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In this paper, we generalize the Cao-Yau's gradient estimate for the sum of squares of vector fields up to higher step under assumption of the generalized curvature-dimension inequality. With its applications, by deriving a curvature-dimension inequality, we are able to obtain the Li-Yau gradient estimate for the CR heat equation in a closed pseudohermitian manifold of nonvanishing torsion tensors. As consequences, we obtain the Harnack inequality and upper bound estimate for the CR heat kernel.
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## 1. Introduction

One of the goals for differential geometry and geometric analysis is to understand and classify the singularity models of a nonlinear geometric evolution equation, and to connect it to the existence problem of geometric structures

[^0]on manifolds. For instance in 1982, R. Hamilton ([H3]) introduced the Ricci flow. Then by studying the singularity models ( $[\mathrm{H} 2],[\mathrm{Pe} 1],[\mathrm{Pe} 2],[\mathrm{Pe} 3])$ of Ricci flow, R. Hamilton and G. Perelman solved the Thurston geometrization conjecture and Poincare conjecture for a closed 3-manifold in 2002.

On the other hand, in the seminal paper of P. Li and S.-T. Yau ([LY]) established the parabolic Li-Yau gradient estimate and Harnack inequality for the positive solution of heat equation

$$
\left(\Delta-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u(x, t)=0
$$

in a complete Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Here $\Delta$ is the time-independent Laplacian operator. Later, R. S. Hamilton ( [H1]) obtained the so-called Li-Yau-Hamilton inequality for the Ricci flow in a complete Riemannian manifold with a bounded and nonnegative curvature operator. Recently, G. Perelman ([Pe1]) derived the remarkable entropy formula which is important in the study of the singularity models of Ricci flow. The derivation of the entropy formula resembles the Li-Yau gradient estimate for the heat equation. Since then, there were many additional works in this direction which cover various different geometric evolution equations such as the mean curvature flow ( [H4]), the Kähler-Ricci flow ([Ca]), the Yamabe flow ( Ch$]$ ), etc.

In the paper of [CKW], following this direction, we propose to study the most important geometrization problem of closed CR 3-manifolds via the CR torsion flow (1.1). More precisely, let us recall that a strictly pseudoconvex CR structure on a pseudohermitian 3 -manifold $(M, J, \theta)$ is given by a cooriented plane field $\operatorname{ker} \theta$, where $\theta$ is a contact form, together with a compatible complex structure $J$. Given this data, there is a natural connection, the so-called Tanaka-Webster connection or pseudohermitian connection. We denote the torsion of this connection by $A_{J, \theta}$, and the Webster curvature by $W$. We consider the torsion flow

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial t}=2 A_{J, \theta}  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}=-2 W \theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

on $(M, J, \theta) \times[0, T)$. It is the negative gradient flow of CR Einstein-Hilbert functional. Along this direction with the torsion flow (1.1), we have established the CR Li-Yau gradient estimate ( $[\mathrm{CKL}]$ ) and the Li-Yau-Hamilton
inequality ( CFTW$], \boxed{\mathrm{CCF}}$ ) for the positive solution of CR heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{b}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u(x, t)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold with nonnegative pseudohermitian Ricci curvature and vanishing torsion tensors (see next section for definition). Here $\Delta_{b}$ is the time-independent sub-Laplacian operator. One of our goals in this paper is to find the CR Li-Yau gradient estimate in a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold with nonvanishing torsion tensors.

Let us start with a more general setup for the Li-Yau gradient estimate in a closed manifold with a positive measure and an operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{j=1}^{d} e_{j}^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the sum of squares of vector fields $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}$ which satisfies Hörmander's condition $([\boxed{H}])$. More precisely, the vector fields $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots$, $e_{d}$ together with their commutators $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{h}$ up to finite order span the tangent bundle at every point of $M$ with $d+h=\operatorname{dim} M$. It is to say that the commutators of $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}$ of order $r$ ( or called step $r$ as well) can be expressed as linear combinations of $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}$ and their commutators up to the order $r-1$. The very first paper of H.-D. Cao and S.-T. Yau ([CY]) follows this line, and considers the heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u(x, t)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

They derived the gradient estimate of sum of squares of vector fields of step two $(r=2)$ in a closed manifold with a positive measure.

In this paper, with the help of a generalized curvature-dimension inequality explained below, we are able to obtain the Li-Yau gradient estimate for the CR heat equation in a closed pseudohermitian manifold of the nonvanishing torsion tensor. As consequences, we obtain the Harnack inequality and upper bound estimate for the heat kernel. With the same mentality, we generalize the Cao-Yau's gradient estimate for the sum of squares of vector fields up to order three and higher under assumption of a generalized curvature-dimension inequality.

One of the key steps in Li-Yau's method for the proof of gradient estimates is the Bochner formula involving the (Riemannian) Ricci curvature
tensor. Bakry and Emery ( $\overline{\mathrm{BE}}, \overline{\mathrm{BBBQ}})$ pioneered the approach to generalizing curvature in the context of gradient estimates by using curvaturedimension inequalities. In the CR analogue of the Li-Yau gradient estimate ( CKL ), the CR Bochner formula ( $[\mathrm{G}]$ ) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{b}\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}= & |H e s s(f)|^{2}+\left\langle\nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b}\left(\Delta_{b} f\right)\right\rangle+2\left\langle J \nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b} f_{0}\right\rangle  \tag{1.5}\\
& +(2 \operatorname{Ric}-(n-2) \text { Tor })\left(\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{C},\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{C}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which involves a term $\left\langle J \nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b} f_{0}\right\rangle$ that has no analogue in the Riemannian case. Here $f_{0}:=\mathbf{T} \varphi$ and $T$ is the characteristic vector field. In order to deal with the extra term $\left\langle J \nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b} f_{0}\right\rangle$ in case of vanishing torsion tensors, based on the CR Bochner formula (1.5), we can show the so-called curvaturedimension inequality (see Lemma 3.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}(f, f)+\nu \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f) \geq \frac{2}{n}\left|\Delta_{b} f\right|^{2}+\left(-2 k-\frac{8}{\nu}\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}+2 n\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any smooth function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\nu>0$ and the pseudohermitian Ricci curvature bounded below by $-k$. Here

$$
\Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f):=2\left|\nabla_{b} f_{0}\right|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{2}(f, f):=4|H e s s(f)|^{2}+8 \operatorname{Ric}\left(\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{C},\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{C}\right)+8\left\langle J \nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b} f_{0}\right\rangle
$$

Before we introduce the generalized curvature-dimension inequality (1.7) which was first introduced by Baudoin and Garofalo ( $[\overline{\mathrm{BG}}$ ) in the content of sub-Riemannian geometry, it is useful to compare Cao-Yau's notations with pseudohermitian geometry.

Let $J$ be a CR structure compatible with the contact bundle $\xi=\operatorname{ker} \theta$ and $\mathbf{T}$ be the characteristic vector field of the contact form $\theta$ in a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold $(M, J, \theta)$. The CR structure $J$ decomposes $\mathbf{C} \otimes \xi$ into the direct sum of $T_{1,0}$ and $T_{0,1}$ which are eigenspaces of $J$ with respect to $i$ and $-i$, respectively. By choosing a frame $\left\{\mathbf{T}, Z_{j i}, Z_{\bar{j}}\right\}$ of $T M \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with respect to the Levi form such that

$$
J\left(Z_{j}\right)=i Z_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad J\left(Z_{\bar{j}}\right)=-i Z_{\bar{j}}
$$

then $Y_{1}$ will be the characteristic vector field $\mathbf{T}$ with $\alpha=1, d=2 n$ and

$$
Z_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{j}-i e_{\widetilde{j}}\right) \text { and } Z_{\bar{j}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{j}+i e_{\widetilde{j}}\right)
$$

with $\widetilde{j}=n+j, j=1, \ldots, n$. The operator that we are interested in this paper will be

$$
L=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(e_{j}^{2}+e_{\widetilde{j}}^{2}\right)=2 \Delta_{b} \text {. }
$$

Definition 1.1. Let $M$ be a smooth connected manifold with a positive measure and vector fields $\left\{e_{i}, Y_{\alpha}\right\}_{i \in I_{d}, \alpha \in \Lambda}$ spanning the tangent space $T M$. For $\rho_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \rho_{2}>0, \kappa \geq 0, m>0$, we say that $M$ satisfies the generalized curvature-dimension inequality $C D\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \kappa, m\right)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m}(L f)^{2}+\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\nu}\right) \Gamma(f, f)+\rho_{2} \Gamma^{Z}(f, f) \leq \Gamma_{2}(f, f)+\nu \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any smooth function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\nu>0$. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(f, f) & :=\sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}, \\
\Gamma^{Z}(f, f) & :=\sum_{\alpha \in I_{h}}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}, \\
\Gamma_{2}(f, f) & :=\frac{1}{2}\left[L(\Gamma(f, f))-2 \sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(e_{j} L f\right)\right], \\
\Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f) & :=\frac{1}{2}\left[L\left(\Gamma^{Z}(f, f)\right)-2 \sum_{\alpha \in I_{h}}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(Y_{\alpha} L f\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we also have

$$
\Gamma_{2}(f, f)=\sum_{i, j \in I_{d}}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|^{2}+\sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(\left[L, e_{j}\right] f\right)
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f)=\sum_{i \in I_{d}, \alpha \in I_{h}}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in I_{h}}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[L, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right)
$$

In Lemma 3.2, we will derive a curvature-dimension inequality (1.7) in a closed pseudohermitian manifold of the nonvanishing torsion tensor. As a result, we are able to obtain the following CR Li-Yau gradient estimate which is served as a generalization of the CR Li-Yau gradient estimate in a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold with nonnegative pseudohermitian Ricci curvature and vanishing torsion as in [CKL], CCKL] and [BG].

