limits in general.

Let M be a closed manifold. Let \mathcal{D} be a smooth 1-parameter family of first-order self-adjoint elliptic pseudo-differential operators $D(t)$ on M such that

- There is a $\delta > 0$ and a first-order self-adjoint elliptic pseudo-differential operator D_0 on M such that for $t \in (0, \delta)$, we have $D(t) = \sqrt{t} D_0$.
- There is a $\Delta > 0$ and a first-order self-adjoint elliptic pseudo-differential operator D_∞ on M such that for $t > \Delta$, we have $D(t) = \sqrt{t} D_\infty$.

For $s \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, put

$$(58) \quad \eta(\mathcal{D})(s) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^s \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{dD(t)}{dt} e^{-D(t)^2} \right) dt.$$

Lemma 2. $\eta(\mathcal{D})(s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbf{C} which is holomorphic near $s = 0$.

Pf. Write $\eta(\mathcal{D})(s) = \eta_1(s) + \eta_2(s)$, where

$$(59) \quad \eta_1(s) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^s \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{D_0}{2\sqrt{t}} e^{-tD_0^2} \right) dt$$

and

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_2(s) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^s \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{dD(t)}{dt} e^{-D(t)^2} - \frac{D_0}{2\sqrt{t}} e^{-tD_0^2} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_\delta^\infty t^s \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{dD(t)}{dt} e^{-D(t)^2} - \frac{D_0}{2\sqrt{t}} e^{-tD_0^2} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

It is known [13] that $\eta_1(s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbf{C} which is holomorphic near $s = 0$. It is not hard to see that $\eta_2(s)$ extends to a holomorphic function on \mathbf{C} . \square

Define the eta-invariant of \mathcal{D} by

$$(61) \quad \eta(\mathcal{D}) = \eta(\mathcal{D})(0)$$

and the reduced eta-invariant of \mathcal{D} by

$$(62) \quad \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{\eta(\mathcal{D}) + \dim(\text{Ker}(D_\infty))}{2} \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

Lemma 3. $\eta(\mathcal{D})$ only depends on D_0 and D_∞ , and $\bar{\eta}(\mathcal{D})$ only depends on D_0 .

Pf. For $x \in \mathbf{R}$, define

$$(63) \quad \text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt.$$

Then $\text{erf}(0) = 0$ and $\text{erf}(\pm\infty) = \pm 1$.

Let \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 be two families such that $(D_1)_0 = (D_2)_0 = D_0$. We may assume that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for $t \in (0, \delta)$, $D_1(t) = D_2(t) = \sqrt{t}D_0$. Formally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\mathcal{D}_2) - \eta(\mathcal{D}_1) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^s \text{Tr} \left(\frac{dD_2(t)}{dt} e^{-D_2(t)^2} - \frac{dD_1(t)}{dt} e^{-D_1(t)^2} \right) dt \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_\delta^\infty t^s \text{Tr} \left(\frac{dD_2(t)}{dt} e^{-D_2(t)^2} - \frac{dD_1(t)}{dt} e^{-D_1(t)^2} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_\delta^\infty \text{Tr} \left(\frac{dD_2(t)}{dt} e^{-D_2(t)^2} - \frac{dD_1(t)}{dt} e^{-D_1(t)^2} \right) dt \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_\delta^x \text{Tr} \left(\frac{dD_2(t)}{dt} e^{-D_2(t)^2} - \frac{dD_1(t)}{dt} e^{-D_1(t)^2} \right) dt \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_\delta^x \frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr} (\text{erf}(D_2(t)) - \text{erf}(D_1(t))) dt \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr} (\text{erf}(D_2(x)) - \text{erf}(D_1(x))) \\ (64) \quad &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr} (\text{erf}(\sqrt{x} (D_2)_\infty) - \text{erf}(\sqrt{x} (D_1)_\infty)). \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to justify the formal manipulations in (64). The first statement of the lemma follows. For the second statement, as $(D_1)_\infty$ and $(D_2)_\infty$ can both be joined to D_0 by a smooth 1-parameter family of first-order self-adjoint elliptic pseudo-differential operators, it follows that there is a smooth 1-parameter family $\{T(\epsilon)\}_{\epsilon \in [1,2]}$ of such operators with $T(1) = (D_1)_\infty$ and $T(2) = (D_2)_\infty$, which can even be taken to be an analytic family. Then

