Multi-point virtual structure constants and mirror computation of $CP^2$-model
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In this paper, we propose a geometrical approach to mirror computation of genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariants of $CP^2$. We use multi-point virtual structure constants, which are defined as intersection numbers of a compact moduli space of quasi-maps from $CP^1$ to $CP^2$ with $2 + n$ marked points. We conjecture that some generating functions of them produce mirror map and the others are translated into generating functions of Gromov–Witten invariants via the mirror map. We generalize this formalism to open string case. In this case, we have to introduce infinite number of deformation parameters to obtain results that agree with some known results of open Gromov–Witten invariants of $CP^2$. We also apply multi-point virtual structure constants to compute closed and open Gromov–Witten invariants of a non-nef hypersurface in projective space. This application simplifies the computational process of generalized mirror transformation.

1. Introduction

Mirror computation of the genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariants of $CP^n$ has been investigated by several authors [2, 8]. They were motivated by the Landau–Ginzburg potential proposed by Eguchi et al. in [5]:

$$x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n + \frac{e^t}{x_1 x_2 \ldots x_n}.$$  

In [2], Barannikov introduced a pair $(X, f)$,

$$X = \{x_0 x_1, \ldots, x_n = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \quad f : X \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f = x_0 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n,$$
and considered a potential function,

\[ \hat{F}(x; t) = f + \sum_{m=0}^{n} t^m \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_i \right)^m, \]

and oscillating integrals,

\[ \varphi_k(t, \hbar) = \int_{\Delta_k} \exp \left( \frac{\hat{F}(x; t)}{\hbar} \right) \frac{dx_0 dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{d(x_0 \cdots x_n)}, \]

where \( \Delta_k \) is some appropriate relative \( n \)-cycle of \( X \). He next introduced functions \( \psi_k \) satisfying the following normalization condition:

\[ \psi_k(t, \hbar) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} u_m(t, \hbar) \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \hbar^m}(0, \hbar), \]

and having good asymptotic behavior in \( \hbar \). Here, \( u_m(t, \hbar) = \delta_{m0} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\hbar)^j} u_m^{(-j)}(t) \). The main result of [2] is given as follows. If one makes a change of parameters \( y^m = u_m^{(-1)}(t) \), then Picard–Fuchs equation for \( \psi_k \)'s takes the form:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi_k}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{m=0}^{n} A_{ij}^m(y) \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial y^m}, \]

and

\[ A_{ij}^{n-m}(y) = \partial_i \partial_j \partial_m F_{CP^n}(y), \]

where \( F_{CP^n}(y) \) is the generating function of genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariants of \( CP^n \). His method is fundamentally the same as use of Birkhoff factorization to construct connection matrices of quantum cohomology from Picard Fuchs equation including \( \hbar \) [7,8]. In [8], Iritani pointed out this fact and reformulated Barannikov’s result in terms of extended \( I \)-function of \( CP^n \) (H. Iritani, 2013, private communication).

In this paper, we propose a geometric approach to mirror computation of genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariants of \( CP^2 \) by extending our previous results in [11]. In [11], we proposed a general conjecture that for a toric manifold \( X \), we can construct a compact moduli space of quasi-maps from \( CP^1 \) to \( X \) of degree \( d \) with two marked points, which we denote by \( \tilde{MP}_{0,2}(X, d) \). We also conjectured that an intersection number \( w(\mathcal{O}_a \mathcal{O}_\beta)_{0,d} \) of \( \tilde{MP}_{0,2}(X, d) \), which
is defined as an analog of the genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariant $\langle O_\alpha O_\beta \rangle_{0,d}$ of $X$, gives us the data of the B-model of mirror computation. Roughly speaking, $\tilde{M}_{p_0,2}(X,d)$ is a compactification of quotient of the moduli space of “polynomial loops” [6] divided by the $C^\times$ action on $CP^1$ that fixes 0 and $\infty$ in $CP^1$. Especially, $w(O_1 O_\beta)_{0,d}$ gives us the information of the mirror map by the following correspondence.

$$t^\alpha = \eta^{\alpha \beta} \left( \sum_d w(O_1 O_\beta)_{0,d} e^{d \cdot x} \right),$$

$$= x^\alpha + \eta^{\alpha \beta} \left( \sum_{d \neq 0} w(O_1 O_\beta)_{0,d} e^{d \cdot x} \right).$$

In the above formula, $\eta^{\alpha \beta}$ is the inverse of the classical intersection matrix $\eta_{\alpha \beta} = \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta$ and $d \cdot x = \sum \gamma d_\gamma x^\gamma$, where $\gamma$ runs through additive generators of $H^{1,1}(X)$. The subscript $\alpha$ in (1.8) is not restricted to $H^{1,1}(X)$ and can vary at least the range of the sub-ring of $H^{*,*}(X)$ multiplicatively generated by $H^{1,1}(X)$. But the deformation parameters $x^\gamma$ are restricted to $H^{1,1}(X)$. This restriction comes from the fact that the intersection number $w(O_\alpha O_\beta)_{0,d}$ is a two point correlation function. In order to include deformation parameters that couple to cohomology elements other than $H^{1,1}(X)$, we have to define $2+n$ point correlation functions. This task forces us to construct a compact moduli space of quasi-maps with $2+n$ marked points. In constructing $\tilde{M}_{p_0,2}(N,d) := \tilde{M}_{p_0,2}(CP^{N-1},d)$ in [11], the number two is special because it is based on geometric invariant theory of $C^\times$ action on $CP^1$, that keeps 0 and $\infty$ in $CP^1$ fixed. On the other hand, Alexeev and Michael Guy considered various compactification of the moduli space of (complex structure of) $CP^1$ with marked points in [1]. In their examples, there exists a compactification that corresponds to $C^\times$ geometric invariant theory. We denote this moduli space with 2+n marked points by $\overline{M}_{0,2+n}$ because the first two marked points 0 and $\infty$ are special. Main difference from the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,2+n}$, which is compactified by $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ geometric invariant theory, is given as follows. In the open stratum of $\overline{M}_{0,2|n}$, the $n$ marked points are distinct from 0 and $\infty$, but they can coincide with each other in $C^\times = CP^1 - \{0, \infty\}$. Boundaries of $\overline{M}_{0,2|n}$ consist of stable curves of chain shape, whose component

---

1Recently, geometric reconstruction of the mirror map by using $\tilde{M}_{p_0,2}(X,d)$ was also found from the point of view of Givental’s $J$-function by Cooper and Zinger [4], and Ciocan–Fontanine and Kim [3].
$CP^1$'s are connected at 0 and $\infty$. The boundary structure of $\overline{M}_{0,2}(N, d)$ given in [11] is the same as the one of $\overline{M}_{0,2}|n$. In this paper, we construct $\overline{M}_{0,2}|n(N, d)$, the compact moduli space of quasi-maps from $CP^1$ to $CP^{N-1}$ with $2 + n$ marked points, by combining the construction processes of $\overline{M}_{0,2}(N, d)$ with the one of $\overline{M}_{0,2}|n$. We can then define a intersection number $w((\mathcal{O}_{h^*}\mathcal{O}_{h^*})| \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_j}})_{0,d}$, which is an analog of the genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariant $\langle \mathcal{O}_{n^*}\mathcal{O}_{h^*}\prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_j}} \rangle_{0,d}$. Here $h$ is the hyperplane class in $H^{*,*}(CP^{N-1})$. This intersection number satisfies the puncture axiom and the divisor axiom of the Gromov–Witten invariant with respect to the operator insertions that correspond to the latter $n$ marked points. Moreover, we can derive a closed formula of $w((\mathcal{O}_{n^*}\mathcal{O}_{h^*}\prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_j}})_{0,d}$ by applying the same localization technique as the one used in [11]. With this setup, we can generalize (1.8) in the $CP^{N-1}$ case, to include deformation parameters $x^j$ coupled to $h^j$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1$).

\begin{equation}
(1.9) \quad t^i(x^0, \ldots, x^{N-1})
= \eta^{ij} \left( \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_l \geq 0} w(\mathcal{O}_1 h^j) \prod_{l=0}^{N-1} (\mathcal{O}_{h^l})^{m_l}_{0,d} \prod_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{(x^l)^{m_l}}{m_l!} \right),
= x^i + \eta^{ij} \left( \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_l \geq 0} w(\mathcal{O}_1 h^j) \prod_{l=2}^{N-1} (\mathcal{O}_{h^l})^{m_l}_{0,d} dx^j \prod_{l=2}^{N-1} \frac{(x^l)^{m_l}}{m_l!} \right).
\end{equation}

Let $w((\mathcal{O}_{h^*}\mathcal{O}_{h^*}(x^0, x^1, \ldots, x^{N-1})| \prod_{l=0}^{N-1} (\mathcal{O}_{h^l})^{m_l})_{0,d}$. We conjecture that substitution of inversion of (1.9) into $w((\mathcal{O}_{h^*}\mathcal{O}_{h^*}(x^0, x^1, \ldots, x^{N-1}))_{0,d}$ results in $\langle \mathcal{O}_{h^*}\mathcal{O}_{h^*}(t^0, t^1, \ldots, t^{N-1}) \rangle_{0,d}$, the generating function of $\langle \mathcal{O}_{h^*}\mathcal{O}_{h^*}\prod_{l=0}^{N-1} (\mathcal{O}_{h^l})^{m_l} \rangle_{0,d}$. In this paper, we test numerically this conjecture in the $CP^2$ case. The result indeed supports our conjecture. At this stage, a natural question may occur. Does the mirror map constructed in (1.9) coincide with the mirror map obtained from the method of Barannikov and Iritani? In this paper, we compare our mirror map for $CP^2$-model with the mirror map derived from Iritani’s extended $I$-function. We find that they do not coincide. But, we cannot conclude only from this fact that our construction has no connection with the standard mirror computation of $CP^2$-model. According to Iritani (H. Iritani, private communication), there are infinitely many ways to choose a polynomial that
couple to the deformation parameter $x^2$. If we change the polynomial, the mirror map obtained from Birkhoff factorization may vary. Up to now, we have tested only one choice. Therefore, we cannot deny the possibility of appropriate choice that reproduces our mirror map.

Next, we apply the moduli space $\widetilde{MP}_{0,2|2n}(3, 2d - 1)$ to compute open Gromov–Witten invariants of $CP^2$. We define an anti-holomorphic involution of $\widetilde{MP}_{0,2|2n}(3, 2d - 1)$ that exchange the two special marked points. We denote by $MP_{D,1|n}(CP^2/RP^2, 2d - 1)$ the subset of $\widetilde{MP}_{0,2|2n}(3, 2d - 1)$ invariant under the involution. It is nothing but the moduli space of quasi-won on the closed string side. But it is possible since the closed formula for $(CP^2)_{\text{Gromov–Witten}}$ setup, we compute a intersection number $\langle O_w \rangle$ the generating function of $(CP^2)_{\text{one point open Gromov–Witten}}$ to $1 + n$ point Gromov–Witten invariants. With this construction, we can compute $w(O_{h^w} \prod_{j=0}^{m} (O_{h^w})_{\text{disk},2d-1})^d$ the generating function of $w(O_{h^w} \prod_{j=0}^{m} (O_{h^w})_{\text{disk},2d-1})^d$. Then our question is the following. If we substitute inversion of the mirror map given in (1.9) into $w(O_{h^w} \prod_{j=0}^{m} (O_{h^w})_{\text{disk},2d-1})^d$, can we obtain the generating function $\langle O_{h^w} \prod_{j=0}^{m} (O_{h^w})_{\text{disk},2d-1})^d$? The answer turns out to be “no”. The result so obtained did not reproduce $\langle O_{h^w} \prod_{j=0}^{m} (O_{h^w})_{\text{disk},2d-1})^d$ with lower $d$ that can be computed from localization theorem “naively” applied to the moduli space of stable maps for open Gromov–Witten invariants. After some try and errors, we found a way to remedy this disagreement. It is to introduce unnatural deformation parameters $t^j$ $(j = 3, 4, \ldots)$ that couple to $h^j$. Note that $h^j = 0$ $(j \geq 3)$ in $H^{*,*}(CP^2)$, but we can formally compute non-zero $w(O_{h^w} \prod_{j=0}^{m} (O_{h^w})_{\text{disk},2d-1})^d$ because the closed formula for this intersection number is represented in the form of a residue integral. In order to obtain the mirror map, we also have to compute $w(O_{h^w} \prod_{j=0}^{m} (O_{h^w})_{\text{disk},2d-1})^d$ with $a \leq -1$ on the closed string side. But it is possible since the closed formula for $w(O_{h^w} \prod_{j=0}^{m} (O_{h^w})_{\text{disk},2d-1})^d$ is also written in the form of a residue integral. After all, what we have obtained is the following table of open Gromov–Witten invariants $\langle (O_{h^w})^{3d-2} \rangle_{\text{disk,2d-1}}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\langle (O_{h^w})^{3d-2} \rangle_{\text{disk,2d-1}}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\frac{9}{4}$</td>
<td>3361</td>
<td>$\frac{5784805}{256}$</td>
<td>28104787833</td>
<td>$\frac{291021328876469}{16384}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The first four numbers coincide with the numerical results obtained from localization computation applied to the moduli space of stable maps for open Gromov–Witten invariants. Of course, our A-model computation of \(\langle (\mathcal{O}_h)^{3d-2}\rangle_{\text{disk},2d-1}\) up to the \(d = 4\) case is based on naive localization technique. Therefore, we have to say that the above results are quite speculative and need rigorous geometrical footing.

Our construction of multi-point intersection numbers for quasi-maps can be easily generalized to degree \(k\) hypersurface in \(CP^{N-1}\) (we denote it by \(M^k_N\)). In this case, we can use our new intersection numbers to simplify computational process of generalized mirror transformation [9, 10]. In the \(k > N\) case, generalized mirror transformation for two point Gromov–Witten invariants includes multi-point intersection numbers. But in [10], the intersection numbers we have as initial data are the ones that correspond to \(w(\mathcal{O}_h^a \mathcal{O}_h^b|_{\prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_h^{m_j}})_{0,d}\). Therefore, in order to obtain multi-point intersection numbers, we have to use associativity equation [14]. This process made the computation awfully complicated. In the open string case [12], this obstacle also made the mirror computation of open Gromov–Witten invariants of \(M^k_N\) (\(K > N\)) incomplete. Now that we have multi-point intersection numbers on the B-model side, we can execute the generalized mirror transformation only by one process of coordinate change. In this paper, we apply this idea to compute both closed and open Gromov–Witten invariants of \(M^0_N\). It works well as we expected. Especially in the open string case, we do not have to introduce unnatural deformation parameters in contrast to the \(CP^2\) case.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first construct \(\widetilde{M}_{p,q|n}(N,d)\), the compact moduli space of quasi-maps from \(CP^1\) to \(CP^{N-1}\) of degree \(d\) with \(2 + n\) marked points. After giving definition of the intersection number \(w(\mathcal{O}_h^a \mathcal{O}_h^b|\prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_h^{m_j})_{0,d}\), we derive a closed formula for it by using localization technique. Next, we present numerical results of these intersection numbers for \(CP^2\) and test our conjecture on the mirror computation of \(CP^2\)-model. In the last part of this section, we compare our mirror map with the one obtained from Iritani’s extended I-function. In Section 3, we construct the moduli space \(\widetilde{M}_{p,D,1|n}(CP^2/\mathbb{R}P^2,2d-1)\) and derive a closed formula for the intersection number \(w(\mathcal{O}_h^a|\prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_h^{m_j})_{\text{disk},2d-1}\) along the same line as Section 2. Next, we exhibit explicit numerical results of the mirror computation of open Gromov–Witten invariants of \(CP^2\)-model. In Section 4, we apply the formalism in Sections 2 and 3 to compute closed and open Gromov–Witten invariants of \(M^0_N\). We show that our new formalism simplifies the process of generalized mirror transformation in [10,12], by presenting explicit numerical data.
2. Closed string case

2.1. Construction of the moduli space \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d) \)

In this section, we construct \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d) \), the moduli space of quasi-maps from a certain class of semi-stable genus 0 curves to \( CP^{N-1} \) with \( 2 + n \) marked points. The semi-stable curve we use is a chain of several \( CP^1 \)'s, each of which is connected at 0 and \( \infty \). Let \( l \) be the number of \( CP^1 \)'s in the semi-stable curve. We represent here the stable curve as \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} (CP^1)_i \).

Intersection of \( (CP^1)_i \) and \( (CP^1)_{i+1} \) is given by \( (\infty)_i = (0)_{i+1} \). \( (0)_1 \) and \( (\infty)_l \) are the two special marked points of the semi-stable curve. A quasi-map \( \varphi \) from \( CP^1 \) to \( CP^{N-1} \) of degree \( d \) is defined by,

\[
\varphi(s : t) = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i s^i t^{d-i} \right], \quad (a_i \in \mathbb{C}^N),
\]

where \( s \) and \( t \) are homogeneous coordinates \( (s : t) \) of \( CP^1 \). \([*]\) denotes equivalence class of \( * \) under projective equivalence of \( CP^{N-1} \). Our construction is based on construction of \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d) \), the moduli space of quasi-maps from the above semi-stable curve to \( CP^{N-1} \) with two marked points. Its construction was given in our previous work [11]. In constructing \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d) \), we considered a chain of quasi-maps \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \varphi_i \) to represent a quasi-map from \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} (CP^1)_i \) to \( CP^{N-1} \). The chain \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \varphi_i \) is classified by ordered partition \( (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l) \) of \( d \) where \( d_i \) is degree of \( \varphi_i \) and it was used to compactify the moduli space. Then what we have to do in addition to construct \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d) \) is to distribute \( n \) marked points on the semi-stable curve \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} (CP^1)_i \). But there occurs one subtlety. We have to consider the case when some of the \( n \) marked points are located at \( (0)_i \) or \( (\infty)_i \). To describe this situation, we need to insert \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n} \), the moduli space of complex structure of \( CP^1 \) with \( 2 + n \) marked points compactified by \( C^\times \) geometric invariant theory, to these points.