Theorem 1.1. Let $(M, J, \theta)$ be a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold with

$$
(2 \text { Ric }-(n-2) \text { Tor })(Z, Z) \geq-k\langle Z, Z\rangle
$$

and

$$
\max _{i, j \in I_{n}}\left|A_{i j}\right| \leq \bar{A}, \quad \max _{i, j \in I_{n}}\left|A_{i j, \bar{i}}\right| \leq \bar{B}
$$

for $Z \in \Gamma\left(T_{1,0} M\right), k \geq 0$ and $\bar{A}, \bar{B}$ as positive constants. Suppose that $u(x, t)$ is the positive solution of (1.2) on $M \times[0, \infty)$. Then there exist $\delta_{0}=\delta_{0}(n, k, \bar{A}, \bar{B}) \gg 1$ such that $f(x, t)=\ln u(x, t)$ satisfies the following gradient estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}<\frac{C_{1}}{t}+C_{2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\delta \geq \delta_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}= \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{n(n+1) \delta^{2}+\frac{8 \sqrt{3}(n+1)^{2} \delta^{2}}{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)},\right. \\
&\left.\frac{3 n(n+1) \delta^{2}}{4\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(k+\frac{\bar{B}^{2}}{2(n+1)}\right) \frac{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}{2 n(n+1) \bar{A} \delta}+\frac{16(n+1)}{n}\right]^{2}\right\} . \\
& C_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{\left(k+\frac{\bar{B}^{2}}{2(n+1)}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3} n(n+1) \delta^{2}}{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}+16 \sqrt{3}(n+1)^{2} \frac{\delta^{3} \bar{A}}{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}\right. \\
&\left.\frac{3(n+1) \delta}{8 n \bar{A}\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}\left(k+\frac{\bar{B}^{2}}{2(n+1)}+\frac{32 n(n+1) \delta \bar{A}}{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, we have $C_{2}=0$ if $k=0$ and $\bar{A}=0$. Hence, we have

Corollary 1.1. Let $(M, J, \theta)$ be a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$ manifold with nonnegative pseudohermitian Ricci curvature and vanishing torsion. If $u(x, t)$ is the positive solution of (1.2) on $M \times[0, \infty)$. Then $f(x, t)=\ln u(x, t)$ satisfies the following gradient estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}<\frac{C_{1}}{t} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1. In fact, in CCKL, we get the following CR Li-Yau gradient estimate in a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold with nonnegative
pseudohermitian Ricci curvature and vanishing torsion. That is

$$
\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}-\left(1+\frac{3}{n}\right) f_{t}+\frac{n}{3} t\left(f_{0}\right)^{2}<\frac{\left(\frac{9}{n}+6+n\right)}{t}
$$

but where we can not deal with the case of nonvanishing torsion tensors. The major different here is: we apply the generalized curvature-dimension inequality, which holds as in Lemma 3.2, and Cao-Yau's method ([CY]) to derive the gradient estimate in a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold with nonvanishing torsion tensors.

Next we have the CR version of Li-Yau Harnack inequality and upper bound estimate for the heat kernel as in [CFTW] and CY].

Theorem 1.2. Under the same hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, suppose that $u$ is the positive solution of

$$
\left(\Delta_{b}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u=0
$$

on $M \times[0,+\infty)$. Then for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in M$ and $0<t_{1}<t_{2}<+\infty$, there exists a constant $\delta_{0}(n, k, \bar{A}, \bar{B})>1$ such that

$$
\frac{u\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)}{u\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)} \leq\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)^{\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}(n, \delta)}{\delta}} \exp \left(\frac{\delta}{4} \frac{d_{c c}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{2}}{t_{2}-t_{1}}+\frac{C_{2}^{\prime}(n, k, \delta, \bar{A}, \bar{B})}{\delta}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right)
$$

for $\delta \geq \delta_{0}(n, k, \bar{A}, \bar{B})$. Here we denote the Carnot-Carathéodory distance in $(M, J, \theta)$ by $d_{c c}$.

Theorem 1.3. Under the same hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, suppose that $H(x, y, t)$ is the heat kernel of

$$
\left(\Delta_{b}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u=0
$$

on $M \times[0,+\infty)$. Then there exists a constant $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x, y, t) \leq & C(\varepsilon)^{\delta_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{vol}\left(B_{x}(\sqrt{t})\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(B_{y}(\sqrt{t})\right)}} \\
& \times \exp \left(\frac{C_{2}^{\prime}(n, k, \delta, \bar{A}, \bar{B})}{\delta} \varepsilon t-\frac{d_{*} c c(x, y)^{2}}{(4+\varepsilon) t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and $C(\varepsilon) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

In the Cao-Yau gradient estimate for a positive solution of an operator with respect to the sum of squares of vector fields of step 2 , the key estimates are $(2.10),(2.12)$ and $(2.14)$ of $([\mathrm{CY}])$. This in fact, resembles the generalized curvature-dimension inequality (1.7) with some certain $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \kappa$ and $m$. However this is not the case for step 3 and up. Then, as in Theorem 1.4, it was an important insight that one can use the generalized curvaturedimension inequality as a substitute for the lower Ricci curvature bound on spaces where a direct generalization of Ricci curvature is not available.

We start to setup the Li-Yau gradient estimate for a positive solution of an operator with respect to the sum of squares of vector fields of higher step. For simplicity, we assume that $M$ is of step 3, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{i},\left[e_{j},\left[e_{k}, e_{l}\right]\right]\right]=a_{i j k l}^{n} e_{n}+b_{i j k l}^{\eta} Y_{\eta}^{\prime}+c_{i j k l}^{A} Y_{A}^{\prime \prime} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a_{i j k l}^{n}, b_{i j k l}^{\eta}, c_{i j k l}^{A} \in C^{\infty}(M)$ with

$$
\left\{Y_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}:=\left\{Y_{\eta}^{\prime}=\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]\right\}_{i, j \in I_{d}} \cup\left\{Y_{A}^{\prime \prime}=\left[e_{i,}\left[e_{j}, e_{k}\right]\right]\right\}_{i, j, k \in I_{d}}
$$

We denote the supremum of coefficients as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a=\sup \left|a_{i j k l}^{n}\right|, \quad b=\sup \left|b_{i j k l}^{\eta}\right|, \quad c=\sup \left|c_{i j k l}^{A}\right| \\
a^{\prime}=\sup \left|e_{h} a_{i j k l}^{n}\right|, \quad b^{\prime}=\sup \left|e_{h} b_{i j k l}^{\eta}\right|, \quad c^{\prime}=\sup \left|e_{h} c_{i j k l}^{A}\right|
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 1.4. Let $M$ be a smooth connected manifold with a positive measure satisfying the generalized curvature-dimension inequality $C D\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right.$, $\kappa, m)$ and let $L$ be an operator with respect to the sum of squares of vector fields $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$ satisfying the condition 1.10. Suppose that $u$ is the positive solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M \times[0,+\infty)$. Then for all $\frac{1}{2}<\lambda<\frac{2}{3}$, there exists

$$
\delta_{0}=\delta_{0}\left(\lambda, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \kappa, d, h\right)>1
$$

such that for any $\delta>\delta_{0}$

$$
\sum_{j \in I_{d}} \frac{\left|e_{j} u\right|^{2}}{u^{2}}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\left(1+\frac{\left|Y_{\alpha} u\right|^{2}}{u^{2}}\right)^{\lambda}-\delta \frac{u_{t}}{u} \leq \frac{C_{1}}{t}+C_{2}+C_{3} t^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ are all positive constants depending on $d, \lambda, \delta, a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime}$, $c, c^{\prime}, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \kappa, m$.

Remark 1.2. 1. In the paper of $[\mathrm{BG}]$, they proved the $L^{p}$ version of $\mathrm{Li}-$ Yau type gradient estimates for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ under the assumption of the generalized curvature-dimension inequality via the semigroup method in the sub-Riemannian geometry setting.
2. We can obtain the Li-Yau Harnack inequality and upper bound estimate for the heat kernel of $L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ with respect to the sum of squares of vector fields as in [CY]. We also refer to [JS], [KS1], [KS2] and [M] for some details along this direction.

We briefly describe the methods used in our proofs. In section 3, we derive a generalized curvature-dimension inequality in a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold. In order to gain insight for the estimate, we first derive the CR Li-Yau gradient estimate and the Harnack inequality for the CR heat equation in a closed pseudohermitian manifold as in section 4. Then, for simplicity, we will derive the Li-Yau gradient estimate for the sum of squares of vector fields of step three as in section 5 . Similar estimates will hold for the sum of squares of vector fields of higher step as well.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their profound gratitude to Prof. S.-T. Yau for bringing this project to them and his inspirations of the Li-Yau gradient estimate for the sum of squares of vector fields.

## 2. Preliminary

We introduce some basic materials about a pseudohermitian manifold (see [DT] , CKL, and [L for more details). Let $(M, \xi)$ be a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional, orientable, contact manifold with contact structure $\xi$. A CR structure compatible with $\xi$ is an endomorphism $J: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ such that $J^{2}=-1$. We also assume that $J$ satisfies the integrability condition: If $X$ and $Y$ are in $\xi$, then so are $[J X, Y]+[X, J Y]$ and $J([J X, Y]+[X, J Y])=[J X, J Y]-[X, Y]$.

Let $\left\{\mathbf{T}, Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\right\}$ be a frame of $T M \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where $Z_{\alpha}$ is any local frame of $T_{1,0}, Z_{\bar{\alpha}}=\overline{Z_{\alpha}} \in T_{0,1}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ is the characteristic vector field. Then $\left\{\theta, \theta^{\alpha}, \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}\right\}$, the coframe dual to $\left\{\mathbf{T}, Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\right\}$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta=i h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive definite hermitian matrix of functions $\left(h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}\right)$. If we have this contact structure, we also call such $M$ a strictly pseudoconvex CR $(2 n+1)$ manifold.

