

# Alexandrov spaces with integral current structure

M. JARAMILLO, R. PERALES, P. RAJAN, C. SEARLE, AND A. SIFFERT

We endow each closed, orientable Alexandrov space  $(X, d)$  with an integral current  $T$  of weight equal to 1,  $\partial T = 0$  and  $\text{set}(T) = X$ , in other words, we prove that  $(X, d, T)$  is an integral current space with no boundary. Combining this result with a result of Li and Perales, we show that non-collapsing sequences of these spaces with uniform lower curvature and diameter bounds admit subsequences whose Gromov-Hausdorff and intrinsic flat limits agree.

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Orientation of Alexandrov spaces via strainers</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The proof of Theorem A</b>	<b>136</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>146</b>

## 1. Introduction

There exist a wealth of notions for convergence of sequences of Riemannian manifolds, among them are  $C^{k,\alpha}$ -convergence (see e.g. [30]) and Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of metric spaces [6]. More recently, Sormani and Wenger [38] introduced another notion of convergence, the *intrinsic flat convergence* of integral current spaces. This notion is based on the flat distance between integral currents in Euclidean space developed by Federer and Fleming in [5], which was subsequently extended to metric spaces by Ambrosio and Kirchheim [1]. In [38] Sormani and Wenger motivate the introduction of intrinsic flat convergence by the following example: spheres with increasingly many splines where the total volume of splines decay to

zero, converge in the intrinsic flat sense to spheres but not in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. There are numerous interesting applications of intrinsic flat convergence, several of them to General Relativity (see e.g. [10], [11], [14], [15]).

The study of Alexandrov spaces has been largely motivated by the fact that they are a generalization of Riemannian manifolds with curvature bounded from below. The relationship between Riemannian and Alexandrov geometry has been used repeatedly to solve difficult problems in Riemannian geometry: for example, in Perelman's solution to Thurston's Geometrization conjecture for 3-manifolds, a structure theorem for 3-manifolds that collapse with a uniform lower curvature bound plays a crucial role (see [25], [26], [27]).

Examples of Alexandrov spaces include limits of Gromov-Hausdorff sequences of Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvature bounded from below, as well as all quotients of Riemannian manifolds with lower curvature bounds under isometric group actions. It is a longstanding conjecture that not all Alexandrov spaces belong to the closure of the space of Riemannian manifolds with a lower curvature bound (see e.g. [13], [31]). However, since smooth oriented Riemannian manifolds of finite volume can be viewed as integral current spaces, it is then natural to ask:

*Which Alexandrov spaces can be endowed with integral current structures?*

In this paper we begin the study of this question by considering Alexandrov spaces without boundary. We will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem A.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a closed, oriented,  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by  $\kappa$ . There exists an integral current structure  $T$  with weight equal to 1 defined on  $X$  such that  $(X, d, T)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional integral current space.

Li and Perales [16] study sequences of integral current spaces  $(X_j, d_j, T_j)$  such that  $\partial T_j = 0$ . In the noncollapsing case they prove that if the integral currents  $T_j$  have weight 1 and  $(X_j, d_j)$  are Alexandrov spaces with uniform lower curvature and upper diameter bounds, then the Gromov-Hausdorff and intrinsic flat limits of the sequence  $(X_j, d_j, T_j)$  agree.

**Theorem 1.1.** [16] *Let  $(X_j, d_j, T_j)$  be  $n$ -dimensional integral current spaces with weight 1 and no boundaries. Suppose that  $(X_j, d_j)$  are Alexandrov spaces*

with nonnegative curvature and  $\text{diam}(X) \leq D$ . Then either the sequence converges to the zero integral current space in the intrinsic flat sense

$$(X_j, d_j, T_j) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}} \mathbf{0}$$

or a subsequence converges in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense and intrinsic flat sense to the same space, namely

$$(X_{j_k}, d_{j_k}) \xrightarrow{GH} (X, d)$$

and

$$(X_{j_k}, d_{j_k}, T_{j_k}) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}} (X, d, T).$$

The following theorem immediately follows from Theorems A and 1.1.

**Theorem B.** Let  $X_i$  be closed oriented  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below by  $\kappa$ . Suppose further that  $\text{diam}(X_i) \leq D$  and the sequence is non-collapsing. Then the  $X_i$  can be made into  $n$ -dimensional integral current spaces in a way that a subsequence converges in the intrinsic flat and Gromov-Hausdorff sense to the same metric space.

We note that the relationship between intrinsic flat limits and Gromov-Hausdorff limits of sequences has been studied previously. Sormani and Wenger [39] prove these limits agree for sequences of closed Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, diameter bounded above, and volume bounded below by a positive constant. For the case of Riemannian manifolds with boundary, Perales [24] shows that under the same conditions these limits agree. Munn [21] proves for closed Riemannian manifolds, that this statement is also true when nonnegative Ricci curvature is substituted by two sided bounds on the Ricci curvature. Matveev and Portegies then show that this result extends to sequences of manifolds with an arbitrary uniform lower bound on Ricci curvature, and that the limiting current is essentially unique [18]. In particular, this tells us that Alexandrov spaces which arise as limits of sequences of Riemannian manifolds with lower curvature bounds admit integral current structures.

## Organization

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the tools and results necessary for the proof of Theorem A. In Section 3, we introduce a

new definition of orientability in Alexandrov spaces using strainers, which will allow us to define oriented atlases for our spaces in the sense of Federer (see Definition 2.3). Finally, in Section 4, we prove Theorem A.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Christina Sormani for suggesting this problem to us and for stimulating conversations. P. Rajan and C. Searle would also like to thank Frederick Wilhelm for numerous helpful conversations. We would also like to thank the Banff International Research Station for its support during the Women in Geometry Workshop (15w5135), where the work on this paper was begun. M. Jaramillo was supported by a U Connecticut OVPR/AAUP travel grant. R. Perales and P. Rajan were supported by Christina Sormani's NSF-DMS grant #1309360. P. Rajan was supported in part by Simons Foundation Grant #358086 (Frederick Wilhelm). C. Searle was supported in part by Simons Foundation Grant #355508 (Catherine Searle) and her NSF-DMS grant #160178 and #1906404. P. Rajan and A. Siffert thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for support and providing excellent working conditions. A. Siffert was supported by a travel grant from DAAD #464342. This material is based in part upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140 while R. Perales and C. Searle were in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2016 semester.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we gather the definitions and tools we will need to prove Theorem A. In Subsection 2.1, we present basic materials on orientation in rectifiable spaces, in Subsection 2.2 we present the material we will need from Alexandrov geometry, and in Subsection 2.3 we go over the definitions and basic tools we will need from the theory of Integral Current Spaces.

### 2.1. Countably $\mathcal{H}^n$ rectifiable spaces

In this subsection we establish properties related to orientation of a countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space, where  $\mathcal{H}^n$  denotes the  $n$  dimensional Hausdorff measure. We first recall the definition of such a space.

**Definition 2.1 (Countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space).** Given Borel measurable sets  $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we say that a metric space  $X$  is *countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable* if and only if there exist countably many Lipschitz maps  $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n \left( X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(A_i) \right) = 0.$$

In defining an orientation on a countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space, we first need an atlas. We now recall the definition of an atlas for a countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space, as given in Federer [4].

**Definition 2.2 (Atlas of rectifiable space).** For a countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space,  $X$ , a bi-Lipschitz collection of charts  $\{A_i, \{\varphi_i\}\}$ , where  $\varphi_i : A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$ , is called an *atlas* of  $X$ .

An orientation on a countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space is now defined via an oriented atlas as follows.

**Definition 2.3 (Oriented atlas).** Suppose we have a countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space  $X$ . Then we say that we have an *oriented atlas* if

$$\det(\nabla(\varphi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_j)) > 0$$

for all overlapping charts almost everywhere on  $\varphi_j(A_j) \cap \varphi_i(A_i)$ .

**Definition 2.4 (Orientation).** An *orientation* on a countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space  $X$  is an equivalence class of atlases where two atlases,  $\{A_i, \varphi_i\}$ ,  $\{\bar{A}_j, \bar{\varphi}_j\}$  are considered to be equivalent if their union is an oriented atlas.

**Remark 2.5.** Given an orientation,  $[\{A_i, \varphi_i\}]$ , we can choose a representative atlas,  $\{A_i, \varphi_i\}$ , such that the charts are pairwise disjoint,  $\varphi_i(A_i) \cap \varphi_j(A_j) = \emptyset$ , and the domains  $A_i$  are precompact. We call such an oriented atlas a *preferred oriented atlas*.

## 2.2. Alexandrov spaces

Recall that a complete, finite dimensional length space  $(X, d)$  is an *Alexandrov space* if it has curvature bounded from below by some  $k > 0$  in the sense of Alexandrov (see e.g. [2]). Finite dimensional Alexandrov spaces are known to be locally compact [2]. Furthermore, complete, locally compact, intrinsic metric spaces are *proper*, that is, their bounded closed subsets are

compact (cf. [33]). We also recall that a metric space is first countable. Let  $X$  be an Alexandrov space, then for any  $x \in X$  and for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  consider the countable exhaustion of  $X$  by metric balls  $B_r(x)$ . Since  $X$  is proper, each ball endowed with the subspace topology is precompact and first countable and hence second countable. Furthermore, a metric space is second countable if and only if it is separable. Hence Alexandrov spaces are separable.

