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#### Abstract

We examine the question of convergence of solutions to a geometric flow which was introduced by Guan and Li [6] for starshaped hypersurfaces in space forms and generalized by Guan, Li, and Wang [7 to the case of warped product spaces. We obtain a convergence result under a condition on the optimal modulus of continuity of the initial data. Moreover we show by examples that this condition is optimal at least in the one-dimensional case.


## 1. Introduction and main results

Let $n \geq 1,\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}, g_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}\right)$ be the standard $n$-sphere, $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed interval, and $(N, \bar{g})$ be the warped product of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ and $I$ equipped with

$$
\bar{g}=\phi(\rho)^{2} g_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}+d \rho^{2},
$$

where $\phi: I \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a smooth warping function. We consider the following flow which was introduced by Guan and Li [6] in the case of space forms and generalized by Guan, Li, and Wang [7] to the case of warped product spaces (see also Cant [5] in case $n=1$ ):

$$
\partial_{t} F=\left(n \phi^{\prime}-H u\right) \nu,
$$

where $T \in(0, \infty],(F(\cdot, t))_{t \in[0, T)}$ is a smooth family of embeddings into $N$ which defines smooth hypersurfaces $\left(M_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T)}$ and $H, u, \nu$ are the mean curvature, support function, and outward unit normal vector field, respectively, of the hypersurfaces $\left(M_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T)}$. A crucial property of this flow is that it preserves the volume enclosed by the initial hypersurface while monotonically decreasing the area (see [6, Proposition 3.5]).

Throughout this paper, we assume that the hypersurfaces $\left(M_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T)}$ are starshaped, i.e. for every $t \in[0, T), M_{t}$ is the graph of a function $\rho(\cdot, t)$ :
$\mathbb{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We then obtain (see the formulas in [7, Section 3]) that $\rho$ solves the initial value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} \rho=\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla \rho}{\sqrt{\phi(\rho)^{2}+|\nabla \rho|^{2}}}\right)+n \frac{\phi^{\prime}(\rho)}{\phi(\rho)} \frac{|\nabla \rho|^{2}}{\sqrt{\phi(\rho)^{2}+|\nabla \rho|^{2}}} & \text { in } D_{T}  \tag{1.1}\\ \rho(\cdot, 0)=\rho_{0} & \text { on } \mathbb{S}^{n}\end{cases}
$$

where div $:=\operatorname{div}_{g_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}},|\cdot|=|\cdot|_{g_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}}, D_{T}:=\mathbb{S}^{n} \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\rho_{0}$ is the radial function of $M_{0}$. It follows from classical theory of parabolic equations that for every $\rho_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}, I\right)$, there exists a unique solution $\rho \in C^{\infty}\left(\overline{D_{T}}\right)$ of 1.1) for small $T>0$. Moreover, a straightforward application of the maximum principle gives $\rho\left(D_{T}\right) \subseteq I$.

The following result has been obtained by Guan and Li [6] in the case of space forms and generalized by Guan, Li, and Wang [7] to the case of warped product spaces:

Theorem 1.1. (Guan and Li [6], Guan, Li, and Wang [7]) Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed interval, $\phi \in C^{\infty}(I,(0, \infty))$, and $n \geq 1$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime 2}-\phi \phi^{\prime \prime} \geq 0 \quad \text { in } I \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $\rho_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}, I\right)$, the solution of (1.1) exists for all time and converges exponentially to a constant i.e. $T=\infty$ and there exist $\rho_{\infty} \in I$, $C, \eta>0$ such that $\left|\rho(x, t)-\rho_{\infty}\right| \leq C e^{-\eta t}$ for all $(x, t) \in D_{\infty}$.

This result has been successfully used in [5-7] to solve isoperimetric problems in warped product spaces. As is explained in [7, Proposition 6.1], the condition (1.2) is strongly related to the notion of photon sphere in general relativity.

In this paper, we investigate the case where the condition $(1.2)$ is not satisfied. In this case, we obtain a convergence result under a barrier condition on the optimal modulus of continuity of $\rho_{0}$, namely

$$
\omega_{\rho_{0}}(\theta):=\sup \left\{\left|\rho_{0}(y)-\rho_{0}(x)\right|: x, y \in \mathbb{S}^{n} \text { and } \operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}(x, y)=\theta\right\}
$$

for all $\theta \in[0, \pi]$. Here dist $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ denotes the distance on $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ with respect to the standard metric. We obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.2. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed interval, $\phi \in C^{\infty}(I,(0, \infty))$, and $n \geq$ 1. Then there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that for any $\rho_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}, I\right)$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\rho_{0}}(\theta) \leq \lambda_{0} \theta^{1 / 2} \quad \forall \theta \in[0, \pi] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solution of (1.1) exists for all time and converges exponentially to a constant.

We prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 2 by using an approach based on Kruzhkov's doubling variable technique [8] and inspired by the works of Andrews and Clutterbuck [14]. As in the papers of Cant [5], Guan and Li [6], and Guan, Li, and Wang [7], Theorem 1.2 can be applied to solve isoperimetric problems in the warped product space $(N, \bar{g})$ provided $\phi^{\prime 2}-$ $\phi \phi^{\prime \prime} \leq 1$ in $I$, which is a necessary condition for the isoperimetric inequality (see Li and Wang [9]).

