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#### Abstract

We prove that the only surfaces in 3-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with constant Gaussian curvature $K$ and constructed by the sum of two space curves are cylindrical surfaces, in particular, $K=0$.
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## 1. Introduction and statement of the result

This paper is concerned with the next problem in classical differential geometry:

What are the surfaces of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with constant Gaussian curvature that are the sum of two space curves?

The historical motivation of our problem comes from the classical text of G. Darboux [1, Livre I] where the so-called surfaces définies par des propriétés cinématiques are considered, and later known as Darboux surfaces in the literature. A Darboux surface is defined kinematically as the movement of a curve by a uniparametric family of rigid motions of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then a
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parametrization of a such surface is $\Psi(s, t)=A(t) \alpha(s)+\beta(t)$ where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are two space curves and $A(t)$ is an orthogonal matrix. In the case that we are considering in this paper, $A(t)$ is the identity. To be precise, we give the next definition:

Definition 1.1. A surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is called a translation surface if it can be locally written as the sum $\Psi(s, t)=\alpha(s)+\beta(t)$ of two space curves $\alpha$ : $I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\beta: J \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$. In the case where $\alpha, \beta$ are plane curves lying on orthogonal planes, the surface is called a translation surface of plane type.

The curves $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are called the generating curves of $S$. Darboux deals with translation surfaces in Sects 81-84 [1, pp. 137-142]. The name of translation surface is because the surface is obtained by the translation of $\alpha$ along $\beta$ (or vice-versa because the roles of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are interchangeable) and thus all parametric curves $s=$ const. are congruent by translations (similarly for parametric curves $t=$ const.).

For minimal surfaces, Scherk proved in 1835 that the only non-planar minimal surface of type $z=f(x)+g(y)$ for two smooth functions $f$ and $g$ is

$$
z(x, y)=\frac{1}{c} \log \left|\frac{\cos c y}{\cos c x}\right|
$$

where $c$ is a non-zero constant ([14]). A surface $z=f(x)+g(y)$ can be viewed as the sum of the planar curves $x \rightarrow(x, 0, f(x))$ and $y \rightarrow(0, y, g(y))$, hence, the Scherk surface is the only non-planar minimal translation surface of plane type. Motivated by this example, it is natural to ask what are the translation surfaces that are minimal surfaces. It was proved in 1998 that when one of the two generating curves of $S$ is planar, then $S$ is the Scherk surface ([2]). More recently, the second author and O. Perdomo have characterized in [11] all minimal translation surfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ in terms of the curvature and torsion of the generating curves: see also [5]. Recently, the first author has proved that the circular cylinder is the only translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with constant non-zero mean curvature (4]).

The problem of classification of translation surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature $K$ is less known. A first example of a translation surface with constant Gaussian curvature $K=0$ is a cylindrical surface. Recall that a cylindrical surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a ruled surface whose rulings are parallel to a constant direction. Then a cylindrical surface is a translation surface of plane type where one generating curve is a ruling and the other one is a section of $S$ with a plane normal to the rulings. Moreover, a cylindrical surface has zero Gaussian curvature.

The progress on translation surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature $K$ has been as follows:

1) If $S$ is a translation surface of plane type with constant Gaussian curvature $K$, then $K=0$ and $S$ is cylindrical ([7]).
2) The only translation surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature $K=0$ are cylindrical surfaces ( 9$]$ ).
3) There are no translation surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature $K \neq 0$ if one of the generating curves is a plane curve ( 9$]$ ).

In the present paper we answer the initial problem and we classify all translation surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature. More precisely we prove:

Theorem 1.2. Cylindrical surfaces are the only translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with constant Gaussian curvature.

In the literature, there are many works on the study of translation (hyper) surfaces of plane type in different ambient spaces and different conditions on the curvatures, where the problem of finding such surfaces reduces into a problem of solving a PDE by separation of variables: a non-exhaustive list includes: [6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15].

The plan of our paper is as follows. In Sec. 2 we recall some known formulae on the local theory of curves and surfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and we prove Theorem 1.2 in the particular case when one generating curve is a circle. In Sec. 3 we give local conditions of the first and second fundamental forms so a metric is realizable in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ as a metric of a translation surface. Also we give an alternative proof of Theorem 1.2 in case that one curve is planar (see [9]). Finally in Sec. 4 we prove Theorem 1.2 .

## 2. Preliminaries

For a general reference on curves and surfaces we refer to 3. Moreover, the curves and surfaces considered will be assumed to be of class $C^{\infty}$. Let $\alpha(s), s \in I$ and $\beta(t), t \in J$ be two curves in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ parametrized by arc length with curvatures $k_{\alpha}(s)>0, k_{\beta}(t)>0$, torsions $\tau_{\alpha}(s), \tau_{\beta}(t)$ and oriented Frenet trihedrons $\left\{\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\alpha}(s), \mathbf{b}_{\alpha}(s)\right\},\left\{\mathbf{t}_{\beta}(t), \mathbf{n}_{\beta}(t), \mathbf{b}_{\beta}(t)\right\}$, for every $s \in I, t \in J$, respectively. In order to assume that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the generating
curves of a regular translation surfaces $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}(s) \times \mathbf{t}_{\beta}(t) \neq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(s, t) \in I \times J$, where $\times$ represents the vector product of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then $\Psi(s, t)=\alpha(s)+\beta(t),(s, t) \in I \times J \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, is a parametrization of $S$. We have $\Psi_{s}=\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}, \Psi_{t}=\mathbf{t}_{\beta}$ and $\Psi_{s} \times \Psi_{t} \neq 0$. Let $\phi(s, t), 0<\phi(s, t)<\pi$, be the angle that $\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}(s)$ makes with $\mathbf{t}_{\beta}(t)$ at the point $\Psi(s, t)$, that is,

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}(s), \mathbf{t}_{\beta}(t)\right\rangle=\cos \phi(s, t)
$$

where $\langle$,$\rangle stands for the usual scalar product of \mathbb{R}^{3}$.
Remark 2.1. The parametric curves $t=$ const. are congruent and translations of $\alpha(s)$. Hence, they have the same curvature and torsion at corresponding points (similarly for the parametric curves $s=$ const.)

The first fundamental form of $S$ is $I=d s^{2}+2 \cos \phi d s d t+d t^{2}$, and using Theorema Egregium we obtain the Gaussian curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=-\frac{\phi_{s t}}{\sin \phi} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{s t}$ is the second derivative of $\phi$ with respect to $s$ and $t$. Moreover, the unit normal $\mathbf{N}(s, t)$ of $S$ at the point $\Psi(s, t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}(s, t)=\frac{\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}(s) \times \mathbf{t}_{\beta}(t)}{\sin \phi(t, s)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Psi_{s t}=0$, the second fundamental form of $S$ is $I I=L d u^{2}+N d v^{2}$, where

$$
L=\left\langle\Psi_{s s}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle=-\frac{k_{\alpha}}{\sin \phi}\left\langle\mathbf{b}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{t}_{\beta}\right\rangle
$$

$M=0$ and

$$
N=\left\langle\Psi_{t t}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle=\frac{k_{\beta}}{\sin \phi}\left\langle\mathbf{t}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{b}_{\beta}\right\rangle
$$

These formulas are obtained by using the Frenet equations.
Having in mind the above analysis we consider the following more general situation. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a regular surface with parametrization $\Psi(u, v)$,
$(u, v) \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, Gaussian curvature $K$ and fundamental forms

$$
I=d u^{2}+2 \cos \phi d u d v+d v^{2}, \quad I I=L d u^{2}+N d v^{2}
$$

where $\phi(u, v)$ is the angle between parametric curves at $\Psi(u, v)$ and $0<$ $\phi(u, v)<\pi$. The function $\phi(u, v)$ is differentiable. For the Gaussian curvature $K$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{L N}{\sin ^{2} \phi} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Theorema Egregium

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{u v}=-K \sin \phi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the Codazzi equations for $S$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{v}=\frac{N}{\sin \phi} \phi_{u}, \quad N_{u}=\frac{L}{\sin \phi} \phi_{v} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to compute the curvature and torsion of parametric curves $\alpha(u)=$ $\Psi(u$, const.) and $\beta(v)=\Psi$ (const., $v$ ) we need the following:

1) the Christoffel symbols

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{11}^{1}=\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} \phi_{u}, & \Gamma_{11}^{2}=-\frac{\phi_{u}}{\sin \phi}, \\
\Gamma_{12}^{1}=\Gamma_{21}^{1}=0, & \Gamma_{12}^{2}=\Gamma_{21}^{2}=0, \\
\Gamma_{22}^{1}=-\frac{\phi_{v}}{\sin \phi}, & \Gamma_{22}^{2}=\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} \phi_{v} .
\end{array}
$$