In an Alexandrov space, the unit tangent space to a point is the *space of directions*,  $\Sigma_x$ , which is defined to be the completion of the space of geodesic directions at  $x$ . Observe that  $\Sigma_x$  is itself a compact Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by 1. For  $p, q \in X$ , we will denote the set of all directions at  $p$  corresponding to minimizing geodesics from  $p$  to  $q$  by  $\uparrow_p^q$ . If there is a unique minimizing direction between  $p$  and  $q$ , we will denote it by  $\uparrow_p^q$ .

We make the following distinction between types of points in an Alexandrov space, based on their space of directions. That is, we call a point  $x \in X$  *regular* if  $\Sigma_x$  is isometric to  $S^{n-1}(1)$ , the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit round sphere, and *singular* otherwise. We will denote the set of all regular points in  $X$  by  $R_X$  and the set of singular points by  $S_X$ .

Next we introduce a technical tool for the introduction of a local coordinate system, the so-called *strainers*, originally defined in [3]. We use  $\sphericalangle(p, x, q)$  to denote the angle at  $x$  of the geodesic triangle at  $\Delta(p, x, q) \subset X$ . We use  $\sphericalangle(\mu, \eta)$  to denote the angle between the two directions  $\mu, \eta \in \Sigma_p$ . We use  $\tilde{\sphericalangle}(p, x, q)$  to denote the comparison angle, that is the angle of the geodesic triangle  $\tilde{\Delta}(p, x, q)$  in the simply connected  $n$  dimensional Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature equal to  $\kappa$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\kappa^n$ .

**Definition 2.6 (Strainers).** Let  $X$  be an Alexandrov space. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be  $(n, \delta)$ -strained by the strainer  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n \subset X \times X$  provided that for all  $i \neq j$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sphericalangle}(a_i, x, b_i) &> \pi - \delta, \quad \tilde{\sphericalangle}(a_i, x, b_j) > \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta, \\ \tilde{\sphericalangle}(b_i, x, b_j) &> \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta, \quad \tilde{\sphericalangle}(a_i, x, a_j) > \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

We say  $B \subset X$  is an  $(n, \delta)$ -strained set with strainer  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  provided every point  $x \in B$  is  $(n, \delta)$ -strained by  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ .

Following the convention in [2], if  $\delta < 1/100n$ , then we call an  $(n, \delta)$ -strainer an *n-strainer*.

**Definition 2.7 (Strainer number).** [2] The *strainer number* of an Alexandrov space  $X$  is the supremum of numbers  $n$  such that there exists an  $n$ -strainer in  $X$ .

We will denote the set of all  $(n, \delta)$ -strained points of  $X$  by  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$ . In general, we will work with the set  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$  rather than  $X$  itself, as many properties of  $X$  can be expressed in terms of  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$ . In particular,  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$  satisfies a number of very useful properties, which we detail below.

The following Theorem from [2] characterizes the set of  $(n, \delta)$ -strained points.

**Theorem 2.8 (10.8.23 in [2]).** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space. Then for every  $\delta > 0$  the set of  $(n, \delta)$ -strained points, that is,  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$ , is an open dense set in  $X$ .*

Moreover, we can also show that  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$  is path connected and hence connected, as follows.

**Lemma 2.9.** *The set of  $(n, \delta)$ -strained points,  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$ , is path-connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in R_{X(n, \delta)}$ . Consider  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ , a geodesic from  $x$  to  $y$ . By Theorem 1.1 in [32], it follows that the spaces of directions along the interior of a geodesic are isometric to each other. Since both  $x$  and  $y$  are  $(n, \delta)$ -strained, we can find open neighborhoods around  $x$  and  $y$  that are also  $(n, \delta)$ -strained and hence the interior points of  $\gamma$  are  $(n, \delta)$ -strained and the result follows.  $\square$

The theorem below shows that the space of directions  $\Sigma_x$  at an  $(n, \delta)$ -strained point  $x$ , is almost isometric to a round sphere.

**Theorem 2.10.** [3] *Let  $X$  be a complete  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional space with curvature  $\geq 1$  which has an  $(n, \delta)$ -strainer  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ . Then for small  $\delta > 0$ ,  $X$  is almost isometric to the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}(1)$ , that is, there exists a homeomorphism  $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow S^{n-1}(1)$  such that*

$$|\tilde{f}(r)\tilde{f}(q)| - |rq| < \tau(\delta)|rq|$$

*is satisfied for any  $q, r \in X$ , where  $\tau(\delta)$  is a function satisfying  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau(\delta) = 0$ .*

In fact, by Corollary 9.6 from [3], upon making  $\delta$  smaller if necessary,  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$  consists entirely of interior points.

**Corollary 2.11.** [3] *Let  $X$  be a complete  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional space with curvature  $\geq 1$  which has an  $(n, \delta)$ -strainer  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ . Then, for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ ,*

- 1)  $X$  has no boundary; and
- 2) *The set of  $(n, \delta)$ -strained points in an  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below are interior points.*

Moreover, for every  $\delta > 0$ , the set  $R_X$  is contained in the set  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$ . In fact,  $R_X$  is the intersection of the  $(n, \delta)$ -strained points, as detailed in the theorem below.

**Theorem 2.12.** [2] *The set of regular points,  $R_X$ , in an Alexandrov spaces is dense and moreover is an intersection of a countable collection of open dense sets. More precisely,  $R_X = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_{X(n, 1/i)}$ .*

Using the fact that the set of regular points is the intersection of the sets  $R_{X(n, 1/i)}$ , the following result is obtained in Otsu and Shioya [23].

**Theorem 2.13.** [23] *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space. The set  $S_X$  of singular points in  $X$  is of Hausdorff dimension  $\leq n - 1$ .*

**Corollary 2.14.** [3] *The Hausdorff dimension of  $X \setminus R_{X(n, \delta)}$  is less than or equal to  $n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $R_X \subset R_{X(n, \delta)}$  and thus  $X \setminus R_{X(n, \delta)} \subseteq S_X$ . □

For the special case of Alexandrov spaces without boundary there are better results.

**Lemma 2.15.** [Remark 10.6.1 in [3]] *Let  $X$  be an Alexandrov space  $X$  without boundary. Then the set  $X \setminus R_{X(n, \delta)}$  is of Hausdorff codimension 2 or greater.*

For completeness we give the proof.

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists an  $(n - 1)$ -strained point. Since there is no boundary this point is an interior point. By Corollary 12.8 in [3], any  $(n - 1)$ -strained interior point is also an  $n$ -strained point. Recall that if a  $(n, \delta)$ -strained point exists, then the Hausdorff dimension of  $X$  is at least  $n$ . This establishes a contradiction to Corollary 2.14 and thus there exists no  $(n - 1)$ -strained points. □



**Corollary 2.16.** *The set of singular points,  $S_X$ , in an Alexandrov space without boundary has codimension 2 or greater.*

The proof follows in an analogous fashion to that of Corollary 2.14 and we leave it to the reader.

Now, for each point  $x \in R_{X,(n,\delta)}$ , there exist bi-Lipschitz maps in a neighborhood of  $x$ . If  $x \in R_X$  these Lipschitz constants can be made arbitrarily close to 1. In particular, we have the following theorem (see Theorems 10.8.4, 10.8.18 and 10.9.16 in [2]).

**Theorem 2.17.** [2] *If  $x \in X$  is an  $n$ -strained point and  $n$  equals the local strainer number at  $x$ , then  $x$  has a neighborhood which is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to an open region in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism is provided by distance coordinates associated with any  $n$ -strainer. Moreover the Lipschitz constants of this map and its inverse are not greater than  $500n$ .*

**Theorem 2.18.** [2] *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is  $\delta > 0$  such that every  $(n, \delta)$ -strained point in any  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space has a neighborhood which is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to an open region in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover the Lipschitz constants of this map and its inverse are bounded by  $1 - \varepsilon$  and  $1 + \varepsilon$ .*

We can now use Theorem 2.18 to construct an atlas for an Alexandrov space that will be compatible with the definition of an atlas for a countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space (see Definition 2.2).

**Theorem 2.19.** *Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an atlas  $\{A_i, \{\varphi_i\}\}$  of  $X$  such that  $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow R_{X(n,\delta)}$  are bi-Lipschitz with uniform bi-Lipschitz constants bounded between  $1 - \varepsilon$  and  $1 + \varepsilon$ . Furthermore, the images of the  $\varphi_i$  can be made to be disjoint.*

*Proof.* Since open subsets of separable metric spaces are separable, it follows that  $R_{X(n,\delta)}$  is separable. Let  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a countable, dense collection of points in  $R_{X(n,\delta)}$ . Around each  $x_i \in R_{X(n,\delta)}$ , consider an open neighborhood  $U_{x_i} \subset R_{X(n,\delta)}$  and a bi-Lipschitz map  $f_{x_i} : U_{x_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , as in Theorem 2.18. The union  $\cup_{i \in I} U_i$  covers all of  $R_{X(n,\delta)}$  since the  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  are dense in  $R_{X(n,\delta)}$ .

