COMMUNICATIONS IN ANALYSIS AND GEOMETRY Volume 11, Number 5, 837-858, 2003

# Prescribing a Higher Order Conformal Invariant on $S^n$

#### SIMON BRENDLE

#### 1. Introduction.

An important problem in differential geometry is to construct conformal metrics on  $S^2$  whose Gauss curvature equals a given positive function f. This problem is equivalent to finding a solution of the equation

$$-\Delta_0 w = f e^{2w} - 1,$$

where  $\Delta_0$  denotes the Laplace operator associated with the standard metric  $g_0$  on  $S^2$ . J. Moser [22] proved that this equation has a solution if the function f satisfies the condition f(x) = f(-x) for all  $x \in S^2$ . The general case was studied by S.-Y. A. Chang, M. Gursky, and P. Yang [10, 11, 14].

A. Bahri and J. M. Coron [4, 5] and R. Schoen and D. Zhang [24] constructed metrics with prescribed scalar curvature on  $S^3$ . J. F. Escobar and R. Schoen [18] studied the prescribed scalar curvature problem on manifolds which are not necessarily conformally equivalent to the standard sphere.

Our aim is to generalize these results to higher dimensions. Let g be a conformal metric on  $S^4$ . We denote by R the scalar curvature of g and by Ric the Ricci tensor of g. Moreover, we denote by  $\Delta$  the Laplace operator with respect to the metric g. A natural conformal invariant in dimension four is

$$Q = -\frac{1}{6} \left( \Delta R - R^2 + 3 \, |Ric|^2 \right).$$

The quantity Q plays an important role in conformal geometry, see [7, 12, 15]. Indeed, the quantity Q enjoys similar properties as the Gauss curvature in dimension two. For a given positive function f on  $S^4$ , we want to construct a conformal metric g on  $S^4$  such that

$$Q = 6f.$$

If we denote by  $g_0$  the standard metric on  $S^4$ , then this problem is equivalent to the equation

$$\Delta_0^2 w - 2\Delta_0 w = 6 \, (f e^{4w} - 1).$$

If the function f satisfies the condition f(x) = f(-x) for all  $x \in S^4$ , then this equation has a solution. The solution can be constructed by means of an evolution equation, see [9].

More generally, we can consider the standard sphere  $S^n$ , where *n* is even. By the work of C. Fefferman and R. Graham [19, 20], there exists a conformally invariant self-adjoint operator with leading term  $(-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ . On the standard sphere  $S^n$ , this operator is given by

$$P_0 = \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} (-\Delta_0 + (k-1)(n-k)),$$

see [8, 12]. We consider the equation

$$P_0w = (n-1)! (f e^{nw} - 1)$$

for some positive function f on  $S^n$ . This is a semilinear elliptic equation of order n involving the critical Sobolev exponent. We assume that the function f satisfies the non-degeneracy condition

$$\nabla_0 f(p) \implies \Delta_0 f(p) \neq 0.$$

Moreover, we identify the group of conformal transformations on  $S^n$  with the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Moreover, we consider the map

$$H: B^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad \sigma \mapsto \left(\int_{S^n} f \circ \sigma \, x_i \, dV_0\right)_{1 \le i \le n+1}$$

Then we have the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that f satisfies the non-degeneracy condition and

$$\deg(H,0) \neq 0.$$

Then the equation

$$P_0w = (n-1)! (f e^{nw} - 1)$$

has a solution.

As a consequence, we obtain:

Corollary 1.2. Suppose that f satisfies the non-degeneracy condition and

$$\sum_{\nabla_0 f(p)=0, \, \Delta_0 f(p) < 0} (-1)^{\text{ind}(f,p)} \neq 1$$

Then the equation

$$P_0w = (n-1)! (f e^{nw} - 1)$$

has a solution.

An index criterion similar to that in Corollary 1.2 was introduced by A. Bahri and J. M. Coron [5] in their work on the prescribed scalar curvature problem. Related results were established by Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi and M. Ahmedou [16, 17].

In Section 2, we consider solutions of the equation

$$P_0w = (n-1)! (f e^{nw} - 1)$$

satisfying the normalization condition

$$\int_{S^n} e^{nw} \, x_j \, dV_0 = 0$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . Using the estimates for the Paneitz operator from [12], we can show that the function w is bounded in  $H^n$ . If the function f is close to 1, we establish an estimate of the form

$$\|w\|_{H^n} \le C \, \|f - 1\|_{L^2}$$

for some constant C.

In Section 3, we show that the a-priori estimates remain valid even if the normalization condition is dropped. The proof relies on the Kazdan-Warner identity (see [12]) and the non-degeneracy condition for f.

In Section 4, we use a topological degree argument to show that the equation

$$P_0w = (n-1)! (f e^{nw} - 1)$$

has a solution.