2) the Gauss formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{u u}=\frac{\phi_{u}}{\sin \phi}\left(\cos \phi \Psi_{u}-\Psi_{v}\right)+L \mathbf{N} \\
& \Psi_{u v}=\Psi_{v u}=0 \\
& \Psi_{v v}=-\frac{\phi_{v}}{\sin \phi}\left(\Psi_{u}-\cos \phi \Psi_{v}\right)+N \mathbf{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

3) and the Weingarten formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{N}_{u} & =-\frac{L}{\sin ^{2} \phi} \Psi_{u}+\frac{L \cos \phi}{\sin ^{2} \phi} \Psi_{v} \\
\mathbf{N}_{v} & =\frac{N \cos \phi}{\sin ^{2} \phi} \Psi_{u}-\frac{N}{\sin ^{2} \phi} \Psi_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

The curvature $k_{\alpha}$ of the parametric curve $\alpha(u)$, which does not depend on $v$, is given by

$$
k_{\alpha}=\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|\Psi_{u u}\right|=\left(L^{2}+\phi_{u}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where the prime $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ denotes derivative with respect to $u$. Moreover, the torsion $\tau_{\alpha}$ of $\alpha(u)$ at points where $k_{\alpha}(u)>0$ is given by

$$
\tau_{\alpha}=\frac{\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)}{\left|\alpha^{\prime} \times \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left(\Psi_{u}, \Psi_{u u}, \Psi_{u u u}\right)}{\left|\Psi_{u} \times \Psi_{u u}\right|^{2}}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is the mixed product in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Using the Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\alpha}=\left(L \phi_{u u}-\frac{L \cos \phi}{\sin \phi}\left(L^{2}+\phi_{u}^{2}\right)-L_{u} \phi_{u}\right)\left(L^{2}+\phi_{u}^{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, the curvature $k_{\beta}$ and torsion $\tau_{\beta}$ of the parametric curve $\beta(v)$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{\beta}=\left(N^{2}+\phi_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\tau_{\beta}=\left(N \phi_{v v}-\frac{N \cos \phi}{\sin \phi}\left(N^{2}+\phi_{v}^{2}\right)-N_{v} \phi_{v}\right)\left(N^{2}+\phi_{v}^{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

For later use we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(L^{2}+\phi_{u}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad B=\left(N^{2}+\phi_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ are non-negative functions of one variable $u$ and $v$, respectively. Moreover, $A, B$ represent the curvatures of parametric curves $\alpha(u)$ and $\beta(v)$.

In the next lemma we obtain a formula, useful in the proof of Theorem 1.2 , for the torsion of parametric curves in the case where the Gaussian curvature of $S$ is non-zero everywhere.

Lemma 2.2. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a surface with parametrization $\Psi(u, v),(u, v) \in$ $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and fundamental forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =d u^{2}+2 \cos \phi d u d v+d v^{2}, 0<\phi<\pi \\
I I & =L d u^{2}+N d v^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the Gaussian curvature $K$ is non-zero everywhere on $D$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{u u}=\tau(u) L+\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} L^{2}+\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{v v}=\tau(v) N+\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} N^{2}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{B} \phi_{v} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau(u), \tau(v)$ are the torsions of the parametric curves $\alpha(u), \beta(v)$, respectively.

Proof. Because of $K \neq 0$, equation (4) implies $L \neq 0$ and $N \neq 0$. Thus, the curvatures of parametric curves are non-zero and $A>0$. From $A^{2}=L^{2}+\phi_{u}^{2}$, we obtain $L^{2}=A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}$ and $A A^{\prime}=L L_{u}+\phi_{u} \phi_{u u}$. Taking into account these relations, from (7) we conclude the desired relation (9). In a similar way we obtain (10).

For later use we prove the following
Lemma 2.3. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a regular translation surface with constant Gaussian curvature $K$. If one generating curve is a circle, then $S$ is cylindrical and $K=0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are parametrized by the arc-length and $\alpha$ is a circle of radius $r>0$ in the $x y$-plane. Then a parametrization of $S$ is

$$
\Psi(s, t)=(r \cos (s / r), r \sin (s / r), 0)+\left(\beta_{1}(t), \beta_{2}(t), \beta_{3}(t)\right)
$$

In this situation, Equation (4) becomes

$$
K=\frac{\left(\Psi_{s}, \Psi_{t}, \Psi_{s s}\right) \cdot\left(\Psi_{s}, \Psi_{t}, \Psi_{t t}\right)}{\left(1-\left(\beta_{2}^{\prime} \cos (s / r)-\beta_{1}^{\prime} \sin (s / r)\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

where prime $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ denotes derivative with respect to $t$. We compute $\Psi_{s}, \Psi_{t}$, $\Psi_{s s}, \Psi_{t t}$ and insert in the last equation. The above equation can be expressed
as

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{4} A_{n}(t) \cos (n s / r)+\sum_{n=1}^{4} B_{n}(t) \sin (n s / r)=0
$$

Since the functions $\cos (n s / r)$ and $\sin (n s / r)$ are linearly independent, we deduce that all coefficients $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ must vanish. A simple computation of $A_{1}, B_{1}$ and $A_{0}$ lead to, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{3}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime \prime}-\beta_{1}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& \beta_{3}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}^{\prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime \prime}-\beta_{2}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& K\left(\left(1-\frac{\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left(\beta_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)^{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the last equation that $K=0$. The first two equations imply either $\beta_{3}^{\prime}=0$ for every $t$ and $S$ is (part of) a (horizontal) plane, or $\beta_{3}^{\prime} \neq 0$ at some $t=t_{0}$. In the latter case, we have $\beta_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime \prime}-\beta_{1}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime}=\beta_{2}^{\prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime \prime}-\beta_{2}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime}=0$. Then it is immediate that $\beta$ parametrizes a straight line which is not contained in the $x y$-plane. In both cases, we have proved that $S$ is a cylindrical surface and $K=0$.

## 3. A particular case of Theorem 1.2

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will make use of the following proposition which is interesting in itself.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\Psi: D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \Psi=\Psi(u, v)$, be a parametrization of a regular surface $S$ with Gaussian curvature $K$. Suppose that the fundamental forms are

$$
I=d u^{2}+2 \cos \phi d u d v+d v^{2}, \quad I I=L d u^{2}+N d v^{2}
$$

where $0<\phi(u, v)<\pi$ is the angle between the parametric curves. Then, locally, we have the following assertions:

1) There are two non-negative functions $A(u), B(v)$, with $A^{2} \geq \phi_{u}^{2}$ and $B^{2} \geq \phi_{v}^{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}+\phi_{u}^{2}=A^{2}, N^{2}+\phi_{v}^{2}=B^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}\right)\left(B^{2}-\phi_{v}^{2}\right)=K^{2} \sin ^{4} \phi . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) If $K=0$ everywhere on $D$, then $S$ is cylindrical and a translation surface.
3) If $K \neq 0$ everywhere on $D$, then $S$ is a translation surface with $\Psi(u, v)=\alpha(u)+\beta(v)$ and where the curves $\alpha(u), \beta(v)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ are parametrized by the arc-length with curvatures and torsions

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{\alpha} & =\sqrt{L^{2}+\phi_{u}^{2}}=A>0 \\
\tau_{\alpha} & =\varepsilon_{1}\left(\phi_{u u}-\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}\left(A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}\right)-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}\right)\left(A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
k_{\beta} & =\sqrt{N^{2}+\phi_{v}^{2}}=B>0 \\
\tau_{\beta} & =\varepsilon_{2}\left(\phi_{v v}-\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}\left(B^{2}-\phi_{v}^{2}\right)-\frac{B^{\prime}}{B} \phi_{v}\right)\left(B^{2}-\phi_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}=\operatorname{sign}(L)= \pm 1$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=\operatorname{sign}(N)= \pm 1$.
4) Let $d s^{2}=d u^{2}+2 \cos \phi+d v^{2}$ a metric on $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $0<\phi(u, v)<\pi$ and Gaussian curvature $K \neq 0$ everywhere on $D$. If there exist two smooth non negative functions $A(u), B(v)$ which satisfy (12) and $A^{2}>\phi_{u}^{2}, B^{2}>\phi_{v}^{2}$, then the metric is realizable in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ as a metric of a translation surface with

$$
L=\varepsilon_{1}\left(A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, M=0, N=\varepsilon_{2}\left(B^{2}-\phi_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}= \pm 1$ and $\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}=\operatorname{sign}(K)$.