The Levi form $\langle,\rangle_{L_{\theta}}$ is the Hermitian form on $T_{1,0}$ defined by

$$
\langle Z, W\rangle_{L_{\theta}}=-i\langle d \theta, Z \wedge \bar{W}\rangle
$$

We can extend $\langle,\rangle_{L_{\theta}}$ to $T_{0,1}$ by defining $\langle\bar{Z}, \bar{W}\rangle_{L_{\theta}}=\overline{\langle Z, W\rangle}_{L_{\theta}}$ for all $Z, W \in$ $T_{1,0}$. The Levi form induces naturally a Hermitian form on the dual bundle of $T_{1,0}$, denoted by $\langle,\rangle_{L_{\theta}^{*}}$, and hence on all the induced tensor bundles. Integrating the Hermitian form (when acting on sections) over $M$ with respect to the volume form $d \mu=\theta \wedge(d \theta)^{n}$, we get an inner product on the space of sections of each tensor bundle.

The pseudohermitian connection of $(J, \theta)$ is the connection $\nabla$ on $T M \otimes$ $\mathbb{C}$ (and extended to tensors) given in terms of a local frame $Z_{\alpha} \in T_{1,0}$ by

$$
\nabla Z_{\alpha}=\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta} \otimes Z_{\beta}, \quad \nabla Z_{\bar{\alpha}}=\omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} \otimes Z_{\bar{\beta}}, \quad \nabla T=0
$$

where $\omega_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}$ are the 1 -forms uniquely determined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \theta^{\beta} & =\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}+\theta \wedge \tau^{\beta} \\
0 & =\tau_{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\alpha} \\
0 & =\omega_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}+\omega_{\bar{\beta}}{ }^{\bar{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write (by Cartan lemma) $\tau_{\alpha}=A_{\alpha \gamma} \theta^{\gamma}$ with $A_{\alpha \gamma}=A_{\gamma \alpha}$. The curvature of Tanaka-Webster connection, expressed in terms of the coframe $\left\{\theta=\theta^{0}, \theta^{\alpha}, \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}\right\}$, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\beta}^{\alpha} & =\overline{\Pi_{\bar{\beta}} \bar{\alpha}^{\bar{\alpha}}}=d \omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}-\omega_{\beta}{ }^{\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \\
\Pi_{0}^{\alpha} & =\Pi_{\alpha}^{0}=\Pi_{0}{ }^{\bar{\beta}}=\Pi_{\bar{\beta}}^{0}=\Pi_{0}^{0}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Webster showed that $\Pi_{\beta}{ }^{\alpha}$ can be written

$$
\Pi_{\beta}^{\alpha}=R_{\beta}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\rho \bar{\sigma}} \theta^{\rho} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\sigma}}+W_{\beta}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\rho} \theta^{\rho} \wedge \theta-W^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \bar{\rho}} \theta^{\bar{\rho}} \wedge \theta+i \theta_{\beta} \wedge \tau^{\alpha}-i \tau_{\beta} \wedge \theta^{\alpha}
$$

where the coefficients satisfy

$$
R_{\beta \bar{\alpha} \rho \bar{\sigma}}=\overline{R_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \sigma \bar{\rho}}}=R_{\bar{\alpha} \beta \bar{\sigma} \rho}=R_{\rho \bar{\alpha} \beta \bar{\sigma}}, \quad W_{\beta \bar{\alpha} \gamma}=W_{\gamma \bar{\alpha} \beta}
$$

Here $R_{\gamma}{ }^{\delta}{ }_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$ is the pseudohermitian curvature tensor, $R_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=R_{\gamma}{ }^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$ is the pseudohermitian Ricci curvature tensor and $A_{\alpha \beta}$ is the pseudohermitian
torsion. Furthermore, we define the bi-sectional curvature

$$
R_{\alpha \bar{\alpha} \beta \bar{\beta}}(X, Y)=R_{\alpha \bar{\alpha} \beta \bar{\beta}} X_{\alpha} X_{\bar{\alpha}} Y_{\beta} Y_{\bar{\beta}}
$$

and the bi-torsion tensor

$$
T_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}(X, Y):=i\left(A_{\bar{\beta} \bar{\rho}} X^{\bar{\rho}} Y_{\alpha}-A_{\alpha \rho} X^{\rho} Y_{\bar{\beta}}\right)
$$

and the torsion tensor

$$
\operatorname{Tor}(X, Y):=h^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} T_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}(X, Y)=i\left(A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\rho}} X^{\bar{\rho}} Y^{\bar{\alpha}}-A_{\alpha \rho} X^{\rho} Y^{\alpha}\right)
$$

for any $X=X^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}, Y=Y^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}$ in $T_{1,0}$.
We will denote the components of the covariant derivatives with indices preceded by a comma; thus write $A_{\alpha \beta, \gamma}$. The indices $\{0, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$ indicate derivatives with respect to $\left\{T, Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\right\}$. For derivatives of a scalar function, we will often omit the comma, for instance, $u_{\alpha}=Z_{\alpha} u, u_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=$ $Z_{\bar{\beta}} Z_{\alpha} u-\omega_{\alpha}{ }^{\gamma}\left(Z_{\bar{\beta}}\right) Z_{\gamma} u$.In particular,

$$
\left|\nabla_{b} u\right|^{2}=2 \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} u_{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad\left|\nabla_{b}^{2} u\right|^{2}=2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left(u_{\alpha \beta} u_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}}+u_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} u_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}\right) .
$$

Also

$$
\Delta_{b} u=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\nabla^{H}\right)^{2} u\right)=\sum_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}}+u_{\bar{\alpha} \alpha}\right) .
$$

Next we recall the following commutation relations ([L]). Let $\varphi$ be a scalar function and $\sigma=\sigma_{\alpha} \theta^{\alpha}$ be a $(1,0)$ form, $\varphi_{0}=\mathbf{T} \varphi$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\alpha \beta} & =\varphi_{\beta \alpha}, \\
\varphi_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}-\varphi_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} & =i h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \varphi_{0}, \\
\varphi_{0 \alpha}-\varphi_{\alpha 0} & =A_{\alpha \beta} \varphi^{\beta}, \\
\sigma_{\alpha, 0 \beta}-\sigma_{\alpha, \beta 0} & =\sigma_{\alpha, \bar{\gamma}} A^{\bar{\gamma}}{ }_{\beta}-\sigma^{\bar{\gamma}} A_{\alpha \beta, \bar{\gamma}}, \\
\sigma_{\alpha, 0 \bar{\beta}}-\sigma_{\alpha, \bar{\beta} 0} & =\sigma_{\alpha, \gamma} A^{\gamma}{ }_{\bar{\beta}}+\sigma^{\bar{\gamma}} A_{\bar{\gamma} \bar{\beta}, \alpha},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\text { (1) } \varphi_{e_{j} e_{\tilde{k}}}-\varphi_{e_{\overparen{k}} e_{j}}=2 h_{j \bar{k}} \varphi_{0},
$$

$$
\text { (2) } \varphi_{e_{j} e_{k}}-\varphi_{e_{k} e_{j}}=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (3) } \varphi_{0 e_{j}}-\varphi_{e_{j} 0}=\varphi_{e_{l}} \operatorname{Re} A_{j}^{\bar{l}}-\varphi_{e_{\bar{l}}} \operatorname{Im} A_{j}^{\bar{l}} \text {, } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { (4) } \varphi_{0 e_{\tilde{j}}}-\varphi_{e_{\tilde{j}} 0}=-\varphi_{e_{l}} \operatorname{Im} A_{j}^{\bar{l}}-\varphi_{e \bar{l}} \operatorname{Re} A_{j}^{\bar{l}} \text {. }
$$

Finally we introduce the concept about the Carnot-Carathéodory distance in a closed pseudohermitian manifold.

Definition 2.1. A piecewise smooth curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ is said to be horizontal if $\gamma^{\prime}(t) \in \xi$ whenever $\gamma^{\prime}(t)$ exists. The length of $\gamma$ is then defined by

$$
l(\gamma)=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle_{L_{\theta}}^{\frac{1}{2}} d t
$$

The Carnot-Carathéodory distance between two points $p, q \in M$ is

$$
d_{c c}(p, q)=\inf \left\{l(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in C_{p, q}\right\}
$$

where $C_{p, q}$ is the set of all horizontal curves joining $p$ and $q$. By Chow connectivity theorem [ChO, there always exists a horizontal curve joining $p$ and $q$, so the distance is finite. The diameter $d_{c}$ is defined by

$$
d_{c}(M)=\sup \left\{d_{c}(p, q) \mid p, q \in M\right\}
$$

Note that there is a minimizing geodesic joining $p$ and $q$ so that its length is equal to the distance $d_{c c}(p, q)$.

## 3. A generalized curvature-dimension inequality

Now we proceed to derive a curvature-dimension inequality in a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold under the specific assumptions on the pseudohermitian Ricci curvature tensor and the torsion tensor. In particular, in the case of vanishing torsion tensors, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. If $(M, J, \theta)$ is a pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold of vanishing torsion with

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{Ric}(Z, Z) \geq-k\langle Z, Z\rangle \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Z \in \Gamma\left(T_{1,0} M\right), k \geq 0$, then $M$ satisfies the curvature-dimension inequality $C D(-k, 2 n, 4,2 n)$.