### 2. A-priori estimates for solutions satisfying a normalization condition.

Let f be a positive function on  $S^n$ , and let w be a function which satisfies the equation

$$P_0 w = (n-1)! (f e^{nw} - 1)$$

and the normalization condition

$$\int_{S^n} e^{nw} \, x_j \, dV_0 = 0$$

for  $1 \le j \le n+1$ . We begin with a simple estimate.

Lemma 2.1. The function w satisfies

$$\|w - \overline{w}\|_{W^{\frac{n}{2},p}} \le C$$

for all p < 2.

**Proof.** The function  $P_0 w$  satisfies

$$|P_0w| \le P_0w + 2(n-1)!,$$

hence

$$\oint_{S^n} |P_0w| \, dV_0 \le 2(n-1)!.$$

Using Green's formula, we obtain

$$\|w - \overline{w}\|_{W^{\frac{n}{2},p}} \le C$$

for all p < 2.

Using the normalization condition, it is possible to derive an improved Sobolev inequality for the function w. The proof follows ideas of T. Aubin [2, 3] and is included here for completeness.

**Proposition 2.2.** The function w satisfies the inequality

$$\log\left(\int_{S^n} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} \, dV_0\right) \le \int_{S^n} \frac{n}{4(n-1)!} \, w \, P_0 w \, dV_0 + C.$$

**Proof.** We use the inequality

$$\log\left(\int_{S^n} e^{nu} dV_0\right) \le \int_{S^n} \frac{n}{2(n-1)!} \left((-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} u\right)^2 dV_0$$
$$+ \int_{S^n} nu \, dV_0 + C$$

(see [1, 6, 12]). Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\int_{S^n} e^{nw} \, dV_0 \le C \int_{\{x_{n+1} \ge 2\delta\}} e^{nw} \, dV_0.$$

We first consider the case

$$\int_{\{x_{n+1} \ge \delta\}} \left( (-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w \right)^2 dV_0 \le \int_{\{x_{n+1} \le \delta\}} \left( (-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w \right)^2 dV_0.$$

This implies

$$\int_{\{x_{n+1} \ge \delta\}} \left( (-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w \right)^2 dV_0 \le \int_{S^n} \frac{1}{2} \left( (-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w \right)^2 dV_0.$$

We choose a cut-off function  $\eta$  such that  $\eta = 1$  for  $x_{n+1} \ge 2\delta$  and  $\eta = 0$  for  $x_{n+1} \le \delta$ . For  $u = \eta (w - \overline{w})$  we obtain

$$\log\left(\int_{S^n} e^{n\eta(w-\overline{w})} dV_0\right) \le \int_{S^n} \frac{n}{2(n-1)!} \left((-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} (\eta(w-\overline{w}))\right)^2 dV_0 + \int_{S^n} n \eta(w-\overline{w}) dV_0 + C.$$

From this it follows that

$$\log\left(\int_{\{x_{n+1}\geq 2\delta\}} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} \, dV_0\right) \leq \int_{S^n} \frac{n}{2(n-1)!} \, \eta^2 \left((-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w\right)^2 \, dV_0 + C.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\log\left(\int_{S^n} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} \, dV_0\right) \le \int_{S^n} \frac{n}{4(n-1)!} \left((-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w\right)^2 dV_0 + C.$$

We now consider the case

$$\int_{\{x_{n+1} \le \delta\}} \left( (-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w \right)^2 dV_0 \le \int_{\{x_{n+1} \ge \delta\}} \left( (-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w \right)^2 dV_0.$$

This implies

$$\int_{\{x_{n+1} \le \delta\}} \left( (-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w \right)^2 dV_0 \le \int_{S^n} \frac{1}{2} \left( (-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w \right)^2 dV_0.$$

We choose a cut-off function  $\eta$  such that  $\eta = 1$  for  $x_{n+1} \leq 0$  and  $\eta = 0$  for  $x_{n+1} \geq \delta$ . For  $u = \eta (w - \overline{w})$  we obtain

$$\log\left(\int_{S^n} e^{n\eta(w-\overline{w})} dV_0\right) \le \int_{S^n} \frac{n}{2(n-1)!} \left((-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} (\eta(w-\overline{w}))\right)^2 dV_0 + \int_{S^n} n \eta(w-\overline{w}) dV_0 + C.$$

From this it follows that

$$\log\left(\int_{\{x_{n+1}\leq 0\}} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} \, dV_0\right) \leq \int_{S^n} \frac{n}{2(n-1)!} \, \eta^2 \left((-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w\right)^2 \, dV_0 + C.$$

Using the inequality

$$\int_{S^n} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} dV_0 \leq C \int_{\{x_{n+1} \geq 2\delta\}} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} dV_0$$
$$\leq C \int_{\{x_{n+1} \geq 2\delta\}} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} x_{n+1} dV_0$$
$$= -C \int_{\{x_{n+1} \leq 2\delta\}} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} x_{n+1} dV_0$$
$$\leq -C \int_{\{x_{n+1} \leq 0\}} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} x_{n+1} dV_0$$
$$\leq C \int_{\{x_{n+1} \leq 0\}} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} dV_0,$$

we obtain

$$\log\left(\int_{S^n} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} \, dV_0\right) \le \int_{S^n} \frac{n}{4(n-1)!} \left((-\Delta_0)^{\frac{n}{4}} w\right)^2 dV_0 + C.$$

This proves the assertion.