Proof. 1) By taking into account equation (4), the Codazzi equations (6) give

$$
L L_{v}=\frac{L N}{\sin \phi} \phi_{u}
$$

that is,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial L^{2}}{\partial v}=K \phi_{u} \sin \phi
$$

Now, the Theorema Egregium implies

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial L^{2}}{\partial v}=-\phi_{u v} \phi_{u}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \phi_{u}^{2}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(L^{2}+\phi_{u}^{2}\right)=0
$$

Hence, there is a non-negative function $A(u)$ such that $L^{2}+\phi_{u}^{2}=$ $A^{2}(u)$. In a similar way we obtain the second of equation 11. Combining Eqs. (4) and (11) we conclude 12 .
2) Because of locality we consider two cases. If $L=N=0$ everywhere on $D$ then the surface is a plane and so, cylindrical. If $L \neq 0$ and $N=0$ everywhere on $D$, because of $K=0$, then from the second of (6) we take $\phi_{v}=0$ everywhere. The Gauss formulas imply $\Psi_{u v}=\Psi_{v v}=0$. So, by a double integration we get $\Psi(u, v)=\beta(u)+v \mathbf{a}$, where $\mathbf{a}$ is a constant vector with norm $|\mathbf{a}|=1$. Thus, $S$ is cylindrical and hence a translation surface.
3) Since $\Psi_{u v}=0$, the second of Gauss formulas gives $\Psi(u, v)=\alpha(u)+$ $\beta(v)$ and hence $S$ is a translation surface. Lemma 2.2 and the preceding analysis gives (13).
4) It is enough to show that the coefficients $E=1, F=\cos \phi, G=1$ of the given metric and $L=\varepsilon_{1}\left(A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, M=0, N=\varepsilon_{2}\left(B^{2}-\phi_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ satisfy the Gauss equation (4) and the Codazzi equations (6). The Gauss equation holds because of the assumption (12). By differentiat$\operatorname{ing} L^{2}=A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}$ with respect to $v$, we have

$$
L L_{v}=-\phi_{u} \phi_{u v}=-\phi_{u}(-K \sin \phi)=\frac{L N}{\sin ^{2} \phi} \phi_{u} \sin \phi=\frac{L N \phi_{u}}{\sin \phi}
$$

that is,

$$
L\left(L_{v}-\frac{N \phi_{u}}{\sin \phi}\right)=0
$$

from which, because of $K \neq 0$, we obtain the first of Codazzi equations. In a similar way we obtain the second of (6).

Remark 3.2. The preceding analysis and Prop. 3.1 re-establish the following result: a regular surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a translation surface if and only if it has a parametrization $\Psi(u, v)$ with fundamental forms

$$
I=d u^{2}+2 \cos \phi d u d v+d v^{2}, \quad I I=L d u^{2}+N d v^{2} .
$$

In our process to prove Theorem 1.2, we consider a translation surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with non zero constant Gaussian curvature $K$. After a similarity of the ambient space, we can suppose that $K$ is -1 or 1 . In what follows, this hypothesis will be assumed without further comment. Let $\Psi(u, v),(u, v) \in$
$D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, be a parametrization of $S$ and fundamental forms

$$
I=d u^{2}+2 \cos \phi d u d v+d v^{2}, \quad I I=L d u^{2}+N d v^{2}
$$

where $\phi(u, v)$ is the angle of parametric curves and $0<\phi(u, v)<\pi$. The torsion of parametric curves $v=$ const. is denoted by $\tau=\tau(u)$. Moreover, we choose the orientation of $S$ so that $L>0$ everywhere. Then, from (13) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{u u}=\tau X^{1 / 2}+\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} X+\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set $X=L^{2}=A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}$. Since $K$ is 1 or -1 we have $X>0$ and thus $A>0$. Moreover, because we are interested for local solutions of the problem, we may suppose that $\phi_{u} \neq 0$ and $\phi_{v} \neq 0$ everywhere. Indeed, otherwise we have $K=0$ from Eq. (5). We postpone for a little the proof of Theorem 1.2 inserting here a result which has been proved in 9]. For completeness, we give a proof with another method which will guide us during the proof of the general case in Sec. 4 .

Proposition 3.3. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a regular translation surface with constant Gaussian curvature $K$. If one of the parametric curves is a plane curve, then $K=0$ and $S$ is cylindrical.

Proof. If $K$ is non-zero, up to a similarity, we can suppose that $K=1$ or $K=-1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that the parametric curves $v=$ const. are plane curves and thus $\tau(u)=0$. Then Eq. (14) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{u u}=\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} X+\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}>0$ and $A>0$. By differentiating with respect to $v$ and taking into account (5) we obtain

$$
(-K \sin \phi)_{u}=-\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \phi} \phi_{v} X+\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}\left(-2 \phi_{u} \phi_{u v}\right)+\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u v}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
3 K \phi_{u} \cos \phi=K \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \sin \phi+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \phi} \phi_{v} X .
$$

Multiplying by $K \sin ^{2} \phi$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \phi_{v}=\left(3 \phi_{u} \cos \phi \sin ^{2} \phi-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \sin ^{3} \phi\right) X^{-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (16) with respect to $u$ and taking into account relations (5) and (15) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \sin \phi=\left(-3 \phi_{u}^{2} \sin ^{3} \phi+6 \phi_{u}^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi \sin \phi+3 \cos ^{2} \phi \sin \phi X\right. \\
& \left.+3 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \cos \phi \sin ^{2} \phi-\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime} \sin ^{3} \phi-3 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \sin ^{2} \phi \cos \phi\right) X^{-1} \\
& -\left(\left(3 \phi_{u} \cos \phi \sin ^{2} \phi-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \sin ^{3} \phi\right)\left(\frac{2 A^{\prime}}{A}\left(A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}\right)-2 \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} \phi_{u} X\right)\right) X^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1+3 \cos ^{2} \phi\right) X+\left(12 \phi_{u}^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi-3 \phi_{u}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi-8 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \cos \phi \sin \phi\right. \\
\left.-\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \phi+2\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

We write this expression in terms of the angle $2 \phi$, obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{4 A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \sin 2 \phi+\left(\frac{3}{2} X+\frac{15}{2} \phi_{u}^{2}-\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right) \cos 2 \phi+\left(\frac{5}{2} X+\frac{9}{2} \phi_{u}^{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma=2\left(A^{\prime} / A\right)^{2}-\left(A^{\prime} / A\right)^{\prime}$. Equation 17 is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \sin 2 \phi+Q \cos 2 \phi+R=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =-4 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \\
Q & =\frac{3}{2} X+\frac{15}{2} \phi_{u}^{2}-\frac{\Sigma}{2} \\
R & =\frac{5}{2} X+\frac{9}{2} \phi_{u}^{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By differentiation (18) with respect to $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P^{\prime}-2 Q \phi_{u}\right) \sin 2 \phi+\left(Q^{\prime}+2 P \phi_{u}\right) \cos 2 \phi+R^{\prime}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{\prime}-2 Q \phi_{u}= & -4 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} X \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}-4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime} \phi_{u}-4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2} \phi_{u} \\
& -3 X \phi_{u}-15 \phi_{u}^{3}+\Sigma \phi_{u} \\
Q^{\prime}+2 P \phi_{u}= & 12 X \phi_{u} \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}+3 A A^{\prime}+12 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{2}-\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}-8 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{2} \\
R^{\prime}= & 4 X \phi_{u} \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}+5 A A^{\prime}+4 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{2}+\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the prime denotes derivative with respect to $u$. Substituting the above as well as $\cos \phi / \sin \phi=\sin 2 \phi /(1-\cos 2 \phi)$ in Eq. 19) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(-4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime} \phi_{u}-4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2} \phi_{u}+\phi_{u} X-15 \phi_{u}^{3}+\Sigma \phi_{u}\right) \sin 2 \phi \\
& \quad+\left(4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime} \phi_{u}+4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2} \phi_{u}+15 \phi_{u} X+15 \phi_{u}^{3}-\Sigma \phi_{u}\right) \sin 2 \phi \cos 2 \phi \\
& \quad+\left(-3 A A^{\prime}-4 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{2}+\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}+4 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} X\right) \cos ^{2} 2 \phi-\left(2 A A^{\prime}+\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \cos 2 \phi \\
& \quad+\left(5 A A^{\prime}+4 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{2}+\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}-4 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} X\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