$$(65) \quad \text{Tr} (\text{erf}(\sqrt{x} (D_2)_\infty) - \text{erf}(\sqrt{x} (D_1)_\infty)) = \int_1^2 \sqrt{x} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{dT(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} e^{-xT(\epsilon)^2} \right) d\epsilon.$$

For $\mu > 0$, let $P_\epsilon(\mu)$ be the spectral projection onto the eigenfunctions $\psi_i(\epsilon)$ of $T(\epsilon)$ with eigenvalue $|\lambda_i(\epsilon)| \leq \mu$. Then

$$(66) \quad \int_1^2 \sqrt{x} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{dT(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} e^{-xT(\epsilon)^2} \right) d\epsilon = \int_1^2 \sqrt{x} \operatorname{Tr} \left((I - P_\epsilon(\mu)) \frac{dT(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} e^{-xT(\epsilon)^2} \right) d\epsilon + \int_1^2 \sqrt{x} \operatorname{Tr} \left(P_\epsilon(\mu) \frac{dT(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} e^{-xT(\epsilon)^2} \right) d\epsilon.$$

From the spectral decomposition of $T(\epsilon)$, we have

$$(67) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^2 \sqrt{x} \operatorname{Tr} \left((I - P_\epsilon(\mu)) \frac{dT(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} e^{-xT(\epsilon)^2} \right) d\epsilon = 0,$$

showing that

$$(68) \quad \eta(\mathcal{D}_2) - \eta(\mathcal{D}_1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^2 \sqrt{x} \operatorname{Tr} \left(P_\epsilon(\mu) \frac{dT(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} e^{-xT(\epsilon)^2} \right) d\epsilon.$$

From eigenvalue perturbation theory,

$$(69) \quad \int_1^2 \sqrt{x} \operatorname{Tr} \left(P_\epsilon(\mu) \frac{dT(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} e^{-xT(\epsilon)^2} \right) d\epsilon = \int_1^2 \sum_{|\lambda_i(\epsilon)| \leq \mu} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{x} \lambda_i(\epsilon)) d\epsilon.$$

Define the spectral flow of the family $\{T(\epsilon)\}_{\epsilon \in [1,2]}$ as in [3, Section 7]. Taking μ sufficiently small, we see from (68) and (69) that $\eta(\mathcal{D}_2) - \eta(\mathcal{D}_1)$ equals $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}((D_1)_\infty)) - \dim(\operatorname{Ker}((D_2)_\infty))$ plus twice the spectral flow. As the spectral flow is an integer, the lemma follows. \square

In the special case when $D(t) = \sqrt{t} D_0$ for all $t > 0$, $\eta(\mathcal{D})$ and $\bar{\eta}(\mathcal{D})$ are the usual eta-invariant and reduced eta-invariant of \dot{D}_0 .

Now let X be a closed spin^c-manifold with a Riemannian metric g^{TX} . Let ∇^L be a Hermitian connection on the associated Hermitian line bundle L . Let S_X be the spinor bundle on X . Let V be a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded Hermitian vector bundle on X and let A be a superconnection on V [25, 6]. Explicitly,

$$(70) \quad A = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{[j]},$$

where

- A_1 is a grading-preserving connection on V .
- For $k \geq 0$, $A_{[2k]}$ is an element of $\Omega^{2k}(X; \operatorname{End}^{\text{odd}}(V))$.
- For $k > 0$, $A_{[2k+1]}$ is an element of $\Omega^{2k+1}(X; \operatorname{End}^{\text{even}}(V))$.