Proof. By the CR Bochner formulae (see [G])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{b}\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}= & |\operatorname{Hess}(f)|^{2}+\left\langle\nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b}\left(\Delta_{b} f\right)\right\rangle \\
& +(2 \operatorname{Ric}-(n-2) \text { Tor })\left(\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{c},\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{c}\right)+2\left\langle J \nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b} f_{0}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{c}$ is the $T_{1,0} M$-component of $\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{2}(f, f)= & |\operatorname{Hess}(f)|^{2}+(2 \operatorname{Ric}-(n-2) \operatorname{Tor})\left(\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{c},\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{c}\right) \\
& +2\left\langle J \nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b} f_{0}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

With the equality

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f)=\left|\nabla_{b} f_{0}\right|^{2}+f_{0}\left[\Delta_{b}, T\right] f
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{2}(f, f)+\nu \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f)  \tag{3.2}\\
= & 4\left[|\operatorname{Hess}(f)|^{2}+(2 \operatorname{Ric}-(n-2) \operatorname{Tor})\left(\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{c},\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{c}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2\left\langle J \nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b} f_{0}\right\rangle\right]+2 \nu\left|\nabla_{b} f_{0}\right|^{2}+2 \nu f_{0}\left[\Delta_{b}, T\right] f .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H e s s(f)|^{2}=2\left(\sum_{i, j \in I_{n}}\left|f_{i j}\right|^{2}+\sum_{i, j \in I_{n}}\left|f_{i \bar{j}}\right|^{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2 n}\left|\Delta_{b} f\right|^{2}+\frac{n}{2}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J \nabla_{b} f, \nabla_{b} f_{0}\right\rangle \geq-\frac{\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}}{\nu}-\frac{\nu}{4}\left|\nabla_{b} f_{0}\right|^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it follows from (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and curvature assumptions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{2}(f, f)+\nu \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f)  \tag{3.5}\\
\geq & \left(\frac{2}{n}\left|\Delta_{b} f\right|^{2}+2 n\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}\right)+4(2 \text { Ric }-(n-2) \text { Tor })\left(\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{c},\left(\nabla_{b} f\right)_{c}\right) \\
& -8 \frac{\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}}{\nu}+2 \nu f_{0}\left[\Delta_{b}, T\right] f \\
\geq & \frac{2}{n}\left|\Delta_{b} f\right|^{2}+\left(-2 k-\frac{8}{\nu}\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}+2 n\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}+2 \nu f_{0}\left[\Delta_{b}, T\right] f .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, it follows from the commutation relation ([CKL]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{b} f_{0}=\left(\Delta_{b} f\right)_{0}+2\left[\left(A_{\alpha \beta} f^{\alpha}\right)^{\beta}+\left(A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}}\right)^{\bar{\beta}}\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $A_{\alpha \beta}=0$, hence

$$
\left[\Delta_{b}, T\right] f=0
$$

All these imply

$$
\Gamma_{2}(f, f)+\nu \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f) \geq \frac{2}{n}\left|\Delta_{b} f\right|^{2}+\left(-2 k-\frac{8}{\nu}\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}+2 n\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}
$$

Remark 3.1. In a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold of vanishing torsion tensors, the CR Bochner formulae (1.5) is equivalent to the curvature-dimension inequality (1.7) which also observed in the paper of [BG].

As for the curvature-dimension inequality in a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold of nonvanishing torsion tensors, we have

Lemma 3.2. Let $(M, J, \theta)$ be a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold of

$$
(2 \text { Ric }-(n-2) \text { Tor })(Z, Z) \geq-k\langle Z, Z\rangle
$$

for $Z \in \Gamma\left(T_{1,0} M\right), k \geq 0$ and

$$
\max _{i, j \in I_{n}}\left|A_{i j}\right| \leq \bar{A}, \quad \max _{i, j \in I_{n}}\left|A_{i j, \bar{i}}\right| \leq \bar{B}
$$

for nonnegative constants $\bar{A}, \bar{B}$, Then $M$ satisfies the curvature-dimension inequality $C D\left(-k-2 n N \varepsilon_{1} \bar{B}^{2}, \frac{2 n}{m}-\frac{2 n^{2} N}{\varepsilon_{1}}-\frac{2 m n^{2} N^{2} \bar{A}^{2}}{m-1}, 4,2 m n\right)$ for $1<m<$ $+\infty, 0<\varepsilon_{1}<+\infty$ and smaller $N>0$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{2 n}{m}-\frac{2 n^{2} N}{\varepsilon_{1}}-\frac{2 m n^{2} N^{2} \bar{A}^{2}}{m-1}\right)>0
$$

and $0<\nu \leq N$.

Proof. It follows from (3.2), (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{2}(f, f)+\nu \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f) \geq 8 & {\left[\sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\left|f_{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2}+\left|f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}\right|^{2}\right)\right]-\left(2 k+\frac{8}{\nu}\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2} } \\
& -8 \nu\left|f_{0}\right| \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left|\left(A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}, \alpha} f_{\beta}+A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}} f_{\beta \alpha}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that by using the Young inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f_{0}\right|\left(\left|A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}, \alpha} f_{\beta}\right|+\left|A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}} f_{\beta \alpha}\right|\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
\leq & \frac{\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1}}+\varepsilon_{1}\left|A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}, \alpha} f_{\beta}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{2}}+\varepsilon_{2}\left|A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}} f_{\beta \alpha}\right|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

for $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}>0$. Choose

$$
\varepsilon_{2}=\frac{m-1}{m N \bar{A}^{2}}
$$

for $m>1$ and $N$ with $\nu \leq N$. This implies that $\left(1-N \varepsilon_{2} \bar{A}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{m}$.
It follows from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{2}(f, f)+\nu \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f) \\
\geq & 8 \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left|f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}\right|^{2}+8 \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left(1-\nu \varepsilon_{2}\left|A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}}\right|^{2}\right)\left|f_{\beta \alpha}\right|^{2}-\left(2 k+\frac{8}{\nu}\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2} \\
& -2 \nu \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}-8 \nu \varepsilon_{1} \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left|A_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}, \alpha} f_{\beta}\right|^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{8}{m} \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\left|f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}\right|^{2}+\left|f_{\beta \alpha}\right|^{2}\right)-\left(2 k+\frac{8}{\nu}+4 N \varepsilon_{1} n \bar{B}^{2}\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2} \\
& -2 n^{2} N\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{4}{m}\left(\frac{1}{2 n}\left|\Delta_{b} f\right|^{2}+\frac{n}{2}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}\right)-\left(2 k+\frac{8}{\nu}+4 N \varepsilon_{1} n \bar{B}^{2}\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2} \\
& -2 n^{2} N\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2 m n}(L f)^{2}+\left(-k-2 n N \varepsilon_{1} \bar{B}^{2}-\frac{4}{\nu}\right) \Gamma(f, f) \\
& +\left(\frac{2 n}{m}-\frac{2 n^{2} N}{\varepsilon_{1}}-\frac{2 m n^{2} N^{2} \bar{A}^{2}}{m-1}\right) \Gamma^{Z}(f, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we make $N$ smaller such that

$$
\frac{2 n}{m}-\frac{2 n^{2} N}{\varepsilon_{1}}-\frac{2 m n^{2} N^{2} \bar{A}^{2}}{m-1}>0
$$

Then we are done.

Remark 3.2. By choosing $\bar{A}=0=\bar{B}, m \rightarrow 1^{+}, \varepsilon_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$ and noting the inequality (3.7) in Lemma 3.2, we are also able to have the same conclusion in Lemma 3.1.

## 4. The CR Li-Yau gradient estimate

In this section, based on methods of [CY] and [CKL, we first derive the CR Li-Yau gradient estimate and the Harnack inequality for the CR heat equation in a closed pseudohermitian manifold. Let $(M, J, \theta)$ be a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold and $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution of the CR heat equation

$$
\left(\Delta_{b}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u(x, t)=0
$$

on $M \times[0, \infty)$. We denote that $f(x, t)=\ln u(x, t)$. Modified by [KL], we define a real-valued function $F(x, t, \beta, \delta): M \times 0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t, \beta, \delta)=t\left[\sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}+\beta t \sum_{\alpha \in I_{h}}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in M, t \geq 0, \beta>0, \delta>0$. Note that $\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}$if $T \rightarrow \infty$ as in the proof.

Lemma 4.1. Let $(M, J, \theta)$ be a closed pseudohermitian $(2 n+1)$-manifold and $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution of the $C R$ heat equation

$$
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u(x, t)=0
$$

on $M \times[0, \infty)$. We have the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) F= & -\frac{F}{t}+2 t\left[\Gamma_{2}(f, f)+\beta t \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f)\right]  \tag{4.2}\\
& +4 \beta t^{2} \sum_{j \in I_{d}, \alpha \in I_{h}}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[e_{j}, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right) \\
& -2 \sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(e_{j} F\right)-\beta t \sum_{\alpha \in I_{h}}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It follows from definitions of $\Gamma_{2}(f, f)$ and $\Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L F= & t\left[L(\Gamma(f, f))+\beta t L\left(\Gamma^{Z}(f, f)\right)-\delta L f_{t}\right] \\
= & t\left\{\left[2 \Gamma_{2}(f, f)+2 \sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(e_{j} L f\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\beta t\left[2 \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f)+2 \sum_{\alpha \in I_{h}}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(Y_{\alpha} L f\right)\right]-\delta L f_{t}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) F= & -\frac{F}{t}+t\left[2 \Gamma_{2}(f, f)\right.  \tag{4.3}\\
& +2 \beta t \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f)+2 \sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right) e_{j}\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) f \\
& +2 \beta t \sum_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right) Y_{\alpha}\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) f \\
& \left.-\beta \sum_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)^{2}-\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) f\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) f=-\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}=-\frac{F}{t}+\beta t \sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right) e_{j}\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) f+2 \beta t \sum_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right) Y_{\alpha}\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) f  \tag{4.4a}\\
& -\beta \sum_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)^{2}-\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) f \\
= & 2 \sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right) e_{j}\left(-\frac{F}{t}+\beta t \sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}\right) \\
& +2 \beta t \sum_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right) Y_{\alpha}\left(-\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)-\beta \sum_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)^{2}-\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) f \\
= & 2 \beta t\left[\sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right) e_{j}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)+\sum_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right) Y_{\alpha}\left(-\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +2 \sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right) e_{j}\left(-\frac{F}{t}-\delta f_{t}\right)-\beta \sum_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)^{2}-\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(-\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right) \\
= & 4 \beta t \sum_{j, \alpha}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[e_{j}, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right)-\frac{2}{t} \sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(e_{j} F\right)-\beta \sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute 4.4a) into 4.3), we have the identity 4.2.
As a consequence of the identity (4.2), we have proposition 4.2.
Proposition 4.1. If $M$ satisfies the curvature-dimension inequality $C D\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \kappa, m\right)$ for $\rho_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \rho_{2}>0, \kappa \geq 0, m>0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) F \geq & -\frac{F}{t}+2 t\left[\frac{1}{m}(L f)^{2}+\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\beta t}\right) \Gamma(f, f)+\rho_{2} \Gamma^{Z}(f, f)\right]  \tag{4.5}\\
& +4 \beta t^{2} \sum_{j \in I_{d}, \alpha \in I_{l}}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[e_{j}, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right) \\
& -2 \sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(e_{j} F\right)-\beta t \sum_{\alpha \in I_{h}}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we proceed to prove Theorem 1.1 :

Proof. Note that $M$ satisfies the curvature-dimension inequality $C D\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \kappa, m\right)$ with $\rho_{1}<0, \rho_{2}>0, \kappa \geq 0, m>0$ as in Lemma 3.2. Here we follow the method as in ([CY). Set

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=\left(\delta_{0}\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}\right)\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \text { for } \delta>\delta_{0}>2 \\
\bar{x}=\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \\
y=\left|f_{0}\right|\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\delta_{0}$ and $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ will be chosen later and $f_{0}=T f$ with $T:=Y_{\alpha}$. From now on, $T$ denotes a positive real number instead of a vector field.