On the other hand, S.-Y. A. Chang and P. Yang [12] established the following estimate:

### Proposition 2.3. Assume that

$$0 < m \le f \le M.$$

Then the function w satisfies

$$\int_{S^n} \frac{n}{2(n-1)!} w P_0 w \, dV_0 - \log\left(\int_{S^n} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} \, dV_0\right) \le C.$$

Combining these statements, we obtain:

Corollary 2.4. Assume that

$$0 < m \le f \le M.$$

Then the function w satisfies

$$\int_{S^n} w P_0 w \, dV_0 \le C.$$

As a consequence, we obtain:

**Proposition 2.5.** If the function f satisfies

$$0 < m \le f \le M,$$

then the function w satisfies the estimate  $||w||_{H^n} \leq C$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Corollary 2.4 that

$$\|w - \overline{w}\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}}} \le C.$$

Using an inequality of N. Trudinger, we obtain

$$\int_{S^n} e^{n(w-\overline{w})} \, dV_0 \le C.$$

Since

$$P_0w = (n-1)! (f e^{nw} - 1),$$

we obtain

$$\int_{S^n} f \, e^{nw} \, dV_0 = 1.$$

This implies

$$\frac{1}{M} \le \int_{S^n} e^{nw} \, dV_0 \le \frac{1}{m}$$

From this it follows that

$$C \le \overline{w} \le C$$

Thus, we conclude that  $\|w\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}}} \leq C$ , hence

$$\int_{S^n} e^{2nw} \, dV_0 \le C$$

by Trudinger's inequality. From this it follows that

$$\int_{S^n} (P_0 w)^2 \, dV_0 \le C.$$

Since  $\overline{w}$  is bounded, the assertion follows.

In the remaining part of this section, we assume that the function f is close to 1.

**Lemma 2.6.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a real number  $\delta > 0$  with the following property: If the function f satisfies

$$0 < m \le f \le M$$

and

$$\|f-1\|_{L^2} \le \delta,$$

then the function w satisfies the estimate  $||w||_{H^n} \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Proof.** We consider a sequence of functions  $w_k$  satisfying

$$P_0 w_k = (n-1)! \left( f_k e^{nw_k} - 1 \right)$$

and

$$\int_{S^n} e^{nw_k} x_j \, dV_0 = 0$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . We assume that

$$0 < m \le f_k \le M$$

and

$$||f_k - 1||_{L^2} \to 0.$$

By Proposition 2.5, the function satisfies the estimate  $||w_k||_{H^n} \leq C$ . Hence, by passing to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$||w_k - w||_{L^{\infty}} \to 0$$

for some function w. Then the function w satisfies

$$P_0w = (n-1)! (e^{nw} - 1).$$

From this it follows that w is smooth. Moreover, it follows from the results in [13] that the metric  $e^{2w}g_0$  agrees with the standard metric  $g_0$  up to conformal transformations. Using the normalization condition

$$\int_{S^n} e^{nw} \, x_j \, dV_0 = 0$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ , we conclude that w = 0. This implies

$$||w_k||_{L^{\infty}} \to 0.$$

Since

$$P_0 w_k = (n-1)! (f_k e^{nw_k} - 1),$$

it follows that

 $||P_0w_k||_{L^2} \to 0.$ 

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|w_k\|_{H^n} \to 0.$$

This proves the assertion.

**Proposition 2.7.** Assume that the function f satisfies

$$0 < m \le f \le M$$

and

$$\|f-1\|_{L^2} \le \delta.$$

Then the function w satisfies an estimate of the form

$$||w||_{H^n} \le C ||f - 1||_{L^2}.$$

**Proof.** The function w satisfies

$$P_0w - n! w = (n-1)! (f-1) e^{nw} + (n-1)! (e^{nw} - nw - 1)$$

and

$$\int_{S^n} w \, x_j \, dV_0 = -\int_{S^n} \frac{1}{n} \left( e^{nw} - nw - 1 \right) x_j \, dV_0$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . Using the Sobolev embedding theorem, we obtain

$$\|w\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C \, \|w\|_{H^n} \le C \, \varepsilon.$$