We also require that A be Hermitian in an appropriate sense. Let \bar{A} be the self-adjoint Dirac-type operator obtained by “quantizing” A [6, Section 3.3]. This is a linear operator on $C^\infty(X; S_X \otimes V)$ which is essentially given by replacing the Grassmann variables in A by Clifford variables. For $t > 0$, define a rescaled superconnection A_t by

$$(71) \quad A_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{1-j}{2}} A_{[j]}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a smooth 1-parameter family of superconnections $A(t)$ on V . Suppose that

- There is a $\delta > 0$ and a superconnection A_0 on V such that for $t \in (0, \delta)$, we have $A(t) = (A_0)_t$.
- There is a $\Delta > 0$ and a superconnection A_∞ on V such that for $t > \Delta$, we have $A(t) = (A_\infty)_t$.

Suppose that $(A_\infty)_{[0]}$ is invertible. Let $\mathcal{R} : \Omega^*(X) \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)$ be the linear operator which acts on a homogeneous form ω by

$$(72) \quad \mathcal{R} \omega = (2\pi i)^{-\frac{\deg(\omega)}{2}} \omega.$$

For $s \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, define $\tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A})(s) \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(X)/\operatorname{im}(d)$ by

$$(73) \quad \tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A})(s) = (2\pi i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R} \int_0^\infty t^s \operatorname{tr}_s \left(\frac{dA(t)}{dt} e^{-A(t)^2} \right) dt.$$

Lemma 4. $\tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A})(s)$ extends to a meromorphic vector-valued function on \mathbf{C} with simple poles. Its residue at zero vanishes in $\Omega^{\text{odd}}(X)/\operatorname{im}(d)$.

Pf. As the s -singularities in (73) are a small- t phenomenon, it follows that the poles and residues of $\tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A})(s)$ are the same as those of

$$(74) \quad (2\pi i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R} \int_0^\delta t^s \operatorname{tr}_s \left(\frac{dA(t)}{dt} e^{-A(t)^2} \right) dt = (2\pi i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R} \int_0^\delta t^s \operatorname{tr}_s \left(\frac{d(A_0)_t}{dt} e^{-(A_0)_t^2} \right) dt.$$

It is known that the right-hand-side of (74) satisfies the claims of the lemma [8, (A.1.5-6)]. \square

Define the eta-form of \mathcal{A} by

$$(75) \quad \tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A}) = \tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A})(0).$$

As in Lemma 3, $\tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A})$ only depends on A_0 and A_∞ .

For $\epsilon > 0$, define a family of operators \mathcal{D}_ϵ by

$$(76) \quad \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(t) = \sqrt{\epsilon t} \overline{A(t)}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon t}}.$$

Then a generalization of [8, eqn. (A.1.7)], which we will not prove in detail here, gives

$$(77) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \eta(\mathcal{D}_\epsilon) = \int_X \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L)}{2}} \wedge \tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Example. Suppose that B is a superconnection on V with $B_{[0]}$ invertible and put $A(t) = B_t$ for all $t > 0$. Then

$$(78) \quad \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(t) = \sqrt{\epsilon t} \overline{B}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}.$$

It follows that

$$(79) \quad \eta(\mathcal{D}_\epsilon) = \eta(\sqrt{\epsilon} \overline{B}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}),$$

where the right-hand-side of (79) is the eta-invariant of the operator $\sqrt{\epsilon} \overline{B}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}$ in the usual sense. Similarly, $\tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A})$ is the eta-form of the superconnection B in the usual sense. Thus (77) becomes

$$(80) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \eta(\sqrt{\epsilon} \overline{B}_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}) = \int_X \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L)}{2}} \wedge \tilde{\eta}(B),$$

which is the same as [8, eqn. (A.1.7)].

End of Example.

