

# The Lie group of isometries of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold

HUNG PHAM

*Dedicate to Professor Anthony To-Ming Lau with admiration on the occasion of his 80<sup>th</sup> birthday*

We give an elementary proof of the Myers–Steenrod theorem, stating that the group of isometries of a connected Riemannian manifold  $M$  is a Lie group acting smoothly on  $M$ . Our proof follows the approach of Chu and Kobayashi, but replacing their use of a theorem of Palais with a topological condition detecting when a locally compact subspace of  $M$  is an embedded integral manifold of a given  $k$ -plane distribution.

KEYWORDS AND PHRASES: Lie group, locally compact group, isometries, pseudo-Riemannian manifold, integral manifold.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let  $M$  be a (smooth)  $n$ -manifold (without boundary). Note that  $n$ -manifolds as well as Lie groups are *second countable* by definition; this is an important assumption (and requirement) that seems to be omitted in [2] and in [6].

Suppose that  $M$  is a connected Riemannian manifold. A well-known theorem of Myers and Steenrod [5] states that the group of isometries of a Riemannian manifold  $M$ , equipped with the compact-open topologies, admits a unique differential structure making it a Lie group acting smoothly on  $M$ . The original proof in [5] is complicated with difficult computation. Here, we will follow the approach of Chu and Kobayashi [2] (see also the textbook [4]). This approach uses the theory of principal bundles (see [3] or [7]) to reduce to the following theorem of Kobayashi; in fact, it even generalises the Myers–Steenrod theorem to pseudo-Riemann manifolds.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be a connected smooth  $n$ -manifold that admits a smooth global frame  $(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ : that is*

each  $E_j \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and, for every  $p \in M$ ,  $(E_{1p}, \dots, E_{np})$  is a basis for  $T_pM$ .

Denote by  $G$  the set of diffeomorphisms of  $M$  that leave each  $E_j$  invariant: that is

$$f_*(E_{jp}) = E_{jf(p)} \quad (p \in M, f \in G, 1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Then  $G$  is a group of diffeomorphisms of  $M$  that, equipped with the compact-open topology<sup>1</sup>, is a topological group that acts continuously, freely, and properly on  $M$  with closed orbits, and there exists a unique smooth structure on  $G$  making it a Lie group of diffeomorphisms that acts smoothly on  $M$ .<sup>2</sup>

The proof of this theorem in [4, Theorem I.3.2] uses a theorem of Palais (see [4, Theorem I.3.1]), and it goes by constructing a smooth structure on a certain normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and then shows that it is possible to translate the topology and smooth structure on  $H$  to the other  $H$ -cosets to make  $G$  a Lie group. But it does not seem to address whether this new topology on  $G$  agrees with the compact-open one, and it does not even address whether there are countably or uncountably many  $H$ -cosets in  $G$  (for  $G$  to be a Lie group, there must be only countably many cosets of an open subgroup such as  $H$ ). Thus without further argument, it is quite possible that  $H$  is just the trivial group, and then  $G$  becomes an uncountable discrete group.

To work around this issue, we shall adopt some element of the proof in [5], and introduce a topological condition guaranteeing that a locally compact subspace of a manifold is an integral submanifold for some given plane distribution. This is carried out in §2. This is then applied in §3 to prove Theorem 1.1; for the sake of the readers we include complete details, not just the part that requires §2.

---

<sup>1</sup>Given two manifolds  $M$  and  $\widetilde{M}$ , recall that the **compact-open topology** on the set of continuous maps  $M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$  has a subbase consists of sets of the form

$$\left\{ f : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M} : f \text{ is continuous, and } f(K) \subseteq V \right\}$$

for compact sets  $K$  in  $M$  and open sets  $V$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ . Given any metric  $\tilde{d}$  that defines the topology of  $\widetilde{M}$ , the compact-open topology is the same as the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $M$ . See [1, Chapter VII] for more details.

<sup>2</sup>This implicitly means that the given topology on  $G$  already makes it a topological manifold.

## 2. Integral manifolds without Frobenius

Throughout this section, let  $S \subseteq TM$  be a  $k$ -plane distribution on  $M$ , and let  $N$  be a topological subspace of  $M$ . This section is to give sufficient conditions for  $N$  to be an embedded integral manifold of  $S$ . Note that the  $k$ -plane distribution  $S$  is not assumed to be Frobenius, and so the Frobenius theorem is not applicable. See [3], especially §4.5 for  $k$ -plane distributions, integral manifolds, and the Frobenius theorem.

The following notions have their roots in the proof in [5], where  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold and normal coordinate neighbourhoods (through geodesics) are used.