The following result, obtained in case $n=1$, shows the optimality of the exponent $1 / 2$ in (1.3):

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $n=1,0 \in I$, $\phi$ is even, and $\phi^{\prime \prime}(0)>0$. Then for any $\sigma \in(0,1 / 2) \lambda>0$, there exist $\rho_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}, I\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\rho_{0}}(\theta) \leq \lambda \theta^{\sigma} \quad \forall \theta \in[0, \pi] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the solution of (1.1) is such that $\partial_{x} \rho$ blows up in finite time i.e. $\sup _{D_{t}}\left|\partial_{x} \rho\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T$ for some $T \in(0, \infty)$.

We prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 3. As far as the author knows, this is the first existence result of blowing-up solutions for 1.1). The high nonlinearity of the flow makes it difficult to construct examples of blowing-up solutions. Here, the solutions that we construct are periodic, with a large number of oscillations. Our existence result relies on the construction of a suitable family of barrier functions on a small arc of $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ with zero boundary condition. We then exploit the symmetry of the warping function to extend our solutions to the whole $\mathbb{S}^{1}$.
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## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. As in the paper of Guan and Li [6], it will be convenient to use the change of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\Gamma(\rho):=\int_{\bar{\rho}}^{\rho} \frac{d s}{\phi(s)} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi:=\phi \circ \Gamma^{-1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\rho} \in I$ is fixed. By differentiating, we obtain $\nabla \rho=\psi(\gamma) \nabla \gamma$ and $\phi^{\prime}(\rho)=$ $\psi^{\prime}(\gamma) / \psi(\gamma)$. Hence the problem (1.1) becomes

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} \gamma=\frac{1}{\psi(\gamma)} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla \gamma}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla \gamma|^{2}}}\right)+n \frac{\psi^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\psi(\gamma)^{2}} \frac{|\nabla \gamma|^{2}}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla \gamma|^{2}}} & \text { in } D_{T}  \tag{2.2}\\ \gamma(\cdot, 0)=\gamma_{0} & \text { on } \mathbb{S}^{n}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{0}:=\int_{\bar{\rho}}^{\rho_{0}} \frac{d s}{\phi(s)}
$$

A straightforward application of the maximum principle gives $\gamma\left(D_{T}\right) \subseteq$ $\gamma_{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ i.e.

$$
\min _{\mathbb{S}^{n}} \gamma_{0} \leq \gamma(x, t) \leq \max _{\mathbb{S}^{n}} \gamma_{0} \quad \forall(x, t) \in D_{T}
$$

We assume that $\rho_{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \subseteq I$ and we let $\lambda>0$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\rho_{0}}(\theta) \leq \lambda \sqrt{\theta} \quad \forall \theta \in[0, \pi] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}, I\right)$, we obtain that there exists $\Lambda>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\rho_{0}}(\theta) \leq \Lambda \theta \quad \forall \theta \in[0, \pi] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $\delta \in\left(0, \lambda^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)$, an easy study of functions gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \theta \leq 2 \lambda(\sqrt{\delta+\theta}-\sqrt{\delta}) \quad \forall \theta \in\left(0, \frac{4 \lambda}{\Lambda^{2}}(\lambda-\Lambda \sqrt{\delta})\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\theta} \leq 2(\sqrt{\delta+\theta}-\sqrt{\delta}) \quad \forall \theta>\frac{16}{9} \delta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $2.3-2.6$ that we can choose $\delta \in(0,1)$ small enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\rho_{0}}(\theta) \leq 2 \lambda(\sqrt{\delta+\theta}-\sqrt{\delta}) \quad \forall \theta \in[0, \pi] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the mean value theorem, it follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\gamma_{0}}(\theta) \leq 2 \bar{\lambda}(\sqrt{\delta+\theta}-\sqrt{\delta}) \quad \forall \theta \in[0, \pi] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{\lambda}:=\lambda \sup _{I} \frac{1}{\phi}
$$

We will show that if $\lambda$ is smaller than a constant $\lambda_{0}$ depending only on $I, \phi$, and $n$, then $|\nabla \gamma|$ is bounded above by an exponentially decaying function. We will use an approach based on Kruzhkov's doubling variable technique [8]. This approach was successfully used in the works of Andrews and Clutterbuck [1-4] to obtain sharp estimates on the gradient and modulus of continuity of solutions to quasilinear parabolic equations. We fix $\eta>0$ and we define

$$
\kappa(\theta, t):=2 \bar{\lambda}(\sqrt{\delta+\theta}-\sqrt{\delta}) e^{-\eta t} \quad \forall(\theta, t) \in[0, \pi] \times[0, T]
$$

where $\delta$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are as above. We then define

$$
Z(x, y, t):=\gamma(y, t)-\gamma(x, t)-\kappa(d(x, y), t) \quad \forall(x, y, t) \in U_{T}
$$