We can now construct a countable collection of maps on the set of  $(n, \delta)$ -strained points,  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$ . We define  $\varphi_i : f_{x_i}(U_{x_i}) \rightarrow X$  by

$$\varphi_i = f_{x_i}^{-1}.$$

Setting  $A_1 = f_{x_1}(U_{x_1})$  and  $A_i = f_{x_i}(U_{x_i} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} U_{x_j})$  for  $i > 1$  we obtain Borel sets and can restrict the  $\varphi_i$  to these to obtain bi-Lipschitz functions with disjoint images. Thus we have constructed an atlas,  $\{A_i, \{\varphi_i\}\}$ , which is countable, whose maps are bi-Lipschitz, whose images are disjoint and such that the collection  $\{U_i\}$  covers  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$ .  $\square$

In order to make sure the charts in an atlas for an Alexandrov space satisfy the Federer definition of orientability (see Definition 2.3), we will need to be able to differentiate distance functions. However, in our case, we only require the directional differentiability of distance functions. In particular, for  $f = \text{dist}(p, \cdot)$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad d_p f(v_s) = - \min_{\xi \in \uparrow_p^q} \langle v_s, \xi \rangle =: - \langle v_s, \uparrow_p^q \rangle.$$

We also recall the following lemma of Lytchak [17]:

**Lemma 2.20.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be Lipschitz maps with  $f(x) = y$  and  $g(y) = z$ . If  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $g$  is differentiable at  $y$ , then  $g \circ f$  is differentiable at  $x$  with differential  $D_x(g \circ f) = D_y g \circ D_x f$ .*

Finally, we recall the Bishop and Bishop-Gromov inequalities for Alexandrov spaces here, as they will be needed for the proof of Theorem A.

**Theorem 2.21 (Bishop inequality).** [2] *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space of curvature  $\geq \kappa$ , then for every  $x \in X$  and every  $r > 0$*

$$\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x)) \leq V_\kappa(r),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x))$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the ball of radius  $r > 0$  centered at  $x$  and  $V_\kappa(r)$  is the volume of a ball of radius  $r$  in the space form  $\mathcal{M}_\kappa^n$ .

**Theorem 2.22 (Bishop-Gromov inequality).** [2] *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space of curvature  $\geq \kappa$ . Then for every  $x \in X$  the ratio*

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x))}{V_\kappa(r)}$$

*is nonincreasing in  $r$ . In other words, if  $R > r > 0$ , then*

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_R(x))}{V_\kappa(R)} \leq \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x))}{V_\kappa(r)}.$$

### 2.3. Integral current spaces

The aim of this subsection is to review the definition of  $m$ -dimensional integral current space as defined by Sormani-Wenger [38]. In order to do so we review previous definitions given in [1] such as  $m$ -dimensional current,  $m$ -dimensional integer rectifiable current and  $m$ -dimensional integral current. Moreover, we list basic results about currents and current spaces.

Let  $(Z, d)$  be a metric space. Define  $\mathcal{D}^m(Z)$  to be the collection of  $(m + 1)$ -tuples of Lipschitz functions where the first entry function is bounded, that is,

$$\mathcal{D}^m(Z) := \{(f, \pi) = (f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_m) \mid f, \pi_i : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz and } f \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

When  $Z = \mathbb{R}^m$ , a basic example of an  $m$ -dimensional current there is  $[[h]] : \mathcal{D}^m(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $h : A \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an  $L^1$  function, and  $[[h]]$  is given by

$$[[h]](f, \pi) = \int_{A \subset \mathbb{R}^m} h f \det(\nabla \pi_i) dx.$$

For easy reference, we begin with a list of notations that will be explained below:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_m(Z) &= \{m - \text{dimensional currents on } Z\}, \\ \mathcal{I}_m(Z) &= \{m - \text{dimensional integer rectifiable currents on } Z\}, \\ \mathbf{I}_m(Z) &= \{m - \text{dimensional integral currents on } Z\}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\mathbf{I}_m(Z) \subset \mathcal{I}_m(Z) \subset \mathbf{M}_m(Z).$$

We are now ready to define an  $m$ -dimensional current, its mass, and the associated operators of boundary, pushforward and restriction.

**Definition 2.23 (Current).** Let  $Z$  be a complete metric space. A multilinear functional  $T : \mathcal{D}^m(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called an  $m$ -dimensional current if it satisfies the following:

- 1) If there is an  $i$  such that  $\pi_i$  is constant on a neighborhood of  $\{f \neq 0\}$  then  $T(f, \pi) = 0$ .
- 2)  $T$  is continuous with respect to the pointwise convergence of the  $\pi_i$  for  $\text{Lip}(\pi_i) \leq 1$ .
- 3) There exists a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $Z$  such that for all  $(f, \pi) \in \mathcal{D}^m(Z)$

$$|T(f, \pi)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Lip}(\pi_i) \int_Z |f| d\mu.$$

In the following definitions,  $Z$  will always denote a complete metric space.

**Definition 2.24 (Mass).** The smallest Borel measure that satisfies Part (3) in the previous definition is called the mass measure of  $T$  and is denoted by  $\|T\|$ . The mass of  $T$  is given by

$$\mathbf{M}(T) := \|T\|(Z) = \int_Z d\|T\|.$$

**Definition 2.25 (Boundary).** Let  $T \in \mathbf{M}_m(Z)$ . The boundary of  $T$ , denoted by  $\partial T$ , is the function  $\partial T : \mathcal{D}^{m-1}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\partial T(f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{m-1}) = T(1, f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{m-1}).$$

**Remark 2.26.** The boundary of a current need not be a current itself. Indeed, by Remark 2.47 in [38] the following holds: let  $\phi : K \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow Z$  be a chart where  $K$  is compact and  $Z$  a metric space. Then  $\partial\phi_{\#}[1_K]$  is a current if and only if  $K$  has finite perimeter.

**Definition 2.27 (Pushforward).** Let  $T \in \mathbf{M}_m(Z)$  and  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow Z'$  be a Lipschitz map. The pushforward of  $T$ ,  $\varphi_{\#}T : \mathcal{D}^m(Z') \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is the function given by

$$\varphi_{\#}T(f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_m) = T(f \circ \varphi, \pi_1 \circ \varphi, \dots, \pi_m \circ \varphi).$$

Note that, by construction,  $\varphi_{\#}$  commutes with the boundary operator, that is,

$$\varphi_{\#}(\partial T) = \partial(\varphi_{\#}T).$$

Recall also that in [1] it was proven that the pushforward of a current is a current, that is,  $\varphi_{\#}T \in \mathbf{M}_m(Z')$ .

**Definition 2.28 (Restriction).** Let  $T \in \mathbf{M}_k(Z)$  and let  $\omega = (g, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) \in \mathcal{D}^m(Z)$ , with  $m \leq k$  ( $\omega = g$  if  $m = 0$ ). The *restriction of  $T$  to  $\omega$*  is the  $(k - m)$ -dimensional current in  $Z$ , denoted by  $T \llcorner \omega$ , given by

$$T \llcorner \omega(f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k-m}) = T(fg, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k-m}).$$

**Definition 2.29 (Integer rectifiable current).** Let  $T \in \mathbf{M}_m(Z)$ . We say that  $T$  is an  $m$ -dimensional *integer rectifiable current*, that is,  $T \in \mathcal{I}_m(Z)$ , if it has a *current parametrization*, consisting of parametrizations and weight functions,  $(\{\varphi_i\}, \{\theta_i\})$ , satisfying the following conditions.

- 1) The set of parametrizations  $\varphi_i : A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow Z$  is a countable collection of bi-Lipschitz maps such that all  $A_i$  are precompact Borel measurable with pairwise disjoint images.
- 2) The weight functions,  $\theta_i \in L^1(A_i, \mathbb{N})$ , are defined so that the following equalities hold:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i\#}[\theta_i] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{M}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{M}(\varphi_{i\#}[\theta_i]).$$

Using the above definition, the mass measure can then be rewritten as

$$\|T\| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_{i\#}[\theta_i]\|.$$

The following lemma from [38] gives us criteria for when two  $m$ -dimensional integer currents are equal. Before stating the lemma, we need the following definition for the weight of a current,  $T$ . Let  $\theta_T : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  be the  $L^1$  function, called the *weight* of  $T$ , given by

$$(2.2) \quad \theta_T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_i \circ \varphi_i^{-1} 1_{\varphi_i(A_i)}.$$

**Lemma 2.30.** [38] *Let  $T$  and  $T'$  be two  $m$ -dimensional integer currents defined on a complete metric space  $Z$  with current parametrizations  $(\{\varphi_i\}, \{\theta_i\})$*

and  $(\{\varphi'_i\}, \{\theta'_i\})$ , respectively. Let  $A_i$  and  $A'_i$  be the domains of the charts  $\varphi_i$  and  $\varphi'_i$ , respectively. Then  $T = T'$  if the following conditions are satisfied.

- 1) The symmetric difference between  $\cup \varphi_i(A_i)$  and  $\cup \varphi'_i(A'_i)$  has zero  $m$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.
- 2) In all overlapping sets we have

$$\det(\nabla(\varphi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi'_j)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\nabla(\varphi'_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_j)) > 0.$$

- 3) The functions  $\theta_T$  and  $\theta_{T'}$  agree  $\mathcal{H}^m$  almost everywhere on  $Z$ .