### Definition 2.1.

- (i) Let  $(p_i)$  be a sequence that converges to  $p$  in  $M$ , and let  $v \in T_pM \setminus \{0\}$ . We say that  $v$  is a **direction of approach of**  $(p_i)$  if  $p_i \neq p$  eventually and there exists a coordinate neighbourhood  $(U, \varphi)$  about  $p$  such that (identifying  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with its tangent plane at each point) the  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -vectors  $\varphi(p_i) - \varphi(p)$  have directions approach that of  $d\varphi_p(v)$ . That is

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(p_i) - \varphi(p)}{\|\varphi(p_i) - \varphi(p)\|} = \frac{d\varphi_p(v)}{\|d\varphi_p(v)\|}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (ii) Let  $(p_i)$  and  $(q_i)$  be sequences that converges to  $p$  in  $M$ , and let  $v \in T_pM \setminus \{0\}$ . We say that  $v$  is a **direction of approach of**  $(p_i)$  **relative to**  $(q_i)$  towards  $p$  if  $p_i \neq q_i$  eventually and there exists a coordinate neighbourhood  $(U, \varphi)$  about  $p$  such that the  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -vectors  $\varphi(p_i) - \varphi(q_i)$  have directions approach that of  $d\varphi_p(v)$ .

So if  $v$  is a direction of approach of a sequence of points, then so too is any positive multiple of it. Similar remark holds for the relative version. The following shows that these notions are independent of coordinates used:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be smooth where  $U$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

- (i) *Let  $(p_i)$  be a sequence that converges to  $p$  in  $U$  such that  $p_i \neq p$  eventually, and let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be such that  $Jf_p(v) \neq 0$ .*

$$\text{If } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_i - p}{\|p_i - p\|} = v \quad \text{then} \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(p_i) - f(p)}{\|f(p_i) - f(p)\|} = \frac{Jf_p(v)}{\|Jf_p(v)\|}$$

- (ii) Let  $(p_i)$  and  $(q_i)$  be sequences that converges to  $p$  in  $U$  such that  $p_i \neq q_i$  eventually, and let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be such that  $Jf_p(v) \neq 0$ .

$$\text{If } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_i - q_i}{\|p_i - q_i\|} = v \quad \text{then} \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(p_i) - f(q_i)}{\|f(p_i) - f(q_i)\|} = \frac{Jf_p(v)}{\|Jf_p(v)\|}$$

*Proof.* Part (i) is a special case of (ii) (with all  $q_i = p$ ), and so let us prove (ii). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an Euclidean ball  $B_\varepsilon$  centred at  $p$  in  $U$  such that if  $x \in B_\varepsilon$ , then the operator norm

$$\|Jf_x - Jf_p\| < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $i_0$  be an index such that if  $i \geq i_0$ . Then  $p_i, q_i \in B_\varepsilon$ . Write  $w_i := p_i - q_i$ . Then

$$\|f(p_i) - f(q_i) - Jf_p(w_i)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 Jf_{t p_i + (1-t) q_i}(w_i) dt - Jf_p(w_i) \right\| \leq \varepsilon \|w_i\|.$$

Since  $w_i / \|w_i\| \rightarrow v$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we see from the above that

$$\frac{f(p_i) - f(q_i)}{\|w_i\|} \rightarrow Jf_p(v)$$

which shows that the direction of  $f(p_i) - f(q_i)$  approaches that of  $Jf_p(v)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $N$  be a topological subspace of  $M$ , let  $p \in N$ , and let  $v \in T_p M$ .

- (i) The vector  $v$  is called an **approaching direction for  $N$  at  $p$**  if  $v$  is a direction of approach of a sequence  $(p_i)$  in  $N$  that converges to  $p$ . The **approaching direction set for  $N$  at  $p$** , denoted by  $A_p^N$ , is the set of all the approaching directions for  $N$  at  $p$ .
- (ii) The vector  $v$  is called a **relative approaching direction for  $N$  at  $p$**  if  $v$  is a direction of approach of a sequence  $(p_i)$  relative to a sequence  $(q_i)$ , both converge to  $p$  in  $N$ . The **relative approaching direction set for  $N$  at  $p$** , denoted by  $\text{rel}A_p^N$ , is the set of all the relative approaching directions for  $N$  at  $p$ .

The following properties are obvious.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $N$  be a subspace of  $M$  and let  $p \in N$ . Then

- (i)  $A_p^N \subseteq \text{rel}A_p^N$ .  
(ii) If  $v \in \text{rel}A_p^N$ , then  $-v \in \text{rel}A_p^N$ .

The following theorem will be the base to prove other results.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -manifold, let  $S \subseteq TM$  be a  $k$ -plane distribution on  $M$ , and let  $N$  be a topological subspace of  $M$ . Suppose that the following two conditions hold for each  $p \in N$ :*

- $A_p^N \subseteq S_p$ , and
- *there exists a coordinate neighbourhood  $(U, \xi = (x^1, \dots, x^n))$  of  $p$  in  $M$  such that  $\xi(p) = 0$ ,  $S_p$  is the tangent space at  $p = 0$  for the coordinate  $k$ -plane  $\xi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \{x \in U : x^{k+1} = \dots = x^n = 0\}$ , and the projection  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  restricted to a homeomorphism from  $\xi(U \cap N)$  onto an open neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .*

*Then  $N$  is an embedded  $k$ -submanifold of  $M$  and an integral manifold of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Since this is a local problem, it is sufficient for us to consider the case that  $M = U$  is an open neighbourhood of  $p = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $(U, \xi)$  is the standard coordinate on  $M$ . Since  $d\pi_p = \pi$  under the natural identification  $\mathbb{R}^n \cong T_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^k \cong T_{\pi(p)}\mathbb{R}^k$ , it restricts to the identity map  $S_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ . So we may and shall suppose (by shrinking  $M$  further if necessary) that if  $x \in M$ , then  $d\pi_x$  is injective on  $S_x$ , and so a linear bijection from  $S_x$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^k \cong T_{\pi(x)}\mathbb{R}^k$ . For each  $x \in M$  and each  $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , set

$$\eta(x, v) := (d\pi_x|_{S_x})^{-1}(v),$$

where  $v$  is considered as an element of  $T_{\pi(x)}\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then  $\eta : M \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow S$  is smooth.