Now let us begin construction of \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d) \). As the first step, we define \( M_{0,2|n}(N, d) \), which is Zariski-open subset (or bulk part) of \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n} \). It is defined as follows:

\[
M_{0,2|n}(N, d) := \{ ((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d), (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{C}^N, \ a_0, a_d \neq 0, \ z_j \in C^\times \}/(C^\times)^2.
\]

In this definition, the first two marked points that correspond to 2 in the subscript \( 2|n \) are 0 and \( \infty \) in \( CP^1 \). We note here that \( z_j \)'s need not be distinct,
points in $\mathbb{C}^\times = CP^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ when $n > 1$. We define here the $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^2$ action in (2.11). Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2$. Then it is given by,

\begin{equation}
\lambda \cdot ((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d), (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)) = ((\lambda a_0, \lambda a_1, \ldots, \lambda a_d), (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)), \\
\mu \cdot ((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d), (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)) = ((a_0, \mu a_1, \ldots, \mu^i a_i, \ldots, \mu^d a_d), \\
(\mu^{-1} z_1, \mu^{-1} z_2, \ldots, \mu^{-1} z_n)).
\end{equation}

(2.12)

The first two marked points are (0 : 1) and (1 : 0) and the remaining $n$ marked points are given by $z_j = \frac{s_j}{t_j}$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$), respectively. The condition $a_0, a_d \neq 0$ guarantees that images $\varphi(0 : 1)$ and $\varphi(1 : 0)$ are well-defined in $CP^{N-1}$. The first $\mathbb{C}^\times$ action in (2.12) corresponds to projective equivalence of $CP^{N-1}$ and the second one corresponds to automorphism group of $CP^1$ that fixes the first two marked points.

When we consider the moduli space of quasi-maps with marked points, it is important to consider evaluation maps. For the first two marked points, we define evaluation maps $ev_0$ and $ev_\infty$ as follows:

\begin{equation}
ev_{0}([[((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d), (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n))]]) := [a_0], \\
ev_{\infty}([[((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d), (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n))]]) := [a_d],
\end{equation}

(2.13)

where $[\ast]$’s in the l.h.s.’s denote the equivalence classes under the $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^2$ action. To define evaluation maps $ev_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) that come from evaluation of a quasi-map at $z_i$, we have to take care of a subtlety arising from “freckled instantons”. A freckled instanton is a quasi-map $\varphi(s : t)$ whose defining vector valued polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i s^i t^{d-i}$ is factored as follows:

\begin{equation}
\sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i s^i t^{d-i} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} (s - \alpha_i t)\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=0}^{d-m} b_j s^j t^{d-m-j}\right) \quad (m \geq 1, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times).
\end{equation}

(2.14)

In (2.14), $\sum_{j=0}^{d-m} b_j s^j t^{d-m-j}$ is an irreducible vector valued polynomial. We cannot define image of $\varphi$ at $(\alpha_1 : 1)$ because $\sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i (\alpha_1)^i = 0$. But images of the other points in $CP^1$ are given by $[\sum_{j=0}^{d-m} b_j s^j t^{d-m-j}]$. Therefore, we define $ev_i$ as follows:

\begin{equation}
ev_{i}([[((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d), (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n))]]) := \left[\sum_{j=0}^{d-m} b_j (z_i)^j\right].
\end{equation}

(2.15)
If $\sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i s^{d-i}$ is irreducible, we define,

\begin{equation}
(2.16) \quad ev_i([[(a_0, a_1), \ldots, a_d], (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)]) := \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{d} a_j (z_i)^j \right].
\end{equation}

As was suggested in the construction of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{p_0,2}(N, d)$ in [11], we can easily see that $\mathcal{M}_{p_0,2}(N, d)$ is not a compact space. Therefore, we compactify it by adding boundary strata. We start this process by taking $\mathcal{M}_{0,2|n}(N, 1)$ as an example. In this case, it is obtained by taking $(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})^2$ quotients of the set \{((a_0, a_1), (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)) | a_0, a_1 \neq 0, z_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times \}$. Using the $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^2$ action, we can see,

\begin{equation}
(2.17) \quad M_{p_0,2|n}(N, 1) = \{([[a_0], [a_1]], (z_1, \ldots, z_n)) | z_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times \} = CP^{N-1} \times CP^{N-1} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n.
\end{equation}

Therefore, it is not compact. To compactify this space, we have to add boundaries to describe the situation that some $z_i$’s go to 0 or $\infty$ in $CP^1$. For this purpose, we introduce $\overline{M}_{0,2|n}$, the moduli space of complex structure of $CP^1$ with $n$ marked points compactified by stable curves of chain shape. To construct $\overline{M}_{0,2|n}$, we start from an open stratum $M_{0,2|n}$ defined by,

\begin{equation}
(2.18) \quad M_{0,2|n} := \{(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) | z_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times (= CP^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\})\}/\mathbb{C}^\times,
\end{equation}

where $\mathbb{C}^\times$ action is given by $\mu \cdot (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) := (\mu z_1, \mu z_2, \ldots, \mu z_n)$. The two marked points that correspond to 2 in the subscript $2|n$ are 0 and $\infty$.

We can easily see that $M_{0,2|1}$ is just an one-point set. Then we decompose the subscript set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ into disjoint union of ordered $l$ subsets ($1 \leq l \leq n$):

\begin{equation}
(2.19) \quad \prod_{j=1}^{l} A_j = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, \quad A_i \neq \emptyset.
\end{equation}

With this setup, $\overline{M}_{0,2|n}$ is given by a disjoint union of strata as follows:

\begin{equation}
(2.20) \quad \overline{M}_{0,2|n} = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{l} A_j = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \quad \prod_{j=1}^{l} (M_{0,2|A_1} \times M_{0,2|A_2} \times \cdots \times M_{0,2|A_l}).
\end{equation}

In (2.20), a point in the stratum labeled by $\prod_{j=1}^{l} A_j$ corresponds to the stable curve $\cup_{i=1}^{l} (CP^1)_i$, where $(CP^1)_i$ has $|A_i|$ marked points $(z_j)_i \ (j \in A_i)$
other than 0 and $\infty$. With this stable curve in mind, how to glue these strata is obvious. Next, we introduce a compact space $\overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, 0)$ given by,

$$
\overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, 0) := CP^{N-1} \times \overline{M}_{0,2|n}.
$$

For brevity, we also introduce an auxiliary space $\overline{M}_{0,2|0}(N, 0) := CP^{N-1}$. We then turn back to compactification of $M_{0,2|n}(N, 1)$. We decompose the subscript set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ into disjoint union of three subsets:

$$
A_0 \bigsqcup B_1 \bigsqcup A_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}.
$$

In (2.22), each subset can be an empty set. Then $\overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, 1)$, the compactification of $M_{0,2|n}(N, 1)$ is given as follows:

$$
\overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, 1) = \prod_{A_0 \bigsqcup B_1 \bigsqcup A_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}} \left( \overline{M}_{0,2|A_0}(N, 0) \times_{CP^{N-1}} M_{0,2|B_1}(N, 1) \times_{CP^{N-1}} \overline{M}_{0,2|A_1}(N, 0) \right).
$$

In (2.23), the stratum labeled by $A_0 \bigsqcup B_1 \bigsqcup A_1$ corresponds to the configuration of marked points where $z_j$ ($j \in A_0$) (resp. $z_j$ ($j \in A_1$)) goes to 0 (resp. $\infty$). $\overline{M}_{0,2|A_0}(N, 0) \times_{CP^{N-1}} M_{0,2|B_1}(N, 1)$ is a fiber product with respect to the projection $\pi : \overline{M}_{0,2|A_0}(N, 0) \to CP^{N-1}$ and $ev_0 : M_{0,2|B_1}(N, 1) \to CP^{N-1}$. $M_{0,2|B_1}(N, 1) \times_{CP^{N-1}} \overline{M}_{0,2|A_1}(N, 0)$ is also a fiber product with respect to $ev_\infty : M_{0,2|B_1}(N, 1) \to CP^{N-1}$ and the projection $\pi : \overline{M}_{0,2|A_1}(N, 0) \to CP^{N-1}$.

We now turn into construction of $\overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d)$. For this purpose, we look back at the construction of $\overline{M}_{0,2}(N, d)$, i.e., the $n = 0$ case. In this case, we introduce ordered partition of the degree $d$:

$$
OP_d := \{(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t) | d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_t = d, d_j \geq 1, 1 \leq l \leq d\}.
$$

In [11], $\overline{M}_{0,2}(N, d)$ was constructed as follows:

$$
\overline{M}_{0,2}(N, d) := \prod_{(d_1, \ldots, d_t) \in OP_d} \left( M_{0,2}(N, d_1) \times_{CP^{N-1}} M_{0,2}(N, d_2) \times_{CP^{N-1}} \cdots \times_{CP^{N-1}} M_{0,2}(N, d_t) \right),
$$
where \( Mp_{0,2}(N, d) \) is the space \( Mp_{0,2}(N, d) \) defined in (2.11). In (2.25), \( Mp_{0,2}(N, d_i) \times_{CP^{N-1}} Mp_{0,2}(N, d_{i+1}) \) \((1 \leq i \leq l - 1)\) is a fiber product with respect to \( ev_\infty : Mp_{0,2}(N, d_i) \to CP^{N-1} \) and \( ev_0 : Mp_{0,2}(N, d_{i+1}) \to CP^{N-1} \). A point in the stratum labeled by \((d_1, \ldots, d_l)\) is a chain of quasi-maps \( \cup_{i=1}^l \varphi_i \) where degree of \( \varphi_i \) is given by \( d_i \). Fiber products are used to guarantee \( \varphi((\infty)_i) = \varphi_{i+1}((0)_{i+1}) \). Construction of \( \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}[n](N, d) \) is done by combining (2.23) and (2.25). For an ordered partition \((d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l) \in OP_d\), we consider ordered decomposition of the subscript set \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \):

\[
(2.26) \quad \left( \prod_{i=0}^l A_i \right) \coprod \left( \prod_{i=1}^l B_i \right) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\},
\]

where \( A_i \) and \( B_j \) can be empty sets. Then \( \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}[n](N, d) \) is given as follows:

\[
(2.27) \quad \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}[n](N, d) := \prod_{(d_1, \ldots, d_l) \in OP_d} \prod_{(A_1, \ldots, A_l, B_1, \ldots, B_l) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}} \left( \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}|_{A_0}|(N, 0) \times \left. \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}|_{A_1}|(N, d_1) \right|_{CP^{N-1}} \times \left. \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}|_{A_2}|(N, 0) \times \left. \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}|_{A_3}|(N, d_2) \right|_{CP^{N-1}} \times \ldots \times \left. \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}|_{A_{l-1}}|(N, 0) \times \left. \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}|_{A_l}|(N, 0) \right|_{CP^{N-1}} \right). \]

In (2.27), \( Mp_{0,2}|_{B_1}|(N, d_i) \times_{CP^{N-1}} \widehat{Mp}_{0,2}|_{A_1}|(N, 0) \times_{CP^{N-1}} Mp_{0,2}|_{B_{i+1}}|(N, d_{i+1}) \) \((N, d_{i+1})\) means just

\[
Mp_{0,2}|_{B_1}|(N, d_i) \times_{CP^{N-1}} Mp_{0,2}|_{B_{i+1}}|(N, d_{i+1})
\]

if \( A_i = \emptyset \). Otherwise, it means

\[
\left( Mp_{0,2}|_{B_1}|(N, d_i) \times_{CP^{N-1}} Mp_{0,2}|_{B_{i+1}}|(N, d_{i+1}) \right) \times \overline{M}_{0,2}|_{A_i}.
\]

A point in the stratum in (2.27) labeled by \((d_1, \ldots, d_l)\) represents a chain of quasi-maps \( \varphi_i : (CP^1)_i \to CP^{N-1} \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, l)\) that satisfies \( \varphi_i((\infty)_i) = \)
\( \varphi_{i+1}((0)_{i+1}) \) \((1 \leq i \leq l - 1)\). If it is in the stratum labeled by \((\prod_{i=0}^{l} A_i) \prod (\prod_{i=1}^{l} B_i) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\), the marked point \(z_j\) \((j \in B_i)\) lies inside \(\mathbb{C}^\times \subset (CP^1)_i\) and the marked point \(z_j\) \((j \in A_i)\) are mapped to \(\varphi_i((\infty)_i) = \varphi_{i+1}((0)_{i+1}) (i = 1, \ldots, l - 1)\) (resp. \(\varphi_1((0)_1)\) if \(i = 0\) and \(\varphi_l((\infty)_l)\) if \(i = l\)). With this set-up, we can easily extend the definition of the evaluation maps \(ev_0, ev_\infty, ev_i \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) to whole \(\tilde{M}_{P_{0,2\mid n}}(N, d)\).

To summarize the construction done in this section, we present here a list of the moduli spaces for convenience of readers.

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{M}_{P_{0,2\mid n}}(N, d) & \quad \text{Compact moduli space of quasi-maps of degree } d \text{ from a chain class of semi-stable genus 0 curves to } CP^{N-1} \text{ with } 2 + n \text{ marked points} \\
M_{P_{0,2\mid n}}(N, d) & \quad \text{Zariski open subset of } \tilde{M}_{P_{0,2\mid n}}(N, d) \\
M_{0,2\mid n} & \quad \text{Open stratum of } n \text{ marked points in } CP^1 \text{ with } \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ action} \\
\tilde{M}_{0,2\mid n} & \quad \text{Moduli space of complex structure of } CP^1 \text{ with } n \text{ marked points compactified by stable curves of chain type}
\end{align*}
\]

### 2.2. Localization computation

In this section, we compute an intersection number \(w(O_h^* O_h^b | \prod_{j=1}^{n} O_{h^{m_j}})_0,d\) on \(\tilde{M}_{P_{0,2\mid n}}(N, d)\) by using localization technique developed in [11]. Here, \(h\) is the hyperplane class in \(H^*(CP^{N-1})\). It is defined as follows:

**Definition 1.**

\[(2.28)\]

\[
w \left( O_h^* O_h^b | \prod_{j=1}^{n} O_{h^{m_j}} \right)_{0,d} := \int_{\tilde{M}_{P_{0,2\mid n}}(N, d)} ev_0^* (h^a) \cdot ev_\infty^* (h^b) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n} ev_j^* (h^{m_j}),
\]

where \(\cdot\) is the product of the cohomology ring \(H^*(\tilde{M}_{P_{0,2\mid n}}(N, d))\).
Our purpose in this section is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.**

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d+1}} \int_{\mathcal{E}_0} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0)^N} \int_{\mathcal{E}_1} \frac{dz_1}{(z_1)^N} \cdots \\
\times \int_{\mathcal{E}_d} \frac{dz_d}{(z_d)^N} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{(2z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1})} \right) \\
\times (z_d)^b \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_m^i (z_{j-1}, z_j) \right), \quad (d > 0).
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( w_m^i(z, w) = \frac{z^m - w^m}{z - w} \) and \( \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathcal{E}_j} dz_j \) means the operation of taking residues at \( z_j = 0 \) and \( z_j = \frac{z_{j-1} + z_{j+1}}{2} \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, l - 1 \) (resp. \( z_j = 0 \) for \( j = 0, d \)).

**Proof.** We prove the theorem by using localization computation. We introduce a \( \mathbb{C}^\times \) action on \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d) \) to apply localization technique. First, we define it on the bulk stratum \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d) \).

\[
\begin{aligned}
(e^t) \cdot [(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d), (z_1, \ldots, z_n)] := & \quad \left[ (e^{\lambda_0 t}a_0, e^{\lambda_1 t}a_1, \ldots, e^{\lambda_d t}a_d), \\
& (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \right], \quad (t, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}),
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \lambda_i (i = 0, 1, \ldots, d) \) are the characters of \( \mathbb{C}^\times \) action. The \( \mathbb{C}^\times \) action acts only on parameters of quasi-maps. The part of \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N, d) \) that describe parameters of quasi-maps is the same as \( \overline{M}_{0,2}(N, d) \) whose boundary structure is given by ordered partition \( (d_1, \ldots, d_l) \in OP_d \). In [11], we gave toric construction of \( \overline{M}_{0,2}(N, d) \) by introducing boundary divisor coordinates \( u_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, d - 1) \). A point in \( \overline{M}_{0,2}(N, d) \) was described by

\[
[(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d, u_1, \ldots, u_{d-1})],
\]

where \([*] \) represents equivalence class under the \( (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{d+1} \) action used in the toric construction. See [11] for details. In [11], we used fundamentally the
same $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action as (2.30), that acts on $\widetilde{M}_{0,2}(N,d)$. It was defined by,

$$
(\epsilon^t) \cdot [(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d, u_1, \ldots, u_{d-1})] := [(e^{\lambda_0 t} a_0, e^{\lambda_1 t} a_1, \ldots, e^{\lambda_d t} a_d, u_1, \ldots, u_{d-1})].
$$

Note that (2.31) is defined on the whole strata of $\widetilde{M}_{0,2}(N,d)$. Since the part of $\widetilde{M}_{0,2}(N,d)$ describing parameters of quasi-map is the same as $\widetilde{M}_{0,2}(N,d)$, we can extend the $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action given by (2.30) to whole $\widetilde{M}_{0,2}(N,d)$.