**Definition 2.31 (Integral current).** Let  $T \in \mathcal{I}_m(Z)$ . Then we call  $T$  an  $m$ -dimensional *integral current*, that is  $T \in \mathbf{I}_m(Z)$ , provided  $\partial T$  is an  $(m - 1)$ -dimensional current, that is  $\partial T \in \mathbf{M}_{m-1}(Z)$ .

Recall that the  $m$ -dimensional *density* of a Borel measure  $\mu$  at  $z \in Z$  is defined as

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_r(z))}{\omega_m r^m},$$

provided that the limit exists. Here  $\omega_m$  denotes the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We now define the *lower density* of a Borel measure, which we will use to define the *canonical set* of a current. With the canonical set defined, we will arrive at a definition of an *integral current space*.

**Definition 2.32 (Lower density).** The  $m$ -dimensional *lower density*,  $\Theta_{*m}(\mu, z)$ , of a Borel measure  $\mu$  at  $z \in Z$  is defined as

$$\Theta_{*m}(\mu, z) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_r(z))}{\omega_m r^m},$$

where  $\omega_m$  denotes the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

We now define both the canonical set and arrive at a definition of an integral current space.

**Definition 2.33 (Canonical set).** Let  $T \in \mathbf{M}_m(Z)$ . The *canonical set* of  $T$ , denoted by  $\text{set}(T)$ , is defined as

$$\text{set}(T) = \left\{ z \in Z : \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|T\|(B_r(z))}{\omega_m r^m} > 0 \right\}$$

where  $\omega_m$  denotes the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

In the next lemma we see that the mass measure of an integral current  $T$  is concentrated in  $\text{set}(T)$ .

**Lemma 2.34.** [1] *Let  $T \in \mathcal{I}_m(Z)$  with current parametrization  $(\{\varphi_i\}, \{\theta_i\})$ . Then there is a function*

$$\lambda : \text{set}(T) \rightarrow [\mathfrak{m}^{-m/2}, 2^m/\omega_m]$$

*satisfying*

$$\Theta_{*m}(\|T\|, x) = \theta_T(x)\lambda(x),$$

*such that*

$$\|T\| = \theta_T \lambda \mathcal{H}^m \llcorner \text{set}(T),$$

*where  $\omega_m$  denotes the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\theta_T$  is defined as in Equation (2.2).*

We can now define an integral current space. Recall that this is the type of space we construct in Theorem A and consider sequences of them in Theorem B.

**Definition 2.35 (Integral current space).** Let  $(Z, d)$  be a metric space and  $T \in \mathbf{I}_m(\bar{Z})$ . If  $\text{set}(T) = Z$  then  $(Z, d, T)$  is called an  $m$ -dimensional *integral current space*.

Finally, we give the definition of intrinsic flat distance between two  $m$ -dimensional integral currents as in [38]. This distance together with the Gromov-Hausdorff distance is used in Li-Perales's Theorem 1.1 and Theorem B.

**Definition 2.36 (Intrinsic flat distance).** Let  $(X_i, d_i, T_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be two  $m$ -dimensional integral current spaces. Its *intrinsic flat distance* is defined as

$$(2.3) \quad d_{\mathfrak{F}}((X_1, d_1, T_1), (X_2, d_2, T_2)) = \inf\{\mathbf{M}(U) + \mathbf{M}(V)\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all complete metric spaces  $Z$ , all isometric embeddings  $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow Z$  and all currents  $U \in \mathbf{I}_m(Z)$  and  $V \in \mathbf{I}_{m+1}(Z)$  that satisfy

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_{1\#}(T_1) - \varphi_{2\#}(T_2) = U + \partial V.$$

Sormani-Wenger proved that  $d_{\mathfrak{F}}$  is a distance in the class of  $m$ -integral current spaces with precompact canonical sets. We recall that

$$d_{\mathfrak{F}}((X_1, d_1, T_1), (X_2, d_2, T_2)) = 0$$

if and only if there is an isometry  $\varphi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  that preserves orientation, ie,  $\varphi_{\#}(T_1) = T_2$ .

In general, the intrinsic flat limit of a sequence of  $m$ -dimensional integral currents can exist without the Gromov-Hausdorff limit having to exist. See [38] for examples. But when the Gromov-Hausdorff limit exists and the masses of the currents in the sequence and the masses of their boundaries are uniformly bounded then the intrinsic flat limit also exists and is contained in the Gromov-Hausdorff limit (Theorem 3.20 in [38]). Note that the intrinsic flat limit either has Hausdorff dimension  $m$ , or collapses to what is called the zero integral current space.

## 2.4. Homology theory of integral currents

We define a *Lipschitz  $k$ -simplex* to be a Lipschitz map  $\sigma : \Delta^k \rightarrow X$ . Letting  $C_k(X)$  denote the usual group of  $k$ -singular chains on  $X$ , then  $C_k^{Lip}(X)$  is the subgroup of  $C_k(X)$  with basis the singular Lipschitz simplices.

Yamaguchi defines the notion of a *locally Lipschitz contractible space* in [40] to be a metric space for which small metric balls are contractible to a point via a Lipschitz homotopy. It is clear, for example, that Alexandrov spaces are locally Lipschitz contractible. He then shows that the singular homology of a locally Lipschitz contractible space,  $X$ , is isomorphic to its Lipschitz homology, that is,  $H_*^{\text{sing}}(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to  $H_*^{\text{Lip}}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ .

In [19], Mitsuishi defines an integral current on a locally Lipschitz contractible space as follows. Given a Lipschitz  $k$ -simplex  $f : \Delta^k \rightarrow X$ , we define the integral  $k$ -current  $[T] \in \mathbf{I}_k^c(X)$  to be  $T = f_{\#}[\Delta^k]$ , where  $\mathbf{I}_k^c$  denotes the integral currents on  $X$  with compact support. The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear extension

$$[\cdot] : C_k^{Lip}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_k^c(X)$$

then gives us a chain map and a chain complex on  $X$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{I}_{\bullet}^c(X)$ . We let  $H_*(\mathbf{I}_{\bullet}^c(X); \mathbb{Z})$  denote the corresponding homology theory. Theorem 1.3 of [19] (see also Theorem 9.3 in Mitsuishi [20]) proves that

$$(2.5) \quad H_*^{\text{sing}}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*^{\text{Lip}}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(\mathbf{I}_{\bullet}^c(X); \mathbb{Z}).$$



Recalling that a closed Alexandrov space,  $X$ , is *orientable* if for every  $x \in X$ ,  $H^n(X, X \setminus \{x\}) \rightarrow H^n(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is an isomorphism (see, for example, Harvey and Searle [9]). Theorem 1.8 of [20] shows that for a closed, orientable Alexandrov space various notions of orientability are equivalent and that, in particular,  $H_n(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Combining this with a result of [1] showing that  $\text{Im}(\partial_{k+1})$  is trivial for  $k = n$ , and the isomorphism (2.5), the following theorem from [20] is proven.

**Theorem 2.37.** [20] *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional closed, orientable Alexandrov space. Then,*

$$\{T \in \mathbf{I}_n(X) \mid \partial T = 0\} = H_n(\mathbf{I}_n(X); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n^{\text{sing}}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

*In particular, there is a non-trivial integral  $n$ -current on  $X$ .*

### 3. Orientation of Alexandrov spaces via strainers

The goal of this section is to prove that on an  $n$  dimensional oriented Alexandrov space without boundary, we can construct an oriented atlas on the set of  $(n, \delta)$ -strained points,  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$ , in the countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space sense. This is done using the bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms of Theorem 2.17. Since  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$  is open and dense in  $X$ , this will give us an oriented atlas of  $X$  in the countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable space sense.

#### 3.1. Defining a topological orientation on $R_{X(n, \delta)}$

We recall the definition of an orientation for a topological space.

**Definition 3.1 ( $\mathbb{Z}$  Orientation system).** A  $\mathbb{Z}$  orientation system for a topological manifold  $X$  consists of the following two elements:

- 1) An open cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $X$ ;
- 2) For each  $i$ , a local orientation  $\alpha_i \in H_n(X, X \setminus U_i)$  of  $X$  along  $U_i$  such that if  $x \in U_i \cap U_j$ , then

$$\iota_x^{U_i}(\alpha_i) = \iota_x^{U_j}(\alpha_j),$$

where

$$\iota_x^U : H_n(X, X \setminus U) \rightarrow H_n(X, X \setminus x)$$

is the canonical homomorphism induced by inclusion.

**Definition 3.2 ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -orientable).** We will say a space  $X$  is orientable in the *topological sense*, if it has a  $\mathbb{Z}$  orientation system.

In order to talk about orientability for Alexandrov spaces, we must first understand what non-orientability means. We distinguish between two important cases: we call an Alexandrov space *locally orientable* if every point has an orientable neighborhood, and *locally non-orientable* otherwise. Alexandrov spaces are unlike manifolds in that they can have arbitrarily small neighborhoods which do not admit an orientation (see Petrunin [32]). In particular, if  $x \in X$  has a non-orientable space of directions  $\Sigma_x$ , then no neighborhood of  $x$  is orientable. We call such a space *locally non-orientable*. Equivalently, we see that a point is *locally orientable* if its space of directions  $\Sigma_x$  is orientable.