Now let $Z \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ be a smooth fiber bundle whose fiber is even-dimensional and closed. Suppose that TZ has a spin^c -structure. As in Section 4, we endow TZ with a positive-definite metric g^{TZ} and L_Z with a Hermitian connection ∇^{L_Z} . Let \mathcal{E} be a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} -cocycle on M and let $D_{\nabla^Z}^{\mathcal{E}}$ be the vertical Dirac-type operators on the fiber bundle. We no longer suppose that Assumption 1 is satisfied. Let $W = W_+ \oplus W_-$ be the infinite-dimensional \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded Hermitian vector bundle $\pi_*(S_M \otimes E)$ over X . A standard result in index theory [21] says that there are smooth finite-dimensional subbundles

F_{\pm} of W_{\pm} and complementary subbundles G_{\pm} such that $D_{\nabla^E}^Z$ is diagonal with respect to the decomposition $W_{\pm} = G_{\pm} \oplus F_{\pm}$, and writing $D_{\nabla^E}^Z = D_G \oplus D_F$, in addition $D_{G_{\pm}} : C^{\infty}(G_{\pm}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(G_{\mp})$ is L^2 -invertible. The vector bundle F acquires a Hermitian metric h^F from W . Let ∇^F be a grading-preserving Hermitian connection on F .

Let $T^H M$ be a horizontal distribution on M . One has the Bismut superconnection A_B on W [7], [6, Chapter 10]. Symbolically,

$$(81) \quad A_B = D_{\nabla^E}^Z + \nabla^W - \frac{1}{4}c(T),$$

where ∇^W is a certain Hermitian connection on W and $c(T)$ is Clifford multiplication by the curvature 2-form of the fiber bundle. Put

$$(82) \quad H_{\pm} = W_{\pm} \oplus F_{\mp} = G_{\pm} \oplus F_{\pm} \oplus F_{\mp}.$$

Let $\phi(t) : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth bump function such that there exist $\delta, \Delta > 0$ satisfying

- $\phi(t) = 0$ if $t \in (0, \delta)$.
- $\phi(t) = 1$ if $t > \Delta$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, define $R_{\pm}(t) : C^{\infty}(H_{\pm}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(H_{\mp})$ by

$$(83) \quad R_{\pm}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha\phi(t) \\ 0 & \alpha\phi(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define a family \mathcal{A} of superconnections on H by

$$(84) \quad A(t) = ((A_B \oplus \nabla^F) + R(t))_t.$$

Put

$$(85) \quad A_0 = A_B \oplus \nabla^F, \quad A_{\infty} = (A_B \oplus \nabla^F) + R(\infty).$$

Then for $t \in (0, \delta)$,

$$(86) \quad A(t) = (A_0)_t$$

and for $t > \Delta$,

$$(87) \quad A(t) = (A_{\infty})_t.$$

Furthermore, $(A_\infty)_{[0]_\pm} : C^\infty(H_\pm) \rightarrow C^\infty(H_\mp)$ is given by

$$(88) \quad (A_\infty)_{[0]_\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{G_\pm} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_{F_\pm} & \alpha \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If α is sufficiently large then $(A_\infty)_{[0]}$ is L^2 -invertible. We will assume hereafter that α is so chosen.

We are now formally in the setting described previously in this section. The only difference is that the finite-dimensional vector bundle V is replaced by the infinite-dimensional vector bundle H . Nevertheless, as in [8, Section 4], equations (73)-(77) all carry through to the present setting.

Let g_ϵ^{TX} be the rescaled metric of (50). Let g_ϵ^{TM} be the corresponding metric on M . Let D_{∇^E} be the Dirac-type operator on M , defined using the metric g_ϵ^{TM} . Let D_{∇^F} be the Dirac-type operator on X , defined using the metric g_ϵ^{TX} . Putting

$$(89) \quad D_0 = D_{\nabla^E} \oplus D_{\nabla^F},$$

we see from (76) that for $t \in (0, \delta)$,

$$(90) \quad D_\epsilon(t) = \sqrt{t} D_0.$$

Furthermore, there is a first-order self-adjoint elliptic pseudo-differential operator D_∞ on $M \cup X$ such that for $t > \Delta$,