By inserting $X=A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}$ and $\Sigma=2\left(A^{\prime} / A\right)^{2}-\left(A^{\prime} / A\right)^{\prime}$ in this expression, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-16 \phi_{u}^{3}+\left(A^{2}-5\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-2\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right) \phi_{u}\right) \sin 2 \phi  \tag{20}\\
& +\left(15 A^{2}+5\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+2\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right) \phi_{u} \sin 2 \phi \cos 2 \phi \\
& \\
& +\left(-8 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{2}+A A^{\prime}+\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}\right) \cos ^{2} 2 \phi-\left(2 A A^{\prime}+\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \cos 2 \phi \\
& \\
& +\left(-8 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{2}+A A^{\prime}+\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (17), by inserting $X=A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \sin 2 \phi=\left(6 \phi_{u}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} A^{2}-\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right) \cos 2 \phi+\left(2 \phi_{u}^{2}+\frac{5}{2} A^{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (20) by $4 A^{\prime} / A$ and taking into account (21) we obtain an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \phi+b_{1} \cos 2 \phi+b_{0}=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{2}= & b_{22} \phi_{u}^{2}+b_{20}=\left(90 A^{2}+30\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-20\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{2} \\
& +\left(15 A^{2}+5\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+2\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2} A^{2}-\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right)+4\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{A^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime}}{A}, \\
b_{1}= & b_{14} \phi_{u}^{4}+b_{12} \phi_{u}^{2}+b_{10}=-96 \phi_{u}^{4} \\
& +\left(12 A^{2}-20\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-8\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+8 \Sigma\right) \phi_{u}^{2} \\
& +\left(A^{2}-5\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-2\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2} A^{2}-\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right) \\
& +\left(15 A^{2}+5\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+2\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{5}{2} A^{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right)-8\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 \frac{A^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime}}{A}, \\
b_{0}= & b_{04} \phi_{u}^{4}+b_{02} \phi_{u}^{2}+b_{00} \\
= & -32 \phi^{4}+\left(-38 A^{2}-10\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+28\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-8 \Sigma\right) \phi_{u}^{2} \\
& +\left(A^{2}-5\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-8\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{5}{2} A^{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right)+4\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{A^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime}}{A} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eq. (21), taking squares we get one equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \phi+c_{1} \cos 2 \phi+c_{0}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2} & =c_{24} \phi_{u}^{4}+c_{22} \phi_{u}^{2}+c_{20} \\
& =36 \phi_{u}^{4}+\left(18 A^{2}-6 \Sigma+16\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}+\left(\frac{3}{2} A^{2}-\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1} & =c_{14} \phi_{u}^{4}+c_{12} \phi_{u}^{2}+c_{10} \\
& =24 \phi_{u}^{4}+\left(36 A^{2}+4 \Sigma\right) \phi_{u}^{2}+\left(3 A^{2}-\Sigma\right)\left(\frac{5}{2} A^{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right) \\
c_{0} & =c_{04} \phi_{u}^{4}+c_{02} \phi_{u}^{2}+c_{00} \\
& =4 \phi_{u}^{4}+\left(10 A^{2}+2 \Sigma-16\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}+\left(\frac{5}{2} A^{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The two quadratic equations $(\sqrt[22]{ })$ and $(23)$ have at least a common solution with respect to $\cos 2 \phi$. Thus, their discriminant must be zero, hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{2} c_{0}-b_{0} c_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{0} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{0}\right)\left(b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}\right)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the meantime, we have computed each one of the above factor only in the higher coefficients with respect to $\phi_{u}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{2} c_{0}-b_{0} c_{2}= & \left(b_{22} \phi_{u}^{2}+b_{20}\right)\left(c_{04} \phi_{u}^{4}+c_{02} \phi_{u}^{2}+c_{00}\right) \\
- & \left(b_{04} \phi_{u}^{4}+b_{02} \phi_{u}^{2}+b_{00}\right)\left(c_{24} \phi_{u}^{4}+c_{22} \phi_{u}^{2}+c_{20}\right) \\
= & -b_{04} c_{24} \phi_{u}^{8}+\left(b_{22} c_{04}-b_{04} c_{22}-b_{02} c_{24}\right) \phi_{u}^{6}+\cdots \\
= & 1152 \phi_{u}^{8}+384\left(6 A^{2}+\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{6}+\cdots \\
= & 384\left(3 \phi_{u}^{8}+\left(6 A^{2}+\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{6}\right)+\cdots \\
b_{0} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{0}= & \left(b_{04} \phi_{u}^{4}+b_{02} \phi_{u}^{2}+b_{00}\right)\left(c_{14} \phi_{u}^{4}+c_{12} \phi_{u}^{2}+c_{10}\right) \\
& -\left(b_{14} \phi_{u}^{4}+b_{12} \phi_{u}^{2}+b_{10}\right)\left(c_{04} \phi_{u}^{4}+c_{02} \phi_{u}^{2}+c_{00}\right) \\
= & \left(b_{04} c_{14}-b_{14} c_{04}\right) \phi_{u}^{8} \\
& +\left(b_{04} c_{12}+b_{02} c_{14}-b_{14} c_{02}-b_{12} c_{04}\right) \phi_{u}^{6}+\cdots \\
= & -384\left(\phi_{u}^{8}+3\left(A^{2}+\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{6}\right)+\cdots \\
b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}= & \left(b_{14} \phi_{u}^{4}+b_{12} \phi_{u}^{2}+b_{10}\right)\left(c_{24} \phi_{u}^{4}+c_{22} \phi_{u}^{2}+c_{20}\right) \\
& -\left(b_{22} \phi_{u}^{2}+b_{20}\right)\left(c_{14} \phi_{u}^{4}+c_{12} \phi_{u}^{2}+c_{10}\right) \\
= & b_{14} c_{24} \phi_{u}^{8}+\left(b_{14} c_{22}+b_{12} c_{24}-b_{22} c_{14}\right) \phi_{u}^{6}+\ldots \\
= & -384\left(9 \phi_{u}^{8}+\left(9 A^{2}-\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+6\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}\right) \phi_{u}^{6}\right)+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (24) is a polynomial equation with respect to $\phi_{u}$ at most of degree sixteen, and its coefficients depend only on the variable $u$. We claim that all coefficients must be zero: otherwise the equation has a solution of the form $\phi_{u}=f(u)$, but this is impossible because in that case and from (5), we have $K=0$, which is a contradiction. The coefficient of $\phi_{u}^{16}$ is trivially zero, but the coefficient of $\phi_{u}^{14}$ is $-14\left(A^{\prime} / A\right)^{2}$. Hence we have $A^{\prime}=0$, that is, $A$ is a positive constant. So the parametric curves $v=$ const. are circles. By Lemma 2.3 we deduce that $K=0$, a contradiction and the proof of proposition is completed.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We return now to the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Prop. 3.3 we have proved that if one generating curve is a planar curve, then $S$ is a cylindrical surface. Then the proof of Theorem 1.2 is by contradiction assuming that none of the generating curves is planar, so the torsions $\tau=\tau(u)$ and $\tau=\tau(v)$ are non zero everywhere. Differentiating (14) with respect to $v$ and taking into account (5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \phi_{v}=\left(3 \phi_{u} \cos \phi \sin ^{2} \phi-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \sin ^{3} \phi\right) X^{-1}+\tau \phi_{u} \sin ^{3} \phi X^{-3 / 2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, differentiating (25) with respect to $u$ and using (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1 & \left.+3 \cos ^{2} \phi\right) X^{2}+4 \tau \cos \phi \sin \phi X^{3 / 2}+\left(12 \phi_{u}^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi-3 \phi_{u}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi\right. \\
& \left.-8 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} \cos \phi \sin \phi+\left(2\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+\tau^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi\right) X \\
& +\left(12 \tau \phi_{u}^{2} \cos \phi \sin \phi-4 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right) \phi_{u} \sin ^{2} \phi+\tau^{\prime} \phi_{u} \sin ^{2} \phi\right) X^{1 / 2} \\
& +3 \tau^{2} \phi_{u}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the prime $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ denotes derivative with respect to $u$. We write again the above parenthesis in terms of the angle $2 \phi$ and we insert the value of $\Sigma$,
obtaining

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(6 \tau \phi_{u}^{2} X^{1 / 2}-4 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u} X+2 \tau X^{3 / 2}\right) \sin 2 \phi  \tag{26}\\
& \quad+\left(\frac{15}{2} \phi_{u}^{2} X-\frac{3}{2} \tau^{2} \phi_{u}^{2}+\left(2 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u} X^{1 / 2}+\frac{3}{2} X^{2}-\frac{\Sigma X}{2}\right) \cos 2 \phi \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{3}{2} \tau^{2} \phi_{u}^{2}+\frac{9}{2} \phi_{u}^{2} X-\left(2 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u} X^{1 / 2}+\frac{5}{2} X^{2}+\frac{\Sigma X}{2}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (26) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \sin 2 \phi+Q \cos 2 \phi+R=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left(2 \tau A^{2}+4 \tau \phi_{u}^{2}\right) X^{1 / 2}+\left(-4 A A^{\prime} \phi_{u}+\frac{4 A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{3}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
Q= & \left(2 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u} X^{1 / 2}  \tag{29}\\
& +\left(\frac{3}{2} A^{4}-\frac{\Sigma A^{2}}{2}+\left(\frac{9 A^{2}}{2}-\frac{3 \tau^{2}}{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}-6 \phi_{u}^{4}\right) \\
R= & -\left(2 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u} X^{1 / 2}  \tag{30}\\
& +\left(\frac{5}{2} A^{4}+\frac{\Sigma A^{2}}{2}+\left(\frac{3 \tau^{2}}{2}-\frac{A^{2}}{2}-\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}-2 \phi_{u}^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