where $U_{T}:=\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)^{2} \times[0, T]$. It follows from 2.8) that $Z(x, y, 0) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$. In what follows, we will show that if $\eta$ and $\lambda$ are small enough, then $Z(x, y, t) \leq 0$ for all $(x, y, t) \in U_{T}$. We assume by contradiction that $Z$ is not everywhere nonpositive in $U_{T}$. Then we obtain that for small $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\left(x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \in U_{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)=\max \left(\left\{Z(x, y, t): x, y \in \mathbb{S}^{n} \text { and } t \leq t_{\varepsilon}\right\}\right)=\varepsilon \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $\theta_{\varepsilon}:=d\left(x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon}\right)$.
As a first step, we obtain the following result:
Step 2.1. $\varepsilon=o\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
Proof of Step 2.1. Assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\varepsilon_{\alpha}>0, \theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}=\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon_{\alpha}\right)$, and $\varepsilon_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Since
$\kappa\left(0, t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right)=0$, by applying the mean value theorem, we obtain that there exist $\zeta_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha} \in\left(0, \theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}, t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right)=\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\zeta_{\alpha}, t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right) \theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}, t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right)-\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}, t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right)=\left\langle\nabla_{x} \gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\left(\xi_{\alpha}\right), t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right), \tau_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{\alpha}\right)\right\rangle \theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}:\left[0, \theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n}$ is a minimizing geodesic from $x_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}$ to $y_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}$. It follows from (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that $\theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}{\theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}} \leq\left|\nabla_{x} \gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\left(\xi_{\alpha}\right), t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right)\right|-\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\zeta_{\alpha}, t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing, we obtain $t_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} \rightarrow t_{0}$ for some $t_{0} \geq 0$. Since $\theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} \rightarrow$ 0 , we obtain $\zeta_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover up to a subsequence $x_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}, y_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} \rightarrow x_{0} \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$. By passing to the limit into (2.12), we then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}{\theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}} \leq\left|\nabla_{x} \gamma\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right|-\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(0, t_{0}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by passing to the limit into (2.9), first as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then as $x, y \rightarrow x_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{x} \gamma\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right| \leq \partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(0, t_{0}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By putting together (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain a contradiction with $\theta_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}=$ $\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon_{\alpha}\right)$. This ends the proof of Step 2.1 .

We then prove the following result:
Step 2.2. $\theta_{\varepsilon}<\pi$.

Proof of Step 2.2. Assume by contradiction that $\theta_{\varepsilon}=\pi$. Then it follows from (2.9) that

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \theta}\left[Z\left(x_{\varepsilon}, \exp _{x_{\varepsilon}}(\theta v), t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\right|_{\theta=\pi}=\left\langle\nabla_{x} \gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right), \nu_{\varepsilon}(v)\right\rangle-\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\pi, t_{\varepsilon}\right)=0
$$

for all $v \in T_{x_{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{S}^{n}$ such that $|v|=1$, where $\nu_{\varepsilon}(v)=\left.\frac{d}{d \theta} \exp _{x_{\varepsilon}}(\theta v)\right|_{\theta=\pi}$. By observing that $\nu_{\varepsilon}(-v)=-\nu_{\varepsilon}(v)$, we then obtain a contradiction with $\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\pi, t_{\varepsilon}\right)>0$. This ends the proof of Step 2.2.

Remark that it follows from Steps 2.1 and 2.2 that for small $\varepsilon$, the function $Z$ is differentiable in a neighborhood of the point $\left(x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)$.

Our next result is as follows:
Step 2.3. There exists a constant $\Lambda_{0}=\Lambda_{0}(I, \phi, n)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq & \frac{\Lambda_{0}^{-1} \partial_{\theta}^{2} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\left(1+\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}  \tag{2.15}\\
& +\frac{\Lambda_{0}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)+\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\left(1+\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{align*}
$$

for small $\varepsilon>0$.
Proof of Step 2.3. We let $\tau_{\varepsilon}:\left[0, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n}$ be a minimizing geodesic from $x_{\varepsilon}$ to $y_{\varepsilon}$. It follows from (2.9) that

$$
\nabla_{x} Z\left(x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)=0, \quad \nabla_{y} Z\left(x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)=0, \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{t} Z\left(x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq 0
$$

which give

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{x} \gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)=\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)  \tag{2.16}\\
\nabla_{x} \gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)=\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
\partial_{t} \gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\partial_{t} \gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \partial_{t} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using (2.2) and (2.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{A_{\varepsilon}}{\left(1+\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{B_{\varepsilon}+\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} C_{\varepsilon}}{\left(1+\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\varepsilon}:= & \frac{\left[\nabla_{x}^{2} \gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right), \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}-\frac{\left[\nabla_{x}^{2} \gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0), \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)\right)}{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)} \\
B_{\varepsilon}:= & \frac{\Delta_{x} \gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\left[\nabla_{x}^{2} \gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right), \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)} \\
& -\frac{\Delta_{x} \gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\left[\nabla_{x}^{2} \gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0), \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)\right)}{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
C_{\varepsilon}:=n\left(\frac{\psi^{\prime}\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{2}}-\frac{\psi^{\prime}\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{2}}\right) .
$$

Since $\kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right), \partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)>0$ and $\partial_{\theta}^{2} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)<0$, in order to obtain 2.15, it remains to prove that there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}>0$ depending only on $I, \phi$, and $n$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{\varepsilon} \leq c_{1} \partial_{\theta}^{2} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{2.18}\\
B_{\varepsilon} \leq c_{2} \theta_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} \partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
C_{\varepsilon} \leq c_{3} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for small $\varepsilon$. We begin with proving the last estimate in 2.18). Remark that by using Step 2.1, we obtain