$$(91) \quad D_\epsilon(t) = \sqrt{t} D_\infty.$$

As $\bar{\eta}(\mathcal{D})$ only depends on D_0 , it follows that

$$(92) \quad \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{D}_\epsilon) = \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{E_+}}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{E_-}}) - (\bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{F_+}}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{F_-}})),$$

where the terms on the right-hand-side are ordinary reduced eta-invariants. Then equation (77) becomes

$$(93) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{E_+}}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{E_-}}) - (\bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{F_+}}) - \bar{\eta}(D_{\nabla^{F_-}})) \right] \\ = \int_X \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TX}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^L)}{2}} \wedge \tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A}), \quad (\text{mod } \mathbf{Z})$$

which is the replacement for (51).

One has

$$(94) \quad d\tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A}) = \int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{LZ})}{2}} \wedge \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^E) - \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^F),$$

which is the replacement for equation (41).

DEFINITION 14. The analytic index, $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) \in K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B)$, of \mathcal{E} is the class of the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle

$$(95) \quad \mathcal{I} = \left(F_{\pm}, h^{F_{\pm}}, \nabla^{F_{\pm}}, \int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{LZ})}{2}} \wedge \omega - \tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{A}) \right).$$

It follows from (94) that \mathcal{I} does indeed define a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(B)$.

Proposition 7. *For all $x \in K_{-1}(B)$, we have*

$$(96) \quad \langle x, \text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle = \langle x, \text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E}) \rangle.$$

Pf. The proof is virtually the same as that of Proposition 6. \square

Corollary 3. $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E})$.

Pf. The proof is virtually the same as that of Corollary 1. \square

Corollary 4. *We have*

$$(97) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})) = \int_Z \widehat{A}(TZ) \cup e^{\frac{c_1(LZ)}{2}} \cup \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Pf. The proof is virtually the same as that of Corollary 2. \square

6. CIRCLE BASE

We now consider the special case of a circle base. Fixing its orientation, S^1 has a unique spin^c -structure. There is an isomorphism $i : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ which is given by pairing with the fundamental K -homology class of S^1 . More explicitly, let \mathcal{E} be a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(S^1)$. Then ω is a 1-form on $S^1 \pmod{\text{Im}(d)}$ and E_+ and E_- are both topologically equivalent to a trivial vector bundle $[\mathbf{C}^N]$ on S^1 . Choose an isometry $j \in \text{Isom}(E_+, E_-)$. Then

$$(98) \quad i([\mathcal{E}]) = \int_{S^1} \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{tr}(\nabla^{E_+} - j^* \nabla^{E_-}) - \omega \right) \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

Let $Z \rightarrow M \rightarrow S^1$ be a fiber bundle as before and let \mathcal{E} be a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$. In this special case of a circle base, we can express $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})$ in an alternative way. For simplicity, suppose that Assumption 1 is satisfied. There is a determinant line bundle $\text{DET} = (\Lambda^{\max}(\text{Ind}_+))^* \otimes (\Lambda^{\max}(\text{Ind}_-))$ on S^1 , which is a complex line bundle with a canonical Hermitian metric h^{DET} and compatible Hermitian connection ∇^{DET} [24, 9], [6, Section 9.7]. Let $\text{hol}(\nabla^{\text{DET}}) \in U(1)$ be the holonomy of ∇^{DET} around the circle. Explicitly,

$$(99) \quad \text{hol}(\nabla^{\text{DET}}) = e^{-\int_{S^1} \nabla^{\text{DET}}}$$

As $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E_+) = \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E_-)$, it follows from the Atiyah-Singer index theorem that $\dim(\text{Ind}_+) = \dim(\text{Ind}_-)$.