From this it follows that

$$\|P_0w - n! w\|_{L^2} \le C \|f - 1\|_{L^2} + C \varepsilon \|w\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{S^n} w \, x_j \, dV_0 \right| \le C \, \varepsilon \, \|w\|_{L^2}$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . Thus, we conclude that

$$\|w\|_{H^n} \le C \, \|f - 1\|_{L^2} + C \, \varepsilon \, \|w\|_{L^2},$$

hence

$$||w||_{H^n} \le C ||f-1||_{L^2}.$$

This proves the assertion.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let f be a function with

$$\|f-1\|_{L^2} \le \delta.$$

Then there exists a unique pair  $(w, \Lambda) \in H^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  such that

$$P_0 w = (n-1)! \left( \left( f - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_j x_j \right) e^{nw} - 1 \right)$$

and

$$\int_{S^n} e^{nw} \, x_j \, dV_0 = 0$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$  and  $\|(w, \Lambda)\|_{H^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigg\{ w \in H^n : \int_{S^n} e^{nw} x_j \, dV_0 = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le n+1 \bigg\}.$$

We define a map

$$\Phi: \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to L^2$$

by

$$\Phi(w,\Lambda) = e^{-nw} P_0 w + (n-1)! e^{-nw} + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (n-1)! \Lambda_j x_j.$$

We denote by

$$\Phi': T\mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to L^2$$

the differential of  $\Phi$  at the point (0,0). We have

$$T\mathcal{S} = \left\{ w \in H^n : \int_{S^n} w \, x_j \, dV_0 = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le n+1 \right\}$$

and

$$\Phi'(w,\Lambda) = P_0 w - n! w + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (n-1)! \Lambda_j x_j.$$

Therefore, the map  $\Phi'$  is bijective. The implicite function theorem implies that  $\Phi$  is a bijective map from a neighbourhood of (0,0) in  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  to a neighbourhood of (n-1)! in  $L^2$ . Since

$$\|f-1\|_{L^2} \le \delta,$$

there exists a pair  $(w, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  such that

$$\Phi(w,\Lambda) = (n-1)!f$$

and

$$\|(w,\Lambda)\|_{H^n\times\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}\leq\varepsilon.$$

From this the assertion follows.

## 3. A-priori estimates for solutions in the absence of a normalization condition.

Let f be a fixed positive function on  $S^n$ . In this section, we establish the following result:

**Proposition 3.1.** Let w be a solution of the equation

$$P_0w = (n-1)! \left( (s f + 1 - s) e^{nw} - 1 \right)$$

for some  $0 < s \le 1$ . Then the function w satisfies the estimate  $||w||_{H^n} \le C$ .

**Proof.** Assume that there exists a sequence of functions  $w_k$  satisfying

$$P_0 w_k = (n-1)! \left( (s_k f + 1 - s_k) e^{nw_k} - 1 \right)$$

for some  $0 < s_k \leq 1$  and

$$||w_k||_{H^n} \to \infty.$$

We choose conformal transformations  $\sigma_k$  such that

$$\int_{S^n} e^{n\tilde{w}_k} x_j \, dV_0 = 0$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ , where

$$e^{2\tilde{w}_k}g_0 = \sigma_k^*(e^{2w_k}g_0).$$

Then the functions  $\tilde{w}_k$  satisfy the equation

$$P_0 \tilde{w}_k = (n-1)! \left( (s_k f + 1 - s_k) \circ \sigma_k e^{n \tilde{w}_k} - 1 \right).$$

Since f is a fixed positive function on  $S^n$ , we have

$$0 < m \le (s_k f + 1 - s_k) \circ \sigma_k \le M.$$

Hence, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that  $\|\tilde{w}_k\|_{H^n} \leq C$ . Since

$$||w_k||_{H^n} \to \infty,$$

we conclude that the sequence  $\sigma_k$  tends to infinity. This implies

$$\|(s_k f + 1 - s_k) \circ \sigma_k - e^{-nr_k}\|_{L^2} = o(1)$$

for a suitable constant  $r_k$ . Using Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\|\tilde{w}_k - r_k\|_{H^n} = o(1).$$

Moreover, the Kazdan-Warner identity (see [12]) implies that

$$\int_{S^n} \langle d(f \circ \sigma_k), dx_j \rangle \, e^{n(\tilde{w}_k - r)} \, dV_0 = 0$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . If we identify  $S^n$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$  via the stereographic projection, then we may assume that the conformal transformation  $\sigma_k$  is given by

$$\sigma_k(y) = \frac{1}{t_k} y$$

for a suitable sequence  $t_k \to \infty$ . The pull-back of the standard metric on  $S^n$  under the stereographic projection is given by

$$(g_0)_{ij} = \frac{4}{(1+|y|^2)^2} \,\delta_{ij}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$x_j = \frac{2y_j}{1+|y|^2}$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and

$$x_{n+1} = -\frac{1-|y|^2}{1+|y|^2}.$$

This implies

$$dx_j = \frac{2}{1+|y|^2} \, dy_j - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{4y_i y_j}{(1+|y|^2)^2} \, dy_i$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and

$$dx_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{4y_i}{(1+|y|^2)^2} \, dy_i.$$