On compact Alexandrov spaces, one uses singular cohomology with integer coefficients (cf. Grove, Petersen [7]) to study orientability. It is easy to see by excision and Perelman's Stability theorem [28] (see also [12]), that  $H^n(X, X \setminus \{x\}) \cong H^{n-1}(\Sigma_x)$ . Thus, if  $H^{n-1}(\Sigma_x) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , then we say that  $X$  is *locally orientable at  $x$* , and a choice of generator for  $H^{n-1}(\Sigma_x)$  is called a *local orientation* at  $x$ . Using Theorem 2.10, the following Lemma is immediate.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $x \in X$  be an  $(n, \delta)$ -strained point with strainer  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  for a point  $x \in X$ . Then for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ ,  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$  is locally orientable at  $x$ .*

We define orientability of a closed Alexandrov space,  $X$ , in terms of the existence of a fundamental class, that is,  $X$  is *orientable* if for every  $x \in X$ ,  $H^n(X, X \setminus \{x\}) \rightarrow H^n(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is an isomorphism. Recently, Mitsuishi [20] has shown that closed, orientable Alexandrov spaces satisfy Poincaré Duality, so we can equivalently define orientability via a top class in *homology*. With this definition of orientability, we can then show that  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$  is orientable.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space. Given  $\delta > 0$ , if  $X$  is orientable in the topological sense, then  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$  is also orientable in the topological sense.*

*Proof.* Recall first that  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$  is open and dense in  $X$ . If  $X$  is orientable in the sense of Definition 3.2, then we have an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $X$  such that the local orientations coincide in the intersection of any two of the sets in the cover. □

**Remark 3.5.** Note that in [9], Harvey and Searle show that an Alexandrov space is orientable if and only if  $X^{(n)}$ , the top stratum, itself a topological manifold, is orientable. We have shown that if  $X$  is orientable, then  $R_{X(n,\delta)} \subset X^{(n)}$  is also orientable. In fact, our proof of Lemma 3.4 shows that if  $X^{(n)}$  is orientable then  $R_{X(n,\delta)}$  is. However, the reverse implication seems difficult to prove directly, since  $X^{(n)} \setminus R_{X(n,\delta)}$  may not even be a CW complex.

We now show that a topological orientation on an Alexandrov space  $X$  without boundary induces an orientation in the sense of Federer.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Given an  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space  $X$  without boundary, oriented in the topological sense, then the set  $R_{X(n,\delta)}$  is oriented in the sense of countably  $\mathcal{H}^n$  rectifiable spaces, that is, there exists a bi-Lipschitz collection of charts  $\{A_i, \{\varphi_i\}\}$ , where  $\varphi_i : A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow R_{X(n,\delta)}$  such that*

$$\det(\nabla(\varphi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_j)) > 0$$

for all overlapping charts almost everywhere on  $\varphi_j(A_j) \cap \varphi_i(A_i)$ .

Before we begin the proof of Theorem 3.6, we need Lemma 1.4 from [36], which shows us that a strainer is very close to being orthogonal.

**Lemma 3.7.** [36] *Let  $B \subset X$  be  $(l, \delta)$ -strained by  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^l$ . For any  $x \in B$  and  $i \neq j$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi - \delta < \angle(a_i, x, b_i) \leq \pi, & \quad \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta < \angle(a_i, x, b_j) < \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\delta, \text{ and} \\ \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta < \angle(b_i, x, b_j) < \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\delta, & \quad \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta < \angle(a_i, x, a_j) < \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the same result holds for the comparison angles.

The following lemma allows us to create the required charts for the proof of Theorem 3.6.

**Lemma 3.8.** *For  $x \in R_{X(n,\delta)}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\{(c_i, d_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  be  $(n, \delta)$ -strainers for a neighborhood  $U_x \subset X$  of  $x$ . Let*

$$\phi_a, \phi_c : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n,$$

be given, as in Theorem 2.19 by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_a(y) &= (\text{dist}(a_1, y), \dots, \text{dist}(a_n, y)) \\ \text{and } \phi_c(y) &= (\text{dist}(c_1, y), \dots, \text{dist}(c_n, y)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $y \in U_x$  and the bi-Lipschitz constants of  $\phi_a$  and  $\phi_c$  are bounded between  $1 - \epsilon$  and  $1 + \epsilon$ . Then

1) *The transition functions*

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_a \circ \phi_c^{-1} &: \phi_c(U_x) \rightarrow \phi_a(U_x) \\ \phi_c \circ \phi_a^{-1} &: \phi_a(U_x) \rightarrow \phi_c(U_x)\end{aligned}$$

are differentiable almost everywhere.

2) *If  $v \in \Sigma_x$  is a direction where both  $\phi_a$  and  $\phi_c$  are differentiable and orientation preserving as in Part (2), then the change of basis matrix,  $M$ , of  $d(\phi_a \circ \phi_c^{-1})$  with respect to the standard basis satisfies*

$$1 - \tau(\delta) \leq \det(M) \leq 1 + \tau(\delta),$$

where  $\tau(\delta)$  is a function satisfying  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau(\delta) = 0$ .

3) *If  $R_{X(n,\delta)}$  is oriented, then after possibly interchanging  $a_1$  with  $b_1$ ,  $\phi_a$  is orientation preserving.*

*Proof.* Note first that by Theorem 5.4 in [3] (cf. Theorem 10.8.18 of [2]) both  $\phi_a$  and  $\phi_c$  are bi-Lipschitz and hence differentiable almost everywhere and we obtain Part (1).

To prove Part (2), recall from Definition 11.3 in [3] that the component functions  $(\phi_a)_i$  and  $(\phi_c)_i$  of  $\phi_a$  and  $\phi_c$  are directionally differentiable almost everywhere. By definition of the derivative of a distance function (see Display 2.1), it follows that the  $i$ th components of the directional derivatives of  $\phi_a$  and  $\phi_c$  are given almost everywhere by

$$D_v(\phi_a)_i = -\cos(\angle(\uparrow_x^{a_i}, v)) \text{ and } D_v(\phi_c)_i = -\cos(\angle(\uparrow_x^{c_i}, v)),$$

(cf. Example 11.4 in [3]).

Further, it follows from the definition of the directional derivative that for almost every  $y \in U_x$  we have

$$(d\phi_a)_y(\uparrow_y^{a_i}) = -e_i.$$

Note then that

$$d(\phi_a \circ \phi_c^{-1})_{\phi_c(y)}(e_i) = [\cos \angle(\uparrow_y^{a_i}, \uparrow_y^{c_j})]_{i,j}(e_i),$$

and hence

$$M = [\cos \angle(\uparrow_y^{a_i}, \uparrow_y^{c_j})]_{i,j}.$$

However, by the last inequality of Lemma 3.7, we have for every  $y \in U_x$  and for  $i \neq j$

$$\left| \angle(\uparrow_y^{a_i}, \uparrow_y^{a_j}) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right| < 2\delta \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \angle(\uparrow_y^{c_i}, \uparrow_y^{c_j}) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right| < 2\delta.$$

Thus, we may choose oriented, orthonormal bases,  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , and  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , for  $\mathbb{R}^n \supseteq \Sigma_y$ , such that

$$|\angle(\uparrow_y^{a_i}, v_i)| < 2\delta \quad \text{and} \quad |\angle(\uparrow_y^{c_i}, w_i)| < 2\delta,$$

noting that the vectors  $v_i$  and  $w_j$  need not all be elements of  $\Sigma_y$ . The change of basis matrix from  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  to  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , with respect to the standard basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is given by  $[\cos \angle(v_i, w_j)]_{i,j}$  and has determinant 1.

Applying the triangle inequality twice, we have

$$|\angle(\uparrow_y^{a_i}, \uparrow_y^{c_j}) - \angle(v_i, w_j)| < 4\delta.$$

Hence

$$1 - \tau(\delta) \leq \det(M) \leq 1 + \tau(\delta)$$

and Part (2) is proven.

We now prove Part (3). Note by Lemma 3.7, it follows that

$$(3.1) \quad \pi - 2\delta - \angle(\uparrow_y^{a_1}, \uparrow_y^{a_j}) \leq \angle(\uparrow_y^{b_1}, \uparrow_y^{a_j}) \leq \pi + 4\delta - \angle(\uparrow_y^{a_1}, \uparrow_y^{a_j}).$$

Since we have a consistent top class at every point, we have a global orientation on  $X$ . If the orientation induced by the map  $\phi_a$  coincides with the global orientation, we do not change the map  $\phi_a$ . If it is not, then by interchanging  $a_1$  with  $b_1$ , it follows immediately by Inequality 3.1 that the newly defined  $\phi_a$  will be orientation preserving.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $X$  be an Alexandrov space. Given  $\delta > 0$  as in Theorem 2.10, if  $X$  is oriented in the topological sense, then on  $R_{X(n,\delta)}$  there exist charts  $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}$  given by strainers  $\{a_i, b_i\}$  such that at almost every point of  $U_i \cap U_j$ ,*

$$\det(\nabla(\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1})) > 0.$$

*That is, there exists an oriented atlas on  $X$  satisfying Definition 2.3.*

*Proof.* For each  $p \in R_{X(n,\delta)}$ , there exists  $U_p$  and  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ , an  $(n, \delta)$ -strainer for  $U_p$ . By Part (3) of Lemma 3.8, we may choose each  $\phi_p$  to be orientation preserving. Then  $\{(U_p, \phi_p)\}_{p \in R_{X(n,\delta)}}$  is an orientation preserving atlas for  $X$ .