$$
\frac{\kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}} \partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(s, t_{\varepsilon}\right) d s=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\bar{\lambda} e^{-\eta t_{\varepsilon}}}{\sqrt{\delta+s}} d s \geq \frac{\bar{\lambda} e^{-\eta t_{\varepsilon}} \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\delta+\theta_{\varepsilon}}} \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which, together with (2.9), implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 2 \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\varepsilon$. Since $\gamma\left(D_{T}\right) \subseteq \gamma_{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ and $\rho_{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \subseteq I$, by applying the mean value theorem together with 2.19 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\varepsilon} \leq 2 n \sup _{\gamma_{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)}\left(\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}}\right)^{\prime} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 2 n \sup _{I}\left(\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\phi}\right)^{\prime} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\varepsilon$ which gives the last estimate in (2.18). Now we prove the first two estimates in 2.18 ). We let $\left(v_{\varepsilon, 1}(0), \ldots, v_{\varepsilon, n}(0)\right)$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{x_{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{S}^{n}$ such that $v_{\varepsilon, n}(0)=\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)$. For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we let $\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}$ be a smooth function on $\left[0, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(0)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{\delta_{i}}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\delta_{i}:=1$ in case $i \neq n$ and $\delta_{i}:=-1$ in case $i=n$. For any $r \geq 0$ and $\theta \in\left[0, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right]$, we define

$$
\tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, \theta):=\exp _{\tau_{\varepsilon}(\theta)}\left(r \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta) v_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta)\right),
$$

where $v_{\varepsilon, i}:\left[0, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right] \rightarrow T \mathbb{S}^{n}$ is the parallel transport of $v_{\varepsilon, i}(0)$ along $\tau_{\varepsilon}$. By using (2.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varepsilon}=\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}\left[\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, n}\left(r, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right), t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, n}(r, 0), t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\right|_{r=0} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\varepsilon}=\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}\left[\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, i}\left(r, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right), t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, 0), t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\right|_{r=0} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, since $\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\cdot, t_{\varepsilon}\right)>0$ on $(0, \pi)$, it follows from 2.9) that

$$
\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, i}\left(r, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right), t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, 0), t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\varepsilon \leq \kappa\left(d\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, 0), \tau_{\varepsilon, i}\left(r, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right), t_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \kappa\left(\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left|\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, \theta)\right| d \theta, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ for small $r \geq 0$ with equality in case $r=0$. Moreover, by using (2.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d r} & {\left.\left[\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, i}\left(r, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right), t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, 0), t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\right|_{r=0} }  \tag{2.25}\\
& =\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\left\langle v_{\varepsilon, n}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right), v_{\varepsilon, i}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\rangle-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(0)\left\langle v_{\varepsilon, n}(0), v_{\varepsilon, i}(0)\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left\langle v_{\varepsilon, n}(\theta), \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}(\theta) v_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta)\right\rangle d \theta \\
& =\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left\langle\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(0, \theta), \partial_{r} \partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(0, \theta)\right\rangle d \theta \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d r}\left[\kappa\left(\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left|\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, \theta)\right| d \theta, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\right|_{r=0}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from 2.24 and 2.25 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}\left[\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, i}\left(r, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right), t_{\varepsilon}\right)-\gamma\left(\tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, 0), t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\right|_{r=0} \\
& \quad \leq\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}\left[\kappa\left(\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left|\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, \theta)\right| d \theta, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\right|_{r=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \partial_{\theta}^{2} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\left.\frac{d}{d r}\left[\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left|\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, \theta)\right| d \theta\right]\right|_{r=0}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left.\partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left|\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, \theta)\right| d \theta\right]\right|_{r=0} \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

By proceeding as in (2.25) and using (2.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d r} & {\left.\left[\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left|\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, \theta)\right| d \theta\right]\right|_{r=0} } \\
& =\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\left\langle v_{\varepsilon, n}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right), v_{\varepsilon, i}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\rangle-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(0)\left\langle v_{\varepsilon, n}(0), v_{\varepsilon, i}(0)\right\rangle \\
& = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i \neq n \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}} & \text { if } i=n .\end{cases} \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, since $\gamma\left(D_{T}\right) \subseteq \gamma_{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ and $\rho_{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \subseteq I$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}} \geq 2 \inf _{\gamma_{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi}} \geq 2 \inf _{I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi}} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating twice, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}[ & \left.\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left|\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, \theta)\right| d \theta\right]\left.\right|_{r=0}=\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left(| \partial _ { \theta } \tau _ { \varepsilon , i } ( 0 , \theta ) | ^ { - 1 } \left(\left|\partial_{r} \partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(0, \theta)\right|^{2}\right.\right.  \tag{2.29}\\
& \left.+\left\langle\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(0, \theta), \partial_{r}^{2} \partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(0, \theta)\right\rangle\right) \\
& \left.-\left|\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(0, \theta)\right|^{-3}\left\langle\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(0, \theta), \partial_{r} \partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(0, \theta)\right\rangle^{2}\right) d \theta \\
= & \int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left(| v _ { \varepsilon , n } ( \theta ) | ^ { - 1 } \left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}(\theta)^{2}\left|v_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta)\right|^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle v_{\varepsilon, n}(\theta), R\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta) v_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta), v_{\varepsilon, n}(\theta)\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta) v_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta)\right\rangle\right) \\
& \left.-\left|v_{\varepsilon, n}(\theta)\right|^{-3}\left\langle v_{\varepsilon, n}(\theta), \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}(\theta) v_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta)\right\rangle^{2}\right) d \theta \\
= & \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}(\theta)^{2}-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta)^{2}\right) d \theta & \text { if } i \neq n \\
0 & \text { if } i=n,\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature tensor of $\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}, g_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}\right)$. Since $\partial_{\theta}^{2} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 0$, the first estimate in (2.18) follows from (2.22) and $2.26-(2.29)$. Now, we prove the
second estimate in 2.18). In case $i \neq n$, by integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}(\theta)^{2}-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta)^{2}\right) d \theta & =\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(0) \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}(0)  \tag{2.30}\\
& -\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime \prime}(\theta)+\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta)\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta) d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