Using the formula

$$f(y) = f(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \beta_{ij} y_i y_j + o(|y|^2),$$

we obtain

$$(f \circ \sigma_k)(y) = f(0) + \frac{1}{t_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i + \frac{1}{2t_k^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \beta_{ij} y_i y_j + o\left(\frac{|y|^2}{t_k^2}\right),$$

hence

$$d(f \circ \sigma_k)(y) = \frac{1}{t_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \, dy_i + \frac{1}{t_k^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \beta_{ij} \, y_j \, dy_i + o\left(\frac{|y|}{t_k^2}\right).$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \int_{S^n} \langle d(f \circ \sigma_k), dx_j \rangle \, e^{n(\tilde{w}_k - r_k)} \, dV_0 \\ &= \int_{S^n} \langle d(f \circ \sigma_k), dx_j \rangle \, dV_0 + o\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{n-1} \alpha_j}{(1+|y|^2)^{n-1}} \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n - \frac{1}{t_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^n \alpha_i y_i y_j}{(1+|y|^2)^n} \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n + o\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{n-1} \alpha_j}{(1+|y|^2)^{n-1}} \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n - \frac{1}{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^n \alpha_j y_j^2}{(1+|y|^2)^n} \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n + o\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{n-1} \alpha_j}{(1+|y|^2)^{n-1}} \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n - \frac{1}{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^n \alpha_j |y|^2}{n(1+|y|^2)^n} \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n + o\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{n-1} \alpha_j \left(n + (n-2) |y|^2\right)}{n(1+|y|^2)^n} \, dy_1 \cdots dy_n + o\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right) \end{split}$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Thus, we conclude that  $\alpha_j = o(1)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . From this it follows that

$$\|(s_k f + 1 - s_k) \circ \sigma_k - e^{-nr_k}\|_{L^2} \le o\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right),$$

where  $e^{-nr_k} = s_k f(0) + 1 - s_k$ . This implies

$$\|\tilde{w}_k - r_k\|_{H^n} \le o\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right).$$

Using this estimate, we obtain

$$0 = \int_{S^n} \langle d(f \circ \sigma_k), dx_{n+1} \rangle e^{n(\tilde{w}_k - r_k)} dV_0$$
  
=  $\int_{S^n} \langle d(f \circ \sigma_k), dx_{n+1} \rangle dV_0 + o\left(\frac{1}{t_k^2}\right)$   
=  $\frac{1}{t_k^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^n \beta_{ij} y_i y_j}{(1+|y|^2)^n} dy_1 \cdots dy_n + o\left(\frac{1}{t_k^2}\right)$   
=  $\frac{1}{t_k^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{2^n \beta_{ii} |y|^2}{n(1+|y|^2)^n} dy_1 \cdots dy_n + o\left(\frac{1}{t_k^2}\right).$ 

Thus, we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{ii} = 0.$$

Therefore, the concentration point p satisfies

$$\nabla_0 f(p) = 0$$

and

$$\Delta_0 f(p) = 0.$$

This contradicts the non-degeneracy condition.

### 4. Existence results.

Let

$$\mathcal{M}_s = \left\{ w \in H^n : \oint_{S^n} \left( s f + 1 - s \right) e^{nw} dV_0 = 1 \right\}.$$

We define a map

$$\Psi_s: \mathcal{M}_s \to H^n$$

by

$$\Psi_s(w) = w - (n-1)! P_0^{-1} ((s f + 1 - s) e^{nw} - 1)$$

We first show that the degree of  $\Psi_s$  is independent of s.

Proposition 4.1. We have

$$\deg(\Psi_1, 0) = \deg(\Psi_s, 0)$$

for all  $0 < s \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 3.1 that the set

$$\{(s, w) : 0 < s \le 1, w \in \mathcal{M}_s \text{ and } \Psi_s(w) = 0\}$$

is bounded in  $\mathbb{R} \times H^n$ . The assertion is now a consequence of the homotopy invariance of the degree (see [23]).