The hypothesis states that the restriction  $\pi|_N$  of  $\pi$  maps  $N$  homeomorphically onto an open  $k$ -ball  $B$  centred at 0. Denote by  $\varphi$  the inverse of  $\pi|_N$ , so that  $\varphi : B \rightarrow M$  is a topological embedding with image  $N$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $\varphi$  is a smooth embedding.

Take  $a \in B$ , and a nonzero vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^k \cong T_a\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then, by the definition of  $A_{\varphi(a)}^N$ , for any sequence  $t_i \rightarrow 0^+$ , if

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(a + t_i v) - \varphi(a)}{\|\varphi(a + t_i v) - \varphi(a)\|} = w$$

exists, then it belongs to  $A_{\varphi(a)}^N \subseteq S_{\varphi(a)}$ , and by Lemma 2.2 applied to the map  $\pi$ , we see that  $d\pi_{\varphi(a)}(w)$  has the same direction as  $v$ . Thus  $w$  is the normalisation of  $[(d\pi_{\varphi(a)}|_{S_{\varphi(a)}})^{-1}(v)]$ , and so

$$w = \frac{\eta(\varphi(a), v)}{\|\eta(\varphi(a), v)\|}.$$

A standard compactness argument then shows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi(a + tv) - \varphi(a)}{\|\varphi(a + tv) - \varphi(a)\|} = \frac{\eta(\varphi(a), v)}{\|\eta(\varphi(a), v)\|}$$

Since  $\pi$  is an orthogonal (linear) projection from  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ , and  $\pi \circ \varphi = \text{id}_B$ , we see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|\varphi(a + tv) - \varphi(a)\|}{\|tv\|} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|\varphi(a + tv) - \varphi(a)\|}{\|\pi(\varphi(a + tv) - \varphi(a))\|}$$

is equal to the cosecant of the acute angle between  $\eta(\varphi(a), v)$  and  $\pi(\eta(\varphi(a), v)) = v$ , which is  $\|\eta(\varphi(a), v)\| / \|v\|$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi(a + tv) - \varphi(a)}{t} = \frac{\eta(\varphi(a), v)}{\|\eta(\varphi(a), v)\|} \cdot \frac{\|\eta(\varphi(a), v)\|}{\|v\|} \cdot \|v\| = \eta(\varphi(a), v).$$

A similar argument shows that this is true with  $t \rightarrow 0^-$  as well. Hence

$$\left. \frac{d\varphi(a + tv)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \eta(\varphi(a), v)$$

The above argument shows in particular that  $\varphi$  has continuous partial derivatives of first order everywhere on  $B$ , and so it is  $C^1$  on  $B$ . Moreover, its Jacobian satisfies

$$J\varphi_a(v) = \eta(\varphi(a), v) \quad (a \in B, v \in \mathbb{R}^k),$$

and so  $\varphi$  is smooth with constant rank  $k$ . □

Here is the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -manifold, let  $S \subseteq TM$  be a  $k$ -plane distribution on  $M$ , and let  $N$  be a locally compact subspace of  $M$ . Suppose that the following two conditions hold for each  $p \in N$ :*

- (i)  $A_p^N$  spans  $S_p$  linearly, and
- (ii)  $\text{rel}A_p^N$  is included in the cone generated by  $A_p^N$ .

*Then  $N$  is an embedded  $k$ -submanifold of  $M$  and an integral manifold of  $S$ .*

**Lemma 2.7.** *Suppose that  $N$  is locally compact. Let  $p \in N$ , and let  $(U, \xi = (x^1, \dots, x^n))$  be any coordinate neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $M$  such that  $\xi(p) = 0$*

and  $S_p$  is the tangent space at  $p$  for the coordinate  $k$ -plane

$$\xi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \left\{ x \in U : x^{k+1} = \dots = x^n = 0 \right\}.$$

If  $\text{rel}\mathbf{A}_p^N \subseteq S_p$ , then there exists an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $U$  such that the coordinate projection  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  restricted to a homeomorphism from  $V \cap N$  onto its image.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to work inside  $U$ , thinking of it as an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\xi$  as the standard coordinate, and show the existence of an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $U$  such that the coordinate projection  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is injective on  $V \cap N$ : indeed, by shrinking  $V$  further if necessary, using the local compactness of  $N$ , we may require further that  $\overline{V} \cap N$  is compact, and an injective continuous map from a compact space onto a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism.