By using 2.30 with the function $\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}$ defined as

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta):=\frac{1}{\sin \left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left(\frac{\sin \left(\theta_{\varepsilon}-\theta\right)}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}+\frac{\sin (\theta)}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}\right) \quad \forall \theta \in\left[0, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right]
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}} & \left(\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}(\theta)^{2}-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(\theta)^{2}\right) d \theta=\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)-\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}(0) \varphi_{\varepsilon, i}^{\prime}(0) \\
= & \frac{1}{\sin \left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}+\frac{1}{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}\right) \cos \left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}\right] \\
= & \frac{\cos \left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\sin \left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{2 \tan \left(\theta_{\varepsilon} / 2\right)}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}\right)^{2} \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

By proceeding as in 2.20, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi\left(\gamma\left(y_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}}\right| \leq \sup _{I}\left(\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\phi}}\right) \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\varepsilon$. By using 2.29, 2.31, and 2.32, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{\theta_{\varepsilon}}\left|\partial_{\theta} \tau_{\varepsilon, i}(r, \theta)\right| d \theta\right]\right|_{r=0} \leq \sup _{I}\left(\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\phi}}\right)^{2} \frac{\kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}{\theta_{\varepsilon}} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\varepsilon$. The second estimate in (2.18) then follows from 2.23, 2.26, (2.27), and 2.33). This ends the proof of Step 2.3 .

We can now end the proof of Theorem 1.2 .

End of proof of Theorem 1.2. By applying Step 2.3 and observing that $\kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 2 \theta_{\varepsilon} \partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $\kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{\theta} \kappa\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 2 \bar{\lambda}^{2} e^{-2 \eta t_{\varepsilon}}$, we obtain (2.34)

$$
-2 \eta\left(\sqrt{\delta+\theta_{\varepsilon}}-\sqrt{\delta}\right) \leq \frac{-\Lambda_{0}^{-1}}{2\left(\delta+\theta_{\varepsilon}+\bar{\lambda}^{2} e^{-2 \eta t_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{6 \Lambda_{0} \bar{\lambda}^{2} e^{-2 \eta t_{\varepsilon}}}{\left(\delta+\theta_{\varepsilon}+\bar{\lambda}^{2} e^{-2 \eta t_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

Since $\delta<1, \theta_{\varepsilon}<\pi$, and $e^{-2 \eta t_{\varepsilon}} \leq 1$, it follows from (2.34) that

$$
1 \leq 4 \Lambda_{0}\left(1+\pi+\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right)\left(\eta \sqrt{(1+\pi)\left(1+\pi+\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right)}+3 \Lambda_{0} \bar{\lambda}^{2}\right)
$$

which gives a contradiction when $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\eta$ are smaller than some constants depending only on $I, \phi$, and $n$. This proves that for such values of $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\eta$, we have $Z \leq 0$ in $U_{T}$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\mathbb{S}^{n}}|\nabla \gamma(\cdot, t)| \leq \bar{\lambda} \delta^{-1 / 2} e^{-\eta t} \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\nabla \rho|=\phi(\rho)|\nabla \gamma|$, it follows from (2.35) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\mathbb{S}^{n}}|\nabla \rho(\cdot, t)| \leq \sup _{I}(\phi) \bar{\lambda} \delta^{-1 / 2} e^{-\eta t} \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows from classical theory of parabolic equations that $\rho(\cdot, t)$ exists for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, it follows from (2.36) that $\rho(\cdot, t)$ converges exponentially to a constant. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.2 .

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. We first prove the following result:

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $n=1,0 \in I$, $\phi$ is even, and $\phi^{\prime \prime}(0)>0$. Let $\psi$ and $\Gamma$ be as in 2.1) with $\bar{\rho}=0, J:=\Gamma(I)$, and for any $\tau>0$ and $k \in$ $\mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}, D_{1, k, \tau}:=[0, \pi /(2 k)) \cup(\pi /(2 k), \pi / k] \times[0, \tau)$ and $D_{2, k, \tau}:=[0, \pi / k] \times$ $[0, \tau)$. Then for any $\sigma \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $\mu>0$, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for any $\tau>0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k^{2} \tau<\varepsilon_{0}$ and $k>1 / \varepsilon_{0}$, there exist $\zeta_{1} \in$
$C^{\infty}\left(D_{1, k, \tau}, J\right) \cap C^{0}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}, J\right)$ and $\zeta_{2} \in C^{\infty}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}, J\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \zeta_{i} \leq \frac{1}{\psi\left(\zeta_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial_{\theta}^{2} \zeta_{i}}{\left(1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{\psi^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)}{\psi\left(\zeta_{i}\right)^{2}} \frac{\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{i}\right)^{2}}} \quad \text { in } D_{i, k, \tau} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$ and the function $\zeta:=\max \left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)$ is such that
(A1) $\zeta_{1}(\pi /(2 k), t)<\zeta_{2}(\pi /(2 k), t)(=\zeta(\pi /(2 k), t)) \quad \forall t \in[0, \tau)$,
(A2) $\left|\zeta(\theta, 0)-\zeta\left(\theta^{\prime}, 0\right)\right|<\mu\left|\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right|^{\sigma} \quad \forall \theta, \theta^{\prime} \in[0, \pi / k]$,
$(\mathrm{A} 3) \zeta(0, t)=\zeta(\pi / k, t)=0 \quad \forall t \in[0, \tau)$,
(A4) $\partial_{\theta} \zeta(0, t) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\partial_{\theta} \zeta(\pi / k, t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \tau$.
Proof of Lemma 3.1. We fix $p \in(2 /(1-\sigma), 4)$. We let $\tau>0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ to be chosen later on so that $k$ is large and $k^{2} \tau$ is small. For any $(\theta, t) \in$ $D_{2, k, \tau}$, we define