Let  $U_p \cap U_q \neq \emptyset$  for  $p, q \in R_{X(n,\delta)}$ , where  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\{(c_i, d_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  are the corresponding  $(n, \delta)$ -strainers, respectively, for  $U_p$  and  $U_q$ . By Part (2) of Lemma 3.8, It follows that

$$\det(\nabla(\phi_p \circ \phi_q^{-1})) > 0,$$

wherever it is defined. Since  $p$  and  $q$  were arbitrarily chosen, the result follows.  $\square$

## 4. The proof of Theorem A

In this section, we assume that  $(X, d)$  is an orientable,  $n$ -dimensional closed Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by  $\kappa$ . We will construct a  $n$ -dimensional integral current structure  $T$  of weight 1 on  $(X, d)$  in two steps. First, we define a  $n$ -dimensional integer rectifiable current structure  $T$  on  $(X, d)$ . Second, we prove that  $(X, d, T)$  is indeed an  $n$ -integral current space, by showing that  $\partial T = 0$  and that  $\text{set}(T) = X$ . See Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.8.

### 4.1. Construction of an integer rectifiable current on $(X, d)$

In this subsection, we complete the first step of the proof of Theorem A by proving Theorem 4.1 below. That is, we will construct a  $n$ -dimensional integer rectifiable current  $T$  on  $X$ . To do so, we utilize the existence of charts for points that are  $(n, \epsilon)$ -strained as described in Section 2.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $n$ -dimensional oriented Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by  $\kappa$  and diameter bounded above by  $D$ . Then there exists a  $n$ -dimensional integer rectifiable current space  $(X, d, T)$  with weight 1, that is,  $\theta_T = 1$ . Moreover,  $T$  is unique in the sense of Lemma 4.5.*

The proof of Theorem 4.1 follows once we have proven the following four lemmas.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $n$ -dimensional oriented Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by  $\kappa$ . Then  $T : \mathcal{D}^n(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i\#} \llbracket \theta_i \rrbracket = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i\#} \llbracket A_i \rrbracket$$

*is a multi-linear functional, where  $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow X$  are bi-Lipchitz maps with mutually disjoint images and  $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  are Borel sets, as constructed in Theorem 2.19.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.19, there exist a countable collection of bi-Lipchitz maps  $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow X$  with  $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  precompact Borel measurable subsets.

On each  $A_i$ , define the weight function  $\theta_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $\theta_i = 1_{A_i}$ , where  $1_{A_i}$  denotes the indicator function on  $A_i$ . Set  $\llbracket A_i \rrbracket = \llbracket 1_{A_i} \rrbracket$  as in Example 2.17 of [38], that is  $\llbracket A_i \rrbracket : \mathcal{D}^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\llbracket A_i \rrbracket(f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n) = \int_{A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n} f \det(\nabla \pi_i) d\mathcal{L}^n.$$

Then define  $T$  as follows:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i\#} \llbracket \theta_i \rrbracket = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i\#} \llbracket A_i \rrbracket.$$

The first step consists in proving  $T(f, \pi) \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $(f, \pi) \in \mathcal{D}^n(X)$ , that is,  $T(f, \pi)$  is finite.

Note that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we know that  $T_j := \varphi_{j\#} \llbracket A_j \rrbracket : \mathcal{D}^n(\varphi_j(A_j)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a current. Thus, by (3) in Definition 2.23, there exists a finite Borel measure  $\mu_j$  such that

$$|T_j(f, \pi)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Lip}(\pi_i) \int_{\varphi_j(A_j)} |f| d\mu_j.$$

The preceding inequality in particular holds true for the mass measure  $\|T_j\|$  of  $T_j$ .

By the triangle inequality we thus get

$$|T(f, \pi)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |T_j(f, \pi)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Lip}(\pi_i) \right) \int_{\varphi_j(A_j)} |f| d\|T_j\|$$

Hence

$$(4.1) \quad |T(f, \pi)| \leq \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Lip}(\pi_i) \right) \|f\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_j\|(\varphi_j(A_j)).$$

We now proceed by proving that the right hand side of the above inequality is finite. Note

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\varphi_{j\#}[\theta_j]\| &\leq \text{Lip}(\varphi_j)^n \varphi_{j\#} \|\mathbb{1}_j\| \\ &= \text{Lip}(\varphi_j)^n \varphi_{j\#}(\mathcal{L}^n) = \text{Lip}(\varphi_j)^n \varphi_{j\#}(\mathcal{H}^n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi_{j\#}(\mathcal{H}^n)(\varphi_j(A_j)) = \mathcal{H}^n(A_j).$$

Since  $\varphi_j$  is bi-Lipschitz, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^n(A_j) \leq \text{Lip}(\varphi_j^{-1})^n \mathcal{H}^n(\varphi_j(A_j)).$$

Consequently, by Equation (4.2) we have

$$\|\varphi_{j\#}[\theta_j]\|(\varphi_j(A_j)) \leq \text{Lip}(\varphi_j)^n \text{Lip}(\varphi_j^{-1})^n \mathcal{H}^n(\varphi_j(A_j)).$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_{j\#}[\theta_j]\|(\varphi_j(A_j)) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Lip}(\varphi_j)^n \text{Lip}(\varphi_j^{-1})^n \mathcal{H}^n(\varphi_j(A_j)) \\ &\leq c(n) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^n(\varphi_j(A_j)) \\ &= c(n) \mathcal{H}^n(\cup \varphi_j(A_j)) \\ &\leq c(n) \mathcal{H}^n(X) \\ &\leq C(n, \kappa, D), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c(n) = \text{Lip}(\varphi_j)^n \text{Lip}(\varphi_j^{-1})^n$  is a constant depending only on  $n$  by Theorem 2.19 and  $C(n, \kappa, D)$  is a constant depending only on the dimension  $n$ , the curvature bound  $\kappa$  and the diameter bound  $D$  given by Bishop Volume Comparison Theorem 2.22. Combining the preceding inequality with (4.1) we get that  $T(f, \pi)$  is finite.

Finally, the multilinearity of  $T$  follows from the fact that each summand,  $\varphi_{i\#}[\mathbb{1}_{A_i}]$ , is multilinear.  $\square$



We now prove that  $T$  is indeed a current.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The multilinear functional  $T$  defined as in Lemma 4.2 is a current.*

*Proof.* We must verify that Definition 2.23 is satisfied. Property (1) in Definition 2.23 follows easily. Indeed, if there exists a neighborhood on which  $\pi_i$  is constant, then since  $\varphi_{i\#}[[A_i]]$  is a current we have  $\varphi_{i\#}[[A_i]](f, \pi) = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $T(f, \pi) = 0$ .

Next we show property (2) in Definition 2.23. We have to prove that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T(f, \pi_1^i, \dots, \pi_n^i) = T(f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ , whenever  $\pi_k^i$  converges pointwise to  $\pi_k$  in  $X$ , where  $\text{Lip}(\pi_j^i) \leq C$  for some constant  $C$ . By the above considerations we know that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |T_j(f, \pi_1^i, \dots, \pi_n^i)| < \infty$ , consequently the sum  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j(f, \pi_1^i, \dots, \pi_n^i)$  converges absolutely. Since  $T_j$  is a current, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_j(f, \pi_1^i, \dots, \pi_n^i) = T_j(f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ . We thus can commute the infinite sum and the limit and hence obtain the claimed equality

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T(f, \pi_1^i, \dots, \pi_n^i) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j(f, \pi_1^i, \dots, \pi_n^i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_j(f, \pi_1^i, \dots, \pi_n^i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j(f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n) = T(f, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show property (3) in Definition 2.23. By the above considerations we have

$$|T(f, \pi)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |T_j(f, \pi)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Lip}(\pi_i) \int_{\varphi_j(A_j)} |f| d\mu_j.$$

We define  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j$ , which defines a finite Borel measure on  $X$  since the sets  $\varphi_j(A_j)$  are disjoint. Thus we get

$$|T(f, \pi)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |T_j(f, \pi)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Lip}(\pi_i) \int_X |f| d\mu,$$

and hence (3) is established. Thus  $T$  is a current.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *The current  $T$  as defined in Lemma 4.3 is an integer rectifiable current.*

*Proof.* We have to check that  $T$  satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.29. By Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{M}(T) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{M}(T_j)$ . Since we have  $\|T\|(\varphi_j(A_j)) = \|T_j\|(\varphi_j(A_j))$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}(T) &= \|T\|(\cup \varphi_j(A_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T\|(\varphi_j(A_j)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_j\|(\varphi_j(A_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{M}(T_j), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that the sets  $\varphi_j(A_j)$  are disjoint. It follows

$$\mathbf{M}(T) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{M}(T_j). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose that  $T$  and  $T'$  are two integer rectifiable currents defined on  $(X, d)$  with current parametrizations  $(\{\varphi_i\}, \{1_{A_i}\})$  and  $(\{\varphi'_i\}, \{1_{A'_i}\})$  constructed using the  $(n, \delta)$ -strained points as described in Theorem 2.19. Assume that these two atlases belong to the same maximal oriented atlas of  $R_{X(n, \delta)}$ . Then  $T = T'$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 2.30 to prove that  $T$  equals  $T'$ .