Next, we determine fixed point sets of $\widetilde{M}_{0,2}(N,d)$ under the above $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action. We consider first the stratum $M_{0,2}(N,d)$. Since $\ast$ in (2.30) represents equivalence class under ($\mathbf{C}^\times$)$_2$ action, we can trivialize this action on $a_0$ and $a_d$ by regarding them as $[a_0], [a_d] \in \mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$. After this trivialization, the $\mathbf{C}^\times$ action in (2.30) is rewritten as follows:

$$(e^t) \cdot \left( ([a_0], [a_1], \ldots, [a_d]), (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \right)$$

$$= \left( ([a_0], e^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0) \frac{\lambda_d - \lambda_0}{d}} a_1, \ldots, e^{(\lambda_{d-1} - \lambda_0) \frac{\lambda_d - \lambda_0}{d}} a_{d-1}, [a_d]),
\left( e^{\frac{\lambda_d - \lambda_0}{d}} z_1, \ldots, e^{\frac{\lambda_d - \lambda_0}{d}} z_n \right) \right).$$

Therefore, fixed points appear only if $n = 0$ and they are given by,

$$(([a_0], 0, \ldots, 0, [a_d])).$$

Even after the trivialization, we still have remaining $\mathbb{Z}_d$ action:

$$\zeta \cdot \left( ([a_0], [a_1], \ldots, [a_{d-1}], [a_d]), (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \right)$$

$$= \left( ([a_0], \zeta a_1, \ldots, \zeta^{d-1} a_{d-1}, [a_d]), (\zeta^{-1} z_1, \ldots, \zeta^{-1} z_n) \right),$$

$$\left( \zeta = \exp \left( \frac{2\pi \sqrt{-1}}{d} \right) \right).$$

Hence, the fixed points in (2.33) are $\mathbb{Z}_d$ orbifold singularities in $\widetilde{M}_{0,2}(N,d)$. (2.33) also tells us that the fixed point set of $\widetilde{M}_{0,2}(N,d)$ is given by $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1} \times \mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$. Now, we can determine the fixed point set in the stratum labeled by $(d_1, \ldots, d_l)$ and $\left( \coprod_{i=0}^l A_i \right) \coprod \left( \coprod_{i=1}^l B_i \right) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. We have non-empty fixed point set only if $B_i = \emptyset$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$). If this condition is
satisfied, the fixed point set of the stratum is given by \( \prod_{i=0}^{l}(CP^{N-1})_i \times \prod_{i=0}^{l} \overline{M}_{0,2||A_i|} \) (if \( A_i = \emptyset \), we do not include \( \overline{M}_{0,2||A_i|} \) in the product). Here, the first factor represents a chain of quasi-maps:

\[
(2.35) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} [a_{\sum_{j=1}^{i} d_j} (s_i)^{d_i} + a_{\sum_{j=1}^{i} d_j} (t_i)^{d_i}],
\]

and the second factor describes degrees of freedom of marked points that are mapped to \( [a_{\sum_{j=1}^{i} d_j}] \) \((i = 0, 1, \ldots, l)\). This fixed point set is also a set of orbifold singularities on which \( \prod_{j=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z}_{d_j} \) acts.

We have determined the fixed point sets of \( \overline{M}_{0,2|n}(N,d) \) under the \( C^x \) action of \( (2.30) \). To proceed the localization technique, we analyze contributions from normal bundle of the fixed point set labeled by \( (d_1, \ldots, d_l) \) and \( \prod_{i=0}^{l} A_i = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \), to localized integrand. For brevity, we introduce another notation of an ordered partition \( (d_1, \ldots, d_l) \):

\[
(2.36) \quad 0 = f_0 < f_1 < f_2 < \cdots < f_{l-1} < f_l = d, \quad f_j - f_{j-1} = d_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots, l).
\]

In the following, we denote by \( h_f \) the hyperplane class of \( (CP^{N-1})_i \) in \( \prod_{i=0}^{l}(CP^{N-1})_i \times \prod_{i=0}^{l} \overline{M}_{0,2||A_i|} \).

We first compute contribution from \( M_{0,2|0}(N,f_j - f_{j-1}) \) in \( (2.27) \). By fixing the ambiguity coming from \( (C^x)^2 \) action, we can represent \( M_{0,2|0}(N,f_j - f_{j-1}) \) in the following form:

\[
(2.37) \quad M_{0,2|0}(N,f_j - f_{j-1}) = \{([a_{f_{j-1}}, y_{f_{j-1}}, y_{f_{j-1}+2}, \ldots, y_{f_{j-1}}], [a_{f_{j-1}}], [a_{f_j}] \in CP^{N-1}, \ y_j \in C^N) / \mathbb{Z}_{f_j - f_{j-1}} \}.
\]

Therefore, normal bundle is given by \( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l} f^{f_j - f_{j-1} - 1} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l} \overline{O}_{(CP^{N-1})_{j-1}} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l} \overline{O}_{(CP^{N-1})_j} \), as we have discussed in [11], \( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{f_j - f_{j-1}}} \) is isomorphic to \( \overline{O}_{(CP^{N-1})_{j-1}}(f_j - f_{j-1}) \), \( \overline{O}_{(CP^{N-1})_{j-1}} \), \quad \overline{O}_{(CP^{N-1})_j} \) as an orbi-bundle on \( M_{0,2|0}(N,f_j - f_{j-1}) \). Hence, its first Chern class is given by,

\[
(2.38) \quad \left( \frac{f_j - f_{j-1} - i}{f_j - f_{j-1}} \right) h_{f_{j-1}} + \left( \frac{i}{f_j - f_{j-1}} \right) h_f.
\]
According to [11], its character of the $C^x$ action is,

\begin{equation}
(2.39) \quad \left( \frac{f_j - f_{j-1} - i}{f_j - f_{j-1}} \right) \lambda_{f_{j-1}} + \left( \frac{i}{f_j - f_{j-1}} \right) \lambda_{f_j} - \lambda_{f_{j-1}+i}.
\end{equation}

These results lead us to the following contribution to the localized integrand:

\begin{equation}
(2.40) \quad \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{f_{j-1}-1} \left( \frac{f_j - f_{j-1} - i}{f_j - f_{j-1}} \right) \left( h_{j-1} + \lambda_{f_{j-1}} \right) + \left( \frac{i}{f_j - f_{j-1}} \right) \left( h_j + \lambda_{f_j} - \lambda_{f_{j-1}+1} \right)^N}
\end{equation}

If $f_j - f_{j-1} = 1$, we have no degrees of freedom that correspond to $y_j$ in (2.37). Therefore, the above factor turns out to be 1 in this case.

Next, we compute contribution from $\mathcal{M}_{0,2||A_i|}$, $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, l-1)$. If $A_i = \emptyset$, the contribution comes from smoothing nodal singularity $[a_{f_j}]$. This factor in the normal bundle is identified with $d(d(s_{i+1}) \otimes d(t_{i+1}))$ and its equivariant first Chern class is $h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i-1}} - \lambda_{f_{i-1}}$. Therefore, the contribution to the localized integrand is given as follows:

\begin{equation}
(2.41) \quad \frac{1}{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i-1}} - \lambda_{f_{i-1}}}.
\end{equation}

If $A_i \neq \emptyset$, we have a non-trivial stable curve that corresponds to a point in $\mathcal{M}_{0,2||A_i|}$. The factors in the normal bundle coming from these components are degrees of freedom of smoothing nodal singularities that connect the stable curve with $[a_{f_i}(s_i)]_{f_i-f_{i-1}} + [a_{f_i}(t_i)]_{f_i-f_{i-1}}$ and $[a_{f_{i+1}}(s_{i+1}]_{f_{i+1}-f_i} + [a_{f_{i+1}}(t_{i+1})]_{f_{i+1}-f_i}$. Let $C_i$ be the stable curve mentioned above. Then these two factors are identified with $d(d(s_i)) \otimes T'_0 C_i$ and $T'_\infty C_i \otimes d(d(s_{i+1}))$. Hence, we obtain the following contribution:

\begin{equation}
(2.42) \quad \frac{1}{(h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i-1}} - \lambda_{f_{i-1}} + c_1(T'_0 C_i) \left( h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i+1}} - \lambda_{f_{i+1}} + c_1(T'_\infty C_i) \right)}.
\end{equation}
At this stage, we temporarily set \( \Lambda_{i0} := \frac{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i-1}} - \lambda_{f_{i-1}}}{f_i - f_{i-1}} \), \( \Lambda_{i\infty} = \frac{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i+1}} - \lambda_{f_{i+1}}}{f_{i+1} - f_i} \) and rewrite (2.42) as follows:

\[
(2.43) \frac{1}{(\Lambda_{i0} - c_1(T_{i0}^*C_i))(\Lambda_{i\infty} - c_1(T_{i\infty}^*C_i))}. 
\]

To proceed the localization technique, we integrate out the above equivariant class on \( \mathcal{M}_{0,2} | [A_i] | \).

\[
(2.44) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,2} | [A_i] |} \frac{1}{(\Lambda_{i0} - c_1(T_{i0}^*C_i))(\Lambda_{i\infty} - c_1(T_{i\infty}^*C_i))} \]
\[
= \frac{1}{\Lambda_{i0}\Lambda_{i\infty}} \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(\Lambda_{i0})^n(\Lambda_{i\infty})^m} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,2} | [A_i] |} (c_1(T_{i0}^*C_i))^n(c_1(T_{i\infty}^*C_i))^m. 
\]

The intersection number that appear at the right-hand side of (2.44) has been already computed in [1,15] and it is given as follows:

\[
(2.45) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,2} | [A_i] |} (c_1(T_{i0}^*C_i))^n(c_1(T_{i\infty}^*C_i))^m = \delta_{|A_i|-1,n+m} \cdot \binom{n+m}{n}. 
\]

Combining (2.44) with (2.45), we obtain the following contribution to the localized integrand:

\[
(2.46) \frac{1}{\Lambda_{i0}\Lambda_{i\infty}} \left( \frac{1}{\Lambda_{i0}} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{i\infty}} \right)^{|A_i|-1} \]
\[
= \frac{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i-1}} - \lambda_{f_{i-1}}}{f_i - f_{i-1}} + \frac{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i+1}} - \lambda_{f_{i+1}}}{f_{i+1} - f_i} \]
\[
\times \left( \frac{f_i - f_{i-1}}{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i-1}} - \lambda_{f_{i-1}}} + \frac{f_{i+1} - f_i}{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i+1}} - \lambda_{f_{i+1}}} \right)^{|A_i|}. 
\]

If we compare (2.46) with (2.41), we can easily see that (2.46) is applicable to the case of \( A_i = \emptyset \). We also have to determine contributions from \( \overline{M}_{0,2} | [A_0] | \) and \( \overline{M}_{0,2} | [A_i] | \). It is sufficient to consider the case of \( \overline{M}_{0,2} | [A_0] | \). If \( A_0 = \emptyset \), we have no contribution from the normal bundle to the localized integrand. If \( A_0 \neq \emptyset \), contribution comes from smoothing the nodal singularity that connects the stable curve described by \( \overline{M}_{0,2} | [A_0] | \) with \( [a_{f_0}(s_1) f_i - f_{f_0} + \ldots] \).
As discussed in the previous case, we have to compute the following integral:

\[
\frac{1}{M_{0,2}[A_0]} \left( \frac{h_{f_0} + \lambda_{f_0} - h_{f_1} - \lambda_{f_1}}{f_1 - f_0} + c_1(T'_\infty C_1) \right).
\]

Application of (2.45) leads us to the following contribution to the localized integrand:

\[
\left( \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h_{f_0} + \lambda_{f_0} - h_{f_1} - \lambda_{f_1}} \right)^{|A_0|}.
\]

In the same way as above, we obtain the contribution from \( \mathcal{M}_{0,2}[A_i] \):

\[
\left( \frac{f_i - f_{i-1}}{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i-1}} - \lambda_{f_{i-1}}} \right)^{|A_i|}.
\]

Finally, the contributions to localized integrand coming from \( ev_0(h^a) \), \( ev_\infty(h^b) \) and \( ev_i(h^{m_i}) \), \( (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) are given by the following correspondence:

\[
\begin{align*}
ev_0(h^a) &\mapsto (h_{f_0} + \lambda_{f_0})^a, \\
ev_\infty(h^b) &\mapsto (h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i})^b, \\
ev_i(h^{m_i}) &\mapsto (h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j})^{m_i},
\end{align*}
\]

where we assume that the marked point \( z_i \) is mapped to \( [a_{f_j}] \in CP^{N-1} \).

What remains to complete localization computation is to combine the factors given in (2.40), (2.46), (2.48) to (2.50) into the localized integrand labeled by \( (d_1, \ldots, d_l) \) and \( \prod_{j=0}^l A_j = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \), to integrate it out on \( \prod_{j=1}^l (CP^{N-1})_j \) and to sum up the results of integration by labels. We now discuss the label \( \prod_{j=0}^l A_j = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Since \( A_j \) can be an empty set, this label is equivalent to determining the point \( [a_{f_j}] \) to which the marked point \( z_i \) is mapped, for each \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Therefore, we can replace the label \( \prod_{j=0}^l A_j = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) by a sequence \( (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n) \), \( (j_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, l\}) \). From this point of view, \( |A_j| \) is just the number of \( i \)'s that satisfy the condition \( j_i = j \). Hence the localized integrand labeled by \( (d_1, \ldots, d_l) \)
and \((j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)\) is written down as follows:

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{l} \prod_{i=1}^{d_j-1} \left( \left( \frac{d_{j,i}}{d_j} \right) (h_{f_{j-1}} + \lambda_{f_{j-1}}) + \left( \frac{i}{d_j} \right) (h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j}) - \lambda_{f_{j-1}} \right)^N \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i+1}} - \lambda_{f_{i+1}}} + \frac{h_{f_i} + \lambda_{f_i} - h_{f_{i+1}} - \lambda_{f_{i+1}}}{d_{i+1}} \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{d_j}{h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j} - h_{f_j-1} - \lambda_{f_j-1}} + \frac{d_{j+1}}{h_{f_{j+1}} + \lambda_{f_{j+1}} - h_{f_j} - \lambda_{f_j}} \right) \\
\times (h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j})^{m_i} (h_0 + \lambda_0)^a (h_d + \lambda_d)^b.
\]

In (2.51), we used both \((d_1, \ldots, d_l)\) and \(0 = f_0 < \cdots < f_l = d\) to denote an ordered partition. We also formally set \(d_0 = d_{l+1} = 0\) for brevity. Before integrate out (2.51), we sum up the integrand by the label \((j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)\). If we pay attention to the third line of (2.51), the factor that comes from \(i\) sums up to the following factor by varying \(j_i\) from 0 to \(l\):

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{l} \left( \frac{d_j}{h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j} - h_{f_{j-1}} - \lambda_{f_{j-1}}} + \frac{d_{j+1}}{h_{f_{j+1}} + \lambda_{f_{j+1}} - h_{f_j} - \lambda_{f_j}} \right) (h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j})^{m_i}.
\]

We introduce here a rational function:

\[
w^d_a(z, w) := d \cdot \frac{z^a - w^a}{z - w}.
\]

Then we can rewrite (2.52) into the form,

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{l} w^d_{i_{m_i}} (h_{f_{j-1}} + \lambda_{f_{j-1}}, h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j}).
\]
These considerations lead us to the following formula for the localized integrand summed up by the label \((j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)\).

(2.55)

\[
(h_0 + \lambda_0)^a (h_d + \lambda_d)^b \\
\times \prod_{j=1}^{l} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{d_j-1} \left( \left( \frac{d_j-i}{d_j} \right) (h_{f_{j-1}} + \lambda_{f_{j-1}}) + \left( \frac{i}{d_j} \right) (h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j}) - \lambda_{f_{j-1+i}} \right)^N} \right) \\
\times \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \left( \frac{1}{h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j} - h_{f_{j-1}} - \lambda_{f_{j-1}}} + \frac{h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j} - h_{f_{j+1}} - \lambda_{f_{j+1}}}{d_{j+1}} \right) \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{l} w_{m_i}^{d_j} (h_{f_{j-1}} + \lambda_{f_{j-1}}, h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j}) \right) 
\]

Final step of localization computations is to integrate the equivariant form (2.55) and to sum up the results by the label \((d_1, \ldots, d_l)\). We have one subtle remark here. As we have pointed out in determining fixed point sets, the fixed point set labeled by \((d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l)\) is the set of orbifold singularities on which \(\prod_{j=1}^{l} Z_{d_j} \) acts. Therefore, we have to divide the integral that comes from \((d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l)\), by the factor \(\prod_{j=1}^{l} d_j \) and we obtain,

(2.56)

\[
w(\mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} | \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_i}})_{0,d} \]

\[
= \sum_{(d_1, \ldots, d_l) \in OP_d} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{l} \frac{1}{d_j} \right) \int_{(CP^{N-1})_0} \int_{(CP^{N-1})_1} \cdots \int_{(CP^{N-1})_l} (h_0 + \lambda_0)^a (h_d + \lambda_d)^b \\
\times \prod_{j=1}^{l} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{d_j-1} \left( \left( \frac{d_j-i}{d_j} \right) (h_{f_{j-1}} + \lambda_{f_{j-1}}) + \left( \frac{i}{d_j} \right) (h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j}) - \lambda_{f_{j-1+i}} \right)^N} \right) \\
\times \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \left( \frac{1}{h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j} - h_{f_{j-1}} - \lambda_{f_{j-1}}} + \frac{h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j} - h_{f_{j+1}} - \lambda_{f_{j+1}}}{d_{j+1}} \right) \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{l} w_{m_i}^{d_j} (h_{f_{j-1}} + \lambda_{f_{j-1}}, h_{f_j} + \lambda_{f_j}) \right) 
\]
where the hyperplane class of \((CP^{N-1})_j, (j = 0, 1, \ldots, l)\) is given by \(h_{f_j}\).