We now choose  $0 < s \leq 1$  sufficiently small. By Proposition 2.8, for every conformal transformation  $\sigma$ , there exists a unique function  $\tilde{w}_{\sigma}$  which satisfies

$$P_0\tilde{w}_{\sigma} = (n-1)! \left( \left( (sf+1-s) \circ \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{\sigma,j} x_j \right) e^{n\tilde{w}_{\sigma}} - 1 \right)$$

and

$$\int_{S^n} e^{n\tilde{w}_\sigma} x_j \, dV_0 = 0$$

851

for  $1 \le j \le n+1$  and

$$\|(\tilde{w}_{\sigma}, \Lambda_{\sigma})\|_{H^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \le \varepsilon.$$

Using Proposition 2.7, we obtain  $\|\tilde{w}_{\sigma}\|_{H^n} \leq Cs$ . We now define functions  $w_{\sigma}$  by

$$e^{2\tilde{w}_{\sigma}}g_0 = \sigma^*(e^{2w_{\sigma}}g_0).$$

Then the function  $w_{\sigma}$  satisfies the equation

$$P_0 w_{\sigma} = (n-1)! \left( \left( (s f + 1 - s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{\sigma,j} x_j \circ \sigma^{-1} \right) e^{nw_{\sigma}} - 1 \right).$$

In the first step, we show that the zeroes of  $\Psi_s$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the zeroes of  $\Lambda$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** A function w satisfies  $\Psi_s(w) = 0$  if and only if there exists a conformal transformation  $\sigma$  such that  $w = w_{\sigma}$  and  $\Lambda_{\sigma} = 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $w \in \mathcal{M}_s$  satisfies  $\Psi_s(w) = 0$ . Then the function w satisfies the equation

$$P_0w = (n-1)! ((s f + 1 - s) e^{nw} - 1).$$

We choose a conformal transformation  $\sigma$  such that

$$\int_{S^n} e^{n\tilde{w}} x_j \, dV_0 = 0$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ , where

$$e^{2\tilde{w}}g_0 = \sigma^*(e^{2w}g_0).$$

Then the function  $\tilde{w}$  satisfies the equation

$$P_0 \tilde{w} = (n-1)! \left( (s f + 1 - s) \circ \sigma e^{n\tilde{w}} - 1 \right).$$

If s is sufficiently small, then we have

$$\|(sf-s)\circ\sigma\|_{L^2}\leq\delta.$$

Using Proposition 2.6, we obtain

$$\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^n} \le \varepsilon.$$

Hence, it follows from the uniqueness statement in Proposition 2.8 that  $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_{\sigma}$  and  $\Lambda_{\sigma} = 0$ . Conversely, if  $\sigma$  is a conformal transformation satisfying  $\Lambda_{\sigma} = 0$ , then the function  $w_{\sigma}$  belongs to the space  $\mathcal{M}_s$  and  $\Psi_s(w_{\sigma}) = 0$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a conformal transformation satisfying  $\Lambda_{\sigma} = 0$ , and let  $\Lambda'$  be the differential of  $\Lambda$  at  $\sigma$ . Furthermore, we denote by  $\Psi'_s$  the differential of  $\Psi_s$  at  $w_{\sigma}$ . We want to compare the number of negative eigenvalues of  $\Lambda'$  and  $\Psi'_s$ .

To this end, we differentiate the identity

$$P_0 w_\tau = (n-1)! \left( \left( (s f + 1 - s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{\tau,j} x_j \circ \tau^{-1} \right) e^{nw_\tau} - 1 \right)$$

with respect to  $\tau$ . This gives a collection of functions  $u_i$  such that

$$P_0 u_i = n! (s f + 1 - s) e^{nw_\sigma} u_i - (n - 1)! \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{i,j} x_j \circ \sigma^{-1} e^{nw_\sigma}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ . By definition of  $w_{\sigma}$ , we have

$$\int_{S^n} e^{nw_\sigma} x_j \circ \sigma^{-1} dV_0 = \int_{S^n} e^{n\tilde{w}_\sigma} x_j dV_0 = 0$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . Let  $v_j$  be the solution of the linear equation

$$P_0 v_j = -x_j \circ \sigma^{-1} e^{nw_\sigma}.$$

Then we obtain the identity

$$P_0 u_i = n! (s f + 1 - s) e^{nw_\sigma} u_i + (n - 1)! \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{i,j} x_j \circ \sigma^{-1} e^{nw_\sigma}.$$

Thus, we conclude that  $u_i \in T\mathcal{M}_s$  and

$$\Psi'_{s}(u_{i}) = (n-1)! \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Lambda'_{i,j} v_{j}.$$

We now establish precise estimates for the functions  $u_i$  and  $v_j$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** The function  $u_i$  satisfies the estimate

$$\|u_i + x_i \circ \sigma^{-1}\|_{H^n} \le Cs.$$

**Proof.** Since

$$\|(sf+1-s)\circ\tau-(sf+1-s)\circ\sigma\|_{L^2} \le Cs\operatorname{dist}(\tau,\sigma),$$

it follows from the proof of Proposition 2.8 that

$$\|\tilde{w}_{\tau} - \tilde{w}_{\sigma}\|_{H^n} \le Cs \operatorname{dist}(\tau, \sigma).$$