$$
\zeta_{1}(\theta, t):=\min \left(\frac{c_{1} \theta}{\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{1 / p}}, \frac{c_{1}(\pi / k-\theta)}{\left[(\tau-t)^{p}+(\pi / k-\theta)^{2}\right]^{1 / p}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\zeta_{2}(\theta, t):=c_{1} A_{k}\left(\sin (k \theta)-c_{2} k^{2} t\right)
$$

where $A_{k}:=2^{2 / p}(\pi / k)^{1-2 / p}$ and $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are positive constants independent of $\theta, t, k$, and $\tau$ to be fixed later on. Note that $1-2 / p>\sigma$. It is easy to check that $\zeta_{1} \in C^{\infty}\left(D_{1, k, \tau}, J\right) \cap C^{0}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}, J\right), \zeta_{2} \in C^{\infty}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}, J\right)$, and (A2)-(A4) hold true for small $\tau$ and large $k$. If moreover $k^{2} \tau$ is small, then we obtain that (A1) holds true. It remains to prove that (3.1) holds true. Since $\phi$ is even and $\phi^{\prime \prime}(0)>0$, we obtain that $\psi$ is also even and $\psi^{\prime \prime}(0)>0$. By applying the mean value theorem and since $\psi^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $\zeta_{1}(\theta, t) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\psi^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)}{\psi\left(\zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}} \geq \inf _{\zeta_{1}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)}\left(\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}}\right)^{\prime} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(\theta, t) \in D_{2, k, \tau}$. Moreover, direct calculations give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t)=\frac{c_{1} \theta(\tau-t)^{p-1}}{\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{1+1 / p}} \leq \frac{c_{1} \theta}{\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{2 / p}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial_{\theta}^{2} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t)}{(1+}\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} \\
& \quad=-\frac{2 c_{1} \theta\left(3(\tau-t)^{p}+(1-2 / p) \theta^{2}\right)\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{1+2 / p}}{p\left(\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{2+2 / p}+c_{1}^{2}\left((\tau-t)^{p}+(1-2 / p) \theta^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& \quad \geq-\frac{6 \theta}{c_{1}^{2} p(1-2 / p)^{3}\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{1-2 / p}} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}}} \\
& \quad=\frac{c_{1}^{3} \theta\left((\tau-t)^{p}+(1-2 / p) \theta^{2}\right)^{2}\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{-1-2 / p}}{\left(\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{2+2 / p}+c_{1}^{2}\left((\tau-t)^{p}+(1-2 / p) \theta^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{c_{1}^{3}(1-2 / p)^{2} \theta}{\sqrt{\left(\tau^{p}+(\pi /(2 k))^{2}\right)^{2 / p}+c_{1}^{2}}\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{2 / p}} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $(\theta, t) \in[0, \pi /(2 k)) \times[0, \tau)$. Since $p<4$, it follows from (3.2)-3.5) that
(3.6) $\frac{1}{\psi\left(\zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)} \frac{\partial_{\theta}^{2} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t)}{\left(1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{\psi^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)}{\psi\left(\zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}} \frac{\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}}}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\partial_{t} \zeta_{1}(\theta, t) \geq \frac{\theta}{\left((\tau-t)^{p}+\theta^{2}\right)^{2 / p}}\left(-\frac{6\left(\tau^{2}+(\pi /(2 k))^{2}\right)^{-1+4 / p}}{c_{1}^{2} p(1-2 / p)^{3}} \sup _{\zeta_{1}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)} \frac{1}{\psi}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{c_{1}^{3}(1-2 / p)^{2}}{\sqrt{\left(\tau^{p}+(\pi /(2 k))^{2}\right)^{2 / p}+c_{1}^{2}}} \inf _{\zeta_{1}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)}\left(\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}}\right)^{\prime}-c_{1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

for all $(\theta, t) \in[0, \pi /(2 k)) \times[0, \tau)$ provided

$$
\inf _{\zeta_{1}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)}\left(\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