Since  $R_{X(n, \delta)} \subset \cup \varphi_i(A_i) \subset X$  and  $R_{X(n, \delta)} \subset \cup \varphi'_i(A'_i) \subset X$ , it follows that the symmetric difference between  $\cup \varphi_i(A_i)$  and  $\cup \varphi'_i(A'_i)$  is actually contained in  $S_X$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.13, the symmetric difference has zero  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Furthermore, since  $\{(A_i, \varphi_i)\}$  and  $\{(A'_i, \varphi'_i)\}$  are contained in the same maximal oriented atlas, it follows that

$$\det(\nabla(\varphi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi'_j)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\nabla(\varphi'_i{}^{-1} \circ \varphi_j)) > 0.$$

Finally,

$$\theta_T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_i \circ \varphi_i^{-1}(x) 1_{\varphi_i(A_i)}(x) = 1$$

and

$$\theta_{T'}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta'_i \circ \varphi'_i{}^{-1}(x) 1_{\varphi'_i(A'_i)}(x) = 1$$

for all  $x \in R_X(n, \delta)$ . Thus by Lemma 2.30,  $T = T'$ .  $\square$

## 4.2. $(X, d, T)$ is an integral current space

In this subsection we prove that  $(X, d, T)$  is a  $n$ -dimensional integral current space. In order to do this, we first prove that  $\partial T = 0$ . We accomplish this by showing that the integral current  $T'$  defined on  $X$ , for which  $\partial T' = 0$  as in Mitsuishi [20] is, in fact, equal to the integer rectifiable current we define in Theorem 4.6 below. We then prove that  $\text{set}(T) = X$  in Corollary 4.8. With these two steps, we have completed the proof of Theorem A.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional closed Alexandrov space,  $T$  the  $n$ -current defined in Theorem 4.1 and  $T'$  the  $n$ -current that generates the group  $\{S \in \mathbf{I}_n(X) \mid \partial S = 0\} = \mathbb{Z}$  from Theorem 2.37. Then, either  $T = T'$  or  $T = -T'$ . Hence,  $\partial T = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the theorem using Lemma 2.30. Recall, that by definition we have

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i\#}[[A_i]] \quad \text{and} \quad T' = \sum_{i=1}^N f_{i\#}[[\Delta^n]].$$

We first prove Condition 1 of Lemma 2.30. By the definitions of  $T$  and  $T'$ ,  $R_{X(n, \delta)} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(A_i)$  and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(\Delta^n)$ , respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(\Delta^n) \Delta \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(A_i) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(\Delta^n) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(A_i) \bigcup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(A_i) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(\Delta^n) \\ &\subset X \setminus R_{X(n, \delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.13,  $\mathcal{H}^n(X \setminus R_{X(n, \delta)}) = 0$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(\Delta^n) \Delta \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(A_i)) = 0,$$

which establishes the first item of Lemma 2.30.

Next we prove Condition 2 of Lemma 2.30. We must show that  $T$  and  $T'$  have the same orientation, that is, in all overlapping sets we have

$$\det(\nabla(\varphi_i^{-1} \circ f_j)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\nabla(f_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_j)) > 0.$$

The orientation on  $T'$  is given by a generator of  $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  and by Lemma 3.4 this provides us with an orientation on  $R_{X(n,\delta)} = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(A_i) \cap \sum_{i=1}^N f_{i\#}[\Delta^n]$ . It then follows by Lemma 3.9 that it defines an orientation on both  $(X, d, T)$  and  $(X, d, T')$  as desired.

Finally, we prove Condition 3 of Lemma 2.30. By definition of  $T$ ,  $\theta_T = 1$  on  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(A_i)$  which has full measure in  $X$ . Now, since

$$\{S \in \mathbf{I}_n(X) \mid \partial S = 0\} = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

the restriction  $f_i|_{\Delta^n}$  is injective. Then,

$$\theta_{T'} = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(\overset{\circ}{\Delta}^n) = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(\partial\Delta^n).$$

Since  $\partial\Delta^n$  has  $(n-1)$ - Hausdorff dimension, then its  $n$ -Hausdorff measure equals zero. Since the  $f_i$  are Lipschitz maps, then  $\mathcal{H}^n(\bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(\partial\Delta^n)) = 0$ . Hence,  $\theta_{T'}$  equals 1 almost everywhere in  $X$ . Hence,  $\theta_T = \theta_{T'}$  almost everywhere.

Thus,  $T = T'$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

In the next lemma we show that the regular points of  $X$  are contained in  $\text{set}(T)$ . The subsequent corollary shows  $\text{set}(T) = X$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by  $\kappa$ . Let  $T$  be an integer current structure on  $X$  defined as in Proposition 4.1. Let  $p \in R_{X(n,\delta)}$ , then the following hold.*

- 1) *The point  $p$  is contained in  $\text{set}(T)$ . That is, the  $n$ -dimensional lower density of  $\|T\|$  at  $p$  is positive.*
- 2) *The density of  $\|T\|$  at a regular point  $p$  is equal to 1.*

*Proof.* From the definition of  $\text{set}(T)$  we have to prove that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|T\|(B_r(p))}{\omega_n r^n} > 0.$$

Since  $p$  is a  $(n, \delta)$ -strained point of  $X$ , Theorem 2.17 states that there is a neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$  and a bi-Lipschitz map

$$f: U_p \rightarrow W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Lip}(f)^{-1}d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \text{Lip}(f)d(x, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in U_p$ .

Given  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow Z$  a Lipschitz map and  $S$  an  $n$ -dimensional current defined on  $Y$  it follows from Inequality (2.4) in [1] that

$$(4.4) \quad \varphi_{\#}\|S\| \geq (\text{Lip}(\varphi))^{-n}\|\varphi_{\#}S\|.$$

Let  $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $B_{r_0}(p) \subset U_p$ . Applying Inequality 4.4 to the function  $f$  and  $T$ , for any  $r \leq r_0$  we have

$$\|T\|(B_r(p)) = f_{\#}\|T\|(f(B_r(p))) \geq \text{Lip}(f)^{-n}\|f_{\#}T\|(f(B_r(p))).$$

Using Inequality 4.3, we obtain

$$B_{r \text{Lip}(f)^{-1}}(f(p)) \subset f(B_r(p)).$$

Then

$$\|f_{\#}T\|(f(B_r(p))) \geq \|f_{\#}T\|(B_{r \text{Lip}(f)^{-1}}(f(p))) = \omega_n r^n \text{Lip}(f)^{-n},$$

where the equality comes from  $U_p \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(A_i)$  which gives  $\|f_{\#}T\| = \mathcal{L}^n$  in  $f(U_p)$ . Putting together the last two inequalities we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \|T\|(B_r(p)) \geq \omega_n \text{Lip}(f)^{-2n} r^n.$$

We conclude that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|T\|(B_r(p))}{\omega_n r^n} \geq \text{Lip}(f)^{-2n} > 0.$$

Thus  $p \in \text{set}(T)$  and so Part (1) is established.

We now prove Part (2). Using once again Inequality 4.3, we obtain

$$f(B_r(p)) \subset B_{r \operatorname{Lip}(f)}(f(p)).$$

We apply (4.4) with  $\varphi = f^{-1}$  and  $S = f_{\#}T$ . Since  $(f^{-1})_{\#}f_{\#}T = T$  we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad \|T\|(B_r(p)) &\leq \operatorname{Lip}(f)^{-n} \|f_{\#}T\|(f(B_r(p))) \\ &\leq \operatorname{Lip}(f)^{-n} \|f_{\#}T\|(B_{r \operatorname{Lip}(f)}(f(p))) \\ &= \operatorname{Lip}(f)^{-n} \omega_n r^n \operatorname{Lip}(f)^n = \omega_n r^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p$  is a regular point,  $\operatorname{Lip}(f)$  can be made arbitrarily close to 1 in Inequality (4.5). Then, by Inequalities (4.5) and (4.6) we get,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|T\|(B_r(p))}{\omega_n r^n} = 1.$$

Hence the density of  $\|T\|$  at  $p$  is equal to 1. □

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by  $\kappa$ . Let  $T$  be the integer current previously defined on  $X$ . Then  $X = \operatorname{set}(T)$ .*

*Proof.* This proof follows almost exactly the last part of the proofs given in Theorem 7.1 [39] and Theorem 0.1 [16], where is shown that under certain conditions the Gromov-Hausdorff limit and the intrinsic flat limit of a sequence agree.

By Lemma 2.34, for  $x \in X$  we have

$$(4.7) \quad \|T\|(B_r(x)) = \int_{B_r(x)} \theta_T(y) \lambda(y) d\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \operatorname{set}(T),$$

where  $\theta_T : \overline{\operatorname{set}(T)} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  is an integrable function with  $\theta_T > 0$  in  $\operatorname{set}(T)$  and  $\lambda : \operatorname{set}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a non-negative integrable function that satisfies  $\lambda \geq n^{-n/2}$ . Using this last inequality and  $\theta_T = 1$  we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \|T\|(B_r(x)) \geq n^{-n/2} \mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x) \cap \operatorname{set}(T)).$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 4.7 we know  $R_X \subset \operatorname{set}(T) \subset X$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}^n(\operatorname{set}(T) \setminus R_X) = 0$  and thus we obtain

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x) \cap \operatorname{set}(T)) = \mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x) \cap R_X) = \mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x)).$$

Then by Equation (4.8) and Equation (4.9) we get

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{\|T\|(B_r(x))}{\omega_n r^n} \geq \frac{n^{-n/2} \mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x))}{\omega_n r^n}.$$

For  $\kappa \geq 0$ , using the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem for Alexandrov spaces, Theorem 2.22, we have for  $R > r > 0$ :

$$\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x)) \geq r^n \mathcal{H}^n(X) / R^n.$$

It follows that  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \|T\|(B_r(x)) / \omega_n r^n \geq \frac{n^{-n/2} r^n \mathcal{H}^n(X)}{\omega_n r^n R^n} > 0$ .