Assume towards a contradiction that for every open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p$  with compact closure in  $U$  there are two distinct points in  $V \cap N$  with the same  $\pi$ -image. But this with a compactness argument shows that there exist two sequences  $(p_i)$  and  $(q_i)$  that converge to  $p$  with  $p_i \neq q_i$  and a nonzero vector  $v \perp S_p$  and hence  $v \notin S_p$  such that  $v$  is the approaching direction of  $(p_i)$  relative to  $(q_i)$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\text{rel}\mathbf{A}_p^N \subseteq S_p$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Theorem 2.6 holds in the case where  $k = n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is locally compact, replacing  $M$  by an open subset if necessary, we may and shall suppose that  $N$  is closed in  $M$ . Also, restricting to a coordinate neighbourhood, we may suppose that  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ . We need to show that  $N$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (and so, by connectedness, must be all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  – but this is not important).

Assume towards a contradiction that  $N$  is not open. Then there exist  $p \in N$  and a sequence  $(a_i)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N$  that converges to  $p$ . Let us temporarily fix an  $i$ , and write  $a = a_i$ . Let  $\delta$  be the distance from  $a$  to  $N$  (with respect to the Euclidean distance). Since  $N$  is closed, we see that  $\delta > 0$  and there exists  $b \in N$  such that  $\|a - b\| = \delta$ . Consider the closed ball

$$B := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - a\| \leq \delta\}.$$

Then  $B^\circ \cap N = \emptyset$  and  $b \in N \cap \partial B$ .

We claim that  $\mathbf{A}_b^N \subseteq T_b C$ , where  $C := \partial B$ . Indeed, assume the contrary that there exists a vector  $v \in \mathbf{A}_b^N \setminus T_b C$ . Denote by  $L$  the hyperplane tangent to  $C$  at  $b$ ;  $L$  divides  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into two halves. Then there are two cases. Case 1: if

$v$  points to the half that includes  $B$ , then by the definition of  $v \in \mathbf{A}_b^N$ , some element of  $N$  must be in  $B^\circ$ ; a contradiction. Case 2: if  $v$  points to the half that does not include  $B$ , then since  $v \in \mathbf{A}_b^N \subseteq \mathbf{relA}_b^N$ , we have  $-v \in \mathbf{relA}_b^N$ , and so, by condition (ii) of the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6,  $-v$  lies in the cone generated by  $\mathbf{A}_b^N$ . Thus, in Case 2, some  $w \in \mathbf{A}_b^N \setminus T_b C$  will point to the half of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (as divided by  $L$ ) that includes  $B$ , and we arrive at a contradiction as in Case 1.

But the *claim* contradicts condition (i) of the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 in the case where  $k = n$ , so  $N$  must be open as asserted.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.6.* Let  $p \in N$ . By Lemma 2.7, there exists a coordinate neighbourhood  $(V, \xi = (x^1, \dots, x^n))$  of  $p$  in  $M$  such that  $\xi(p) = 0$  and  $S_p$  is the tangent space at  $p$  for the coordinate  $k$ -plane  $\xi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \{x \in V : x^{k+1} = \dots = x^n = 0\}$  such that the coordinate projection  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is a homeomorphism from  $V \cap N$  onto  $\pi(V \cap N)$ . Shrinking  $V$  further if necessary, we suppose that  $d\pi$  is injective on  $S_x$  for every  $x \in V$ . Working in  $V$ , we shall suppose that  $V$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\xi$  is the standard coordinate map.

Set  $P := \pi(V \cap N)$ . We *claim* that  $\mathbf{A}_a^P$  spans  $T_a \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\mathbf{relA}_a^P$  is contained in the cone generated by  $\mathbf{A}_a^P$  for every  $a \in P$ . Indeed, if  $x \in V \cap N$ , then  $d\pi_x(\mathbf{A}_x^N) = \mathbf{A}_{\pi(x)}^P$  and  $d\pi_x(\mathbf{relA}_x^N) = \mathbf{relA}_{\pi(x)}^P$ : The inclusions  $d\pi_x(\mathbf{A}_x^N) \subseteq \mathbf{A}_{\pi(x)}^P$  and  $d\pi_x(\mathbf{relA}_x^N) \subseteq \mathbf{relA}_{\pi(x)}^P$  are immediate from Lemma 2.2. To prove the reverse inclusions, say  $\mathbf{A}_{\pi(x)}^P \subseteq d\pi_x(\mathbf{A}_x^N)$  for example, take  $\{x_i\} \in V \cap N$  such that  $\pi(x_i)$  converges to  $\pi(x)$  with an approaching direction  $w \in T\mathbb{R}_{\pi(x)}^k$ . Then  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , and assume the contrary that this convergent sequence did not have  $v := (d\pi|_{S_x})^{-1}(w)$  as its approaching direction. Since  $\pi(x_i) \neq \pi(x)$  eventually, we have  $x_i \neq x$  eventually, and so passing to a subsequence if necessary, we shall suppose that  $(x_i - x)/\|x_i - x\|$  is kept away from  $v/\|v\|$ . Then, by compactness, passing to a further subsequence if necessary,  $(x_i - x)/\|x_i - x\|$  converges to some  $v' \neq v/\|v\|$ . But then, Lemma 2.2 implies that  $d\pi_x(v')$  has the same direction as  $w$ , and so  $v'$  must have the same direction as  $v$  – a contradiction.