Then we rewrite the above result into the form of the residue integral given in (2.29). If we pay attention to the equality: \(\int_{CP^{N-1}} h^j = \delta_{j,N-1}\), we can apply replacements,

\[
(2.57) \quad h_{f_j} \rightarrow z_{f_j},
\]

\[
\int_{CP^{N-1})_j} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \oint_{C(0)} \frac{dz_{f_i}}{(z_{f_i})^N},
\]

where \(z_{f_j}\) is a complex variable and \(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \oint_{C(0)} dz\) is the operation of taking a residue at \(z = 0\). We then apply shift of variables \(z_{f_j} \rightarrow z_{f_j} - \lambda_{f_j}, (j = 0, 1, \ldots, l)\) and obtain the following equality:

\[
(2.58) \quad w \left( O_{h^a} O_{h^b} \prod_{i=1}^{n} O_{h^{m_i}} \right)_{0_d} = \sum_{0=f_0 < f_1 < \ldots < f_l = d} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{l} \frac{1}{f_j - f_{j-1}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{l+1}} \right) \times \oint_{C(\lambda_{f_0})} \frac{dz_{f_0}}{(z_{f_0} - \lambda_{f_0})^N} \cdots \oint_{C(\lambda_{f_l})} \frac{dz_{f_l}}{(z_{f_l} - \lambda_{f_l})^N} \times (z_0)^a (z_d)^b \prod_{j=1}^{l} \frac{1}{d_{j-1}^j} \left( \left( \frac{d_{j-i}}{d_j} \right) z_{f_{j-1}} + \left( \frac{i}{d_j} \right) z_{f_j} - \lambda_{f_{j-1}+i} \right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{z_{f_j} - z_{f_{j-1}}}{d_j} + \frac{z_{f_j} - z_{f_{j+1}}}{d_{j+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{l} w_{m_i}^{d_j}(z_{f_{j-1}}, z_{f_j}) \right),
\]

where \(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \oint_{C(\lambda)} dz\) means the operation of taking a residue at \(z = \lambda\). Let us consider here the following residue integral:

\[
(2.59) \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d+1}} \oint_{E^{d}(\lambda_0)} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0 - \lambda_0)^N} \oint_{E^{1}(\lambda_1)} \frac{dz_1}{(z_1 - \lambda_1)^N} \cdots \oint_{E^{d}(\lambda_d)} \frac{dz_d}{(z_d - \lambda_d)^N} \times (z_0)^a \left( \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{2z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1}} \right) \cdot (z_d)^b \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{m_i}^{1}(z_{j-1}, z_j) \right),
\]
where \( \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \oint_{E_{(\lambda_j)}} dz_j \) means the operation of taking residues at \( z_j = \lambda_j \) and \( z_j = \frac{z_{j-1} + z_{j+1}}{2} \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, l - 1 \) (resp. \( z_j = \lambda_j \) for \( j = 0, d \)). As we demonstrated in [11], we can observe by elementary computation that the summand labeled by \( 0 = f_0 < f_1 < \cdots < f_l = d \) in (2.58) is obtained by taking residues of (2.59) at \( z_j = z_{j-1} + z_{j+1} \) \((j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\})\) and at \( z_j = \lambda_j \) \((j \in \{f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_l\})\), respectively. Hence, (2.59) equals \( w(O_{h^a}O_{h^b} | \prod_{i=1}^n O_{h^{m_i}})_{0,d} \). Finally, we take the non-equivariant limit \( \lambda_j \to 0 \) \((j = 0, 1, \ldots, d)\) of (2.59) and obtain the formula presented in (2.29). □

2.3. Numerical computation in the \( CP^2 \) case

In this section, we discuss how to compute the genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariants of \( CP^2 \) by using the multi-point virtual structure constants, i.e., the intersection numbers computed in the previous section. \( H^{*,*}(CP^2) \) is spanned by \( h^j \) \((j = 0, 1, 2)\). Therefore, it is convenient to write the intersection number as \( w(O_{h^a}O_{h^b} | \prod_{j=0}^2 (O_{h^j})^{m_j})_{0,d} \). The formula (2.29) restricted to \( CP^2 \) is given as follows.

\[
(2.60) \\
w(O_{h^a}O_{h^b} | \prod_{j=0}^2 (O_{h^j})^{m_j})_{0,d} = \frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^{d+1}} \oint_{E_{(0)}} dz_0 \oint_{E_{(0)}} dz_1 \cdots \oint_{E_{(0)}} dz_d \left( \frac{1}{(z_0)^3(z_1)^3 \cdots (z_d)^3} \right)^a \\
\times \left( \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{(2z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1})} \right) (z_d)^b \prod_{j=0}^d \left( \sum_{i=1}^d w_j(z_{i-1}, z_i) \right)^{m_j}, \ (d > 0).
\]

We show below important characteristics of the intersection number that follows from (2.60).

**Proposition 1.** The multi-point virtual structure constants satisfy the following equalities: (i)

\[
(2.61) \\
w \left( O_{h^a}O_{h^b} | O_1 \prod_{j=0}^2 (O_{h^j})^{m_j} \right)_{0,d} = 0.
\]
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(ii)

\[ w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} \prod_{j=0}^{2} (\mathcal{O}_{h^j})^{m_j} \right)_{0,d} = d \cdot w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} \prod_{j=0}^{2} (\mathcal{O}_{h^j})^{m_j} \right)_{0,d}. \]

Proof. (i) follows from the equality \( w_0^1(z, w) = \frac{1}{z-w} = 0 \). As for (ii), it is enough to note,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d} w_1^1(z_{i-1}, z_{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{z_{i-1} - z_{i}}{z_{i-1} - z_{i}} = d.
\]

This proposition says that for operator insertions at the right-hand side of “|”, both the divisor axiom and the puncture axiom for Gromov–Witten invariants hold. Motivated by this fact, we introduce the generating function of the multi-point virtual structure constants with respect to operator insertions at the right-hand side of “|”.

Definition 2.

\[ w(\mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} | (x^0, x^1, x^2))_0 := x^c \cdot \int_{\mathbb{C}P^2} h^{a+b+c} + \sum_{d>0, \{m_j\}} w(\mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} \prod_{j=0}^{2} (\mathcal{O}_{h^j})^{m_j})_{0,d} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{2} \frac{(x^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!}, \]

where \( x^j \ (j = 0, 1, 2) \) is the variable associated with insertion of \( \mathcal{O}_{h^j} \).

In (2.63), we only consider three operator insertions for degree 0 virtual structure constants and identify them with classical intersection numbers, just as we do in the case of Gromov–Witten invariants. The assertion of Proposition 1 simplifies the generating function in the same way as the Gromov–Witten case.
Proposition 2.

\[
(2.64) \quad w(O_{h^a}O_{h^b}|(x^0, x^1, x^2))_0 = x^c \cdot \int_{CP^2} h^{a+b+c} + \sum_{d>0,m_2} w(O_{h^a}O_{h^b}|(O_{h^2})^m)_{0,d} \times e^{dx^1} \cdot \frac{(x^2)^m}{m!}.
\]

Therefore, we only have to compute \(w(O_{h^a}O_{h^b}|(O_{h^2})^m)_{0,d}\). From degree counting of the residue integral formula, we can easily see that the non-zero virtual structure constants appear only when \(a + b + m - 2 = 3d - 1\) for \(d \geq 1\). We also introduce here the corresponding generating functions of genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariant of \(CP^2\).

Definition 3. Let \(\langle \prod_{j=0}^2 (O_{h^j})^{m_j} \rangle_{0,d}\) be the rational Gromov–Witten invariant of degree \(d\) of \(CP^2\).

\[
(2.65) \quad \langle O_{h^a}O_{h^b}(t^0, t^1, t^2) \rangle_0 = t^c \cdot \int_{CP^2} h^{a+b+c} + \sum_{d>0,m} \langle O_{h^a}O_{h^b}(O_{h^2})^m \rangle_{0,d} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(t^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!}.
\]

where \(t^j (j = 0, 1, 2)\) is the variable associated with insertion of \(O_{h^j}\).

If we use the usual generating function of genus Gromov–Witten invariants of \(CP^2\) : \(F_{CP^2}(t^0, t^1, t^2)\), the above generating function \(\langle O_{h^a}O_{h^b}(t^0, t^1, t^2) \rangle_0\) is nothing but \(\frac{\partial^2 F_{CP^2}}{\partial t^a \partial t^b}\). Therefore, we obtain integrable condition:

\[
(2.66) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t^a}(O_{h^b}O_{h^c}(t^0, t^1, t^2))_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^b}(O_{h^a}O_{h^c}(t^0, t^1, t^2))_0.
\]

Our question in this section is whether we can compute \(\langle O_{h^a}O_{h^b}(t^0, t^1, t^2) \rangle_0\) by using \(w(O_{h^a}O_{h^b}|(x^0, x^1, x^2))_0\) as the starting point. Our key to answer this question is the observation done in [11]. Let us illustrate our observation by taking quintic Calabi–Yau hypersurface in \(CP^4\) as an example. In [11], we consider the two point virtual structure constant \(w(O_{h^a}O_{h^b})_{0,d}\) for the hypersurface that is given as the intersection number on \(\tilde{M}_{0,2}(5, d)\). We
introduced the generating function,

\[
(2.67) \quad w(O_h \cdot O_h)_0(x) := 5x \cdot \delta_{a+b,2} + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} w(O_h^d \cdot O_h^d)_0, e^x,
\]

which is non-zero only when \( a + b = 2 \). We proved that \( t = \frac{1}{5} w(O_h \cdot O_1)_0(x) \) coincides with the mirror map used in the mirror computation of Gromov–Witten invariants of the hypersurface and that \( w(O_h \cdot O_h)_0(x(t)) \) gives the generating function of Gromov–Witten invariants \( 5t + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} (O_h \cdot O_h)_0, e^{dt} \).

We introduce here the classical intersection intersection matrix \( \eta_{ab} = \int_{\mathbb{CP}^4} 5h^{a+b+1} = 5\delta_{a+b,3} \) and its inverse \( \eta_{ab} = \frac{1}{5} \delta_{a+b,3} \). By identifying \( t \) (resp. \( x \)) with \( t^1 \) (resp. \( x^1 \)), we conjectured generally that

\[
t^a = \sum_b \eta_{ab} w(O_h \cdot O_1)_0(x^1)
\]

gives the mirror map for the mirror computation. Since \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) is a Fano manifold with \( c_1(\mathbb{CP}^2) = 3h \), \( w(O_h \cdot O_1)_0,d = 0 \) for \( d \geq 1 \). Therefore, we have trivial mirror map if we only consider the two point virtual structure constants. That is why we introduce the multi-point virtual structure constants. If we pay attention to the fact that the classical intersection matrix of \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) is given by \( \eta_{ab} = \eta_{ab} = \delta_{a+b,2} \), we are naturally led to propose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** If we define the mirror map,

\[
(2.68) \quad t^j(x^0, x^1, x^2) := w(O_{h^j} \cdot O_{h^1})(x^0, x^1, x^2)_0,
\]

we have the following equality:

\[
(2.69) \quad \langle O_{h^a} \cdot O_{h^b} (t^0(x^0, x^1, x^2), t^1(x^0, x^1, x^2), t^2(x^0, x^1, x^2))_0 = w(O_{h^a} \cdot O_{h^b})(x^0, x^1, x^2)_0.
\]

Conversely, if we invert the mirror map,

\[
(2.70) \quad x^j = x^j(t^0, t^1, t^2),
\]

we obtain the mirror formula to compute the rational Gromov–Witten invariants of \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) from the multi-point virtual structure constants:

\[
(2.71) \quad \langle O_{h^a} \cdot O_{h^b} (t^0, t^1, t^2)_0 = w(O_{h^a} \cdot O_{h^b})(x^0(t^0, t^1, t^2), x^1(t^0, t^1, t^2), x^2(t^0, t^1, t^2))_0.
\]
By using the formula (2.60), we obtain the mirror maps explicitly.

\begin{align*}
(2.72) \quad t^2 &= x^2 + \frac{1}{4} q(x^2)^4 + \frac{33}{70} q^2(x^2)^7 + \frac{16589}{12600} q^3(x^2)^{10} \\
&\quad + \frac{143698921}{32432400} q^4(x^2)^{13} + \frac{75631936691}{4540536000} q^5(x^2)^{16} + \cdots,
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
(2.72) \quad t^1 &= x^1 + \frac{1}{2} (x^2)^3 q + \frac{7}{10} (x^2)^6 q^2 + \frac{2593}{1512} q^3(x^2)^9 \\
&\quad + \frac{2668063}{498960} q^4(x^2)^{12} + \frac{120501923}{6306300} q^5(x^2)^{15} + \cdots,
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
(2.72) \quad t^0 &= x^0 + \frac{1}{2} (x^2)^2 q + \frac{8}{15} (x^2)^5 q^2 + \frac{983}{840} q^3(x^2)^8 \\
&\quad + \frac{4283071}{1247400} q^4(x^2)^{11} + \frac{4019248213}{340540200} q^5(x^2)^{14} + \cdots,
\end{align*}

Of course, we can also compute one of the generating function,

\begin{align*}
(2.73) \quad w(O_h | (x^0, x^1, x^2))_0 &= x^0 + (x^2)^2 q + \frac{16}{15} (x^2)^5 q^2 + \frac{961}{420} q^3(x^2)^8 \\
&\quad + \frac{4105537}{623700} q^4(x^2)^{11} + \frac{291788599}{13097700} q^5(x^2)^{14} + \cdots.
\end{align*}

If we invert the mirror maps and substitute them into (2.73),

\begin{align*}
(2.74) \quad w(O_h | (x^0(t^0, t^1, t^2), x^1(t^0, t^1, t^2), x^2(t^0, t^1, t^2)))_0 &= t^0 + \frac{1}{2} (t^2)^2 Q + \frac{1}{30} (t^2)^5 Q^2 + \frac{3}{1120} (t^2)^{8} Q^3 \\
&\quad + \frac{31}{124740} (t^2)^{11} Q^4 + \frac{1559}{62270208} (t^2)^{14} Q^5 + \cdots \\
&= t^0 + \frac{1}{2!} (t^2)^2 Q + \frac{2^2}{5!} (t^2)^5 Q^2 + \frac{3^2 \cdot 12}{8!} (t^2)^{8} Q^3 \\
&\quad + \frac{4^2 \cdot 620}{11!} (t^2)^{11} Q^4 + \frac{5^2 \cdot 87304}{14!} (t^2)^{14} Q^5 + \cdots \\
(Q := e^{t^1}),
\end{align*}
the result coincides with \( \langle O_h O_h (t^0_0, t^1_0, t^2_0) \rangle_0 \) computed from the associativity equation [14]. If we compute,

\[
(2.75) \\
\begin{align*}
    w(O_h^2 O_h^2 | (x^0, x^1, x^2))_0 \\
    &= q + \frac{2}{3} (x^2)^3 q^2 + \frac{17}{15} q^3 (x^2)^6 + \frac{6455}{2268} q^4 (x^2)^9 + \frac{4124497}{467775} q^5 (x^2)^{12} + \cdots
\end{align*}
\]

we obtain \( \langle O_h^2 O_h^2 (t^0_0, t^1_0, t^2_0) \rangle_0 \).

\[
(2.76) \\
\begin{align*}
    w(O_h^2 O_h^2 | (x^0(t^0_0, t^1_0, t^2_0), x^1(t^0_0, t^1_0, t^2_0), x^2(t^0_0, t^1_0, t^2_0)))_0 \\
    &= Q + \frac{1}{6} (t^2)^3 Q^2 + \frac{1}{60} Q^3 (t^2)^6 + \frac{31}{18144} Q^4 (t^2)^9 + \frac{1559}{8553600} Q^5 (t^2)^{12} + \cdots \\
    &= Q + \frac{1}{3}! (t^2)^3 Q^2 + \frac{12}{6!} (t^2)^6 Q^3 + \frac{620}{9!} (t^2)^9 Q^4 + \frac{87304}{12!} (t^2)^{12} Q^5 + \cdots .
\end{align*}
\]

2.4. A combinatorial approach to proof of Conjecture 1

In this section, we provide a sketch of proof of Conjecture 1 up to \( d = 3 \) case. To prove the conjecture, we only have to prove the equality (2.69). By inserting the expansion form of each component of (2.69), we obtain a microscopic form of (2.69) as follows.