Proposition 8. *In \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} , we have*

$$(100) \quad i(\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \text{hol}(\nabla^{\text{DET}}) - \int_M \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{LZ})}{2}} \wedge \omega.$$

Pf. Choose an isometry $j \in \text{Isom}(\text{Ind}_+, \text{Ind}_-)$. From the definition of $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})$, in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} we have

$$(101) \quad i(\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})) = \int_{S^1} \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{tr}(\nabla^{\text{Ind}_+} - j^* \nabla^{\text{Ind}_-}) - \int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{LZ})}{2}} \wedge \omega + \tilde{\eta} \right).$$

Let ∇^{L^2} denote the L^2 -connection on DET . Then

$$(102) \quad -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} \text{tr}(\nabla^{\text{Ind}_+} - j^* \nabla^{\text{Ind}_-}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \text{hol}(\nabla^{L^2}) \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

Following the notation of [8], one computes

$$(103) \quad \tilde{\eta} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \text{Tr}_s \left([\nabla, D_{\nabla E}] D_{\nabla E} e^{-u D_{\nabla E}^2} \right) du.$$

On the other hand,

$$(104) \quad \nabla^{\text{DET}} = \nabla^{L^2} + \frac{1}{4} d(\ln \det'(D_{\nabla E}^2)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \text{Tr}_s \left([\nabla, D_{\nabla E}] D_{\nabla E} e^{-u D_{\nabla E}^2} \right) du.$$

Thus

$$(105) \quad -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \text{hol}(\nabla^{\text{DET}}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \text{hol}(\nabla^{L^2}) + \int_{S^1} \tilde{\eta} \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

The proposition follows. \square

The fact that $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E})$ is now a consequence of the holonomy theorem for ∇^{DET} [9, Theorem 3.16]. Proposition 8 remains true if Assumption 1 is not satisfied.

7. ODD-DIMENSIONAL FIBERS

Let $Z \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a smooth fiber bundle with compact base B , whose fiber Z is odd-dimensional and closed. Suppose that the vertical tangent bundle TZ has a spin^c -structure. As before, there is a topological index map

$$(106) \quad \text{ind}_{top} : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^0(B).$$

One can define a Chern character $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}} : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^0(B) \rightarrow H^{even}(B; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q})$, and one has

$$(107) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E})) = \int_Z \widehat{A}(TZ) \cup e^{\frac{c_1(L_Z)}{2}} \cup \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Let \mathcal{E} be a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$. Due to well-known difficulties in constructing analytic indices in the odd-dimensional case, we will not try to define an analytic index $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) \in K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^0(B)$, but will instead say what its Chern character should be. Let g^{TZ} be a positive-definite metric on TZ and let ∇^{L_Z} be a Hermitian connection on L_Z . For simplicity, suppose that Assumption 1 is satisfied. Give M a horizontal distribution $T^H M$. Let $\tilde{\eta} \in \Omega^{even}(B)/\text{im}(d)$ be the difference of the eta-forms associated to (E_+, ∇^{E_+}) and (E_-, ∇^{E_-}) . We have [8, 10]

$$(108) \quad d\tilde{\eta} = \int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_Z})}{2}} \wedge \text{ch}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\nabla^E).$$

It follows from (108) that $\tilde{\eta} - \int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_Z})}{2}} \wedge \omega$ is an element of $H^{even}(B; \mathbf{R})$.

DEFINITION 15. The Chern character of the analytic index, $\text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\text{ind}_{an})$, is the image of $\tilde{\eta} - \int_Z \widehat{A}(\nabla^{TZ}) \wedge e^{\frac{c_1(\nabla^{L_Z})}{2}} \wedge \omega$ in $H^{even}(B; \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q})$.

Making minor modifications to the proof of Corollary 2 gives

Proposition 9. *If the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle \mathcal{E} for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$ satisfies Assumption 1 then*

$$(109) \quad \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})) = \int_Z \widehat{A}(TZ) \cup e^{\frac{c_1(LZ)}{2}} \cup \text{ch}_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Consider now the special case when B is a point. There is an isomorphism $i : K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^0(\text{pt.}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$. Let \mathcal{E} be a \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded cocycle for $K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^{-1}(M)$. Using the Dirac operator corresponding to the fundamental K -homology class of M , define the analytic index $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) \in K_{\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}}^0(\text{pt.})$ of \mathcal{E} by

$$(110) \quad i(\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E})) = \bar{\eta}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Proposition 3 implies that $\text{ind}_{an}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ind}_{top}(\mathcal{E})$.