The *claim* then follows from the fact that  $d\pi$  is a linear bijection from  $S_x$  onto  $T_{\pi(x)}\mathbb{R}^k$  for every  $x \in V$  and conditions (i) and (ii) in the hypothesis of the theorem.

The special case, proved in Lemma 2.8, applied to the locally compact subspace  $P$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , then implies that  $P$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Thus the hypothesis of Theorem 2.5 holds, and the current theorem then follows.  $\square$



As a sidenote, when  $N$  is locally Euclidean, the assumptions concerning  $\mathbf{A}^N$  and  $\mathbf{relA}^N$  can be relaxed with the use of Brouwer's invariance of domain theorem.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -manifold, let  $S \subseteq TM$  be a  $k$ -plane distribution on  $M$ , and let  $N$  be a locally Euclidean subspace of  $M$  of dimension  $k$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{relA}_p^N \subseteq S_p$  for each  $p \in N$ . Then  $N$  is an embedded  $k$ -submanifold of  $M$  and an integral manifold of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in N$ . By Lemma 2.7, there exists a coordinate neighbourhood  $(V, \xi = (x^1, \dots, x^n))$  of  $p$  in  $M$  such that  $\xi(p) = 0$  and  $S_p$  is the tangent space at  $p$  for the coordinate  $k$ -plane  $\xi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \{x \in V : x^{k+1} = \dots = x^n = 0\}$  such that the coordinate projection  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  restricted to a homeomorphism from  $V \cap N$  onto its image. Since  $N$  is locally Euclidean of dimension  $k$ , the invariance of dimension theorem implies that  $\pi(V \cap N)$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Theorem 2.5 then applies.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

First, note that it follows from the equivariant rank theorem and the condition that  $G$  acts smoothly and freely on  $M$  with closed orbits that, given any  $p \in M$ , the map

$$f \mapsto f(p), \quad G \mapsto M$$

is a smooth embedding. This proves the uniqueness of the smooth structure on  $G$ .

It remains to prove the existence. For this, we shall go through a succession of lemmas, where each notation will keep the same meaning once it is introduced. For each smooth vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , let us denote by  $\Phi_t^X(p) = \Phi^X(t, p)$  the maximal flow with generator  $X$  (see [3] for more details).

**Definition 3.1.** Define  $\mathfrak{E}$  to be the linear span of  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  in  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Set

$$\Psi(E, p) := \Phi_1^E(p)$$

for each  $E \in \mathfrak{E}$  and  $p \in M$  such that  $\Phi_t^E(p)$  is defined for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then, for each  $E \in \mathfrak{E}$ , set

$$M_E := \{p \in M \mid \Psi(E, p) \text{ is defined}\}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.**

- (i)  $\Psi$  is a smooth  $M$ -valued function defined on an open neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\{0\} \times M$  in  $\mathfrak{E} \times M$ .
- (ii)  $\Psi(tE, p) = \Phi_t^E(p)$  whenever either side is defined.
- (iii)  $d\Psi_{(0,p)} : (E, v) \mapsto E_p + v$  for each  $p \in M$ , where we identify  $T_0\mathfrak{E}$  with  $\mathfrak{E}$  naturally as for any linear manifold, and identify  $T_{(0,p)}\mathcal{U}$  with  $T_0\mathfrak{E} \oplus T_pM$ .
- (iv) For every  $E \in \mathfrak{E}$ ,

$$M_E = \{p \in M : (E, p) \in \mathcal{U}\} \quad \text{is open in } M$$

and  $\Psi(E, \cdot)$  is a diffeomorphism from  $M_E$  onto  $M_{-E}$  with inverse  $\Psi(-E, \cdot)$ .

*Proof.* These follow from the basic facts of the theory of (maximal) flows [3]. □

**Definition 3.3.** For each  $p, q \in M$ , define  $L_{p,q} : T_pM \rightarrow T_qM$  by setting

$$L_{p,q}(E_p) = E_q \quad \text{for every } E \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism and  $df_p = L_{p,f(p)}$  for every  $p \in M$ .
- (ii)  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  and leaves each  $E \in \mathfrak{E}$  invariant.
- (iii)  $f(\Phi_t^E(p)) = \Phi_t^E(f(p))$  whenever  $\Phi_t^E(p)$  is defined.
- (iv) If  $(E, p) \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $(E, f(p)) \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $f(\Psi(E, p)) = \Psi(E, f(p))$ .
- (v) For each  $p \in M$ , there is a neighbourhood  $\mathfrak{V}$  of 0 in  $\mathfrak{E}$  such that if  $E \in \mathfrak{V}$  then  $f(\Psi(E, p)) = \Psi(E, f(p))$ .