\[
(2.77) \\
\begin{align*}
    w(O_h^a O_h^b | (O_h^2)^{3d+1-a-b})_{0,d} &= w(O_h^{a+b} O_1 | (O_h^2)^{3d+1-a-b})_{0,0} \\
    &+ \sum_{f=1}^{d} \sum_{\sum j=1, m_j} (O_h^a O_h^b | (O_h^2)^{3f+1-a-b-\sum j=m_j}) \times (O_h)_{\sum j=1, n_j} (O_h^2)_{\sum j=1, m_j} \times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} w(O^a_1 | (O_h^2)^{3j})_{0,j}^{n_j} w(O_1 O_1 | (O_h^2)^{3j+1})_{0,j}^{m_j} \\
    &\times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n_j)! (m_j)!} \cdot (3f+1-a-b)! \cdot (3d+1-a-b-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m_j)! ((3j)!)^{n_j} ((3j+1)!)^{m_j},
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \{n_j\} = \{n_1, n_2, \ldots \} \) and \( \{m_j\} = \{m_1, m_2, \ldots \} \) are infinite sequence of non-negative integers that count multiplicity of \( w(\mathcal{O}_h \mathcal{O}_1|(\mathcal{O}_h^3)^{3j})_{0,j} \) and \( w(\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_1|(\mathcal{O}_h^3)^{3j+1})_{0,j} \). We sketch outline of proof of (2.77) up to \( d = 3 \) case. We prove it by using the residue integral representation of Theorem 1 and the following residue integral representation of Gromov–Witten invariants that is obtained from non-equivariant limit of the result of Kontsevich [13].

\[
(2.78)
\]

\[
\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_i}} \right\rangle_{0,1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^2} \oint_{C_1} dz_1 (z_1)^3 \oint_{C_2} dz_2 (z_2)^3 (z_1 - z_2)(z_2 - z_1)
\]

\[
\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_i}} \right\rangle_{0,1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^3} \oint_{C_1} dz_1 (z_1)^3 \oint_{C_2} dz_2 (z_2)^3 \oint_{C_3} dz_3 (z_1 - z_2)(z_3 - z_2)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} (w_{m_i}(z_1, z_2) + w_{m_i}(z_2, z_3))
\]

\[
\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_i}} \right\rangle_{0,3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^4} \oint_{C_1} dz_1 (z_1)^3 \oint_{C_2} dz_2 (z_2)^3 \oint_{C_3} dz_3 (z_3)^3 \oint_{C_4} dz_4 (z_1 - z_2)(z_4 - z_3)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} (w_{m_i}(z_1, z_2) + w_{m_i}(z_2, z_3) + w_{m_i}(z_3, z_4))
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^4} \oint_{C_1} dz_1 (z_1)^3 \oint_{C_2} dz_2 (z_2)^3 \oint_{C_3} dz_3 (z_3)^3 \oint_{C_4} dz_4 (z_1 - z_2)(z_4 - z_3)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} (w_{m_i}(z_1, z_2) + w_{m_i}(z_1, z_3) + w_{m_i}(z_1, z_4)).
\]

In these formulas, integration paths are chosen to take residues at \( z_i = 0 \) and \( z_i = \frac{z_{i-1} + z_{i+1}}{2} \). The formula for \( d = 1 \) corresponds to contribution from the line graph with one edge. The \( d = 2 \) formula corresponds to contribution from the line graph with two edge. The first term of the \( d = 3 \) formula comes from contribution from the line graph with three edge and the second term corresponds to contribution from the trivalent graph with three
edge. Now, we look back at the formula (2.77). The \( (O_{h^2})_{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m_j} \) insertion in \( \langle O_{h^2}^a O_{h^2}^b O_{h^2}^{3f+1-a-b-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m_j} \rangle \) and the \( (O_{h^2})_{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m_j} \) insertion in the Gromov–Witten invariant are paired with the first \( O_1 \) insertion in \( (w(O_1 O_1|O_{h^2})^{3j+1})_{0,0} \) and the \( (O_{h^2})_{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} n_j} \) insertion in the Gromov–Witten invariant are paired with the \( O_h \) insertion in \( (w(O_h O_1|O_{h^2})^{3j})_{0,0} \). These pairs translate product of Gromov–Witten invariant with multi-point virtual structure constant into operation of connecting integrand of each residue integral representation. See the discussion in Section 5 of [12]. Combinatorial complexities arising from many \( O_{h^2} \) insertions can be simplified by using multinomial theorem, and we can reduce the proof of (2.77) up to \( d = 3 \) case to the following combinatorial identities in the same way as [12].

**d = 1 case**

\[
(2.79) \quad \frac{1}{2}((z_1)^a(z_2)^b + (z_1)^b(z_2)^a) = \frac{1}{2}(z_1 - z_2)(z_2 - z_1)w_1^a(z_1, z_2)w_1^b(z_1, z_2) + \frac{1}{2}((z_1)^{a+b} + (z_2)^{a+b}).
\]

**d = 2 case**

\[
(2.80) \quad \frac{1}{2}((z_1)^a(z_3)^b + (z_1)^b(z_3)^a) = \frac{1}{2}(z_1 - z_2)(z_3 - z_2) \left( w_1^a(z_1, z_2) + w_1^b(z_2, z_3) \right)
\]

\[
\times (w_1^1(z_1, z_2) + w_1^1(z_2, z_3))
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2}(z_1 - z_2)w_1^a(z_1, z_2)w_1^b(z_1, z_2)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2}(z_3 - z_2)w_1^a(z_2, z_3)w_1^b(z_2, z_3)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2}((z_1)^{a+b} + (z_3)^{a+b}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}(z_2 - z_1 - z_3)
\]

**d = 3 case**

\[
(2.81) \quad \frac{1}{2}((z_1)^a(z_4)^b + (z_1)^b(z_4)^a)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2}(z_1 - z_2)(z_4 - z_2)
\]

\[
\times (w_1^1(z_1, z_2) + w_1^1(z_2, z_3) + w_1^1(z_3, z_4))
\]

\[
\times (w_1^1(z_1, z_2) + w_1^1(z_2, z_3) + w_1^1(z_3, z_4))
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_3 - z_2)}{(2z_2 - z_1 - z_3)(z_3 - z_2)} \\
\times (w_a^1(z_1, z_2) + w_a^1(z_2, z_3))(w_b^1(z_1, z_2) + w_b^1(z_2, z_3)) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{(z_2 - z_3)(z_4 - z_3)}{(2z_3 - z_2 - z_4)(z_2 - z_3)} \\
\times (w_a^1(z_2, z_3) + w_a^1(z_3, z_4))(w_b^1(z_2, z_3) + w_b^1(z_3, z_4)) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{(z_2 - z_3)(z_3 - z_2)}{(2z_3 - z_2 - z_4)(z_2 - z_3)} \cdot w_a^1(z_2, z_3)w_b^1(z_2, z_3) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{(z_2 - z_1)(z_2 - z_1)}{(2z_2 - z_1 - z_3)(2z_3 - z_2 - z_4)}w_a^1(z_1, z_2)w_b^1(z_1, z_2) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{(z_3 - z_4)(z_4 - z_3)}{(2z_3 - z_2 - z_1 - z_3)}w_a^1(z_3, z_4)w_b^1(z_3, z_4) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2z_2 - z_1 - z_3)(2z_3 - z_2 - z_4)}((z_1)^{a+b} + (z_4)^{a+b}) \\
0 = -\frac{1}{6} (w_a^1(z_1, z_2) + w_a^1(z_1, z_3) + w_a^1(z_1, z_4)) \\
\times (w_b^1(z_1, z_2) + w_b^1(z_1, z_3) + w_b^1(z_1, z_4)) \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \frac{(z_2 - z_1)(z_3 - z_1)}{(2z_1 - z_2 - z_3)} \left( \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{z_1 - z_3} \right) \\
\times (w_a^1(z_1, z_2) + w_a^1(z_1, z_3))(w_b^1(z_1, z_2) + w_b^1(z_1, z_3)) \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \frac{(z_2 - z_1)(z_4 - z_1)}{(2z_1 - z_2 - z_4)} \left( \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{z_1 - z_4} \right) \\
\times (w_a^1(z_1, z_2) + w_a^1(z_1, z_4))(w_b^1(z_1, z_2) + w_b^1(z_1, z_4)) \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \frac{(z_3 - z_1)(z_4 - z_1)}{(2z_1 - z_3 - z_4)} \left( \frac{1}{z_1 - z_3} \right) \left( \frac{1}{z_1 - z_4} \right) \\
\times (w_a^1(z_1, z_3) + w_a^1(z_1, z_4))(w_b^1(z_1, z_3) + w_b^1(z_1, z_4)) \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \frac{(z_2 - z_1)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}w_a^1(z_1, z_2)w_b^1(z_1, z_2)
\]
We can easily confirm these identities. Graphical interpretation of the identities in the $d = 3$ case is given by figure 1. In this figure, the part having straight edges represents the integrand of Gromov–Witten invariants and the part having dashed edges represents the integrand of multi-point virtual structure constants. Of course, we can generalize them to arbitrary $d$, but we have some difficulties in taking non-equivariant limit of Kontsevich’s result if $d \geq 4$. Therefore, our proof is limited up to $d = 3$ case at this stage.

2.5. Comparison with the result of Iritani’s $I$-function

In this section, we demonstrate standard type of mirror computation of the $\mathbb{CP}^2$-model following Iritani’s work [8]. We think that his method is fundamentally the same as the mirror computation by Barannikov [2]. According to Iritani (H. Iritani, private communication), this method starts from the
following extended $I$-function.

\[
I_{\mathbb{C}P^2}(z, h, y^1, y^2) := \sum_{n,m \geq 0} \exp\left(\frac{y^1 h}{z}\right) \\
\times \prod_{j=-\infty}^{0}(h + jz)^3 \cdot \prod_{j=-\infty}^{0}(jz)^2 \cdot \prod_{j=-\infty}^{n-m}(h + jz) \cdot \prod_{j=-\infty}^{m}(jz) \\
\times e^{ny^1} \cdot (y^2)^m.
\]

Here, $h$ is the hyperplane class of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $h^3 = 0$. In this $I$-function, the parameter $z$ plays the role of $\hbar$ in Barannikov’s formalism. For a function $f(z, h, y_1, y_2)$ that includes only positive power of $h$, we denote by $(f)_{h^i}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) the coefficient of $h^i$. We define here the following $3 \times 3$ matrix.

\[
S(z, y^1, y^2) := \begin{pmatrix}
(I_{\mathbb{C}P^2})_{h^0} & \left( z \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} I_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \right)_{h^0} & \left( z^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (y^1)^2} I_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \right)_{h^0} \\
(I_{\mathbb{C}P^2})_{h^1} & \left( z \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} I_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \right)_{h^1} & \left( z^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (y^1)^2} I_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \right)_{h^1} \\
(I_{\mathbb{C}P^2})_{h^2} & \left( z \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} I_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \right)_{h^2} & \left( z^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (y^1)^2} I_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \right)_{h^2}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

This matrix has the following structure:

\[
S(z, y^1, y^2) := \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{y^1}{z} & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{(y^1)^2}{2z^2} & \frac{y^1}{z} & 1
\end{pmatrix} \cdot M(z, y^1, y^2),
\]

\[
M(z, y^1, y^2) = \sum_{n,m \geq 0} M_{nm}(z) \cdot e^{ny^1} \cdot (y^2)^m,
\]

\[
M_{00}(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
where $M(z, y^1, y^2)$ and $M_{nm}(z)$ are $3 \times 3$ matrices. By computing $M(z, y^1, y^2)$ explicitly, we can observe that it includes both positive and negative powers of $z$. Following Iritani, we factorize $M(z, y^1, y^2)$ into the form $M(z, y^1, y^2) = M_-(z, y^1, y^2)M_+(z, y^1, y^2)$ where $M_-(z, y^1, y^2)$ (resp. $M_+(z, y^1, y^2)$) includes only negative (resp. non-negative) powers of $z$. Since $M(z, y^1, y^2)$ has the structure of power series in $e^{y^1}$ and $y^2$ with top term an identity matrix, we can execute this operation systematically. We then introduce the matrix:

$$\begin{align*}
S_-(z, y^1, y^2) := \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{y^1}{z} & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{(y^1)^2}{2z^2} & \frac{y^1}{z} & 1
\end{pmatrix} \cdot M_-(z, y^1, y^2).
\end{align*}$$

The B-model connection matrix $C_1(y^1, y^2)$ of this setting is given by,

$$C_1(y^1, y^2) = (S_-(z, y^1, y^2))^{-1} \cdot z \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} S_-(z, y^1, y^2).$$

From the general theory of Iritani’s method, $C_1(y^1, y^2)$ is free of $z$-dependence. Let $(A)_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$) be the $(i, j)$-element of $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$. Partial derivatives of the flat coordinates $t^i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) associated with $h^i$ by the B-model coordinate $y^1$ are read off from $(C_1(y^1, y^2))_{i+1,1}$.

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial t^0}{\partial y^1} &= (C_1(y^1, y^2))_{11} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}(y^2)^2 + \frac{13}{15} \tilde{q}^2(y^2)^5 - \frac{3167}{840} \tilde{q}^3(y^2)^8 \\
&\quad + \frac{44552}{2079} \tilde{q}^4(y^2)^{11} - \frac{450037373}{3243240} \tilde{q}^5(y^2)^{14} + \cdots,
\end{align*}$$

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial t^1}{\partial y^1} &= (C_1(y^1, y^2))_{21} \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{6} \tilde{q}(y^2)^3 - \frac{11}{15} \tilde{q}^2(y^2)^6 + \frac{229}{56} \tilde{q}^3(y^2)^9 \\
&\quad - \frac{775267}{29700} \tilde{q}^4(y^2)^{12} + \frac{233170937}{1289925} \tilde{q}^5(y^2)^{15} + \cdots.
\end{align*}$$
\[
\frac{\partial t^2}{\partial y^1} = (C_1(y^1, y^2))_{31} \\
= -\frac{5}{12} \tilde{q}(y^2)^4 + \frac{1241}{630} \tilde{q}^2(y^2)^7 - \frac{47977}{4200} \tilde{q}^3(y^2)^{10} \\
+ \frac{201402797}{2702700} \tilde{q}^4(y^2)^{13} - \frac{475054027589}{908107200} \tilde{q}^5(y^2)^{16} + \cdots,
\]

where \( \tilde{q} = e^{y^1} \). By integrating the above equations in \( y^1 \), we obtain the mirror map,

\[
(2.88) \quad t^0 = y^0 - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}(y^2)^2 + \frac{13}{30} \tilde{q}^2(y^2)^5 - \frac{3167}{2520} \tilde{q}^3(y^2)^8 \\
+ \frac{11138}{2079} \tilde{q}^4(y^2)^{11} - \frac{450037373}{16216200} \tilde{q}^5(y^2)^{14} + \cdots,
\]

\[
t^1 = y^1 + \frac{1}{6} \tilde{q}(y^2)^3 - \frac{11}{30} \tilde{q}^2(y^2)^6 + \frac{229}{168} \tilde{q}^3(y^2)^9 \\
- \frac{775267}{118800} \tilde{q}^4(y^2)^{12} + \frac{233170937}{6449625} \tilde{q}^5(y^2)^{15} + \cdots,
\]

\[
t^2 = y^2 - \frac{5}{12} \tilde{q}(y^2)^4 + \frac{1241}{1260} \tilde{q}^2(y^2)^7 - \frac{47977}{12600} \tilde{q}^3(y^2)^{10} \\
+ \frac{201402797}{10810800} \tilde{q}^4(y^2)^{13} - \frac{475054027589}{4540536000} \tilde{q}^5(y^2)^{16} + \cdots.
\]

On the other hand, the matrix elements \((C_1(y^1, y^2))_{12} = (C_1(y^1, y^2))_{23}, (C_1(y^1, y^2))_{13}, (C_1(y^1, y^2))_{22}\) give us information of the Gromov–Witten invariants. By integrating these matrix elements in \( y^1 \), we obtain the following functions:

\[
(2.89) \quad f_1 = \tilde{q}y^2 - \frac{1}{6} \tilde{q}^2(y^2)^4 + \frac{289}{630} \tilde{q}^3(y^2)^7 - \frac{35873}{18900} \tilde{q}^4(y^2)^{10} \\
+ \frac{156650191}{16216200} \tilde{q}^5(y^2)^{13} + \cdots,
\]

\[
f_2 = \tilde{q} + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{q}(y^2)^3 - \frac{22}{45} \tilde{q}^2(y^2)^6 + \frac{1261}{756} \tilde{q}^3(y^2)^9 - \frac{2405639}{311850} \tilde{q}^4(y^2)^{12} + \cdots,
\]

\[
f_3 = y^0 + \frac{2}{15} \tilde{q}^2(y^2)^5 - \frac{613}{1260} \tilde{q}^3(y^2)^8 + \frac{4751}{2079} \tilde{q}^4(y^2)^{11} \\
- \frac{101313427}{8108100} \tilde{q}^5(y^2)^{14} + \cdots.
\]
If we expand these functions in $t_0, t_2$ and $Q = e^{t_1}$ by substituting the inversion of the mirror map, the result turns out to be,

\begin{equation}
(2.90)
\begin{align*}
f_1 &= Qt_2 + \frac{1}{12} Q^2(t_2)^4 + \frac{1}{140} Q^3(t_2)^7 + \frac{31}{45360} Q^4(t_2)^{10} + \frac{1559}{22239360} Q^5(t_2)^{13} + \cdots, \\
f_2 &= Q + \frac{1}{6} Q^2(t_2)^3 + \frac{1}{60} Q^3(t_2)^6 + \frac{31}{18144} Q^4(t_2)^9 + \frac{1559}{8553600} Q^5(t_2)^{12} + \cdots, \\
f_3 &= t_0 + \frac{1}{2} Q(t_2)^2 + \frac{1}{30} Q^2(t_2)^5 + \frac{3}{1120} Q^3(t_2)^8 + \frac{31}{124744} Q^4(t_2)^{11} + \frac{1559}{62270208} Q^5(t_2)^{14} + \cdots.
\end{align*}
\end{equation}

Hence, they reproduce $\langle \mathcal{O}_h \mathcal{O}_h(t_0, t_1, t_2) \rangle$, $\langle \mathcal{O}_h^2 \mathcal{O}_h^2(t_0, t_1, t_2) \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}_h \mathcal{O}_h(t_0, t_1, t_2) \rangle$, respectively. The final results coincide with our computation, but we can see from (2.72) and (2.88) that the mirror map in this case is different from our mirror map. As we have mentioned in Section 1, there exist infinitely many ways to include the parameter $y^2$ into the $I$-function (H. Iritani, private communication). Since we have tested only one possibility here, we cannot conclude that our formalism and Iritani’s formalism have no connection.