Moreover, direct calculations give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)=\left[0, c_{1}(\pi / k)^{1-2 / p}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2<p<4$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{2}{p}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad-1+\frac{4}{p}>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi^{\prime}(0)=0$, and $\psi^{\prime \prime}(0)>0$, it follows from (3.6)-(3.8) that (3.1) holds true for $i=1$ for small $\tau$ and large $k$ provided the constant $c_{1}$ is chosen large enough so that $c_{1}>(1-2 / p)^{-2} \psi(0)^{2} / \psi^{\prime \prime}(0)$. With regard to the function $\zeta_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t) & =-c_{1} c_{2} k^{2} A_{k}  \tag{3.9}\\
\frac{\partial_{\theta}^{2} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t)}{\left(1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} & =-\frac{c_{1} k^{2} A_{k} \sin (k \theta)}{\left(1+c_{1}^{2} k^{2} A_{k}^{2} \cos (k \theta)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& \geq-c_{1} k^{2} A_{k} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}}}=\frac{c_{1}^{2} k^{2} A_{k}^{2} \cos (k \theta)^{2}}{\sqrt{1+c_{1}^{2} k^{2} A_{k}^{2} \cos (k \theta)^{2}}} \in\left[0, c_{1}^{2} k^{2} A_{k}^{2}\right] \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(\theta, t) \in D_{2, k, \tau}$. It follows from (3.9)-(3.11) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\psi\left(\zeta_{2}(\theta, t)\right)} \frac{\partial_{\theta}^{2} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t)}{\left(1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{\psi^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{2}(\theta, t)\right)}{\psi\left(\zeta_{2}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}} \frac{\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t)\right)^{2}}}  \tag{3.12}\\
& -\partial_{t} \zeta_{2}(\theta, t) \geq c_{1} k^{2} A_{k}\left(-\sup _{\zeta_{2}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)} \frac{1}{\psi}+A_{k} c_{1} \min \left(\inf _{\zeta_{2}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)} \frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}}, 0\right)+c_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $(\theta, t) \in D_{2, k, \tau}$. Moreover, direct calculations give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{2}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)=\left(-c_{1} c_{2} A_{k} k^{2} \tau, c_{1} A_{k}\right] \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.8) and (3.13) that for every $\varepsilon>0$, if $k^{2} \tau<\varepsilon$ and $k>1 / \varepsilon$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{2}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right) \subset\left(-2^{2 / p} \pi^{1-2 / p} \varepsilon^{2-2 / p} c_{1} c_{2}, 2^{2 / p} \pi^{1-2 / p} \varepsilon^{1-2 / p} c_{1}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By continuity of $1 / \psi$ and $\psi^{\prime} / \psi^{2}$ and since $\psi(0)>0, A_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon^{1-2 / p} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it follows from (3.12) and (3.14) that if the constant
$c_{2}$ is chosen so that $c_{2}>1 / \psi(0)$, then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sup _{\zeta_{2}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)} \frac{1}{\psi}+A_{k} c_{1} \min \left(\inf _{\zeta_{2}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)} \frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}}, 0\right)+c_{2}>0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\tau>0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k^{2} \tau<\varepsilon_{0}$ and $k>1 / \varepsilon_{0}$. By putting together (3.12) and (3.15), we obtain that (3.1) holds true with $i=2$. This ends the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Now we can prove Theorem 1.3.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. We fix $\sigma<1 / 2, \lambda>0$, and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu:=\lambda \inf _{I} \frac{1}{\phi} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let $\psi$ and $\Gamma$ be as in 2.1 and $J, \tau, k, D_{1, k, \tau}, D_{2, k, \tau}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}$, and $\zeta$ be as in Lemma 3.1. By using (A1)-(A3) and since $\zeta_{1} \in C^{\infty}\left(D_{1, k, \tau}, J\right) \cap$ $C^{0}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}, J\right)$ and $\zeta_{2} \in C^{\infty}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}, J\right)$, we obtain that there exists $\varepsilon_{0}, a_{0}, b_{0} \in$ $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}<\min \left(\frac{a_{0} \pi}{2 k},\left(\mu-\varepsilon_{0}\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{2 k}\right)^{\sigma}, \sup J\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(\theta, 0)<\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in(0, \pi / k) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}(\theta):= \begin{cases}\min \left(a_{0} \theta,\left(\mu-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \theta^{\sigma}, b_{0}\right) & \text { if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2 k} \\ \widetilde{\gamma}_{0}\left(\frac{\pi}{k}-\theta\right) & \text { if } \frac{\pi}{2 k}<\theta \leq \frac{\pi}{k}\end{cases}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $\theta \in[0, \pi / k]$, we then define

$$
\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}(\theta):= \begin{cases}f_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{0} \theta, f_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(\mu-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \theta^{\sigma}, b_{0}\right)\right) & \text { if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2 k} \\ \widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}\left(\frac{\pi}{k}-\theta\right) & \text { if } \frac{\pi}{2 k}<\theta \leq \frac{\pi}{k}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
f_{\varepsilon}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right):=\frac{1}{2}\left[\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}-\varepsilon \eta\left(\frac{\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \quad \forall \xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a smooth, even cutoff function such that $\eta(\theta)=\theta$ for all $\theta \in[1, \infty)$ and $\eta^{\prime}(\theta)>0$ for all $\theta \in(0,1)$. By using (3.18), it is easy to
see that for small $\varepsilon, \widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)} \in C^{\infty}([0, \pi / k], J)$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\gamma}_{0}$ in $C^{0,1}([0, \pi / k])$. Hence, by using (3.17) and remarking that $\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}(\theta)=\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}(\theta)=a_{0} \theta$ for small $\theta$, we obtain that for small $\varepsilon, \widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}$ is such that
(B1) $\zeta(\theta, 0) \leq \widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in[0, \pi / k]$,
(B2) $\left|\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}(\theta)-\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right|<\mu\left|\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right|^{\sigma} \quad \forall \theta, \theta^{\prime} \in[0, \pi / k]$,
(B3) $\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}(0)=\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}(\pi / k)=\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon) \prime \prime}(0)=\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon) \prime \prime}(\pi / k)=0$.
In what follows, we fix $\varepsilon$ small enough so that (B1)-(B3) hold true. Since $\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)} \in C^{\infty}([0, \pi / k], J)$, the classical theory of parabolic equations (see for instance Lieberman [10, Theorem 8.2]) gives the existence of a solution $\widetilde{\gamma} \in$ $C^{\infty}([0, \pi / k] \times[0, T))$ of the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} \widetilde{\gamma}=\frac{1}{\psi(\widetilde{\gamma})} \frac{\partial_{\theta}^{2} \widetilde{\gamma}}{\left(1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \widetilde{\gamma}\right)^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{\psi^{\prime}(\widetilde{\gamma})}{\psi(\widetilde{\gamma})^{2}} \frac{\left(\partial_{\theta} \widetilde{\gamma}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \widetilde{\gamma}\right)^{2}}} \text { in }[0, \pi / k] \times[0, T)  \tag{3.19}\\ \widetilde{\gamma}(\cdot, 0)=\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)} & \text { on }[0, \pi / k] \\ \widetilde{\gamma}(0, \cdot)=\widetilde{\gamma}(\pi / k, \cdot)=0 & \text { on }[0, T)\end{cases}
$$