If $F$ attains its maximum at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in M \times[0, T]$, then, by choosing a normal coordinate at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ and 2.2 , (4.5) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & -\frac{F}{t_{0}}+2 t_{0}\left[\frac{1}{m}\left(2 \Delta_{b} f\right)^{2}+2\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\beta t_{0}}\right) \bar{x}+\rho_{2} y^{2}\right]  \tag{4.6}\\
& -16 n \beta t_{0}^{2} \bar{A} \bar{x} y-\beta t_{0} y^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $d=2 n$ and $h=1$. More precisely from the commutation relations 2.2 , we have at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha \in I_{2 n}}\left(e_{\alpha} f\right)(T f)\left(\left[e_{\alpha}, T\right] f\right)\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
= & f_{0} \sum_{\alpha \in I_{2 n}} f_{e_{\alpha}}\left(e_{\alpha} T f-T e_{\alpha} f\right) \\
= & f_{0} \sum_{\alpha \in I_{2 n}} f_{e_{\alpha}}\left[\left(f_{0 e_{\alpha}}+\left(D_{e_{\alpha}} T\right) f\right)-\left(f_{e_{\alpha} 0}+\left(D_{T} e_{\alpha}\right) f\right)\right] \\
= & f_{0}\left[\sum_{j \in I_{n}} f_{e_{j}}\left(f_{0 e_{j}}-f_{e_{j} 0}\right)+\sum_{j \in I_{n}} f_{e_{\tilde{j}}}\left(f_{0 e_{\tilde{j}}}-f_{e_{\tilde{j}} 0}\right)-\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I_{2 n}} \Gamma_{0 e_{\alpha}}^{e_{\beta}} f_{e_{\alpha}} f_{e_{\beta}}\right] \\
= & f_{0} \sum_{j, l \in I_{n}} f_{e_{j}}\left(f_{e_{l}} \operatorname{Re} A_{j l}-f_{e_{\tilde{l}}} \operatorname{Im} A_{j l}\right) \\
& +f_{0} \sum_{j, l \in I_{n}} f_{\tilde{e_{j}}}\left(-f_{e_{l}} \operatorname{Im} A_{j l}-f_{e_{\tilde{l}}} \operatorname{Re} A_{j l}\right)-f_{0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in I_{2 n}} \Gamma_{0 e_{\alpha}}^{e_{\beta}} f_{e_{\alpha}} f_{e_{\beta}} \\
\geq & -\left|f_{0}\right| \bar{A} \sum_{j, l \in I_{n}}\left(\left|f_{e_{j}}\right|+\left|f_{\tilde{e_{\tilde{j}}}}\right|\right)\left(\left|f_{e_{l}}\right|+\left|f_{\tilde{\imath}}\right|\right) \\
\geq & -4 n \bar{A} \bar{x} y .
\end{align*}
$$

We divide the discussion into the following two cases:
(I) Case $I: x \geq 0$ :

By

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Delta_{b} f\right)^{2}=\left(f_{t}-\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2} & =\left[\frac{x}{\delta}+\left(1-\frac{\delta_{0}}{\delta}\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}\right]^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{x^{2}}{\delta^{2}}+\frac{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}{\delta^{2}}\left(\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & -\frac{F}{t_{0}}+\frac{8 t_{0}}{m \delta^{2}} x^{2}+t_{0} \rho_{2} y^{2}+\frac{8 t_{0}\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}{m \delta^{2}} \bar{x}^{2}+\left(4 t_{0} \rho_{1}-\frac{4 \kappa}{\beta}\right) \bar{x}  \tag{4.8}\\
& +t_{0}\left(\rho_{2}-\beta\right) y^{2}-16 n \beta t_{0}^{2} \bar{A} \bar{x} y
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{A}:=t_{0}\left[\frac{8\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}{m \delta^{2}} \bar{x}^{2}+\left(4 \rho_{1}-\frac{4 \kappa}{\beta t_{0}}\right) \bar{x}+\left(\rho_{2}-\beta\right) y^{2}-16 n \beta t_{0} \bar{A} \bar{x} y\right]
$$

and

$$
A:=\frac{8\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}{m \delta^{2}}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}= & t_{0}\left\{A\left(\bar{x}+\frac{2 \rho_{1}-\frac{2 \kappa}{\beta t_{0}}-8 n \beta t_{0} \bar{A} y}{A}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\left(2 \rho_{1}-\frac{2 \kappa}{\beta t_{0}}-8 n \beta t_{0} \bar{A} y\right)^{2}}{A}+\left(\rho_{2}-\beta\right) y^{2}\right\} \\
= & t_{0}\left\{A\left(\bar{x}+\frac{2 \rho_{1}-\frac{2 \kappa}{\beta t_{0}}-8 n \beta t_{0} \bar{A} y}{A}\right)^{2}+\left(\rho_{2}-\beta-\frac{64 n^{2} \beta^{2} t_{0}^{2} \bar{A}^{2}}{A}\right) y^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{32 n \beta t_{0} \bar{A}}{A}\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\beta t_{0}}\right) y-4 \frac{\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\beta t_{0}}\right)^{2}}{A}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose

$$
\beta=\beta_{1}:=\min \left\{\frac{\rho_{2}}{4}, \frac{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}}{16 n T \bar{A}}\right\} .
$$

This implies that

$$
B:=\left(\rho_{2}-\beta-\frac{64 n^{2} \beta^{2} t_{0}^{2} \bar{A}^{2}}{A}\right) \geq \frac{\rho_{2}}{2}
$$

(i) Under the case

$$
T \geq T_{0}:=\frac{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}}{4 n \rho_{2} \bar{A}}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} \geq & t_{0}\left\{B y^{2}+\frac{32 n \beta t_{0} \bar{A}}{A}\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\beta t_{0}}\right) y-4 \frac{\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\beta t_{0}}\right)^{2}}{A}\right\} \\
= & t_{0}\left\{B\left(y+\frac{16 n \beta t_{0} \bar{A}}{B A}\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\beta t_{0}}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{16^{2} n^{2} \beta^{2} t_{0}^{2} \bar{A}^{2}+4 B A}{B A^{2}}\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\beta t_{0}}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
\geq & -\frac{1}{A}\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} \frac{T}{t_{0}}\right)^{2}\left(1+\frac{2 t_{0}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right) t_{0} \\
\geq & -\frac{3}{A}\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} \frac{T}{t_{0}}\right)^{2} t_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
z=t_{0} x
$$

(a) If $x \geq \beta t_{0}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}$, it follows from

$$
F=t_{0}\left[\left(2-\delta_{0}\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}+x+\beta t_{0}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

that (4.8) becomes

$$
0 \geq-2 t_{0} x+\frac{8}{m \delta^{2}}\left(t_{0} x\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{A}\left(\rho_{1} t_{0}-\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} T\right)^{2}
$$

and then

$$
\left(z-\frac{m \delta^{2}}{8}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{m \delta^{2}}{8}\left(\frac{m \delta^{2}}{8}+\frac{3}{A}\left(\rho_{1} t_{0}-\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} T\right)^{2}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
z \leq \frac{m \delta^{2}}{4}+\frac{\delta}{4} \sqrt{\frac{6 m}{A}}\left|\left(\rho_{1} t_{0}-\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} T\right)\right|
$$

This implies

$$
F \leq 2 t_{0} x \leq \frac{m \delta^{2}}{2}+\frac{\delta}{2} \sqrt{\frac{6 m}{A}}\left(-\rho_{1} t_{0}+\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} T\right)
$$

and then

$$
\left[2\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}+\beta_{1} t\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}\right](x, T) \leq \frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{T}+C_{2}^{\prime}
$$

with $C_{1}^{\prime}:=\frac{m \delta^{2}}{2}$ and

$$
C_{2}^{\prime}:=-\frac{\rho_{1} \delta}{2} \sqrt{\frac{6 m}{A}}+\frac{8 n \delta \kappa \bar{A} \sqrt{6 m}}{A \sqrt{\rho_{2}}}>0
$$

(b) If $x \leq \beta t_{0}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}$, it follows that

$$
0 \geq-2 \beta t_{0} y^{2}+t_{0} \rho_{2} y^{2}-\frac{3}{A}\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} \frac{T}{t_{0}}\right)^{2} t_{0}
$$

and then

$$
y^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\left(\rho_{2}-2 \beta\right)} \frac{3}{A}\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} \frac{T}{t_{0}}\right)^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & \leq 2 \beta t_{0}^{2} y^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{6 \beta}{\left(\rho_{2}-2 \beta\right) A}\left(\rho_{1} t_{0}-\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} T\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{3}{4 n \bar{A} \sqrt{A \rho_{2}}}\left(\rho_{1} \frac{t_{0}}{\sqrt{T}}-\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}} \sqrt{T}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we have

$$
\left[2\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}+\beta_{1} t\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}\right](x, T) \leq C_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \kappa, m, n, \delta, \bar{A}\right)
$$

with

$$
C_{2}^{\prime \prime}:=\frac{3}{4 n \bar{A} \sqrt{A \rho_{2}}}\left(-\rho_{1}+\frac{16 n \kappa \bar{A}}{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}}\right)^{2}
$$