REFERENCES

1. Atiyah, M. and Hirzebruch, F., *The Riemann-Roch Theorem for Analytic Embeddings*, *Topology* **1** (1962), 151–166.
2. Atiyah, M., Patodi, V. and Singer, I., *Spectral Asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry II*, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **78** (1975), 405–432.
3. Atiyah, M., Patodi, V. and Singer, I., *Spectral Asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry III*, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **79** (1976), 71–99.
4. Atiyah, M. and Singer, I., *The Index of Elliptic Operators IV*, *Ann. Math.* **93** (1971), 119–138.
5. Baum, P. and Douglas, R., *K-Homology and Index Theory*, in *Operator Algebras and Applications I*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **38** (1982), ed. R. Kadison, AMS, Providence, 117–173.
6. Berline, N., Getzler, E. and Vergne, M., *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1992.
7. Bismut, J.-M., *The Index Theorem for Families of Dirac Operators: Two Heat Equation Proofs*, *Invent. Math.* **83** (1986), 91–151.
8. Bismut, J.-M. and Cheeger, J., *η -Invariants and Their Adiabatic Limits*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989), 33–70.
9. Bismut, J.-M. and Freed, D., *The Analysis of Elliptic Families II*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **107** (1986), 103–163.
10. Dai, X., *Adiabatic Limits, Non-Multiplicativity of Signature and Leray Spectral Sequence*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1991), 265–321.
11. Dyer, E., *Cohomology Theories*, Benjamin, New York 1969.
12. Gajer, P., *Concordances of Metrics of Positive Scalar Curvature*, *Pac. J. of Math.* **157** (1993), 257–268.
13. Gilkey, P., *The Residue of the Global Eta Function at the Origin*, *Adv. Math.* **40** (1981), 290–307.
14. Higson, N., to appear.

15. Jones, J. and Westbury, B., *Algebraic K-Theory, Homology Spheres and the η -Invariant*, Warwick preprint 1993.
16. Karoubi, M., *Homologie Cyclique et K-Théorie*, Astérisque **149** (1987).
17. Karoubi, M., *Théorie Générale des Classes Caractéristiques Secondaires*, *K-Theory* **4** (1990), 55–87.
18. Karoubi, M., *K-Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1978.
19. Kasparov, G., *Equivariant KK-Theory and the Novikov Conjecture*, *Inven. Math.* **91** (1988), 147–201.
20. Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K., *Foundations of Differential Geometry I*, Interscience, New York 1963.
21. Mischenko, A. and Fomenko, A., *The Index of Elliptic Operators over C^* -Algebras*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **43** (1979), 831–859.
22. Melrose, R. and Piazza, P., *Families of Dirac Operators, Boundaries and the b -Calculus*, to appear.
23. Pekonen, O., *Invariants Secondaires des Fibrés Plats*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I*, t. **304** (1987), 13–14.
24. Quillen, D., *Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann Operators over a Riemann Surface*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **19** (1985), 31–34.
25. Quillen, D., *Superconnections and the Chern character*, *Topology* **24** (1985), 89–95.
26. Rosenberg, J., *C^* -Algebras, Positive Scalar Curvature and the Novikov Conjecture*, *Publ. Math. IHES* **58** (1983), 197–212.
27. Stolz, S., to appear.
28. Weinberger, S., *Homotopy Invariance of η -Invariants*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **85** (1988), 5362–5363.
29. Weinberger, S., *The Topological Classification of Stratified Spaces*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, to appear.
30. Yosimura, Z., *Universal Coefficient Sequences for Cohomology Theories of CW-Spectra*, *Osaka J. Math.* **12** (1975), 305–323.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, U. S. A.
E-mail address: lottmath.lsa.umich.edu

RECEIVED DECEMBER 16, 1993.