This implies

$$\|\tilde{w}_{\tau}\circ\tau^{-1}-\tilde{w}_{\sigma}\circ\sigma^{-1}\|_{H^n}\leq Cs\operatorname{dist}(\tau,\sigma).$$

Using the relations

$$\tilde{w}_{\sigma} \circ \sigma^{-1} = w_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{n} \log \det d\sigma \circ \sigma^{-1}$$

and

$$\tilde{w}_{\tau} \circ \tau^{-1} = w_{\tau} + \frac{1}{n} \log \det d\tau \circ \tau^{-1},$$

we obtain

$$\|w_{\tau} - w_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{n}\log\det d\tau \circ \tau^{-1} - \frac{1}{n}\log\det d\sigma \circ \sigma^{-1}\|_{H^n} \le Cs\operatorname{dist}(\tau, \sigma),$$

hence

$$\|w_{\tau} - w_{\sigma} - \frac{1}{n} \log \det d(\tau^{-1} \circ \sigma) \circ \sigma^{-1}\|_{H^n} \le Cs \operatorname{dist}(\tau, \sigma).$$

From this it follows that

$$||u_i + x_i \circ \sigma^{-1}||_{H^n} \le Cs.$$

This proves the assertion.

**Lemma 4.4.** The function  $v_j$  satisfies the estimate

$$||n! v_j + x_j \circ \sigma^{-1}||_{H^n} \le Cs.$$

**Proof.** Since  $-\Delta_0 x_j = n x_j$ , we obtain

$$P_0 x_j = \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} (n + (k-1)(n-k)) x_j = \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} k(n-k+1) x_j = n! x_j.$$

Using the conformal invariance of the Paneitz operator, we conclude that

$$P_0(x_j \circ \sigma^{-1}) \det d\sigma \circ \sigma^{-1} = n! x_j \circ \sigma^{-1},$$

hence

$$P_0(x_j \circ \sigma^{-1}) e^{n\tilde{w}_{\sigma} \circ \sigma^{-1}} = n! x_j \circ \sigma^{-1} e^{nw_{\sigma}}.$$

This implies

$$n! P_0 v_j = -P_0(x_j \circ \sigma^{-1}) e^{n\tilde{w}_\sigma \circ \sigma^{-1}}.$$

Using the estimate

$$\|\tilde{w}_{\sigma}\|_{H^n} \le Cs,$$

we obtain

$$||n! v_j + x_j \circ \sigma^{-1}||_{H^n} \le C ||P_0(n! v_j + x_j \circ \sigma^{-1})||_{L^2} \le Cs.$$

This proves the assertion.

**Proposition 4.5.** If s is sufficiently small, then the degree of  $\Psi_s$  coincides with the degree of  $\Lambda$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, the finite-dimensional approximations of  $\Psi'_s$  are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda' E & \Lambda' F \\ X & Y \end{pmatrix}^T,$$

where

 $||E - 1|| \le Cs$ 

and

$$||F|| \le Cs.$$

Using the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda' E & \Lambda' F \\ X & Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda' & 0 \\ XE^{-1} & Y - XE^{-1}F \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda' E & \Lambda' F \\ X & Y \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda' & 0 \\ XE^{-1} & Y - XE^{-1}F \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \det \Lambda' \det(Y - XE^{-1}F) \det E.$$

Hence, if s is sufficiently small, then det  $\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda' E & \Lambda' F \\ X & Y \end{pmatrix}$  and det  $\Lambda'$  have the same sign. Thus, we conclude that

$$\deg(\Psi_s, 0) = \deg(\Lambda, 0)$$

if s is sufficiently small.

We now identify the the group of conformal transformations on  $S^n$  with the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We consider the map

$$H: B^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad \sigma \mapsto \left(\int_{S^n} f \circ \sigma \, x_i \, dV_0\right)_{1 \le i \le n+1}.$$

Then we have the following result:

**Proposition 4.6.** If s is sufficiently small, then the degree of  $\Lambda$  coincides with the degree of H.

**Proof.** Using the Kazdan-Warner identity, we obtain

$$\int_{S^n} \left\langle d((s\,f+1-s)\circ\sigma) - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{\sigma,j}\,dx_j, dx_i \right\rangle e^{n\tilde{w}_{\sigma}}\,dV_0 = 0.$$

This implies

$$s \int_{S^n} \langle d(f \circ \sigma), dx_i \rangle e^{n\tilde{w}_{\sigma}} dV_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \Lambda_{\sigma,j} \int_{S^n} \langle dx_j, dx_i \rangle e^{n\tilde{w}_{\sigma}} dV_0.$$