where $T \in(0, \infty]$ is the maximal existence time for $\widetilde{\gamma}$. Moreover, since $\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}^{(\varepsilon)}([0, \pi / k]) \subseteq J$, it follows from the maximum principle that

$$
\widetilde{\gamma}([0, \pi / k] \times[0, T)) \subseteq J
$$

By using (A1) and (3.1) and integrating by parts, we obtain that $\zeta$ is a weak subsolution of the equation in (3.19), i.e.

$$
\int_{0}^{\tau^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{\pi / k}\left(\eta \partial_{t} \zeta+\frac{1}{\psi(\zeta)} \frac{\partial_{\theta} \zeta \partial_{\theta} \eta}{\sqrt{1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta\right)^{2}}}-2 \frac{\psi^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\psi(\zeta)^{2}} \frac{\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta\right)^{2} \eta}{\sqrt{1+\left(\partial_{\theta} \zeta\right)^{2}}}\right) d \theta d t \leq 0
$$

for all $\tau^{\prime} \in(0, \tau)$ and $\eta \in C^{1}\left(D_{2, k, \tau}\right)$ such that $\eta \geq 0$ in $D_{2, k, \tau}$ and $\eta(0, \cdot)=$ $\eta(\pi / k, \cdot)=0$ on $[0, \tau)$. We define $\omega:=\zeta-\widetilde{\gamma}$. It follows from (A3), (B1), and (3.19) that $\omega \leq 0$ on $\{0, \pi / k\} \times[0, \min (T, \tau))$ and $[0, \pi / k] \times\{0\}$. By applying the mean value theorem, we obtain that for any $\tau^{\prime} \in(0, \min (T, \tau))$, there exist $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in L^{\infty}\left(D_{2, k, \tau^{\prime}}\right)$ such that $\inf \left\{a_{1}(\theta, t):(\theta, t) \in D_{2, k, \tau^{\prime}}\right\}>0$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\tau^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{\pi / k}\left(\eta \partial_{t} \omega+\left(a_{1} \partial_{\theta} \omega+a_{2} \omega\right) \partial_{\theta} \eta+\left(b_{1} \partial_{\theta} \omega+b_{2} \omega\right) \eta\right) d \theta d t \leq 0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\eta \in C^{1}\left(D_{2, k, \tau^{\prime}}\right)$ such that $\eta \geq 0$ in $D_{2, k, \tau^{\prime}}$ and $\eta(0, \cdot)=\eta(\pi / k, \cdot)=0$ on $\left[0, \tau^{\prime}\right)$. By applying a weak comparison principle (see for instance Lieberman [10, Corollary 6.16]), it follows from (3.20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(\theta, t) \leq \widetilde{\gamma}(\theta, t) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(\theta, t) \in D_{2, k, \min (T, \tau)}$. It follows from (A3), (A4), and (3.21) that $T \leq \tau$. Note that by using similar arguments as in (3.20)-(3.21), we obtain that $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is the unique solution of (3.19). It then follows from classical theory of parabolic equations that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T} \sup _{D_{2, k, t}}\left|\partial_{\theta} \widetilde{\gamma}\right|=\infty \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if (3.22) is not true, then $T=\infty$ (see for instance Lieberman [10, Theorems 8.3 and 12.1]), which is in contradiction with $T \leq \tau$. We let $\gamma$ : $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined as

$$
\gamma((\cos \theta, \sin \theta), t):= \begin{cases}\widetilde{\gamma}(\theta-j \pi / k, t) & \text { if } j \text { is even } \\ -\widetilde{\gamma}((j+1) \pi / k-\theta, t) & \text { if } j \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

for all $(\theta, t) \in[j \pi / k,(j+1) \pi / k) \times[0, \min (T, \tau)), j \in\{0, \ldots, 2 k-1\}$. Since $\psi^{\prime}(0)=0$, it follows from (3.19) and (B3) that $\partial_{\theta}^{2} \widetilde{\gamma}(j \pi / k, t)=0$ for all $t \in$ $[0, T)$ and $j \in\{0, \ldots, 2 k-1\}$ which implies that $\gamma$ is a smooth solution of (2.2). By using (B2), (3.16), (3.22), and the change of functions (2.1), we then obtain the existence of $\rho_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}, I\right)$ such that (1.4) holds true, the solution of (1.1) exists and $\partial_{x} \rho(\cdot, t)$ blows up as $t \rightarrow T$. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.3 ,
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