because of $X=A^{2}-\phi_{u}^{2}$ and $X^{3 / 2}=X^{1 / 2} X$.
We differentiate (27) with respect to $u$, obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P^{\prime}-2 Q \phi_{u}\right) \sin 2 \phi+\left(Q^{\prime}+2 P \phi_{u}\right) \cos 2 \phi+R^{\prime}=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The idea now is to write this expression as a linear combination of the trigonometric functions of type $\cos (n \phi)$ and $\sin (n \phi)$, which are independent
linearly. We compute the three coefficients in (31). We calculate the derivatives $P^{\prime}=\frac{\partial P}{\partial u}, Q^{\prime}=\frac{\partial Q}{\partial u}$ and $R^{\prime}=\frac{\partial R}{\partial u}$ by using (5) and (14). Then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { (32) } \left.\begin{array}{rl}
P^{\prime}= & \left(\left(6 \tau A^{2} \phi_{u}-12 \tau \phi_{u}^{3}\right) X^{1 / 2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(-4 A^{3} A^{\prime}+16 A A^{\prime} \phi_{u}^{2}-12 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{4}\right)\right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} \\
& +\left(2 \tau A A^{\prime}+2 A^{2} \tau^{\prime}+\left(4 \tau^{\prime}+24 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}\right) X^{1 / 2} \\
& +\left(6 \tau^{2} A^{2}-12\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 A^{2}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}\right) \phi_{u} \\
& +\left(-12 \tau^{2}+12\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}\right) \phi_{u}^{3} \\
(33) \\
Q^{\prime}= & \left(\left(2 \tau A A^{\prime}-\frac{\tau^{\prime} A^{2}}{2}-\left(4 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\tau^{\prime}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}\right) X^{1 / 2}\right. \\
& +\left(9 A^{4}-3 \tau^{2} A^{2}+\Sigma A^{2}\right) \phi_{u}-\left(33 A^{2}-3 \tau^{2}+\Sigma\right) \phi_{u}^{3} \\
& \left.+24 \phi_{u}^{5}\right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}+\left(\left(\frac{A^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}}{A}+2 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\tau^{\prime \prime}}{2}+4 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+9 A^{2} \tau-3 \tau^{3}+\Sigma \tau\right) \phi_{u}-24 \tau \phi_{u}^{3}\right) X^{1 / 2} \\
& +\left(6 A^{3} A^{\prime}-\frac{\Sigma^{\prime} A^{2}}{2}-\Sigma A A^{\prime}+2 A A^{\prime} \tau^{2}-\frac{A^{2} \tau \tau^{\prime}}{2}\right) \\
& +\left(18 A A^{\prime}-2 \tau \tau^{\prime}-\frac{7 A^{\prime} \tau^{2}}{A}+\frac{A^{\prime} \Sigma}{A}+\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}-24 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{4}
\end{array}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

(34) $\quad R^{\prime}=\left(\left(-2 \tau A A^{\prime}+\frac{\tau^{\prime} A^{2}}{2}+\left(4 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\tau^{\prime}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}\right) X^{1 / 2}\right.$

$$
+\left(3 \tau^{2} A^{2}-A^{4}-\Sigma A^{2}\right) \phi_{u}
$$

$$
\left.-\left(7 A^{2}+3 \tau^{2}-\Sigma\right) \phi_{u}^{3}+8 \phi_{u}^{5}\right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}
$$

$$
+\left(\left(2 \tau^{3}-6 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-\tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{A^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}}{A}-A^{2} \tau+\frac{\tau^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u}\right.
$$

$$
\left.-8 \tau \phi_{u}^{3}\right) X^{1 / 2}+\left(10 A^{3} A^{\prime}+\frac{A^{2}}{2}\left(\tau \tau^{\prime}+\Sigma^{\prime}\right)+\left(\Sigma-2 \tau^{2}\right) A A^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
+\left(2 \tau \tau^{\prime}-2 A A^{\prime}-\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}+\frac{7 A^{\prime} \tau^{2}}{A}-\frac{A^{\prime} \Sigma}{A}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}-\frac{8 A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{4}
$$

Now we compute the coefficients in (31). From Eqs. (28)-(34), we get the coefficient $P^{\prime}-2 Q \phi_{u}$ of $\sin 2 \phi$, obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}-2 Q \phi_{u}=\left(\alpha_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{2}\right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}+\left(\alpha_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{4}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}= & 6 \tau A^{2} \phi_{u}-12 \tau \phi_{u}^{3} \\
\alpha_{2}= & -4 A^{3} A^{\prime}+16 A A^{\prime} \phi_{u}^{2}-12 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{4} \\
\alpha_{3}= & 2 \tau A A^{\prime}+2 A^{2} \tau^{\prime}+\left(20 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}+5 \tau^{\prime}\right) \phi_{u}^{2} \\
\alpha_{4}= & \left(6 \tau^{2} A^{2}-12\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 A^{2}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-3 A^{4}+\Sigma A^{2}\right) \phi_{u} \\
& +\left(12\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-9 A^{2}-9 \tau^{2}-\Sigma\right) \phi_{u}^{3}+12 \phi_{u}^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the coefficient $Q^{\prime}+2 P \phi_{u}$ of $\cos 2 \phi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}+2 P \phi_{u}=\left(\beta_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{2}\right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}+\left(\beta_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{4}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1}= & \left(2 \tau A A^{\prime}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2} A^{2}\right)-\left(4 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\tau^{\prime}\right) \phi_{u}^{2} \\
\beta_{2}= & \left(9 A^{4}-3 A^{2} \tau^{2}+\Sigma A^{2}\right) \phi_{u}-\left(33 A^{2}-3 \tau^{2}+\Sigma\right) \phi_{u}^{3}+24 \phi_{u}^{5} \\
\beta_{3}= & \left(\frac{A^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}}{A}+2 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\tau^{\prime \prime}}{2}+4 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+13 A^{2} \tau-3 \tau^{3}+\Sigma \tau\right) \phi_{u}-16 \tau \phi_{u}^{3} \\
\beta_{4}= & \left(6 A^{3} A^{\prime}-\frac{\Sigma^{\prime} A^{2}}{2}-\Sigma A A^{\prime}+2 A A^{\prime} \tau^{2}-\frac{A^{2} \tau \tau^{\prime}}{2}\right) \\
& +\left(10 A A^{\prime}-2 \tau \tau^{\prime}-\frac{7 A^{\prime}}{A} \tau^{2}+\frac{A^{\prime} \Sigma}{A}+\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}-\frac{16 A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the term $R^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2}\right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}+\left(\gamma_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{4}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1}= & -2 \tau A A^{\prime}+\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2} A^{2}+\left(4 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\tau^{\prime}\right) \phi_{u}^{2} \\
\gamma_{2}= & \left(3 A^{2} \tau^{2}-A^{4}-\Sigma A^{2}\right) \phi_{u}-\left(7 A^{2}+3 \tau^{2}-\Sigma\right) \phi_{u}^{3}+8 \phi_{u}^{5} \\
\gamma_{3}= & \left(2 \tau^{3}-6 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-\tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\tau^{\prime} A^{\prime}}{A}-A^{2} \tau+\frac{\tau^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u}-8 \tau \phi_{u}^{3} \\
\gamma_{4}= & \left(10 A^{3} A^{\prime}+\frac{A^{2}}{2}\left(\tau \tau^{\prime}+\Sigma^{\prime}\right)+\left(\Sigma-2 \tau^{2}\right) A A^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\left(2 \tau \tau^{\prime}-2 A A^{\prime}-\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}+\frac{7 A^{\prime}}{A} \tau^{2}-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \Sigma\right) \phi_{u}^{2}-\frac{8 A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting all these in (31) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\alpha_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{2}\right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}+\left(\alpha_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{4}\right)\right) \sin 2 \phi \\
& \quad+\left(\left(\beta_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{2}\right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}+\left(\beta_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{4}\right)\right) \cos 2 \phi \\
& \quad+\left(\left(\gamma_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2}\right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi}+\left(\gamma_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{4}\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\cos \phi / \sin \phi=\sin 2 \phi /(1-\cos 2 \phi)$, the above expression can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \left(\left(\alpha_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{2}\right)+\left(\beta_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{4}\right)\right) \cos ^{2} 2 \phi \\
& +\left(\left(\beta_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{2}\right)-\left(\alpha_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{4}\right)\right) \sin 2 \phi \cos 2 \phi \\
& +\left(\left(\beta_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{4}\right)-\left(\gamma_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{4}\right)\right) \cos 2 \phi \\
& +\left(\left(\alpha_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{4}\right)+\left(\gamma_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2}\right)\right) \sin 2 \phi \\
& +\left(\left(\alpha_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{2}\right)+\left(\gamma_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{4}\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying the last equation by $P$ and inserting $P \sin 2 \phi=-Q \cos 2 \phi-R$ from Eq. (27), we have
(38) $\left(Q\left(\alpha_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{4}\right)-Q\left(\beta_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{2}\right)-P\left(\alpha_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{2}\right)\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-P\left(\beta_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{4}\right)\right) \cos ^{2} 2 \phi+\left(P\left(\beta_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{4}\right)-P\left(\gamma_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{4}\right)\right. \\
& -Q\left(\alpha_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{4}\right)-Q\left(\gamma_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2}\right)+R\left(\alpha_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{4}\right) \\
& \left.-R\left(\beta_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\beta_{2}\right)\right) \cos 2 \phi+\left(P\left(\alpha_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{2}\right)+P\left(\gamma_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-R\left(\alpha_{3} X^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{4}\right)-R\left(\gamma_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\gamma_{2}\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (38) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \phi+b_{1} \cos 2 \phi+b_{0}=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(40) $\quad b_{2}=b_{21} X^{1 / 2}+b_{22}, \quad b_{1}=b_{11} X^{1 / 2}+b_{12}, \quad b_{0}=b_{01} X^{1 / 2}+b_{02}$,
and