Therefore, the degree of  $\Lambda$  coincides with the degree of the map

$$G: B^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad \sigma \mapsto \left( \int_{S^n} \langle d(f \circ \sigma), dx_i \rangle e^{n\tilde{w}_\sigma} dV_0 \right)_{1 \le i \le n+1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$|G(\sigma) - n H(\sigma)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left| \int_{S^n} \langle d(f \circ \sigma), dx_i \rangle e^{n\tilde{w}_{\sigma}} dV_0 - n \int_{S^n} f \circ \sigma x_i dV_0 \right|$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left| \int_{S^n} \langle d(f \circ \sigma), dx_i \rangle (e^{n\tilde{w}_{\sigma}} - 1) dV_0 \right|$$
  
$$\leq Cs.$$

If s is sufficiently small, then G and H are homotopic, and therefore the degree of G agrees with the degree of H.

Combining these statements, we obtain

$$\deg(\Psi_1, 0) = \deg(H, 0).$$

By assumption, we have  $\deg(H, 0) \neq 0$ , hence  $\deg(\Psi_1, 0) \neq 0$ . Therefore, there exists a function  $w \in \mathcal{M}_1$  such that  $\Psi_1(w) = 0$ . This implies

$$\int_{S^n} f \, e^{nw} \, dV_0 = 1$$

and

$$w - (n-1)! P_0^{-1}(f e^{nw} - 1) = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$P_0w = (n-1)! (f e^{nw} - 1).$$

#### **References.**

- D. Adams, A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives, Ann. of Math. 128, 385-398 (1988)
- [2] T. Aubin, Meilleures constantes dans le théorème d'inclusion de Sobolev et un théorème de Fredholm non linéaire pour la transformation conforme de la courbure scalaire, J. Funct. Anal. 32, 148-174 (1979)
- [3] T. Aubin, Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds, Monge-Ampère Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York (1982)
- [4] A. Bahri and J.M. Coron, On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: The effect of the topology of the domain, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41, 253-290 (1988)
- [5] A. Bahri and J. M. Coron, The scalar curvature on the standard threedimensional sphere, J. Funct. Anal. 95, 106-172 (1991)
- [6] W. Beckner, Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality, Ann. of Math. 138, 213-242 (1993)
- [7] T. Branson, S.-Y. A. Chang and P. Yang, Estimates and extremal problems for the log-determinant on 4-manifolds, Comm. Math. Phys. 149, 241-262 (1992)
- [8] T. Branson, Sharp inequalities, the functional determinant, and the complementary series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347, 3671-3742 (1995)
- [9] S. Brendle, Global existence and convergence for a higher order flow in conformal geometry, to appear in Ann. of Math.

- [10] S.-Y. A. Chang and P. Yang, Prescribing Gauss curvature on S<sup>2</sup>, Acta Math. 159, 215-259 (1987)
- [11] S.-Y. A. Chang and P. Yang, A perturbation result in prescribing scalar curvature on S<sup>n</sup>, Duke Math. J. 64, 27-69 (1991)
- [12] S.-Y. A. Chang and P. Yang, Extremal metrics of zeta functional determinants on 4-manifolds, Ann. of Math. 142, 171-212 (1995)
- [13] S.-Y. A. Chang and P. Yang, On uniqueness of solutions of n-th order differential equations in conformal geometry, Math. Res. Lett. 4, 91-102 (1997)
- [14] S.-Y. A. Chang, M. Gursky and P. Yang, The scalar curvature on 2and 3-spheres, Comm. PDE 1, 205-229 (1993)
- [15] S.-Y. A. Chang, M. Gursky and P. Yang, An Equation of Monge-Ampere type in conformal geometry and four-manifolds of positive Ricci curvature, to appear in Ann. of Math.
- [16] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi and M. Ahmedou, Prescribing a fourth order conformal invariant on the standard sphere, Part I: a perturbation result, preprint (2000)
- [17] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi and M. Ahmedou, Prescribing a fourth order conformal invariant on the standard sphere, Part II: blow-up analysis and applications, preprint (2000)
- [18] J. F. Escobar and R. Schoen, Conformal metrics with prescribed scalar curvature, Invent. Math. 86, 243-254 (1986)
- [19] C. Fefferman and R. Graham, Conformal invariants, Astérisque, 95-116 (1985)
- [20] C. Fefferman and R. Graham, Q-curvature and Poincaré metrics, prepint (2001)
- [21] C. S. Lin, A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Comm. Math. Helv. 73, 206-231 (1998)
- [22] J. Moser, On a non-linear problem in differential geometry, in Dynamical Systems, ed. M. Peixoto, Academic Press, New York (1973)
- [23] L. Nirenberg, Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Lectures, Courant Institute, New-York (1974)

[24] R. Schoen and D. Zhang, Prescribed scalar curvature on the n-sphere, Calc. Var. PDE 4, 1-25 (1996)

Received June 26, 2002.