In particular,

$$G = \{\text{bijective } f : M \rightarrow M \text{ such that } f(\Psi(E, p)) = \Psi(E, f(p)) \text{ for } (E, p) \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and that (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) and (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v). To see that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), takes  $p \in M$ . Then  $\gamma(t) := f(\Phi_t^E(p))$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  curve defined on an open interval with  $\gamma(0) = f(p)$  and

$$\gamma'(t) = df(E_{\Phi_t^E(p)}) = E_{f(\Phi_t^E(p))} = E_{\gamma(t)}$$

where the second equality is due to  $f$  leaving  $E$  invariant. Thus  $\gamma$  is a flow line of  $E$  starting at  $f(p)$ , and so  $\gamma(t) = \Phi_t^E(f(p))$ .

To see that (v) $\Rightarrow$ (i), it is sufficient to show that  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism; the rest then follows from the properties of flows. For each  $p \in M$ ,

part (iii) of Lemma 3.2 and the inverse function theorem imply that  $\Psi(\cdot, p)$  is a diffeomorphism from an open neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{E}$  onto an open neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $M$ . Thus the local diffeomorphism property of  $f$  in the said neighbourhood of  $p$  follows from that of  $\Psi$ .  $\square$

The following connectedness argument will be used several times.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $P \subseteq M$ . Suppose that, for every  $p \in P$  and  $E \in \mathfrak{E}$ , if  $\Psi(E, p)$  is defined then it belongs to  $P$ . Then either  $P = \emptyset$  or  $P = M$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Psi(\cdot, p)$  is a diffeomorphism from a neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{E}$  onto a neighbourhood of  $p$ , the hypothesis implies that  $P$  is open. This also shows that if  $q \in \bar{P}$ , then there exists  $E \in \mathfrak{E}$  such that  $p := \Psi(E, q) \in P$ . Then  $q = \Psi(-E, p)$ , and the hypothesis again implies that  $q \in P$ . Thus, by the connectedness of  $M$ , if  $P$  is not empty, then  $P = M$ .  $\square$

Below we shall write the identity map on  $M$  as either  $\text{id}_M$  or  $e_G$ , the identity element of  $G$ , interchangeably.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $p \in M$  and  $f \in G$ . If  $f(p) = p$ , then  $f = \text{id}_M$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $P := \{q \in M : f(q) = q\}$ . Then by Lemma 3.4(iv), we see that  $P$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.5. This lemma then follows.  $\square$

In the next two lemmas, let us fix an inner product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{E}$ . This inner product induces a Riemannian metric on  $M$ , also denoted by  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  on each fibre of  $TM$ , and the associated distance function  $d$  on  $M$ . It then follows that each  $L_{p,q}$  is a linear isometry from  $T_pM$  onto  $T_qM$ , and, from Lemma 3.4, that every  $f \in G$  is a Riemannian isometry on  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $p \in M$ , and let  $(f_i)$  be a net in  $G$ . Suppose that  $(f_i(p))$  converges to a point  $q$  in  $M$ . Then there exists an element  $f \in G$  such that  $f(p) = q$ ,  $(f_i)$  converges to  $f$  in  $G$ , and  $(f_i^{-1})$  converges to  $f^{-1}$  in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $P$  the set of  $a \in M$  such that  $(f_i(a))$  is convergent in  $M$ . Then  $p \in P$ . For each  $a \in P$ , set  $f(a) := \lim_i f_i(a)$ .

Let  $a \in P$  and let  $E \in \mathfrak{V}$  such that  $\Psi(E, a)$  is defined. Then by Lemma 3.4(iv)

$$(1) \quad f_i(\Psi(E, a)) = \Psi(E, f_i(a)) \rightarrow \Psi(E, f(a)),$$

and so  $\Psi(E, a) \in P$ . Thus  $P = M$  by Lemma 3.5, and so  $f$  is globally defined on  $M$  with

$$(2) \quad f(\Psi(E, a)) = \Psi(E, f(a))$$

whenever  $\Psi(E, a)$  is defined.

Moreover, by the uniform continuity of  $\Psi$  on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$ , we see from (1) and (2) that, for each  $a \in M$ ,

$$f_i(\Psi(E, a)) \rightarrow f(\Psi(E, a))$$

uniformly for  $E$  in a compact neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Thus  $(f_i(x))$  converges to  $f(x)$  uniformly for  $x$  in a neighbourhood of  $a$ . Since  $a \in M$  is arbitrary,  $(f_i)$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on each compact subset of  $M$ .

The same argument applies to  $(f_i^{-1})$  with  $\lim_i f_i^{-1}(q) = p$  (using  $d(f_i(p), q) = d(p, f_i^{-1}(q))$ ) shows that there exists a map  $g : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $(f_i^{-1})$  converges to  $g$  uniformly on each compact subset of  $M$ . For each  $a \in M$ , one then sees that  $(f_i(a))$  converges to  $f(a)$ , and so  $(f_i^{-1}(f_i(a)))$  converges to  $g(f(a))$ , using local compactness of  $M$  and uniform convergence of  $(f_i^{-1})$  to  $g$  on compact subsets of  $M$ . Similarly,  $g(f(a)) = a$ . Thus  $f$  is bijective and  $g = f^{-1}$ .