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{21}= & Q_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}-\beta_{1}\right)+Q_{1}\left(\alpha_{4}-\beta_{2}\right)-P_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}+\beta_{4}\right)-P_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{3}\right)  \tag{41}\\
b_{22}= & Q_{1}\left(\alpha_{3}-\beta_{1}\right) X-P_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{3}\right) X+Q_{2}\left(\alpha_{4}-\beta_{2}\right)-P_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}+\beta_{4}\right) \\
b_{11}= & P_{1}\left(\beta_{4}-\gamma_{4}\right)+P_{2}\left(\beta_{3}-\gamma_{3}\right)-Q_{1}\left(\alpha_{4}+\gamma_{2}\right) \\
& -Q_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}+\gamma_{1}\right)+R_{1}\left(\alpha_{4}-\beta_{2}\right)+R_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}-\beta_{1}\right) \\
b_{12}= & P_{1}\left(\beta_{3}-\gamma_{3}\right) X+P_{2}\left(\beta_{4}-\gamma_{4}\right)-Q_{1}\left(\alpha_{3}+\gamma_{1}\right) X \\
& -Q_{2}\left(\alpha_{4}+\gamma_{2}\right)+R_{1}\left(\alpha_{3}-\beta_{1}\right) X+R_{2}\left(\alpha_{4}-\beta_{2}\right) \\
b_{01}= & P_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}+\gamma_{4}\right)+P_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{3}\right)-R_{1}\left(\alpha_{4}+\gamma_{2}\right)-R_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}+\gamma_{1}\right) \\
b_{02}= & P_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{3}\right) X+P_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}+\gamma_{4}\right)-R_{1}\left(\alpha_{3}+\gamma_{1}\right) X-R_{2}\left(\alpha_{4}+\gamma_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand Eq. (27) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} 2 \phi+2 Q R \cos 2 \phi+R^{2}-P^{2}=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (28), (29) and (30) are written as

$$
P=P_{1} X^{1 / 2}+P_{2}, \quad Q=Q_{1} X^{1 / 2}+Q_{2}, \quad R=R_{1} X^{1 / 2}+R_{2}
$$

respectively, where

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1} & =2 \tau A^{2}+4 \tau \phi_{u}^{2}, \quad P_{2}=-4 A A^{\prime} \phi_{u}+\frac{4 A^{\prime}}{A} \phi_{u}^{3}  \tag{43}\\
Q_{1} & =\left(2 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u}  \tag{44}\\
Q_{2} & =\frac{3}{2} A^{4}-\frac{\Sigma A^{2}}{2}+\left(\frac{9 A^{2}}{2}-\frac{3 \tau^{2}}{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}-6 \phi_{u}^{4} \\
R_{1} & =-\left(2 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}\right) \phi_{u}  \tag{45}\\
R_{2} & =\frac{5}{2} A^{4}+\frac{\Sigma A^{2}}{2}+\left(-\frac{A^{2}}{2}+\frac{3 \tau^{2}}{2}-\frac{\Sigma}{2}\right) \phi_{u}^{2}-2 \phi_{u}^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus Eq. (42) is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \phi+c_{2} \cos 2 \phi+c_{0}=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=c_{21} X^{1 / 2}+c_{22}, \quad c_{1}=c_{11} X^{1 / 2}+c_{12}, \quad c_{0}=c_{01} X^{1 / 2}+c_{02} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{21}=2 P_{1} P_{2}+2 Q_{1} Q_{2}  \tag{48}\\
& c_{22}=P_{1}^{2} X+Q_{1}^{2} X+P_{2}^{2}+Q_{2}^{2} \\
& c_{11}=2\left(Q_{1} R_{2}+Q_{2} R_{1}\right) \\
& c_{12}=2\left(Q_{1} R_{1} X+Q_{2} R_{2}\right) \\
& c_{01}=2\left(R_{1} R_{2}-P_{1} P_{2}\right) \\
& c_{02}=R_{1}^{2} X-P_{1}^{2} X+R_{2}^{2}-P_{2}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The quadratic equations (39) and (46) have at least a common solution with respect to $\cos 2 \phi$. Thus, their discriminant must be zero, hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{2} c_{0}-b_{0} c_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{0} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{0}\right)\left(b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}\right)=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 finishes by observing that this identity can be written as a polynomial equation on $\phi_{u}$, and as in the proof of Prop. 3.3, all coefficients of this polynomial must vanish.

Taking into account (40) and 47), we compute the three factors of 49), obtaining

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(b_{2} c_{0}-b_{0} c_{2}\right)= & \left(b_{22} c_{01}+b_{21} c_{02}-b_{01} c_{22}-b_{02} c_{21}\right) X^{1 / 2}  \tag{50}\\
& +\left(b_{21} c_{01} X+b_{22} c_{02}-b_{01} c_{21} X-b_{02} c_{22}\right) \\
= & \kappa_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\kappa_{2} \\
\left(b_{0} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{0}\right)= & \left(b_{01} c_{12}+b_{02} c_{11}-b_{11} c_{02}-b_{12} c_{01}\right) X^{1 / 2}  \tag{51}\\
& +\left(b_{01} c_{11} X+b_{02} c_{12}-b_{11} c_{01} X-b_{12} c_{02}\right) \\
= & \lambda_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\lambda_{2} \\
\left(b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}\right)= & \left(b_{11} c_{22}+b_{12} c_{21}-b_{21} c_{12}-b_{22} c_{11}\right) X^{1 / 2}  \tag{52}\\
& +\left(b_{11} c_{21} X+b_{12} c_{22}-b_{21} c_{11} X-b_{22} c_{12}\right) \\
= & \mu_{1} X^{1 / 2}+\mu_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting all these in (49) we obtain

$$
\left(\kappa_{1}^{2} X+\kappa_{2}^{2}-\lambda_{1} \mu_{1} X-\lambda_{2} \mu_{2}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1} \mu_{2}+\lambda_{2} \mu_{1}-2 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\right) X^{1 / 2}
$$

or taking squares,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\kappa_{1}^{2} X+\kappa_{2}^{2}-\lambda_{1} \mu_{1} X-\lambda_{2} \mu_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\lambda_{1} \mu_{2}+\lambda_{2} \mu_{1}-2 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}\right)^{2} X=0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equation is a polynomial equation with respect to $\phi_{u}$, with coefficients which depend only on $u$. Thus, all coefficients must be zero as, in the proof of Prop. 3.3, we claimed. In order to calculate the coefficients of this equation, at least those ones of higher exponent, we proceed as follows. We set for simplification $z=\phi_{u}$ and we express the terms $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ as polynomials on $z$. By using (35), (36) and (37), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{11} z+\alpha_{13} z^{3}  \tag{54}\\
& \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{20}+\alpha_{22} z^{2}+\alpha_{24} z^{4} \\
& \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{30}+\alpha_{32} z^{2} \\
& \alpha_{4}=\alpha_{41} z+\alpha_{43} z^{3}+\alpha_{45} z^{5}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{11}=6 \tau A^{2}, \quad \alpha_{13}=-12 \tau, \quad \alpha_{20}=-4 A^{3} A^{\prime}, \quad \alpha_{22}=16 A A^{\prime}, \\
& \alpha_{24}=-12 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}, \quad \alpha_{30}=2 \tau A A^{\prime}+2 A^{2} \tau, \quad \alpha_{32}=20 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}+5 \tau^{\prime} \\
& \alpha_{41}=6 \tau^{2} A^{2}-12\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 A^{2}\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-3 A^{4}+\Sigma A^{2} \\
& \alpha_{43}=12\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-9 A^{2}-9 \tau^{2}-\Sigma, \quad \alpha_{45}=12 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\beta_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1}=\beta_{10}+\beta_{12} z^{2}  \tag{55}\\
& \beta_{2}=\beta_{21} z+\beta_{23} z^{3}+\beta_{25} z^{5} \\
& \beta_{3}=\beta_{31} z+\beta_{33} z^{3} \\
& \beta_{4}=\beta_{40}+\beta_{42} z^{2}+\beta_{44} z^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{10}=2 \tau A A^{\prime}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2} A^{2}, \quad \beta_{12}=4 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\tau^{\prime} \\
& \beta_{21}=9 A^{4}-3 A^{2} \tau^{2}+\Sigma A^{2}, \quad \beta_{23}=-\left(33 A^{2}-3 \tau^{2}+\Sigma\right), \quad \beta_{25}=24 \\
& \beta_{31}=\frac{A^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}}{A}+2 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\tau^{\prime \prime}}{2}+4 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+13 A^{2} \tau-3 \tau^{3}+\Sigma \tau \\
& \beta_{33}=16 \tau, \quad \beta_{40}=6 A^{3} A^{\prime}-\frac{\Sigma^{\prime} A^{2}}{2}-\Sigma A A^{\prime}+2 A A^{\prime} \tau^{2}-\frac{A^{2} \tau \tau^{\prime}}{2} \\
& \beta_{42}=10 A A^{\prime}-2 \tau \tau^{\prime}-\frac{7 A^{\prime}}{A} \tau^{2}+\frac{A^{\prime} \Sigma}{A}+\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}, \quad \beta_{44}=-\frac{16 A^{\prime}}{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