### 3. Open string case

In this section, we discuss generalization of the multi-point virtual structure constants to the open string case. First, we consider anti-holomorphic involution $\varphi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ defined by $\varphi(X_1 : X_2 : X_3) = (\bar{X}_1 : \bar{X}_2 : \bar{X}_3)$. The subset invariant under $\varphi$ is $\mathbb{R}P^2$, which is a Lagrangian submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

Next, we pick up a quasi-map from $\mathbb{C}P^1$ to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ of degree $2d - 1$,

\begin{equation}
(3.91) \quad q_{2d-1}(s : t) := \sum_{j=0}^{2d-1} a_j s^j t^{2d-1-j}, \quad (a_j \in \mathbb{C}^3).
\end{equation}

We also introduce an involution $u : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ defined by $u(s : t) = (\bar{s} : \bar{t})$. With this setup, we define a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on the quasi-map given by $q \mapsto \varphi \circ q_{2d-1} \circ u$. Since $\varphi(q_{2d-1}(u(s : t))) = \sum_{j=0}^{2d-1} a_j \bar{s}^{2d-1-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{2d-1} \bar{a}_{2d-1-j}$
this action induces an involution on the parameter space of quasi-maps,

\[(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2d-1}) \rightarrow (\bar{a}_{2d-1}, \bar{a}_{2d-2}, \ldots, \bar{a}_0).\]

Let us consider a quasi-map invariant under the above involution,

\[q_{2d-1}(s : t) = \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (a_j s^j t^{2d-1-j} + \bar{a}_j s^{2d-1-j} t^j) \right].\]

It maps the equator of \(CP^1\) to \(RP^2\) because,

\[q_{2d-1}(1 : e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}) = \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (a_j e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} + \bar{a}_j e^{\sqrt{-1} (2d-1-j) \theta}) \right].\]

Therefore, a quasi-map invariant under the involution (3.92) can be regarded as a quasi-map from upper half disk of \(CP^1\) to \(CP^2\), which maps boundary of the disk to the Lagrangian submanifold \(RP^2\).

Next, we consider \(M_{p_0,2|2n}(3, 2d-1)\). It was defined by dividing the set,

\[U_{p_0,2|2n}(3, 2d-1) := \{ (a_0, \ldots, a_{2d-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{2n}) \mid a_i \in C^3, \ z_i \in C^\times, \ a_0, a_{2d-1} \neq 0 \},\]

by the two \(C^\times\) actions given in (2.12). Motivated by the previous discussion, we introduce an involution \(v : U_{p_0,2|2n}(3, 2d-1) \rightarrow U_{p_0,2|2n}(3, 2d-1)\) as follows.

\[v((a_1, \ldots, a_{2d-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{2n})) = \left( \bar{a}_{2d-1}, \bar{a}_{2d-2}, \ldots, \bar{a}_0, \left( \frac{1}{z_{2n}}, \frac{1}{z_{2n-1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{z_1} \right) \right).\]
It is easy to check that $v$ is compatible with equivalence relation by the two $\mathbb{C}^\times$ actions. Hence, it induces an involution $v_p : M_{p_0,2|2n}(3, 2d - 1) \to M_{p_0,2|2n}(3, 2d - 1)$. We can easily extend $v_p$ to whole $\tilde{M}_{p_0,2|2n}(3, 2d - 1)$ by looking back at the construction in Section 2.1. Let us denote the extended involution by $\tilde{v}_p$. With this setup, we define the moduli space $\tilde{M}_{p,D,1|n}(\mathbb{C}P^2/\mathbb{R}P^2, 2d - 1)$ as the invariant subset of $\tilde{M}_{p_0,2|2n}(3, 2d - 1)$ under $\tilde{v}_p$. Roughly speaking, the degrees of freedom of this moduli space are described by,

\[
\{((a_0, \ldots, a_{d-1}), (z_1, \ldots, z_n)) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{C}^3, 0 < |z_i| \leq 1, a_0 \neq 0\}/(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times U(1)),
\]

\[
re^{\sqrt{1-\theta}} \cdot ((a_0, \ldots, a_{d-1}), (z_1, \ldots, z_n)) = \left((re^{\sqrt{1-1/2}d-1/2}a_0, \ldots, re^{\sqrt{-1/2}d}a_{d-1}),
\right.
\]

\[
\left(e^{\sqrt{-1/2}d}z_1, \ldots, e^{\sqrt{-1/2}d}z_n\right),
\]

\[
(r e^{\sqrt{-1}d} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times U(1)),
\]

and they are half of the ones of $\tilde{M}_{p_0,2|2n}(3, 2d - 1)$. Evaluation map $ev_i : \tilde{M}_{p,D,1|n}(\mathbb{C}P^2/\mathbb{R}P^2, 2d - 1) \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ at the $i$th marked point $z_i$ is defined in the same way as the closed string case. Note here that our construction allows the marked points to lie on the boundary of the disk.

At this stage, we can define open version of the multi-point virtual structure constant.

\textbf{Definition 4.}

\[
w \left(O_{h^n} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n}(O_{h^{m_i}})\right)_{\text{disk},2d-1}
\]

\[
:= \int_{M_{p,D,1|n}(\mathbb{C}P^2/\mathbb{R}P^2, 2d-1)} ev_0^*(h^a) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} ev_i^*(h^{m_i}).
\]

As in the case of closed string, we can derive a closed formula of $w(O_{h^n} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n}(O_{h^{m_i}}))_{\text{disk},2d-1}$. 

Theorem 2.

\[ w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h^*} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_i}} \right| \right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^d} \int_{E_0^{(0)}} dz_0 \int_{E_0^{(0)}} dz_1 \cdots \int_{E_0^{(0)}} dz_{d-1} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{1}{2z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1}} \right) \]

\[ \times 2(z_0)^a \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} w^1_{m_i} (z_{j-1}, z_j) + \frac{1}{2} (z_{d-1})^{m_i-1} \], \quad (d \geq 1), \]

where we formally set \( z_d := -z_{d-1} \). \( \oint_{E_0^{(0)}} dz_0 \) is the operation of taking a residue at \( z_0 = 0 \), \( \oint_{E_0^{(0)}} dz_j \) \((j = 1, \ldots, d - 2)\) is the operation of taking residues at \( z_j = 0 \), \( \frac{z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1}}{2} \) and \( \oint_{E_0^{(0)}} dz_{d-1} \) is the operation of taking residues at \( z_{d-1} = 0, \frac{z_{d-2}}{3} \), respectively.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we prove the theorem by localization technique. First, we introduce \( U(1) \) action flow on \( \overline{MP}_{D,1|n}(CP^2/RP^2, 2d - 1) \) which is induced from the following \( U(1) \) action flow on the bulk part,

\[ e^{\sqrt{-1}t} \cdot \left[ \left[ (a_0, \ldots, a_{d-1}), (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \right] \right] = \left[ \left[ (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_0 t} a_0, \ldots, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_{d-1} t} a_{d-1}), (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \right] \right], \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}). \]

We can fix the ambiguity coming from \( \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times U(1) \) by regarding \( a_0 \) as a point \([a_0]\) in \( CP^2 \). Then we obtain,

\[ \left[ \left[ (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_0 t} a_0, \ldots, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_{d-1} t} a_{d-1}), (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \right] \right] = \left( \left[ [a_0], e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_1 - \frac{z_1}{2d-1}\theta_0)} t a_1, \ldots, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_{d-1} - \frac{z_{d-1}}{2d-1}\theta_0)} t a_{d-1} \right] \right), \]

\[ \times \left( e^{\sqrt{-1}(\frac{2}{2d-1}\theta_0)} t z_1, \ldots, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\frac{2}{2d-1}\theta_0)} t z_n \right) \].

Therefore, a point in the bulk part is fixed under the \( U(1) \) action only if \( a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{d-1} = 0 \) and \( n = 0 \). The strata of \( \overline{MP}_{D,1|n}(CP^2/RP^2, 2d - 1) \)
are labeled by ordered partitions \((d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l) \in OP_d\) and ordered decompositions \((\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} A_i) \prod_i (\prod_{i=1}^{l-1} B_i) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\). The stratum labeled by \((d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l)\) and \((\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} A_i) \prod_i (\prod_{i=1}^{l-1} B_i) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\) is given as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{M}_{P_{0,2}\|A_0}(3, 0) \times M_{P_{0,2}\|B_1}(3, d_1) \times \widetilde{M}_{P_{0,2}\|A_1}(3, 0) \times M_{P_{0,2}\|B_2}(3, d_2) \\
\times \cdots \times \widetilde{M}_{P_{0,2}\|A_{l-2}}(3, 0) \times M_{P_{0,2}\|B_{l-1}}(3, d_{l-1}) \\
\times \widetilde{M}_{P_{0,2}\|A_{l-1}}(3, 0) \times M_{P_{D,1}\|B_l}((CP^2/RP^2, 2d_l - 1).
\end{align*}
\]

From the observation above and the discussion in Section 2.2, we can see that non-empty fixed point set comes from the stratum that satisfy \(B_i = \emptyset (i = 1, 2, \ldots, l)\). The fixed point set coming from the stratum labeled by \((d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l)\) and \(\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} A_i = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\) is given by \(\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} (CP^2)_i \times \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \widetilde{M}_{0,2\|A_i}\) in the same way as the closed string case. Now, we pay attention to the fixed point set of \(M_{P_{D,2}\|0}(CP^2/RP^2, 2d - 1)\) under the \(U(1)\) action. It is given by \(\{(a_0, 0, \ldots, 0)\} = CP^2\), but we have residual \(U(1)\) action coming from \(R_{>0} \times U(1)\), which is generated by \(\zeta\) satisfying \(\zeta^{\frac{2d-1}{2}} = 1\). We formally interpret this action as the one caused by \(Z_{\frac{2d-1}{2}}\), a quasi cyclic group of order \(\frac{2d-1}{2}\). We proceed computation by assuming this quasi group. Then we can regard the above \(CP^2\) as the set of orbifold singularities on which \(Z_{\frac{2d-1}{2}}\) acts. With this consideration, we can also regard the fixed point set \(\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} (CP^2)_i \times \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \widetilde{M}_{0,2\|A_i}\) as the set of orbifold singularities on which \((\prod_{i=1}^{l-1} Z_d) \times Z_{\frac{2d-1}{2}}\) acts.

We turn into determination of the localized integrand to compute \(w(O_{h^n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (O_{h^{\rho_j}})_{disk, 2d-1})\), that comes from the fixed point set labeled by \((d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l)\) and \(\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} A_i = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\). But there are many overlaps with the discussion in the closed string case. Hence, we determine the localized integrand only from the fixed point set labeled by \((d)\) and \(A_0 = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\). In this case, the fixed point set is given by \((CP^2)_0 \times \widetilde{M}_{0,2\|n}\).

The normal bundle for this set comes from the following contributions.

(i) deforming \(a_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, d - 1)\) from \(0\);

(ii) resolving the nodal singularity.

From the \(U(1)\) action given in (3.97), the part coming from (i) is identified with \(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d-2} (O_{C_{P^2}}(\frac{2d-1-2j}{2d-1}))^{\otimes 3}\). The \(U(1)\) character for \(O_{C_{P^2}}(\frac{2d-1-2j}{2d-1})\)
can be read off from (3.101) and it is given by,

\[(3.103) \quad \frac{2d - 1 - 2j}{2d - 1} \sqrt{-1}\theta_0 - \sqrt{-1}\theta_j.\]

Therefore, the contribution coming from (i) is given as follows:

\[(3.104) \quad \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{2d-1-2j}{2d-1} (h_0 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0) - \sqrt{-1}\theta_j \right)^3},\]

where \(h_0\) is the hyperplane class of \((CP^2)_0\). The part coming from (ii) is identified with \(d(d) \otimes T'_\infty C_0\). Here, \(C_0\) is the genus 0 stable curve described by \(\overline{M}_{0,2|n}\). \(\frac{d}{d(T)}\) is identified with \(O_{CP^2}(\frac{2}{2d-1})\) and its \(U(1)\) character is given by \(\frac{2}{2d-1} \sqrt{-1}\theta_0\). The contribution coming from this part turns out to be,

\[(3.105) \quad \frac{1}{2d-1}(h_0 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0) + c_1(T'_\infty C_0).\]

As in the closed string case, we integrate the above equivariant form on \(\overline{M}_{0,2|n}\). The result is,

\[(3.106) \quad \left( \frac{2d - 1}{2(h_0 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0)} \right)^n.\]

The contribution from \(ev^*(h^{m_i})\) (resp. \(ev_0^*(h^a)\)) is given by \((h_0 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0)^{m_i}\) (resp. \((h_0 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0)^a\)). With this setup, we can write down the localized integrand to compute \(w(O_{h^{n_i}}| \prod_{i=1}^n (O_{h^{m_i}}))_{\text{disk},2d-1}\), that comes from the fixed point set labeled by \((2d - 1)\) and \(A_0 = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\).

\[(3.107) \quad \frac{2}{2d-1} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{2d-1-2j}{2d-1} (h_0 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0) - \sqrt{-1}\theta_j \right)^3 \cdot (h_0 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0)^a \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{2d - 1}{2} (h_0 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0)^{m_i-1} \right),\]

where the factor \(\frac{2}{2d-1}\) at the left end comes from the \(\mathbb{Z}_{2d-1}\) action. Remaining computation goes in the same way as the closed string case. The result of
localization computation is given as follows:

\[(3.108)\]

\[
\begin{align*}
& w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \big| \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_i}} \right)_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \\
& = \sum_{(d_1, \ldots, d_l) \in OP_d} \frac{2}{2d_l - 1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{d_j} \right) \int_{(C P^{N-1})_0} \int_{(C P^{N-1})_1} \cdots \int_{(C P^{N-1})_{l-1}} \\
& \times (h_0 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0)^a \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{l-2} \prod_{i=1}^{d_j-1} \frac{1}{(d_j-i)(h_{f_{j-1}} + \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_{j-1}} + i(h_{f_j} + \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_j}) - \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_{j-1}+i})}^3 \\
& \times \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{d_l-1} \left( \frac{2d_l-1-2i}{2d_l-1} \right) (h_{f_{l-1}} + \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_{l-1}}) - \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_{l-1}+i})^3} \\
& \times \frac{1}{h_{f_{l-1}} + \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_{l-1}} - h_{f_{l-2}} - \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_{l-2}}} + \frac{2(h_{f_{l-1}} + \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_{l-1}})}{2d_l-1} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} w_{d_j}^{m_i} (h_{f_{j-1}} + \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_{j-1}}, h_{f_j} + \sqrt{-1}\theta_{f_j}) \\
& + \frac{2d_l - 1}{2} (h_{l-1} + \sqrt{-1}\theta_{l-1})^{m_l-1} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where we also used the alternate notation \( 0 = f_0 < f_1 < \cdots < f_{l-1} < f_l = d \) for the ordered partition \((d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l)\). We then use the trick of residue integral and non-equivariant limit \( \theta_j \to 0 \) \((j = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1)\) and obtain the formula \((3.99)\).

Now, we can compute the open multi-point virtual structure constant \( w(\mathcal{O}_{h^a} \big| \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_i}})_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \) by using the above formula. Next step is to answer the question whether or not we can compute open Gromov–Witten invariant \( \langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^{m_i}} \rangle_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \) of \( C P^2 \) from the virtual structure constants. For this purpose, we prepare some numerical data of open Gromov–Witten invariants of \( C P^2 \). In [12], we proposed formulas to compute open Gromov–Witten
invariants of degree \( k \) hypersurface of \( CP^{N-1} \) \((k : \text{odd})\). Here, we write down the formulas again.