Finally, by (2),  $f$  satisfies condition (v) of Lemma 3.4, and so  $f \in G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $(p_i)$  be a net that converges to  $p$  in  $M$ . Let  $(f_i)$  be a net in  $G$ , and  $f \in G$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(f_i)$  converges to  $f$  in  $G$ .
- (ii)  $(f_i(p_i))$  converges to  $f(p)$  in  $M$ .
- (iii)  $(f_i(p))$  converges to  $f(p)$  in  $M$ .

Note that Lemma 3.6 is a special case of this lemma (relative to the simple fact that  $G$  with the compact-open topology is Hausdorff).

*Proof.* Condition (i) implies condition (ii) since  $(f_i)$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $M$ .

To see that (ii) implies (iii), we note that  $d(f_i(p_i), f_i(p)) = d(p_i, p) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  since each  $f_i$  is a Riemannian isometry.

To see that (iii) implies (i), we use Lemma 3.7 to obtain a function  $h \in G$  such that  $(f_i)$  converges to  $h$  in  $G$ . Since  $h(p) = f(p)$ , Lemma 3.6 shows that  $h = f$ .  $\square$

Let us summarise what we have obtained about the given group  $G$  and its action on  $M$  so far in the following, which is immediate from the previous lemmas:

**Corollary 3.9.**  *$G$  equipped with the compact-open topology is a locally compact metrisable group that acts continuously, freely, and properly on  $M$ , and the  $G$ -orbits are closed.*  $\square$

Let us now work towards putting a smooth structure on  $G$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $p_0 \in M$ . If  $G$  admits a smooth structure making the map*

$$f \mapsto f(p_0), \quad G \rightarrow M,$$

*a (smooth) embedding, then  $G$  is a Lie group and the action of  $G$  on  $M$  is smooth.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $P$  the set of  $p \in M$  such that  $f \mapsto f(p)$  is an embedding. Then  $p_0 \in P$ . Let  $p \in P$  and  $E \in \mathfrak{V}$  such that  $\Psi(E, p)$  is defined. Then, by Lemma 3.4(iv), we see that

$$\{f(p) : f \in G\} \subseteq M_E$$

and, by Lemma 3.2,  $\Psi(E, \cdot)$  is a diffeomorphism from the open set  $M_E$  onto the open set  $M_{-E}$ . Thus

$$f \mapsto \Psi(E, f(p)) = f(\Psi(E, p))$$

is an embedding, and so  $\Psi(E, p) \in P$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $P = M$ .

Moreover, for each  $p \in M = P$ , let  $\mathfrak{V}$  be an open neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{E}$  that is mapped diffeomorphically by  $\Psi(\cdot, p)$  onto a neighbourhood  $D$  of  $p$ . Then since

$$(f, E) \mapsto \Psi(E, f(p))$$

is smooth on  $G \times \mathfrak{V}$ , we see that  $(f, x) \mapsto f(x)$  is smooth on  $G \times D$ . Thus the map  $(f, x) \mapsto f(x)$ ,  $G \times M \rightarrow M$ , is smooth. Since  $f \mapsto f(p_0)$  is assumed to be an embedding of  $G$  into  $M$ , we see then that

$$(f, g) \mapsto fg = f \circ g$$

being the composition of  $(f, g) \mapsto (f, g(p_0))$ ,  $(f, x) \mapsto f(x)$ , and then  $h(p_0) \mapsto h$ , is smooth.

Finally, the inverse of  $G$  is smooth is due to the implicit function theorem applied to the map  $(f, g) \mapsto fg$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $p \in M$ . Define  $A_p$  to be the set of those vectors  $v$  in  $T_pM$  for which there exists a sequence  $(f_i)$  in  $G$  such that  $(f_i(p))$  converges to  $p$  from the direction  $v$ . Let  $S_p$  be the linear span of  $A_p$  in  $T_pM$ .

In other words, if  $N$  is an  $G$ -orbit in  $M$  and if  $p \in N$ , then  $A_p$  is nothing but  $A_p^N$  as defined in Definition 2.3.

**Lemma 3.12.**

- (i) *Let  $p \in M$ , and let  $(f_i)$  be a sequence in  $G$  that converges to  $e_G = \text{id}_M$ . Suppose that  $q = \Psi(E, p)$  for some  $E \in \mathfrak{E}$ . Then if  $(f_i(p))$  converges to  $p$  from the direction  $v \in T_pM$ , then  $(f_i(q))$  converges to  $q$  from the direction  $d\Psi(E, \cdot)_p(v)$ .*
- (ii) *The spaces  $S_p$  ( $p \in M$ ) together form a vector subbundle  $S$  of  $TM$ .*

*Proof.* To prove (i), note that if  $\Psi(E, p)$  is defined, then  $p \in M_E$ , and  $\Psi(E, \cdot)$  is a diffeomorphism from  $M_E$  onto  $M_{-E}$  with inverse  $\Psi(-E, \cdot)$ . Also,

$$f_i(q) = f_i(\Psi(E, p)) = \Psi(E, f_i(p))$$

and so the assertion follows from Lemma 2.2(i).