And for $\gamma_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1}=\gamma_{10}+\gamma_{12} z^{2}  \tag{56}\\
& \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{21} z+\gamma_{23} z^{3}+\gamma_{25} z^{5} \\
& \gamma_{3}=\gamma_{31} z+\gamma_{33} z^{3} \\
& \gamma_{4}=\gamma_{40}+\gamma_{42} z^{2}+\gamma_{44} z^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{10}=-2 \tau A A^{\prime}+\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2} A^{2}, \quad \gamma_{12}=4 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\tau^{\prime} \\
& \gamma_{21}=3 A^{2} \tau^{2}-A^{4}-\Sigma A^{2}, \quad \gamma_{23}=7 A^{2}+3 \tau^{2}-\Sigma, \quad \gamma_{25}=8 \\
& \gamma_{31}=2 \tau^{3}-6 \tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-\tau\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\tau^{\prime} A^{\prime}}{A}-A^{2} \tau+\frac{\tau^{\prime \prime}}{2} \\
& \gamma_{33}=-8 \tau, \quad \gamma_{40}=10 A^{3} A^{\prime}+\frac{A^{2}}{2}\left(\tau \tau^{\prime}+\Sigma^{\prime}\right)+\left(\Sigma-2 \tau^{2}\right) A A^{\prime} \\
& \gamma_{42}=2 \tau \tau^{\prime}-2 A A^{\prime}-\frac{\Sigma^{\prime}}{2}+\frac{7 A^{\prime}}{A} \tau^{2}-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \Sigma, \quad \gamma_{44}=-\frac{8 A^{\prime}}{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

We return to Eq. (42) by computing the coefficients $P, Q$ and $R$ as polynomials on $z$. From (43), (44) and (45) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}=P_{10}+P_{12} z^{2}, \quad P_{2}=P_{21} z+P_{23} z^{3} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P_{10}=2 \tau A^{2}, P_{12}=4 \tau, P_{21}=-4 A A^{\prime}, P_{23}=4 A^{\prime} / A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=Q_{11} z, \quad Q_{2}=Q_{20} z+Q_{22} z^{2}+Q_{24} z^{4} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Q_{11}=2 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}, Q_{20}=\frac{3}{2} A^{4}-\frac{\Sigma A^{2}}{2}, Q_{22}=\frac{9 A^{2}}{2}-\frac{3 \tau^{2}}{2}+\frac{\Sigma}{2}, Q_{24}=-6$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=R_{11} z, \quad R_{2}=R_{20} z+R_{22} z^{2}+R_{24} z^{4} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{11}=-\left(2 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}\right), \quad R_{20}=\frac{5}{2} A^{4}+\frac{\Sigma A^{2}}{2} \\
R_{22}=-\frac{A^{2}}{2}+\frac{3 \tau^{2}}{2}-\frac{\Sigma}{2}, \quad R_{24}=-2
\end{array}
$$

Now we compute $b_{i j}$ and $c_{i j}$ of (41) and (48) in terms of the variable $z$.

### 4.1. Computation of $b_{i j}$

(i) Computation of $b_{21}$. From (41) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{21}= & Q_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}-\beta_{1}\right)+Q_{1}\left(\alpha_{4}-\beta_{2}\right)-P_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}+\beta_{4}\right)-P_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(Q_{20}+Q_{22} z^{2}+Q_{24} z^{4}\right)\left(\left(\alpha_{30}-\beta_{10}\right)+\left(\alpha_{32}-\beta_{12}\right) z^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+Q_{11} z\left(\left(\alpha_{41}-\beta_{21}\right) z+\left(\alpha_{43}-\beta_{23}\right) z^{3}\right)+\left(\alpha_{45}-\beta_{25}\right) z^{5}\right) \\
& -\left(P_{10}+P_{12} z^{2}\right)\left(\left(\alpha_{20}+\beta_{40}\right)+\left(\alpha_{22}+\beta_{42}\right) z^{2}+\left(\alpha_{24}+\beta_{44}\right) z^{4}\right) \\
& -\left(P_{21} z+P_{23} z^{3}\right)\left(\left(\alpha_{11}+\beta_{31}\right) z+\left(\alpha_{13}+\beta_{33}\right) z^{3}\right) \\
= & Q_{20}\left(\alpha_{30}-\beta_{10}\right)-P_{10}\left(\alpha_{20}+\beta_{40}\right) \\
& +\left(Q_{20}\left(\alpha_{32}-\beta_{12}\right)+Q_{22}\left(\alpha_{30}-\beta_{10}\right)+Q_{11}\left(\alpha_{41}-\beta_{21}\right)-P_{10}\left(\alpha_{22}+\beta_{42}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-P_{12}\left(\alpha_{20}+\beta_{40}\right)-P_{21}\left(\alpha_{11}+\beta_{31}\right)\right) z^{2} \\
& +\left(Q_{22}\left(\alpha_{32}-\beta_{12}\right)+Q_{24}\left(\alpha_{30}-\beta_{10}\right)+Q_{11}\left(\alpha_{43}-\beta_{23}\right)-P_{10}\left(\alpha_{24}+\beta_{44}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-P_{12}\left(\alpha_{22}+\beta_{42}\right)-P_{21}\left(\alpha_{13}+\beta_{33}\right)-P_{23}\left(\alpha_{11}+\beta_{31}\right)\right) z^{4} \\
& +\left(Q_{24}\left(\alpha_{32}-\beta_{12}\right)+Q_{11}\left(\alpha_{45}-\beta_{25}\right)-P_{12}\left(\alpha_{24}+\beta_{44}\right)-P_{23}\left(\alpha_{13}+\beta_{33}\right)\right) z^{6} \\
= & b_{210}+b_{212} z^{2}+b_{214} z^{4}+b_{216} z^{6},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{216}= & Q_{24}\left(\alpha_{32}-\beta_{12}\right)+Q_{11}\left(\alpha_{45}-\beta_{25}\right)-P_{12}\left(\alpha_{24}+\beta_{44}\right)-P_{23}\left(\alpha_{13}+\beta_{33}\right) \\
= & -6\left(20 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}+5 \tau^{\prime}+4 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\tau^{\prime}\right)+\left(2 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}\right)(12-24) \\
& -4 \tau\left(-12 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-16 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)-4 \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}(-12 \tau-16 \tau) \\
= & 56 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-18 \tau^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Computation of $b_{22}$. In a similar way, we find

$$
b_{22}=b_{221} z+b_{223} z^{3}+b_{225} z^{5}+b_{227} z^{7}+b_{229} z^{9}
$$

where

$$
b_{229}=72, \quad b_{227}=-198 A^{2}-28 \tau^{2}-18\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+28\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}
$$

(iii) Computation of $b_{11}$. We have

$$
b_{11}=b_{110}+b_{112} z^{2}+b_{114} z^{4}+b_{116} z^{6}
$$

where

$$
b_{116}=16 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}+20 \tau^{\prime}
$$

(iv) Computation of $b_{12}$. Now

$$
b_{12}=b_{121} z+b_{123} z^{3}+b_{125} z^{5}+b_{127} z^{7}+b_{129} z^{9}
$$

where

$$
b_{129}=144, \quad b_{127}=-228 A^{2}-10 \tau^{2}+20\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+8\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}
$$

(v) Computation of $b_{01}$. Now

$$
b_{01}=b_{010}+b_{012} z^{2}+b_{014} z^{4}+b_{016} z^{6}
$$

where

$$
b_{016}=-72 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-2 \tau^{\prime}
$$

(vi) Computation of $b_{02}$. Finally

$$
b_{02}=b_{021} z+b_{023} z^{3}+b_{025} z^{5}+b_{027} z^{7}+b_{029} z^{9}
$$

where

$$
b_{029}=40, \quad b_{027}=-2\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-36\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+36 \tau^{2}-22 A^{2}
$$