(ii) Under the case

$$
T \leq T_{0}:=\frac{\sqrt{A \rho_{2}}}{4 n \rho_{2} \bar{A}}
$$

we have

$$
\beta_{1}=\frac{\rho_{2}}{4}
$$

and then

$$
\mathcal{A} \geq-\frac{3}{A}\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{4 \kappa}{\rho_{2} t_{0}}\right)^{2} t_{0}
$$

(a) If $x \geq \beta t_{0}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}$, then

$$
\left[2\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}+\beta_{1} t\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}\right](x, T) \leq \frac{C_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{T}+C_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

with $C_{1}^{\prime \prime}:=\frac{m \delta^{2}}{2}+\frac{2 \delta \kappa}{\rho_{2}} \sqrt{\frac{6 m}{A}}$ and

$$
C_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}:=-\frac{\delta \rho_{1}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{6 m}{A}}>0
$$

(b) If $x \leq \beta t_{0}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}$, then

$$
\left[2\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}+\beta_{1} t\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}\right](x, T) \leq \frac{C_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{T}
$$

with

$$
C_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}:=\frac{3}{A}\left(\frac{\rho_{1} \sqrt{A \rho_{2}}}{4 n \rho_{2} \bar{A}}-\frac{4 \kappa}{\rho_{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

(II) Case II: $x \leq 0$ :

We may assume

$$
\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2} \leq \beta t_{0}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}
$$

Otherwise,

$$
F \leq 0
$$

From (4.6)
(4.9) $0 \geq-\frac{F}{t_{0}}+2 t_{0}\left[2\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\beta t_{0}}\right) \frac{\beta t_{0} y^{2}}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}+\rho_{2} y^{2}\right]-16 n \beta t_{0}^{2} \bar{A} \bar{x} y-\beta t_{0} y^{2}$.

Set

$$
\beta=\beta_{2}:=\min \left\{\frac{\rho_{2}}{2}, \frac{1}{n \bar{A} T}, \frac{1}{T\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{M \times[0, T]}}\right\}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq-2 \beta t_{0} y^{2}+2 \rho_{2} t_{0} y^{2}+\rho_{1} \frac{4 \beta t_{0}^{2}}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)} y^{2}-\frac{4 \kappa t_{0}}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)} y^{2}-16 n \beta \bar{A} t_{0}^{2} y \frac{\beta t_{0} y^{2}}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)} \\
& =2\left(\rho_{2}-\beta\right) t_{0} y^{2}+t_{0} y^{2}\left[\rho_{1} \frac{4 \beta t_{0}}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}-\frac{4 \kappa}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}-\frac{16 n \beta^{2} \bar{A} t_{0}^{2} y}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}\right] \\
& \geq t_{0} y^{2}\left[2\left(\rho_{2}-\beta\right)+\rho_{1} \frac{4}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right) \bar{A}}-\frac{4 \kappa}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}-\frac{16}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}\right] \\
& \geq t_{0} y^{2}\left[\rho_{2}+\rho_{1} \frac{4}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right) \bar{A}}-\frac{4 \kappa}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}-\frac{16}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\delta_{0}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \kappa, \bar{A}\right)>2$ such that

$$
\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{1} \frac{4}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right) \bar{A}}-\frac{4 \kappa}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}-\frac{16}{\left(\delta_{0}-2\right)}\right)>0
$$

we obtain

$$
y\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0
$$

It follows that

$$
F\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq 0
$$

and then

$$
2\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}+\beta_{2} t\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t} \leq 0
$$

on $M \times[0, T]$. So if we choose

$$
\beta \leq \min \left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \frac{1}{4(n+1) n T}, \frac{1}{2(n+1) \bar{A} T}\right\}
$$

and

$$
m=n+1, \varepsilon_{1}=1, N=\beta T
$$

such that

$$
\frac{2 n}{m}-\frac{2 n^{2} N}{\varepsilon_{1}}-\frac{2 n^{2} N^{2} \bar{A}^{2} m}{m-1}>0
$$

with $0<\nu \leq N$ as in Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$
\left[\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}-\frac{\delta}{2} f_{t}\right] \leq \frac{C_{1}}{t}+C_{2}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1}= & \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{n(n+1) \delta^{2}+\frac{8 \sqrt{3}\left(n+1^{2}\right) \delta^{2}}{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{3 n(n+1) \delta^{2}}{4\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(k+\frac{\bar{B}^{2}}{2(n+1)}\right) \frac{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}{2 n(n+1) \bar{A} \delta}+\frac{16(n+1)}{n}\right]^{2}\right\} \\
C_{2}= & \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{\left(k+\frac{\bar{B}^{2}}{2(n+1)}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3} n(n+1) \delta^{2}}{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}+16 \sqrt{3}(n+1)^{2} \frac{\delta^{3} \bar{A}}{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{3(n+1) \delta}{8 n \bar{A}\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}\left(k+\frac{\bar{B}^{2}}{2(n+1)}+\frac{32 n(n+1) \delta \bar{A}}{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}\right)^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}$if $T \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{1}^{\prime \prime}, C_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\} \leq C_{1} \\
& \max \left\{C_{2}^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime \prime}, C_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\} \leq C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

These will complete the proof.
The proof of Theorem 1.2 :
Proof. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta:\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] & \longrightarrow M \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \\
t & \mapsto(\gamma(t), t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a horizontal curve with $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \gamma\left(t_{2}\right)=x_{2}$. Let $f=\ln u$, integrate $f^{\prime}(t)$ along $\gamma$, so we get

$$
f\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(f \circ \eta)^{\prime} d t=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}(t), \nabla_{b} f\right\rangle+f_{t}\right) d t
$$

By applying Theorem 1.1, this yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right) & <-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}(t), \nabla_{b} f\right\rangle d t+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{\delta}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{t}+C_{2}-\left|\nabla_{b} f\right|^{2}\right) d t \\
& \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(\frac{\delta}{4}\left|\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\frac{C_{1}}{\delta t}+\frac{C_{2}}{\delta}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

We could choose

$$
\left|\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right|=\frac{d_{c c}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}}
$$

we reach

$$
\frac{u\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)}{u\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)}<\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)^{\frac{C_{1}}{\delta}} \cdot \exp \left(\frac{\delta}{4} \frac{d_{c c}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{2}}{t_{2}-t_{1}}+\frac{C_{2}}{\delta}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right)
$$

## 5. Li-Yau gradient estimates for sum of squares of vector fields

In the paper of H.-D. Cao and S.-T. Yau ([CY]), they derived the gradient estimate for step 2. Here we generalize the result to higher step under the assumption of the curvature-dimension inequality. Let $M$ be a closed smooth manifold and $L$ be an operator with respect to the sum of squares of vector fields $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$

$$
L=\sum_{j \in I_{d}} e_{j}^{2}
$$

Suppose that $u$ is the positive solution of

$$
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u=0
$$

on $M \times[0,+\infty)$. Now we introduce another test function as in [Y] for $f(x, t)=\ln u(x, t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t)=t\left[\sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda}-\delta f_{t}\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ to be determined later. Note that the power $\lambda$ in this test function $G$ is necessary due to (5.14).

By the same computation as in Lemma 2.1 of [CY], we have Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.1. Let $M$ be a smooth connected manifold with a positive measure and $L$ be an operator with respect to the sum of squares of vector fields
$\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$. Suppose that $u$ is the positive solution of

$$
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u=0
$$

on $M \times[0,+\infty)$. Then the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) G= & -\frac{G}{t}+2 t\left(\sum_{i, j \in I_{d}}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|^{2}+\sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(\left[L, e_{j}\right] f\right)\right) \\
& +2 \lambda t \sum_{i \in I_{d}, \alpha \in \Lambda}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-2}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\left[1+(2 \lambda-1)\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \lambda t \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[L, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right) \\
& +4 \lambda t \sum_{i \in I_{d}, \alpha \in \Lambda}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left(e_{i} f\right)\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[e_{i}, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right) \\
& -2 \sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(e_{j} G\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, as a consequence of Lemma 5.1, we get Proposition 5.2.

Proposition 5.1. If $M$ satisfies the curvature-dimension inequality $C D\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \kappa, m\right)$ for $\rho_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \rho_{2}>0, \kappa \geq 0, m>0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) G \geq & -\frac{G}{t}+\frac{t}{m}(L f)^{2}+t \sum_{i, j}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|^{2}+t \rho_{2} \Gamma^{Z}(f, f) \\
& +2 \lambda(2 \lambda-1) t \sum_{i, \alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2} \\
& +t \sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(\left[L, e_{j}\right] f\right)+t\left(\rho_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{\nu}\right) \Gamma(f, f)-\nu t \Gamma_{2}^{Z}(f, f) \\
& +2 \lambda t \sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[L, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right) \\
& +4 \lambda t \sum_{i, \alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left(e_{i} f\right)\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[e_{i}, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right) \\
& -2 \sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(e_{j} G\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 5.1. With the help of the curvature-dimension inequality, we obtain the extra positive term $t \rho_{2} \Gamma^{Z}(f, f)$ in order to control some of the remaining negative terms in the upcoming estimates. Note that there are similar spirits as in [CY, (2.10)] and [CKL, (2.10)].