To prove (ii), we see from (i) that if  $\Psi(E, p)$  is defined, then the linear bijection  $d\Psi(E, \cdot)_p$  is a bijection from  $A_p$  onto  $A_{\Psi(E, p)}$ , and so it restrict to a linear bijection from  $S_p$  onto  $S_{\Psi(E, p)}$ . Thus, for each  $v \in A_p$ , if we set

$$Z^v_{\Psi(E, p)} := d\Psi(E, \cdot)_p(v),$$

then as  $E$  varies, we obtain a smooth vector field  $Z^v$  on a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p$ , whose value at each  $x$  in that neighbourhood belongs to  $A_x$ , and if we let  $v$  varies in a maximal linear independent subset of  $A_p$ , then the corresponding  $Z^v$  form a collection of vector fields on  $V$  whose values at each  $x \in V$  form a linear basis for  $S_x$ . This shows that  $S = \bigcup_{p \in M} S_p$  is a vector subbundle of  $TM$ . □

A final piece needed to apply Theorem 2.6 is the following connection to Definition 2.1.

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $p \in M$  and let  $v \in T_pM$ . Then  $v \in A_p$  if there exist sequences  $(f_i)$  and  $(g_i)$  in  $G$  such that  $v$  is the direction of approach of  $(f_i(p))$  relative to  $(g_i(p))$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $h_i := g_i^{-1}f_i$  and choose a closed Euclidean coordinate ball  $U$  centred at  $p$  with positive radius. Part of the assumption is that  $f_i(p) \rightarrow p$  and  $g_i(p) \rightarrow p$  and that  $f_i \neq g_i$  eventually, so that both  $f_i \rightarrow e_G$  and  $g_i \rightarrow e_G$  by Lemma 3.8. Thus  $(h_i(p))$  converges to  $p$ , as well as  $h_i(p) \neq p$  eventually.

Take  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then since  $d(g_i)_x = L_{x, g_i(x)}$  by Lemma 3.4, and since  $g_i(x) \rightarrow x$  uniformly for  $x$  on compact subsets of  $U$ , we see that, shrinking  $U$  if necessary, there exists  $i_0$  such that for all  $i \geq i_0$  and all  $x \in U$ , we have the operator norm

$$\|\text{id} - J(g_i)_x\| < \varepsilon.$$

By increasing  $i_0$  if necessary, let us suppose also that  $f_i(p), g_i(p), h_i(p) \in U$  for all  $i \geq i_0$ . Then, using the fundamental theorem of calculus on the restriction of  $\text{id} - g_i$  on the coordinate line segment joining  $p$  and  $h_i(p)$ , we obtain

$$|h_i(p) - p - (f_i(p) - g_i(p))| = |(\text{id} - g_i)(h_i(p)) - (\text{id} - g_i)(p)| \leq \varepsilon |h_i(p) - p|$$

here we identify points of  $U$  with points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  using the given coordinate. From this and the fact that  $(f_i(p) - g_i(p)) / \|f_i(p) - g_i(p)\| \rightarrow v / \|v\|$ , it follows that  $(h_i(p) - p) / \|h_i(p) - p\| \rightarrow v / \|v\|$ , that is  $v \in A_p$ .  $\square$

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1.1: Fix an  $p_0 \in M$ , and let  $N$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p_0$  in  $M$ . Then, by Corollary 3.9,  $N$  is closed and the map

$$(3) \quad f \mapsto f(p_0), \quad G \rightarrow N,$$

is a homeomorphism.

For each  $p \in N$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_p^N$  as defined in Definition 2.3 is nothing but  $A_p$ . Thus each  $\mathbf{A}_p^N$  spans the fibre  $S_p$  of the vector bundle  $S$ . Lemma 3.13 shows that  $\text{rel}\mathbf{A}_p^N = \mathbf{A}_p^N$  for every  $p \in N$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.6,  $N$  is an embedded submanifold of  $M$ . Therefore, one can put on  $G$  a smooth structure making the homeomorphism in (3) a diffeomorphism. This then allows us to apply Lemma 3.10 to complete the proof.

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for their helpful comments.

## References

- [1] Glen E. Bredon. *Topology and geometry*, volume 139 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. Corrected third printing of the 1993 original. [MR1700700](#)
- [2] Hsin Chu and Shoshichi Kobayashi. The automorphism group of a geometric structure. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 113:141–150, 1964. [MR0164299](#)
- [3] Lawrence Conlon. *Differentiable manifolds*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks]. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2001. [MR1821549](#)

- [4] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Transformation groups in differential geometry*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1972 edition. [MR1336823](#)
- [5] S. B. Myers and N. E. Steenrod. The group of isometries of a Riemannian manifold. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 40(2):400–416, 1939. [MR1503467](#)
- [6] Richard S. Palais. A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22:iii+123, 1957. [MR0121424](#)
- [7] Michael Spivak. *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry. Vol. II*. Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, Del., second edition, 1979. [MR0532830](#)

HUNG PHAM  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS  
VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF WELLINGTON  
WELLINGTON 6140  
NEW ZEALAND  
*E-mail address:* [hung.pham@vuw.ac.nz](mailto:hung.pham@vuw.ac.nz)

RECEIVED APRIL 9, 2023