### 4.2. Computation of $c_{i j}$

(i) Computation of $c_{21}$. From (48) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{21}= & 2 P_{1} P_{2}+2 Q_{1} Q_{2}=2\left(P_{10}+P_{12} z^{2}\right)\left(P_{21} z+P_{23} z^{3}\right) \\
& +2 Q_{11} z\left(Q_{20}+Q_{22} z^{2}+Q_{24} z^{4}\right) \\
= & \left(2 P_{10} P_{21}+2 Q_{11} Q_{20}\right) z+\left(2 P_{10} P_{23}+2 P_{12} P_{21}+2 Q_{11} Q_{22}\right) z^{3} \\
& +\left(2 P_{12} P_{23}+2 Q_{11} Q_{24}\right) z^{5} \\
= & c_{211} z+c_{213} z^{3}+c_{215} z^{5},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{215} & =2 P_{12} P_{23}+2 Q_{11} Q_{24}=2 \cdot 4 \tau \cdot \frac{4 A^{\prime}}{A}+2\left(\frac{2 \tau A^{\prime}}{A}-\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{2}\right)(-6) \\
& =\frac{8 \tau A^{\prime}}{A}+6 \tau^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Computation of $c_{22}$. In a similar way we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{22}=c_{220}+c_{222} z^{2}+c_{224} z^{4}+c_{226} z^{6}+c_{228} z^{8}, \\
& c_{228}=36, \quad c_{226}=6\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-4 \tau^{2}-54 A^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Computation of $c_{11}$. We have $c_{11}=c_{111} z+c_{113} z^{3}+c_{115} z^{5}$, where

$$
c_{115}=16 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-4 \tau^{\prime}
$$

(iv) Computation of $c_{12}$. We have

$$
c_{12}=c_{120} z+c_{122} z^{2}+c_{124} z^{4}+c_{126} z^{6}+c_{128} z^{8}
$$

where

$$
c_{128}=24, \quad c_{126}=-4\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+8\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-8 \tau^{2}-12 A^{2}
$$

(v) Computation of $c_{01}$. For $c_{01}$ we have $c_{01}=c_{011} z+c_{013} z^{3}+c_{015} z^{5}$, with

$$
c_{015}=-24 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-2 \tau^{\prime}
$$

(vi) Computation of $c_{02}$. Finally, $c_{02}=c_{020} z+c_{022} z^{2}+c_{024} z^{4}+c_{026} z^{6}+$ $c_{028} z^{8}$, where

$$
c_{028}=4, \quad c_{026}=-2\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-12\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+12 \tau^{2}+2 A^{2}
$$

Once obtained $b_{i j}$ and $c_{i j}$, we utilize them in (50), (51) and (52) to get the values $\kappa_{i}, \lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$ and finally, put all this information in (53). In what follows, we only indicate the powers of $z$ of higher exponents.

1) Computation of $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$. From (50) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{1}= & b_{22} c_{01}+b_{21} c_{02}-b_{01} c_{22}-b_{02} c_{21} \\
= & \left(b_{221} z+b_{223} z^{3}+b_{225} z^{5}+b_{227} z^{7}+b_{229} z^{9}\right)\left(c_{011} z+c_{013} z^{3}+c_{015} z^{5}\right) \\
& +\left(b_{210}+b_{212} z^{2}+b_{214} z^{4}+b_{216} z^{6}\right)\left(c_{020}+c_{022} z^{2}+c_{024} z^{4}+c_{026} z^{6}\right. \\
& \left.+c_{028} z^{8}\right)-\left(b_{010}+b_{012} z^{2}+b_{014} z^{4}+b_{016} z^{6}\right)\left(c_{220}+c_{222} z^{2}+c_{224} z^{4}\right. \\
& \left.+c_{226} z^{6}+c_{228} z^{8}\right)-\left(b_{021} z+b_{023} z^{3}+b_{025} z^{5}+b_{027} z^{7}+b_{029} z^{9}\right)\left(c_{211} z\right. \\
& \left.+c_{213} z^{3}+c_{215} z^{5}\right) \\
= & \cdots+\kappa_{110} z^{10}+\kappa_{112} z^{12}+\kappa_{114} z^{14}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\kappa_{114}=b_{229} c_{015}+b_{216} c_{028}-b_{016} c_{228}-b_{029} c_{215}
$$

Substituting in the last equation the preceding calculations we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{114}=768 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}-384 \tau^{\prime} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way we obtain $\kappa_{2}=\cdots+\kappa_{213} z^{13}+\kappa_{215} z^{15}+\kappa_{217} z^{17}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{215} & =b_{227} c_{028}+b_{229} c_{026}-b_{027} c_{228}-b_{029} c_{226}  \tag{61}\\
& =-384\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+384\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+2304 A^{2}-384 \tau^{2} \\
\kappa_{217} & =b_{229} c_{028}-b_{029} c_{228}=-1152 . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

2) Computation of $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$. We have $\lambda_{1}=\cdots+\lambda_{110} z^{10}+\lambda_{112} z^{12}+$ $\lambda_{114} z^{14}$, with
(63) $\quad \lambda_{114}=b_{016} c_{128}+b_{029} c_{115}-b_{116} c_{028}-b_{129} c_{015}=2304 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}$.

Also we have $\lambda_{2}=\cdots+\lambda_{213} z^{13}+\lambda_{215} z^{15}+\lambda_{217} z^{17}$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{215} & =b_{027} c_{128}+b_{029} c_{126}-b_{127} c_{028}-b_{129} c_{026}  \tag{64}\\
& =1152\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-1144 \tau^{2}-384 A^{2} \\
\lambda_{217} & =b_{029} c_{128}-b_{129} c_{028}=384 . \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

3) Computation of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$. Now $\mu_{1}=\ldots+\mu_{110} z^{10}+\mu_{112} z^{12}+\mu_{114} z^{14}$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{114} & =b_{116} c_{228}+b_{129} c_{215}-b_{216} c_{128}-b_{229} c_{115}  \tag{66}\\
& =-768 \tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}+2304 \tau^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mu_{2}$, we have $\mu_{2}=\cdots+\mu_{213} z^{13}+\mu_{215} z^{15}+\mu_{217} z^{17}$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{215} & =b_{127} c_{228}+b_{129} c_{226}-b_{227} c_{128}-b_{229} c_{126}  \tag{67}\\
& =2304\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-384\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+312 \tau^{2}-10368 A^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{217}=b_{129} c_{228}-b_{229} c_{128}=3456 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three leading terms of Eq. (53) are $z^{68}, z^{66}$ and $z^{64}$ with corresponding coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{68} \rightarrow\left(\kappa_{217}^{2}-\lambda_{217} \mu_{217}\right)^{2} \\
& z^{66} \rightarrow 2\left(\kappa_{217}^{2}-\lambda_{217} \mu_{217}\right)\left(2 \kappa_{215} \kappa_{217}-\lambda_{215} \mu_{217}-\lambda_{217} \mu_{215}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{64} \rightarrow & \left(2 \kappa_{215} \kappa_{217}-\lambda_{215} \mu_{217}-\lambda_{217} \mu_{215}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\lambda_{114} \mu_{217}+\lambda_{217} \mu_{114}-2 \kappa_{114} \kappa_{217}\right)^{2} \\
& +2\left(\kappa_{217}^{2}-\lambda_{217} \mu_{217}\right)\left(-\kappa_{114}^{2}+\lambda_{114} \mu_{114}+\kappa_{115}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \kappa_{213} \kappa_{217}-\lambda_{213} \mu_{217}-\lambda_{217} \mu_{213}-\lambda_{215} \mu_{215}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\kappa_{217}=-1152, \lambda_{217}=384$ and $\mu_{217}=3456$ we have $\kappa_{217}^{2}-$ $\lambda_{217} \mu_{217}=0$, and thus the coefficients of $z^{66}$ and $z^{68}$ are zero trivially. However the coefficient of $z^{64}$ becomes
$\left(2 \kappa_{215} \kappa_{217}-\lambda_{215} \mu_{217}-\lambda_{217} \mu_{215}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{114} \mu_{217}+\lambda_{217} \mu_{114}-2 \kappa_{114} \kappa_{217}\right)^{2}=0$.
So we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \kappa_{215} \kappa_{217}-\lambda_{215} \mu_{217}-\lambda_{217} \mu_{215}=0 \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{114} \mu_{217}+\lambda_{217} \mu_{114}-2 \kappa_{114} \kappa_{217}=0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (60)-(68), Equation (69) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -3^{2} \cdot 2^{8}\left(-384\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}+384\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+2304 A^{2}-384 \tau^{2}\right) \\
& -3^{3} \cdot 2^{7}\left(1152\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-384 A^{2}-1144 \tau^{2}\right) \\
& -3 \cdot 2^{7}\left(2304\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{\prime}-384\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}-10368 A^{2}+312 \tau^{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
-384 \cdot 2^{7} \cdot 3 \cdot 32\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}+3^{2} \cdot 2^{7} \cdot 4096 \tau^{2}=0
$$

Thus

$$
\tau^{2}=\left(\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{2}
$$

In a similar way we obtain from 70

$$
\tau \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}=0
$$

The last two equations yield $\tau=0$, a contradiction, since in this case we have $K=0$, by Prop. 3.2. Hence, we have proved that there are no translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with constant non zero Gaussian curvature and this completes the proof of the Theorem 1.2 .
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