**Proposition 3 [12].** The A-model amplitude \( \langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} O_{h^{m_i}} \rangle_{\text{disk,2d} - 1} \) up to the \( d = 3 \) case is given by sum of the following residue integrals.

\[
(3.109) \\
\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} O_{h^{m_i}} \right\rangle_{\text{disk,1}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi - \sqrt{-1})} \int_{C_0} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0)^N f_1^{N,k}(z_0) \cdot 2z_0 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(z_0)^{m_i} - 1}{2} \right)} , \\
\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} O_{h^{m_i}} \right\rangle_{\text{disk,3}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi - \sqrt{-1})^2} \int_{C_0} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0)^N f_3^{N,k}(z_0) \cdot \frac{2z_0}{3} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{3(z_0)^{m_i} - 1}{2} \right)} + \frac{1}{(2\pi - \sqrt{-1})^3} \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(z_0)^{m_i} - 1}{2} + w_{m_i}(z_0, z_1) \right) + \frac{1}{kz_0(3z_0 - z_2)} \\
\times \int_{C_0} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0)^N f_1^{N,k}(z_0) \cdot 2z_0 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(z_0)^{m_i} - 1}{2} \right)} , \\
\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} O_{h^{m_i}} \right\rangle_{\text{disk,5}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi - \sqrt{-1})^2} \int_{C_0} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0)^N f_5^{N,k}(z_0) \cdot \frac{2z_0}{5} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(z_0)^{m_i} - 1}{2} \right)} + \frac{1}{(2\pi - \sqrt{-1})^3} \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(z_0)^{m_i} - 1}{2} + w_{m_i}(z_0, z_1) \right) + \frac{1}{kz_0(3z_0 - z_2)} \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(z_0)^{m_i} - 1}{2} + w_{m_i}(z_0, z_1) + w_{m_i}(z_2, z_1) \right) + \frac{1}{2(2\pi - \sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{C_0} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0)^N f_1^{N,k}(z_0) \cdot 2z_0} \\
\times \int_{C_1} \frac{dz_1}{(z_1)^N f_1^{N,k}(z_0) \cdot 2z_0} \\
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(z_0)^{m_i} - 1}{2} + w_{m_i}(z_0, z_1) \right) ,
\]
where

\begin{equation}
(3.110) \quad e^k(z, w) := \prod_{j=0}^{k} (jz + (k - j)w),
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
(3.111) \quad f_{2d-1}^{N,k}(z) := \frac{2}{2d-1} \cdot \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{kd-k+1} \left( \frac{j(-z) + (k(2d-1) - j)z}{2d-1} \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{j(-z) + (2d-1 - j)z}{2d-1} \right)^N}.
\end{equation}

In the above formulas, we take the residue integrals in ascending order of the subscript \( i \) of \( z_i \). \( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \oint_{C_i} dz_i \) means that we take the residues at \( z_i = 0, z_i - 1 + z_{i+1} \) (resp. \( z_i = 0 \)) if the integrand contains the factor \( \frac{1}{2z_i - z_{i-1} - z_{i+1}} \) (resp. otherwise).

This proposition followed from the localization computation applied to the open Gromov–Witten invariants [13, 16] and the non-equivariant limit. If we set \( N = 4, k = 1 \), we can compute the open Gromov–Witten invariants of \( CP^2 \). We then obtain the following data:

\begin{equation}
(3.112) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^2} \rangle_{\text{disk}, 1} = 2, \quad \langle (\mathcal{O}_{h^2})^4 \rangle_{\text{disk}, 3} = -\frac{9}{4}, \quad \langle (\mathcal{O}_{h^2})^7 \rangle_{\text{disk}, 5} = \frac{3361}{32}.
\end{equation}

Our first approach to the question is to consider the generating function,

\begin{equation}
(3.113) \quad w(\mathcal{O}_{h^n} | (x^0, x^1, x^2))_{\text{disk}} := \sum_{d \geq 1, m_j \geq 0} w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h^n} \prod_{j=0}^{2} (\mathcal{O}_{h^j})^{m_j} \right)_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \times \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!},
\end{equation}

and to compute \( w(\mathcal{O}_{h^n} | (x^0(t^0, t^1, t^2), x^1(t^0, t^1, t^2), x^2(t^0, t^1, t^2)))_{\text{disk}} \) by using the mirror map (2.72). But this naive approach did not reproduce the above data. Let us consider the origin of this problem in detail by taking \( d = 3 \) case as an example. If this approach is correct, we should obtain the following equality:

\begin{equation}
(3.114) \quad w(\mathcal{O}_{h^n} | (x^0, x^1, x^2))_{\text{disk}} \nonumber = \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^n} (t^0(x^0, x^1, x^2), t^1(x^0, x^1, x^2), t^2(x^0, x^1, x^2)) \rangle_{\text{disk}}.
\end{equation}
In the case of $w(O_{h^2}|(O_{h^2})^6)_{\text{disk,5}}$, the above equality means the following equality:

(3.115)

$$w(O_{h^2}|(O_{h^2})^6)_{\text{disk,5}}$$

$$\begin{align*}
\geq & \left< O_{h^2}(O_{h^2})^6 \right>_{\text{disk,5}} + \left< O_{h^2}(O_{h^2})^4 O_1 \right>_{\text{disk,3}} w(O_{h^2}O_1|(O_{h^2})^2)_{0,1} \frac{6!}{4!2!} \\
+ & \left< O_{h^2}(O_{h^2})^3 O_h \right>_{\text{disk,3}} w(O_h O_1|(O_{h^2})^3)_{0,1} \frac{6!}{3!3!} \\
+ & \left< O_{h^2}(O_{h^2})^2 O_{h^2} \right>_{\text{disk,3}} w(O_1 O_1|(O_{h^2})^4)_{0,1} \frac{6!}{2!4!} \\
+ & \left< O_{h^2}(O_{h^2}) O_{h^2} \right>_{\text{disk,1}} w(O_{h^2}O_1|(O_{h^2})^5)_{0,2} \frac{6!}{1!5!} \\
+ & \left< O_{h^2} O_h \right>_{\text{disk,1}} w(O_h O_1|(O_{h^2})^6)_{0,2} \frac{6!}{0!6!} \\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \left< O_{h^2} O_h (O_{h^2})^2 O_1 O_1 \right>_{\text{disk,1}} w(O_{h^2}O_1|(O_{h^2})^2)_{0,1} w(O_{h^2}O_1|(O_{h^2})^2)_{0,1} \frac{6!}{2!2!2!} \\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \left< O_{h^2} O_h O_h O_h \right>_{\text{disk,1}} w(O_h O_1|(O_{h^2})^3)_{0,1} w(O_{h^2}O_1|(O_{h^2})^2)_{0,1} \frac{6!}{1!3!2!} \\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \left< O_{h^2} O_h O_h O_h \right>_{\text{disk,1}} w(O_h O_1|(O_{h^2})^3)_{0,1} w(O_{h^2}O_1|(O_{h^2})^3)_{0,1} \frac{6!}{0!3!3!} \\
+ & \left< O_{h^2} O_1 O_{h^2} \right>_{\text{disk,1}} w(O_{h^2}O_1|(O_{h^2})^2)_{0,1} w(O_1 O_1|(O_{h^2})^4)_{0,1} \frac{6!}{0!2!4!}.
\end{align*}$$

By numerical computation, the l.h.s and the r.h.s. of (3.115) turn out to be $12823/32$ and $14743/32$, respectively. Since $12823/32 - 14743/32 = -60$, we have to add some extra terms to recover the equality. Then a strange idea came into our mind. How about adding the following virtual term?

(3.116) \[ \left< O_{h^2} O_{h^2} O_{h^3} \right>_{\text{disk,3}} w(O_{h^{-1}} O_1|(O_{h^2})^5)_{0,1} \frac{6!}{1!5!}. \]

Of course, $h^3$ and $h^{-1}$ are meaningless in the cohomology ring of $CP^2$, but we can compute the above intersection numbers formally if we use the residue integral formulas of Gromov–Witten invariants and multi-point virtual structure constants. By numerical computation, our residue integral formulas produce,

(3.117) \[ \left< O_{h^2} O_{h^2} O_{h^3} \right>_{\text{disk,3}} = -1, \ w(O_{h^{-1}} O_1|(O_{h^2})^5)_{0,1} = 10. \]

Hence, (3.116) produces the desired error $-60$! We also found that the r.h.s. of (3.99) produces non-zero rational numbers when we formally insert $O_{h^{-1}}$. 
Multi-point virtual structure constants and mirror computation

with \( m_i \geq 3 \). These facts led us to a new approach to consider insertions of \( \mathcal{O}_{h^j} \) \((j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots)\) even though \( H^{*,*}(CP^2) \) is spanned by \( 1, h, h^2 \).

Explicitly, we consider the generating function,

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{d \geq 1, m_j \geq 0} w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h^d} \mathcal{O}_{h^j}^m \right)_{\text{disk},2d-1} \\
\times \prod_{j=0}^\infty \frac{(x^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( w(\mathcal{O}_{h^d} \prod_{j=0}^\infty (\mathcal{O}_{h^j})^{m_j})_{\text{disk},2d-1} \) is defined by,

\[
\begin{align*}
w(\mathcal{O}_{h^d} \prod_{j=0}^\infty (\mathcal{O}_{h^j})^{m_j})_{\text{disk},2d-1} &= \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{-1}^d} \oint_{E_0(0)} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0)^3} \oint_{E_0(1)} \frac{dz_1}{(z_1)^3} \cdots \oint_{E_0(d-1)} \frac{dz_{d-1}}{(z_{d-1})^3} \\
&\times 2(z_0)^a \left( \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{(2z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1})} \right) \\
&\times \prod_{j=0}^\infty \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} w_j^i(z_{i-1}, z_i) + \frac{1}{2} (z_{d-1})^{j-1} \right)^{m_j}.
\end{align*}
\]

At this stage, we have to define the mirror map for the variables \( x^j \) \((j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots)\). If we pay attention to the fact that the mirror map in the closed string case was given by,

\[
t^j(x_0, x_1, x_2) = w(\mathcal{O}_{h^2} \mathcal{O}_1 | (x^0, x^1, x^2))_0,
\]

we are naturally led to the following definition:

\[
t^j(x^*) = t^j(x^0, x^1, x^2, \ldots) := w(\mathcal{O}_{h^2} \mathcal{O}_1 | (x^0, x^1, x^2, \ldots))_0 := \\
x^j + \sum_{d>0, m_j \geq 0} w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h^d} \mathcal{O}_1 \prod_{j=0}^\infty (\mathcal{O}_{h^j})^{m_j} \right)_{0,d} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^\infty \frac{(x^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!}.
\]
Here, \( w(\mathcal{O}_{h_2-j} \mathcal{O}_1 \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{O}_{h_j})^{m_j})_{0,d} \) is defined by,

\[
(3.122) \quad w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h_2-j} \mathcal{O}_1 \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{O}_{h_j})^{m_j} \right)_{0,d} = \frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^{d+1}} \int_{E_0} dz_0 \int_{E_1} \frac{dz_1}{(z_1)^3} \cdots \int_{E_d} \frac{dz_d}{(z_d)^3} \\
\times (z_0)^{2-j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{1}{2z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1}} \right) \\
\times \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d} w_j^1(z_{i-1}, z_i) \right)^{m_j}, \quad (d > 0).
\]

This formula produces non-trivial rational number even when \( 2 - j < 0! \)

With this setups, we conjecture that generating function of the open Gromov–Witten invariants of \( CP^2 \):

\[
(3.123) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a}(t^0, t^1, t^2, \ldots) \rangle_{\text{disk}} := \sum_{d \geq 1, \{m_j\}} \left\langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{O}_{h_j})^{m_j} \right\rangle_{\text{disk,2d-1}} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!},
\]

can be computed by the equality:

\[
(3.124) \quad w(\mathcal{O}_{h^a}|(x^0, x^1, x^2, \ldots))_{\text{disk}} = \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a}(t^0(x^*), t^1(x^*), t^2(x^*), \ldots) \rangle_{\text{disk}},
\]

or, conversely,

\[
(3.125) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a}(t^0, t^1, t^2, \ldots) \rangle_{\text{disk}} = w(\mathcal{O}_{h^a}|(x^0(t^*), x^1(t^*), x^2(t^*), \ldots))_{\text{disk}}.
\]

We have one subtle remark here. Even when we fix \( d \), the sum \( \sum_{m_j \geq 0} w(\mathcal{O}_{h^a}| \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{O}_{h_j})^{m_j})_{\text{disk,2d-1}} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x_j)^{m_j}}{m_j!} \) contains infinite terms because we have \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_h \) insertions. As for \( \mathcal{O}_h \) insertion, we have the equality,

\[
(3.126) \quad w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h^a}|\mathcal{O}_h \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{O}_{h_j})^{m_j} \right)_{\text{disk,2d-1}} = \left( d - \frac{1}{2} \right) w \left( \mathcal{O}_{h^a}| \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{O}_{h_j})^{m_j} \right)_{\text{disk,2d-1}}.
\]
Therefore, we can simplify the above sum to,
\[ (3.127) \]
\[
\sum_{m_j \geq 0, (j \neq 1)} w \left( O_{h^i} | \prod_{j \geq 0, j \neq 1} (O_{h^j})^{m_j} \right)_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} e^{(d-\frac{1}{2})x^1} \prod_{j \geq 0, j \neq 1} \frac{(x^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!}.
\]

But unlike the closed string case, \( O_1 \) insertion does not kill the open virtual structure constants. We have infinite summands in the sum (3.127). Hence our conjecture includes infinite procedures of computation even when we compute the generating function (3.123) up to some finite \( d \). So we limit our intention to compute \( \langle (O_{h^2})^{3d-2} \rangle_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \), which are only non-trivial open Gromov–Witten invariants for \( CP^2 \) in usual sense. To obtain \( \langle (O_{h^2})^{3d-2} \rangle_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \) up to fixed \( d (\geq 3) \), we found that we can truncate the parameters to \( x^0, x^1, \ldots, x^d \) and the number of \( O_1 \) insertions \( m_0 \) to \( m_0 \geq 0, (j \neq 1) w(O_{h^i} \prod_{j \geq 0, j \neq 1} (O_{h^j})^{m_j})_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} e^{(f-\frac{1}{2})x^1} \prod_{j \geq 0, j \neq 1} \frac{(x^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!} \) to 0, 1, \ldots, \( d - f \). The reason of validity of truncation is given as follows. We need finite number of operators \( O_1, O_{h^1}, \ldots, O_{h^d} \) to recover the equality (3.114) for \( \langle (O_{h^2})^{3d-2} \rangle_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \). In the case of \( d = 3 \), we only had to introduce one additional operator \( O_{h^3} \). The number of \( O_1 \) insertions that appear in the recovered equality (3.114) for \( \langle (O_{h^2})^{3d-2} \rangle_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \) is also finite. Therefore, we can also truncate the number of \( O_1 \) insertions. We indeed observed that these truncations do not affect the result of computation given in (3.125) up to degree \( 2d - 1 \) and \( t^0, t^1, \ldots, t^d \). Of course, even after this truncation, the size of computation is huge. So we only demonstrate the computation up to \( d = 3 \) here. The mirror map for \( t^0, t^1, t^2, t^3 \) is given as follows:

\[ (3.128) \]
\[
\begin{align*}
t_3 &:= (x^3) + q \left( \frac{1}{12} (x^2)^5 + \frac{7}{6} (x^2)^3 x^3 + \frac{5}{2} (x^3)^2 x^2 \right) \\
&\quad + q^2 \left( \frac{73}{336} (x^2)^8 + \frac{64}{15} (x^2)^6 + \frac{181}{8} (x^3)^2 (x^2)^4 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{97}{3} (x^2)^2 (x^3)^3 + \frac{35}{6} (x^3)^4 + \cdots, \\
t_2 &:= (x^2) + q \left( \frac{1}{4} (x^2)^4 + \frac{3}{2} (x^3)^2 + 2 (x^2)^2 x^3 \right) \\
&\quad + q^2 \left( \frac{33}{70} (x^2)^7 + \frac{203}{30} (x^2)^5 + \frac{47}{2} (x^2)^3 (x^3)^2 + 17 x^3 x^2 \right) + \cdots, \\
t_1 &:= x_1 + \left( \frac{1}{2} (x^2)^3 + 2 x^2 x^3 \right) q + \left( \frac{7}{10} x_2^6 + \frac{22}{3} x^3 (x^2)^4 + \frac{61}{4} (x^2)^2 (x^3)^2 \right).
\end{align*}
\]
\[ + \frac{7}{2} (x^3)^3 q^2 + \cdots, \]

\[ t_0 := (x^0) + \left( \frac{1}{2} (x^2)^2 + x^3 \right) q \]

\[ + \left( \frac{8}{15} (x^2)^5 + \frac{13}{3} (x^2)^3 x^3 + \frac{11}{2} (x^3)^2 x^2 \right) q^2 + \cdots. \]