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem in this section.
The proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ :
Proof. Here we follow the method as in ([CY, Proposition 2.1.]). We claim that there are positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ such that

$$
G \leq C_{1}+C_{2} t+C_{3} t^{\frac{2 \lambda-1}{\lambda-1}}
$$

If not, then for arbitrary such $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$, we have

$$
G>C_{1}+C_{2} t+C_{3} t^{\frac{2 \lambda-1}{\lambda-1}}
$$

at its maximum $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ on $M \times[0, T]$ for some $T>0$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{cases}t_{0}>0, & \left(e_{j} G\right)\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0 \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \geq 0, & L G\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

for $j \in I_{d}$.
Choosing

$$
\nu=\lambda(2 \lambda-1)\left(1+\max _{\alpha}\left(\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)\right)^{\lambda-1}
$$

and evaluating (5.2) at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & -\frac{G}{t_{0}}+\frac{t_{0}}{m}(L f)^{2}+t_{0} \sum_{i, j}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|^{2}+t_{0} \rho_{2} \sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}  \tag{5.3}\\
& +t_{0} \sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(\left[L, e_{j}\right] f\right) \\
& +\lambda(2 \lambda-1) t_{0} \sum_{j, \alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{j} Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2} \\
& +\lambda(3-2 \lambda) t_{0} \sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[L, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right) \\
& +4 \lambda t_{0} \sum_{j, \alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left(e_{j} f\right)\left(Y_{\alpha} f\right)\left(\left[e_{j}, Y_{\alpha}\right] f\right) \\
& -\frac{t_{0} \kappa}{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)} \sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1-\lambda} \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}+t_{0} \rho_{1} \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By straightforward computation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left[L, e_{j}\right] f\right| & =\left|2 \sum_{i} e_{i}\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right] f-\sum_{i}\left[e_{i},\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]\right] f\right|  \tag{5.4}\\
& \leq 2 \sum_{i}\left|e_{i}\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right] f\right|+\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left[L, Y_{\eta}^{\prime}\right] f\right| & =\left|2 \sum_{i} e_{i}\left[e_{i}, Y_{\eta}^{\prime}\right] f-\sum_{i}\left[e_{i},\left[e_{i}, Y_{\eta}^{\prime}\right]\right] f\right|  \tag{5.5}\\
& \leq 2 \sum_{i, A}\left|e_{i} Y_{A}^{\prime \prime} f\right|+d\left(a \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|+b \sum_{\eta}\left|Y_{\eta}^{\prime} f\right|+c \sum_{A}\left|Y_{A}^{\prime \prime} f\right|\right) \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{i, \alpha}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|+d a \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|+d(b+c) \sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left[L, Y_{A}^{\prime \prime}\right] f\right|= & \left|\sum_{i} e_{i}\left[e_{i}, Y_{A}^{\prime \prime}\right] f+\sum_{i}\left[e_{i}, Y_{A}^{\prime \prime}\right] e_{i} f\right|  \tag{5.6}\\
\leq & \sum_{i}\left|e_{i}\left(a_{(i, A)}^{n} e_{n}+b_{(i, A)}^{\eta} Y_{\eta}^{\prime}+c_{(i, A)}^{B} Y_{B}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\right| \\
& +a \sum_{i, n}\left|e_{n} e_{i} f\right|+b \sum_{i, \eta}\left|Y_{\eta}^{\prime} e_{i} f\right|+c \sum_{i, B}\left|Y_{B}^{\prime \prime} e_{i} f\right| \\
\leq & 2 a \sum_{i, j}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|+2 b \sum_{i, \eta}\left|e_{i} Y_{\eta}^{\prime} f\right| \\
& +2 c \sum_{i, A}\left|e_{i} Y_{A}^{\prime \prime} f\right|+b \sum_{i, \eta}\left|\left[e_{i}, Y_{\eta}^{\prime}\right] f\right| \\
& +c \sum_{i, A}\left|\left[e_{i}, Y_{A}^{\prime \prime}\right] f\right|+d a^{\prime} \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right| \\
& +d b^{\prime} \sum_{\eta}\left|Y_{\eta}^{\prime} f\right|+d c^{\prime} \sum_{B}\left|Y_{B}^{\prime \prime} f\right|
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & 2 a \sum_{i, j}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|+2(b+c) \sum_{i, \alpha}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|+d a^{\prime} \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|+d b^{\prime} \sum_{\eta}\left|Y_{\eta}^{\prime} f\right| \\
& +\left(d c^{\prime}+b\right) \sum_{B}\left|Y_{B}^{\prime \prime} f\right|+c \sum_{i, B}\left|\left(a_{(i, B)}^{n} e_{n}+b_{(i, B)}^{\eta} Y_{\eta}^{\prime}+c_{(i, B)}^{A} Y_{A}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\right| \\
\leq & 2 a \sum_{i, j}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|+2(b+c) \sum_{i, \alpha}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|+d\left(a^{\prime}+a c d^{4}\right) \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right| \\
& +d\left(b^{\prime}+b c d^{4}\right) \sum_{\eta}\left|Y_{\eta}^{\prime} f\right|+\left(d c^{\prime}+b+c^{2} d^{4}\right) \sum_{B}\left|Y_{B}^{\prime \prime} f\right| \\
\leq & 2 a \sum_{i, j}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|+2(b+c) \sum_{i, \alpha}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|+d\left(a^{\prime}+a c d^{4}\right) \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right| \\
& +\left(d b^{\prime}+b c d^{5}+d c^{\prime}+b+c^{2} d^{4}\right) \sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[e_{i}, Y_{\eta}^{\prime}\right] f\right| \leq \sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right| \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[e_{i}, Y_{A}^{\prime \prime}\right] f\right| \leq a \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|+(b+c) \sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right| \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.4)-(5.8) into (5.3) and noting that

$$
\left(L-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) f=-\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}
$$

we have
(5.9) $\quad 0 \geq-\frac{G}{t_{0}}+\frac{t_{0}}{m}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}-f_{t}\right)^{2}+t_{0} \sum_{i, j}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|^{2}+t_{0} \rho_{2} \sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}$

$$
+\lambda(2 \lambda-1) t_{0} \sum_{j, \alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{j} Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}
$$

$$
-d\left(a+a^{\prime}+a c d^{4}\right) \lambda(3-2 \lambda) t_{0} \sum_{j, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\left|e_{j} f\right|
$$

$$
-\left(d b+d c+d b^{\prime}+b c d^{5}+d c^{\prime}+b+c^{2} d^{4}\right) \lambda(3-2 \lambda)
$$

$$
\times t_{0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\underbrace{2 t_{0} \underbrace{\sum_{i, j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\left|e_{i}\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right] f\right|}_{i, j}-t_{0} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right)\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\right)}_{(2)}}_{(1)} \begin{array}{l}
-\underbrace{2 a \lambda(3-2 \lambda) t_{0} \sum_{i, j, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|}_{(3)}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\underbrace{2(1+b+c) \lambda(3-2 \lambda) t_{0} \sum_{i, \alpha, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|}_{(4)}
$$

$$
-\underbrace{4 a \lambda t_{0}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{2}}_{(5)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\underbrace{4(1+b+c) \lambda t_{0} \sum_{j, \alpha, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{j} f\right|\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|}_{(6)} \\
& -\underbrace{\frac{t_{0} \kappa}{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)} \sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1-\lambda} \cdot \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}}_{(7)}-t_{0} \rho_{1} \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we estimate each term (1)-(7) in the right hand of (5.9) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i, j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\left|e_{i}\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right] f\right| \leq \sum_{i, j, \alpha}\left|e_{j} f\right|\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|  \tag{1}\\
\leq & \frac{d^{2}}{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)}{4} \sum_{i, \alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2} \\
\leq & \varepsilon\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{d^{4}}{\varepsilon(2 \lambda-1)^{2}}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\right)^{4} \\
& +\frac{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)}{4} \sum_{i, \alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) $\quad\left(\sum_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right)\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{4 d^{2}(1+d)}{\rho_{2}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{2}+\frac{\rho_{2}}{16 d^{2}(1+d)}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{4 d^{2}(1+d)}{\rho_{2}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{2}+\frac{\rho_{2}}{16}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) $\quad t_{0}\left[2 a \lambda(3-2 \lambda) \sum_{i, j, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|\right]$

$$
=t_{0}\left[\left(2 a \lambda(3-2 \lambda) \sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)\left(\sum_{i, j}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|\right)\right]
$$

$$
\leq t_{0}\left[\sum_{i, j}\left|e_{i} e_{j} f\right|^{2}+d^{2} \lambda^{2}(3-2 \lambda)^{2} a^{2}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2}\right]
$$

(4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2(1+b+c) \lambda(3-2 \lambda) t_{0} \sum_{i, \alpha, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right| \\
= & t_{0} \gamma \sum_{i, \alpha, \beta}\left[\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|\right] \\
\leq & t_{0} \gamma \sum_{i, \alpha, \beta}\left[\frac{d^{2}(1+d) \gamma}{2 \lambda(2 \lambda-1)}\left(\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1-\lambda}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)}{2 \gamma d^{2}(1+d)}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right] \\
\leq & \frac{\gamma^{2} d^{3}(1+d)}{2 \lambda(2 \lambda-1)} t_{0}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)}{2} t_{0} \sum_{i, \alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{i} Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { for } \gamma=2(1+b+c) \lambda(3-2 \lambda)
$$

(5) $\quad 4 a \lambda t_{0}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{2}$

$$
\leq \varepsilon \lambda t_{0}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{4 \lambda t_{0} d^{2} a^{2}}{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2}
$$

(6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4(1+b+c) \lambda t_{0} \sum_{j, \alpha, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{j} f\right|\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\left|Y_{\beta} f\right| \\
\leq & t_{0} \frac{64 d^{2}(1+d)(1+b+c)^{2}}{\rho_{2}} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{2} \\
& +t_{0} \frac{\rho_{2}}{16 d^{2}(1+d)}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2} \\
\leq & t_{0} \frac{\varepsilon}{d^{2}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{4}+t_{0} \frac{\rho_{2}}{16}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +t_{0} \frac{1024 d^{6}(1+d)^{2}(1+b+c)^{4}}{\varepsilon \rho_{2}^{2}}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{4} \\
\leq & t_{0} \varepsilon\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2}+t_{0} \frac{\rho_{2}}{16}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +t_{0} \frac{1024 d^{6}(1+d)^{2}(1+b+c)^{4}}{\varepsilon \rho_{2}^{2}}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{t_{0} \kappa}{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)} \sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1-\lambda} \cdot \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2} \\
\leq & t_{0}\left[\varepsilon\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{\lambda^{2}(2 \lambda-1)^{2}}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\right)^{4}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}=\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \\
& x=\left(\delta_{0} \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}-\delta f_{t}\right)\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \\
& y=\max _{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We may assume that $y>1$; otherwise, the similar method adopted as follows still holds for $y \leq 1$. Now we divide it into two cases:
(I) Case $I: x \geq 0$ :