For  $\kappa < 0$ , using once more the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison (Theorem 2.22) with  $R > r > 0$ , we have:

$$\mathcal{H}^n(B_r(x)) \geq \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_R(x))}{V_\kappa(R)} V_\kappa(r),$$

where  $V_\kappa(r)$  and  $V_\kappa(R)$  denote respectively the volumes of the  $r$ -ball and the  $R$ -ball in the space form  $M_\kappa^n$ . Thus, from inequality (4.10) we get

$$\frac{\|T\|(B_r(x))}{\omega_n r^n} \geq n^{-n/2} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_R(x))}{V_\kappa(R)} \frac{V_\kappa(r)}{\omega_n r^n}.$$

Now,  $V_\kappa(r) \geq V_0(r) = \omega_n r^n$  by Bishop's Inequality (see Theorem 2.21). It follows that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \|T\|(B_r(x)) / \omega_n r^n \geq n^{-n/2} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_R(x))}{V_\kappa(R)} > 0.$$

This shows that  $X \subset \text{set}(T)$ . □

I am not aware of any paper with this result. By the papers we know the regular part has some regularity but might not be smooth. We can just say that when the Alex space happens to be also a manifold then the conclusion of our remark holds.

**Remark 4.9.** The current we have constructed is canonical in the sense that if the Alexandrov space,  $X$ , consists only of regular points, that is, if it is a smooth manifold, then our current  $T(\omega) = \int_X \omega$  coincides with the current generally endowed to manifolds in the literature (integration of top forms over the manifold).

**Remark 4.10.** The current we have constructed is canonical in the sense that if the Alexandrov space,  $X$ , consists only of regular points, that is, if it is a smooth manifold, then  $\lambda = 1$  and the current  $T(\omega) = \int_X \omega$  has weight equal to 1. Hence,  $\|T\| = \mathcal{H}^n = \mathcal{L}^n = \text{Vol}_X$ .

## References

- [1] L. Ambrosio and B. Kirchheim, *Currents in metric spaces*, Acta Math. **185** (2000), 1–80.
- [2] D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov, *A Course in Metric Geometry*, AMS.
- [3] Y. Burago, M. Gromov, and G. Perelman, *Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below 1*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **47** (1992), no. 2, 3–51.
- [4] H. Federer, *Geometric measure theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band **153**, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, (1969).
- [5] H. Federer and W. H. Fleming, *Normal and integral currents*, Ann. Math. **72** (1960), 458–520.
- [6] M. Gromov, *Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **53** (1981), 53–73.
- [7] K. Grove and P. Petersen, *A radius sphere theorem*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 577–583.
- [8] M. J. Greenberg and J. H. Harper, *Algebraic Topology: A First Course*, Benjamin/Cummings Pub. Co, (1981).
- [9] J. Harvey and C. Searle, *Orientation and symmetries of Alexandrov spaces with applications in positive curvature*, arXiv:math.DG/1209.1366v3, (2012).
- [10] L.-H. Huang, D. Lee, and C. Sormani, *Intrinsic flat stability of the positive mass theorem for graphical hyper surfaces of Euclidean space*, arXiv:1408.4319v2, (2015), to appear in Crelle.
- [11] J. Jauregui, *On the lower semicontinuity of the ADM mass*, arXiv:1411.3699v1, (2014).
- [12] V. Kapovitch, *Perelman’s stability theorem*, Surveys in Differential Geometry. Vol. XI, pp. 103–136, Surv. Differ. Geom. **11**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, (2007).



- [13] V. Kapovitch, *Restrictions on collapsing with a lower sectional curvature bound*, *Math. Z.* **249** (2005), 519–539.
- [14] D. Lee and C. Sormani, *Stability of the positive mass theorem for rotationally symmetric Riemannian manifolds*, *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik (Crelle's Journal)* **686** (2014).
- [15] D. Lee and C. Sormani, *Almost equality in the Penrose equality for rotationally symmetric Riemannian manifolds*, *Annales Henri Poincaré* **13** (2012), 1537–1556.
- [16] N. Li and R. Perales, *On the Sormani-Wenger intrinsic flat convergence of Alexandrov spaces*, [arXiv:1411.6854v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.6854v2), (2014).
- [17] A. Lytchak, *Differentiation in metric spaces*, *Algebra i Analiz* (2004) **16**, 128–161.
- [18] R. Matveev and J. Portegies, *Intrinsic flat and Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below*, [arXiv:1510.07547](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.07547), (2015), to appear in the *Journal of Geometric Analysis*.
- [19] A. Mitsuishi, *The coincidence of the homologies of integral currents and of integral singular chains, via cosheaves*, [arXiv:1304.0152](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0152), (2013).
- [20] A. Mitsuishi, *Orientability and fundamental classes of Alexandrov spaces with applications*, [arXiv:1610.08024](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.08024), (2016).
- [21] M. Munn, *Intrinsic flat convergence with bounded Ricci curvature*, [arXiv:1405.3313v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.3313v2), (2015).
- [22] Y. Otsu, K. Shiohama, and T. Yamaguchi, *A new version of differentiable sphere theorem*, *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989), 219–228.
- [23] Y. Otsu and T. Shioya, *The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces*, *J. Diff. Geom.* **39** (1994), 629–658.
- [24] R. Perales, *Convergence of manifolds and metric spaces with boundary*, [arXiv:1505.01792](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.01792), Ph.D. Thesis, State University of New York at Stony Brook, (2015).
- [25] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, [arXiv:math.DG/0211159](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0211159), (11 Nov 2002).
- [26] G. Perelman, *Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds*, [arXiv:math.DG/0303109](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0303109), (10 Mar 2003).

- [27] G. Perelman, *Finite extinction time for the solutions to the Ricci flow on certain three-manifolds*, [arXiv:math.DG/0307245](#), (17 Jul 2003).
- [28] G. Perelman, *Alexandrov spaces with curvatures bounded from below II*, preprint (1991).
- [29] G. Perelman and A. Petrunin, *Extremal subsets in Aleksandrov spaces and the generalized Lieberman theorem*, (Russian) *Algebra i Analiz* **5** (1993), 242–256; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **5** (1994), 215–227.
- [30] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, **171** (2006).
- [31] P. Petersen, F. Wilhelm, and S.-H. Zhu, *Spaces on and beyond the boundary of existence*, *J. Geometric Analysis* **5** (1995), no. 3, 419–426.
- [32] A. Petrunin, *Parallel transportation for Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **8** (1998), 123–148.
- [33] A. Petrunin and A. Yashinski, *From Euclid to Alexandrov: A Guided Tour*.
- [34] J. Portegies and C. Sormani, *Properties of the intrinsic flat distance*, (Russian) *Algebra i Analiz* **29** (2017), 70–143; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **29** (2018), 475–528.
- [35] C. Pro, S. Sill, and F. Wilhelm, *The diffeomorphism type manifolds with almost maximal volume*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **25** (2017), no. 1, 243–267.
- [36] C. Pro and F. Wilhelm, *Diffeomorphism stability and codimension four*, [arXiv:1606.01828](#), (2016).
- [37] C. Sormani, *Intrinsic flat Arzela-Ascoli theorems*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **26** (2018), no. 6, 1317–1373.
- [38] C. Sormani and S. Wenger, *The intrinsic flat distance between Riemannian manifolds and other integral current spaces*, *J. Diff. Geom.* **87** (2011), 117–199.
- [39] C. Sormani and S. Wenger, *Weak convergence of currents and cancellation*, *J. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations.* **38** (2010), 183–206.
- [40] T. Yamaguchi, *Simplicial volumes of Alexandrov spaces*, *Kyushu J. Math.* **51** (1997), no. 2, 273–296.

MATHEMATICS Q CENTER HARTFORD, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT  
HARTFORD, CT 06103, USA

*E-mail address:* [maree.jaramillo@uconn.edu](mailto:maree.jaramillo@uconn.edu)

CONACYT RESEARCH FELLOW. INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO  
OAXACA, 68000, MÉXICO

*E-mail address:* [raquel.perales@im.unam.mx](mailto:raquel.perales@im.unam.mx)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME  
255 HURLEY, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, USA

*E-mail address:* [praja001@ucr.edu](mailto:praja001@ucr.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND PHYSICS  
WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY, WICHITA, KS 67260-0033, USA

*E-mail address:* [searle@math.wichita.edu](mailto:searle@math.wichita.edu)

WESTFÄLISCHE WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER  
EINSTEINSTRASSE 62, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* [asiffert@uni-muenster.de](mailto:asiffert@uni-muenster.de)

RECEIVED MARCH 28, 2017

ACCEPTED MARCH 31, 2018