To obtain \( ((\mathcal{O}_{h^2})^{3d-2})_{\text{disk,2d-1}} \), it is enough to compute,

\[(3.129) \quad w(\mathcal{O}_h| (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3))_{\text{disk}} \]

\[ = \left( (x^2) + \frac{1}{2} x^0 x^3 + \frac{1}{8} x^0(x^2)^2 + \frac{1}{16} (x^0)^2 x^2 x^3 + \frac{1}{192} (x^0)^2 (x^2)^3 \right. \]

\[ + \frac{1}{192} (x^0)^3 (x^3)^2 + \frac{1}{384} (x^0)^3 (x^2)^2 x^3 \]

\[ + \frac{1}{9216} (x^0)^3 (x^2)^4 \right) q^{(1/2)} + \left( \frac{5}{4} (x^3)^2 + \frac{21}{8} (x^2)^2 x^3 + \frac{27}{64} (x^2)^4 \right. \]

\[ + \frac{99}{1280} x^0(x^2)^5 + \frac{27}{32} (x^2)^3 x^0 + \frac{21}{16} x^2(x^3)^2 (x^0) \]

\[ + \frac{117}{20480} (x^0)^2 (x^2)^6 \left) q^{(3/2)} \right. \]

\[ + \left( \frac{246023}{322560} (x^2)^7 + \frac{7489}{768} (x^2)^5 x^3 + \frac{1889}{64} (x^2)^3 (x^3)^2 \right. \]

\[ + \frac{833}{48} x^2(x^3)^3 \left) q^{(5/2)} + \cdots, \quad (q = e^x), \]

but we also computed,

\[(3.130) \quad w(\mathcal{O}_{h^2}| (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3))_{\text{disk}} \]

\[ = \left( 2 + \frac{1}{2} x^0 x^2 + \frac{1}{8} x^3(x^0)^2 + \frac{1}{32} (x^0)^2 (x^2)^2 \right. \]

\[ + \frac{1}{96} (x^0)^3 x^2 x^3 + \frac{1}{1152} (x^0)^3 (x^2)^3 \right) q^{(1/2)} \]
\[ + \left( \frac{3}{2} x^2 x^3 + \frac{3}{8} (x^2)^3 + \frac{9}{128} (x^2)^4 x^0 + \frac{9}{16} (x^2)^2 x^3 x^0 \right) \\
+ \frac{3}{8} (x^3)^2 x^0 + \frac{27}{5120} (x^2)^5 (x^0)^2 q^{(3/2)} + \left( \frac{12823}{23040} (x^2)^6 \\
+ \frac{2219}{384} (x^2)^4 x^3 + \frac{391}{32} (x^2)^2 (x^3)^2 + \frac{67}{24} (x^3)^3 \right) q^{(5/2)} + \cdots , \]

to check integrable condition. By inverting the mirror map (3.128) and substituting the result into (3.129) and (3.130), we obtain the generating function of open Gromov–Witten invariants,

\[ (3.131) \quad \langle O_h(t^0, t^1, t^2, t^3) \rangle_{\text{disk}} |_{t^0=0} = w(O_h|((x^0(t^*) , x^1(t^*), x^2(t^*), \cdots))_{\text{disk}} \\
= Q^{(1/2)}(t^2) + \left( -\frac{3}{4} (t^3)^2 - \frac{3}{4} (t^2)^2 t^3 - \frac{9}{64} (t^2)^4 \right) Q^{(3/2)} \\
+ \left( \frac{3361}{64512} (t^2)^7 + \frac{33}{64} (t^2)^5 t^3 + \frac{145}{96} (t^3)^2 (t^2)^3 + \frac{65}{48} (t^2)^3 t^2 \right) Q^{(5/2)} + \cdots , \quad (Q = e^{t^1}) \]

and

\[ (3.132) \quad \langle O_{h^2}(t^0, t^1, t^2, t^3) \rangle_{\text{disk}} |_{t^0=0} = w(O_{h^2}|((x^0(t^*) , x^1(t^*), x^2(t^*), \cdots))_{\text{disk}} \\
= 2Q^{(1/2)} + \left( -\frac{3}{8} (t^2)^3 - t^2 t^3 \right) Q^{(3/2)} \\
+ \left( \frac{13}{24} (t^3)^3 + \frac{3361}{23040} (t^2)^6 + \frac{33}{32} (t^2)^4 t^3 + \frac{29}{16} (t^3)^2 (t^2)^2 \right) Q^{(5/2)} + \cdots . \]

Here, we set the variable \( t^0 \) to 0 to simplify the formulas. Note that the integrable condition,

\[ (3.133) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} \langle O_h(t^0, t^1, t^2, t^3) \rangle_{\text{disk}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} \langle O_{h^2}(t^0, t^1, t^2, t^3) \rangle_{\text{disk}}, \]

is satisfied. The numerical data (3.112) are also reproduced. We extended the computation up to \( d = 6 \) and obtained the table in Section 1.
4. Application to general type projective hypersurface

In this section, we discuss application of the multi-point virtual structure constants to Gromov–Witten invariants of degree $k$ hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ (in our works, we denote it by $M^k_N$). Let $w^{N,k}_N(O_{h^a} | \prod_{i=1}^n O_{h^{m_i}})_{0,d}$ and $w^{N,k}_N(O_{h^a} | \prod_{i=1}^n O_{h^{m_i}})_{\text{disk},2d-1}$ be closed and open multi-point virtual structure constants for $M^k_N$. They are defined as follows:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
w^{N,k}_N(O_{h^a} | \prod_{i=1}^n O_{h^{m_i}})_{0,d} &= \int_{\overline{M}_N,2|n|,(N,d)} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n ev_i^*(h^{m_i}) \right) \cdot c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{E}^{N,k}) ,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
w^{N,k}_N(O_{h^a} | \prod_{i=1}^n O_{h^{m_i}})_{\text{disk},2d-1} &= \int_{\overline{M}_N,1|n|,CP^{N-1}/RP^{N-1},2d-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n ev_i^*(h^{m_i}) \right) \cdot c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{E}^{N,k}_{\text{disk}}).
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

$\mathcal{E}^{N,k}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{N,k}_{\text{disk}}$ are orbi-bundles. The zero locus of sections of these bundles correspond to quasi-maps whose images lie inside $M^k_N$. Our discussions in Sections 2 and 3 are also applicable to these intersection numbers. By combining them with the results in [11,12], we obtain the following closed formulas:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
w^{N,k}_N(O_{h^a} | \prod_{i=1}^n (O_{h^{m_i}}))_{0,d} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{d+1}} \int_{E^{0}_0} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0)^N} \int_{E^{1}_0} \frac{dz_1}{(z_1)^N} \cdots \int_{E^{d}_0} \frac{dz_d}{(z_d)^N} \\
&\times (z_0)^a \cdot \left( \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{k z_j (2z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1})} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{j=1}^{d} e^k(z_{j-1}, z_j) \right) \cdot (z_d)^b \\
&\times \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{m_i}^1 (z_{j-1}, z_j) \right) \quad (d > 0).
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
Multi-point virtual structure constants and mirror computation

\begin{equation}
(4.136)
\begin{aligned}
w^{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_{h^a} | \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{h^m_i})_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \\
= \frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^d} \oint_{E_{(0)}^d} \frac{dz_0}{(z_0)^N} \oint_{E_{(0)}^1} \frac{dz_1}{(z_1)^N} \cdots \\
\times \oint_{E_{(0)}^{d-1}} \frac{dz_{d-1}}{(z_{d-1})^N} 2(z_0)^a(k!!)(z_{d-1})^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \\
\times \left( \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{e^k(z_{j-1}, z_j)}{(2z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1})} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} w_{m_i}^{1}(z_{j-1}, z_j) + \frac{1}{2}(z_{d-1})^{m_i-1} \right),
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

(k \text{ odd, } d \geq 1, z_d := -z_{d-1}).

In these formulas, \(e^k(z, w)\) is the polynomial in \(z\) and \(w\) given in (3.110). These intersection numbers are useful especially in the case of general type hypersurface \(M_N^k\) with \(k > N\). In our previous works, we used the virtual structure constants with two marked points. When the hypersurface is general type, we have to operate generalized mirror transformation to translate the virtual structure constants into Gromov–Witten invariants. According to [10,12], it is given as follows.

\begin{equation}
(4.137)
\begin{aligned}
w^{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b})_{0,d} \\
= \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} \rangle_{0,d} + \sum_{f=1}^{d-1} \sum_{\sigma_f \in P_f} S(\sigma_f) \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma_f)} \mathcal{O}_{h^{1+(k-N)f_j}}} \rangle_{0,d-f} \\
\times \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma_f)} w(\mathcal{O}_{h^{N-1-(k-N)f_j}} \mathcal{O}_{h^0})_{0,f_j}.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(4.138)
\begin{aligned}
w^{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_{h^a})_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} \\
= \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \rangle_{\text{disk}, 2d-1} + \sum_{f=1}^{d-1} \sum_{\sigma_f \in P_f} S(\sigma_f) \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma_f)} \mathcal{O}_{h^{1+(k-N)f_j}}} \rangle_{\text{disk}, 2d-2f-1} \\
\times \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma_f)} w(\mathcal{O}_{h^{N-1-(k-N)f_j}} \mathcal{O}_{h^0})_{0,f_j}.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
In the above formulas, \( P_d \) is a set of usual partition of a positive integer \( d \),

\[
P_d := \{ \sigma_d = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l) | d = d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_l, 1 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \leq \cdots \leq d_l \},
\]

and \( S(\sigma_d) \) is the symmetric factor:

\[
S(\sigma_d) := \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{(\text{mul}(i, \sigma_d))!},
\]

where \( \text{mul}(i, \sigma_d) \) is multiplicity of \( i \) in \( \sigma_d \). Therefore, if we intend to compute \( \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a}^k \mathcal{O}_{h^b} \rangle_{0,d} \) and \( \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} \rangle_{\text{disk,}2d-1} \) by using (4.137) and (4.138), we have to know the information of the multi point Gromov–Witten invariants \( \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a}^k \mathcal{O}_{h^b} \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma_f)} \mathcal{O}_{h^{1+(k-N)f_j}} \rangle_{0,d-f} \) and \( \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma_f)} \mathcal{O}_{h^{1+(k-N)f_j}} \rangle_{\text{disk,}2d-2f-1} \) in advance. In the closed string case, we used the associativity equation to compute them. But this process made the computation very complicated [10]. In the open string case, we did not know the open version of the associativity equation and we could not compute the open Gromov–Witten invariants genuinely from the open virtual structure constants in the \( k > N \) case [12]. In contrast, our multi-point virtual structure constants should include all the informations of the multi-point Gromov–Witten invariants. Therefore, we can apply the formalism of Sections 2 and 3 to execute the generalized mirror transformation for general type hypersurface \( M_N^k \). Let us illustrate our idea from the closed string case. For the hypersurface \( M_N^k \), \( k > N \), we introduce variables \( x^j (j = 0, 1, \ldots, N-2) \) associated with insertions of \( \mathcal{O}_{h^j} \) and generating functions,

\[
w^{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} | (x^0, \ldots, x^{N-2}))_0
:= x^c \cdot \int_{CP^{N-1}} k \cdot h^{a+b+c+1} + \sum_{d>0, m_j \geq 0} w^{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} | \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} (\mathcal{O}_{h^j})^{m_j})_{0,d}
\times \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} \frac{(x^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!}.
\]

Since (2.61) and (2.62) also hold in this case, these generating functions turn out to be polynomials in \( e^{x^1} \) and \( x^j \) \((j = 2, 3, \ldots, N-2)\). Next, we introduce
the generalized mirror transformation,

\begin{equation}
(4.142) \quad t^j(x^0, \ldots, x^{N-2}) := \frac{1}{k} w^{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_{h^N-2-j}(x^0, \ldots, x^{N-2})_0).
\end{equation}

If we invert the above equality, our conjecture predicts the following equality.

\begin{equation}
(4.143) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b}(t^0, \ldots, t^{N-2}) \rangle_0 := t^c \int_{CP^{N-1}} k \cdot h^{a+b+c+1} \sum_{d>0, m_j \geq 0} \langle \mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} (\mathcal{O}_{h^j})^{m_j} \rangle_{0,d} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} \frac{(t^j)^{m_j}}{m_j!} = w^{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_{h^a} \mathcal{O}_{h^b}|(x^0(t^0, \ldots, t^{N-2}), \ldots, x^{N-2}(t^0, \ldots, t^{N-2}))_0).
\end{equation}

Let us demonstrate this procedure by taking $M_9^9$ as an example. In this case, the mirror map is explicitly given up to $d = 3$ as follows:

\begin{equation}
(4.144) \quad t^0 = x^0, \\
t^1 = x^1, \\
t^2 = x^2 + 34138908q, \\
t^3 = x^3 + 124995960x^2q + 8404934443598718q^2, \\
t^4 = x^4 + 249752241x^3q + \frac{340609293}{2}(x^2)^2q + \frac{12364475520321141}{2}x^2q^2 + 381593305370462506215462q^3 + \cdots, \\
t^5 = x^5 + 340609293x^4q + 556222626x^3x^2q + \frac{257278653}{2}(x^2)^3q + 113932607554152477x^3q^2 + \frac{321886193235880779}{2}(x^2)^2q^2 + 33258838601987300311771653x_2q^3 + \cdots, \\
t^6 = x^6 + 374748201x^5q + 681218586x^4x^2q + \frac{805974867}{2}(x^3)^2q + 556222626x^3(x^2)^2q + \frac{257278653}{4}(x^2)^4q + 139268745219642741x^4q^2 + 472782967773195564x^3x^2q^2 + 223674801935251734(x^3)^3q^2
\end{equation}
\[ + 48918351923402413916303613x^3q^3 \]
\[ + 10609877842755977884727547(x^2)^2q^3 + \cdots \quad (q = e^{x_1}). \]

We can omit the \( d \geq 4 \) part because Gromov–Witten invarinat of \( M^9_8 \) is trivial if \( d \geq 4 \). We then compute,

\[ \frac{1}{9}w^{8,9}(\mathcal{O}_h\mathcal{O}_h|(x^0, \ldots, x^{N-2}))_0 \]
\[ = x^4 + 306470385x^3q + 215613333(x^2)^2 + 8976193428094677x^2q^2 \]
\[ + 62974884997971635191q^3 + \cdots. \]

If we substitute the inversion of the mirror map to \( w^{8,9} \), we obtain,

\[ \frac{1}{9}\langle \mathcal{O}_h\mathcal{O}_h(t^0, \ldots, t^{N-2}) \rangle_0 \]
\[ = t^4 + \left( \frac{90617373}{2}(t^2)^2 + 56718144t^3 \right) e^{t_1} + \frac{3551288061534365}{2}t^2 e^{2t_1} \]
\[ + 134585199184412898151 e^{3t_1}. \]

This generating function includes all the non-trivial genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariants of \( M^9_8 \) and reproduce the results in [9]!

In the open string case, we introduce

\[ w^{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_h^n|(x^0, \ldots, x^{N-2}))_{\text{disk}} \]
\[ := \sum_{d>0,m_j \geq 0} w^{N,k} \left( \mathcal{O}_h^n \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} (\mathcal{O}_h^{m_j}) \right)_{\text{disk,2d-1}} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} \left( \frac{x^j}{m_j} \right)^{m_j}! \]
\[ = \sum_{d>0,m_j \geq 0, (j \neq 1)} w^{N,k} \left( \mathcal{O}_h^n \prod_{j \neq 1} (\mathcal{O}_h^{m_j}) \right)_{\text{disk,2d-1}} e^{(d-\frac{1}{2})x_1} \cdot \prod_{j \neq 1} \left( \frac{x^j}{m_j} \right)^{m_j}! . \]

Unlike the \( CP^2 \) case, substitution of inversion of the mirror map to \( w^{8,9}(\mathcal{O}_h^n|(x^0, \ldots, x^{N-2}))_{\text{disk}} \) results in \( \langle \mathcal{O}_h^n(t^0, \ldots, t^{N-2}) \rangle_{\text{disk}} \). For examples,
we compute,

\[
    w^{8,9}(\mathcal{O}_h| (x^0, \ldots, x^{N-2}))_{\text{disk}} = \left( 945x^2 + \frac{945}{2} x^0 x^3 + \frac{945}{8} (x^2)^2 x^0 + \cdots \right) q^{(1/2)}
    + \left( 90642729450 + 236172454245 \frac{x^0 x^2}{2} + \cdots \right) q^{(3/2)}
    + \left( \frac{50109447061228637817}{5} x^0 + \cdots \right) q^{(5/2)} + \cdots
\]

and

\[
    w^{8,9}(\mathcal{O}_1| (x^0, \ldots, x^{N-2}))_{\text{disk}} = \left( 945x^3 + 45 \frac{(x^2)^2}{4} + \cdots \right) q^{(1/2)}
    + (168225362235 x^2 + \cdots) q^{(3/2)}
    + \left( \frac{27617717503277606364}{25} + \cdots \right) q^{(5/2)}
    + \cdots.
\]

In this case, we also have the subtlety of infinite insertions of \( \mathcal{O}_1 \). In the above formula, we truncated the number of \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) insertions so that the non-trivial open Gromov–Witten invariants of \( M^9 \) computed in [12] are not affected. Substitution of inversion of the mirror map results in,

\[
    \langle \mathcal{O}_h(t^0, \ldots, t^{N-2}) \rangle_{\text{disk}} = \left( 945t^2 + \frac{945}{8} (t^2)^2 t^0 + \frac{945}{2} t^0 t^3 + \cdots \right) e^{(1/2)t^1}
    + \left( \frac{101920638015}{2} t^0 t^2 + 58381461390 + \cdots \right) e^{(3/2)t^1}
    + \left( \frac{20865788438073398442}{5} t^0 + \cdots \right) e^{(5/2)t^1}
\]
and

\[(4.149) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_1(t^0, \ldots, t^{N-2}) \rangle_{\text{disk}} = \left(945t^3 + \frac{945}{4}(t^2)^2 + \cdots \right) \times e^{(1/2)t^1} + \left(33973546005t^2 + \cdots \right)e^{(3/2)t^1} \]
\[+ \left(\frac{41731576876146796884}{25} + \cdots \right)e^{(5/2)t^1}.\]

The integrable condition \(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^0} \langle \mathcal{O}_h(t^0, \ldots, t^{N-2}) \rangle_{\text{disk}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} \langle \mathcal{O}_1(t^0, \ldots, t^{N-2}) \rangle_{\text{disk}}\) is satisfied. The open Gromov–Witten invariants in these generating functions agree with the results computed from (3.109).

\[(4.150) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_h^2 \rangle_{\text{disk},1} = 945, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_h^3 \rangle_{\text{disk},1} = 945,\]
\[\langle \mathcal{O}_1(\mathcal{O}_h^2) \rangle_{\text{disk},1} = 945/2, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_h^2 \rangle_{\text{disk},3} = 33973546005,\]
\[\langle \mathcal{O}_h \rangle_{\text{disk},3} = 58381461390, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle_{\text{disk},5} = 41731576876146796884/25.\]

Therefore, we can compute the open Gromov–Witten invariants of \(M^9_{\text{g}}\) genuinely from the open multi-point virtual structure constants. Comparing these results with the ones of \(CP^2\), the reason why we had to introduce the variables \(x^j (j \geq 3)\) in the \(CP^2\) case is still unclear. We end this paper, leaving pursuit of this subject to future works.
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