In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}-f_{t}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{x^{2}}{\delta^{2}}+\frac{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}{\delta^{2}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (1)-(7) and (5.10) into (5.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & -\frac{G}{t_{0}}+\left\{\frac{t_{0}}{m \delta^{2}} x^{2}+\frac{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}{m \delta^{2}} t_{0}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{7}{8} \rho_{2} t_{0}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)\right\}  \tag{5.11}\\
& -2 t_{0} \varepsilon\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{2 d^{4}}{\varepsilon(2 \lambda-1)^{2}} t_{0}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\right)^{4} \\
& -\frac{4 d^{2}(1+d)}{\rho_{2}} t_{0}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{2} \\
& -t_{0} d^{2} \lambda^{2}(3-2 \lambda)^{2} a^{2}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{\gamma^{2} d^{3}(1+d)}{2 \lambda(2 \lambda-1)} t_{0}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -d\left(a+a^{\prime}+a c d^{4}\right) \lambda(3-2 \lambda) t_{0} \sum_{j, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{j} f\right|\left|Y_{\beta} f\right| \\
& -\left(d b+d c+d b^{\prime}+b c d^{5}+d c^{\prime}+b+c^{2} d^{4}\right) \\
& \quad \times \lambda(3-2 \lambda) t_{0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|-t_{0} \varepsilon \lambda\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{4 \lambda t_{0} d^{2} a^{2}}{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2}-t_{0} \varepsilon\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& -t_{0} \frac{1024 d^{6}(1+d)^{2}(1+b+c)^{4}}{\varepsilon \rho_{2}^{2}}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{4} \\
& -t_{0} \varepsilon\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)^{2}-t_{0} \frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{\lambda^{2}(2 \lambda-1)^{2}}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\right)^{4} \\
& -t_{0} \rho_{1}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{j} f\right|\left|Y_{\beta} f\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we could write the inequality (5.11) in $\bar{x}, y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & -\frac{G}{t_{0}}+\frac{t_{0}}{m \delta^{2}} x^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} t_{0} y^{2}+t_{0}\left[\frac{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}{m \delta^{2}} \bar{x}^{2}-2 \varepsilon \bar{x}^{2}-\frac{4 d^{3}(1+d)}{\rho_{2}} \bar{x}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{d^{2}\left(a+a^{\prime}+a c d^{4}\right)}{4}(3-2 \lambda) \lambda \bar{x}-\lambda \varepsilon \bar{x}^{2}-\varepsilon \bar{x}^{2}-\varepsilon \bar{x}^{2}-\rho_{1} \bar{x}\right] \\
& +t_{0}\left[\frac{1}{8} \rho_{2} y^{2}-\frac{2 d^{12}(1+d)^{4}}{\varepsilon(2 \lambda-1)^{2}} y^{4(1-\lambda)}-d^{6}(1+d)^{2} \lambda^{2}(3-2 \lambda)^{2} a^{2} y^{2(2 \lambda-1)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\gamma^{2} d^{11}(1+d)^{5}}{2 \lambda(2 \lambda-1)} y^{2 \lambda}-d^{5}(1+d)^{2}\left(a+a^{\prime}+a c d^{4}\right) \lambda(3-2 \lambda) y^{2(2 \lambda-1)} \\
& -d^{4}(1+d)^{2}\left(d b+d c+d b^{\prime}+b c d^{4}+d c^{\prime}+b+c^{2} d^{4}\right) \lambda(3-2 \lambda) y^{2 \lambda} \\
& -\frac{4 \lambda d^{6}(1+d)^{2} a^{2}}{\varepsilon} y^{2(2 \lambda-1)}-\frac{1024 d^{14}(1+d)^{6}(1+b+c)^{4}}{\varepsilon \rho_{2}^{2}} y^{4(2 \lambda-1)} \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} \frac{\kappa^{2} d^{8}(1+d)^{4}}{\lambda^{2}(2 \lambda-1)^{2}} y^{4(1-\lambda)}-\text { lower order terms }\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose

$$
\varepsilon=\frac{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)^{2}}{10 m \delta^{2}}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq-\frac{G}{t_{0}}+\frac{t_{0}}{m \delta^{2}} x^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} t_{0} y^{2}-C_{4} t_{0} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) If $x \geq \sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda}$, then by the definition

$$
G\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=t_{0}\left[\left(1-\delta_{0}\right) \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}+x+\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \geq-2 t_{0} x+\frac{\left(t_{0} x\right)^{2}}{m \delta^{2}}-C_{4} t_{0}^{2} \\
\Longrightarrow & t_{0} x \leq 2 m \delta^{2}+C_{5} t_{0} \\
\Longrightarrow & G \leq 2 t_{0} x \leq 4 m \delta^{2}+2 C_{5} t_{0} \\
\Longrightarrow & \left(\sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda}-\delta f_{t}\right)\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq \frac{4 d \delta^{2}}{t_{0}}+C_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $x \leq \sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda}$, then

$$
0 \geq-2 C_{7} y^{2 \lambda}+\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} t_{0} y^{2}-C_{4} t_{0}
$$

(a) If $t_{0}<1$, then

$$
y^{2}\left(\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} t_{0}-2 C_{7} y^{2(\lambda-1)}\right) \leq C_{4} t_{0}
$$

and

$$
\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} t_{0} \leq\left(C_{4}+2 C_{7}\right) y^{2(\lambda-1)}
$$

and

$$
y \leq C_{2} t_{0}^{\frac{1}{2(\lambda-1)}}
$$

and

$$
t_{0} y^{2 \lambda} \leq C_{2} t_{0}^{\frac{2 \lambda-1}{\lambda-1}}
$$

(b) If $t_{0} \geq 1$, then

$$
0 \geq-2 C_{7} y^{2 \lambda}+\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} t_{0} y^{2}-C_{4} t_{0}
$$

and

$$
0 \geq-2 C_{7} t_{0} y^{2 \lambda}+\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} t_{0} y^{2}-C_{4} t_{0}
$$

and

$$
0 \geq-2 C_{7} y^{2 \lambda}+\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} y^{2}-C_{4}
$$

and

$$
y \leq C_{8}
$$

and

$$
t_{0} y^{2 \lambda} \leq C_{9} t_{0}
$$

Combining (a) and (b), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G \leq 2 t_{0} \sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda} \leq C_{2}^{\prime} t_{0}+C_{3}^{\prime} t_{0}^{\frac{2 \lambda-1}{\lambda-1}} \\
\Longrightarrow & \left(\sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda}-\delta f_{t}\right)\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq C_{2}+C_{3} t_{0}^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(II) Case II: $x \leq 0$ :

We may assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta_{0}-1\right) \sum_{j \in I_{d}}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

otherwise,

$$
G\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq 0
$$

By (1)-(4), 5.9 becomes
(5.14) $0 \geq-\frac{G}{t_{0}}+\frac{15}{16} \rho_{2} t_{0}\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)$
$-\frac{2 d^{2}}{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\right)^{2}$
$-\frac{4 d^{2}(1+d)}{\rho_{2}} t_{0}\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{2}$
$-d^{2} \lambda^{2}(3-2 \lambda)^{2} a^{2} t_{0}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2}$
$-\frac{\gamma^{2} d^{3}(1+d)}{2 \lambda(2 \lambda-1)} t_{0}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)^{2}$
$\times\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}\right)^{2}$
$-d\left(a+a^{\prime}+a c d^{4}\right) \lambda(3-2 \lambda) t_{0} \sum_{j, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\left|e_{j} f\right|$
$-\left(d b+d c+d b^{\prime}+b c d^{5}+d c^{\prime}+b+c^{2} d^{4}\right)$
$\times \lambda(3-2 \lambda) t_{0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|$
$-4 a \lambda t_{0}\left(\sum_{\beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|\right)\left(\sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -4(1+b+c) \lambda t_{0} \sum_{j, \alpha, \beta}\left(1+\left|Y_{\beta} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1}\left|e_{j} f\right|\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|\left|Y_{\beta} f\right| \\
& -\frac{t_{0} \kappa}{\lambda(2 \lambda-1)} \sum_{\alpha}\left(1+\left|Y_{\alpha} f\right|^{2}\right)^{1-\lambda} \cdot \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2}-t_{0} \rho_{1} \sum_{j}\left|e_{j} f\right|^{2} \\
\geq & -\frac{G}{t_{0}}+\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} t_{0} y^{2}+t_{0}\left[\frac{3}{16} \rho_{2} y^{2}-\frac{C_{9}}{\delta_{0}-1} y^{2}-C_{10} y^{2 \lambda}\right. \\
& -C_{11} y^{2(2 \lambda-1)}-C_{12} y^{2 \lambda}-C_{13} y^{3 \lambda-1}-C_{14} y^{2 \lambda}-C_{15} y^{4 \lambda-1} \\
& \left.-C_{16} y^{3 \lambda}-\frac{C_{17}}{\delta_{0}-1} y^{2}-C_{18} y^{2 \lambda}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose

$$
\delta_{0}=1+\frac{8}{\rho_{2}}\left(C_{9}+C_{17}\right)>1 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{2}<\lambda<\frac{2}{3}
$$

then we derive the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq-\frac{G}{t_{0}}+\frac{3}{4} \rho_{2} t_{0} y^{2}-C_{19} t_{0} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{19}>0$. Utilizing the same deductions as precedes and (5.15) instead of (5.12), the proof of this theorem is completed.
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