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#### Abstract

In this paper, we deal with stochastically complete submanifolds $M^{n}$ immersed with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field in a Riemannian space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$ of constant sectional curvature $c \in$ $\{-1,0,1\}$. In this setting, we use the weak Omori-Yau maximum principle jointly with a suitable Simons type formula in order to show that either such a submanifold $M^{n}$ must be totally umbilical or it holds a sharp estimate for the norm of its total umbilicity tensor, with equality if and only if the submanifold is isometric to an open piece of a hyperbolic cylinder $\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(-\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r)$, when $c=-1$, a circular cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r)$, when $c=0$, and a Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r)$, when $c=1$.


## 1. Introduction

The problem of characterizing hypersurfaces immersed with constant mean curvature in a Riemannian space form constitutes a classical thematic into the theory of isometric immersions. In this branch, Klotz and Osserman [10] characterized totally umbilical spheres and circular cylinders as the only complete surfaces immersed into the Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with nonzero constant mean curvature and whose Gaussian curvature does not change sign. Afterwards, Hoffman [8] and Tribuzy [21] gave an extension of that result to the case of surfaces with constant mean curvature in the Euclidean 3 -sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ and in the hyperbolic 3 -space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, respectively. Later on, Alencar and do Carmo [1] showed that a constant mean curvature compact hypersurface of the $(n+1)$-sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ must be either totally umbilical or isometric to certain Clifford torus, provided that the traceless part of the corresponding second fundamental form satisfies an appropriate boundedness which amounts to a previous one due to Simons [19] related to the case of compact minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$.

More recently, Alías and García-Martínez [2] extended the results of [1, 8, 10, 21] considering the so-called stochastically complete hypersurfaces immersed with constant mean curvature in a Riemannian space form. We recall that a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ is said to be stochastically complete if, for some (and, hence, for any) $(x, t) \in M^{n} \times(0,+\infty)$, the heat kernel $p(x, y, t)$ of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta$ satisfies the conservation property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} p(x, y, t) d \mu(y)=1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the probabilistic viewpoint, stochastically completeness is the property of a stochastic process to have infinite life time. Furthermore, for the Brownian motion on a manifold, the conservation property (1.1) means that the total probability of the particle to be found in the state space is constantly equal to one (cf. [4-6, 20]).

Proceeding with the picture described above, our purpose in this paper is to revisit the results of [2] in the higher codimensional case. More precisely, we will deal with stochastically complete submanifolds immersed with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field in a Riemannian space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$ of constant sectional curvature $c \in\{-1,0,1\}$. We recall that a submanifold has nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field when its mean curvature is a positive constant and its mean curvature vector field is parallel as a section of the normal bundle (for more details, see Section 3).

In this setting, we apply a crucial result due to Pigola, Rigoli and Setti which asserts that stochastic completeness turns out to be equivalent to the validity of a weak form of the Omori-Yau maximum principle (cf. Theorem 1.1 of [16] or Theorem 3.1 of [17]) jointly with a suitable Simons type formula (cf. Proposition 1) in order to establish the following characterization result:

Theorem 1. Let $M^{n}$ be a stochastically complete submanifold immersed with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field in a Riemannian space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$ of constant sectional curvature $c \in\{-1,0,1\}$, with $n \geq 4$ and such that its normalized scalar curvature satisfies $R \geq c$. When $c=-1$, assume in addition that $H>1$. Then
(i) either $\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2}=0$ and $M^{n}$ is a totally umbilical submanifold,
(ii) or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2} \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)}\left(\sqrt{n^{2} H^{2}+4(n-1) c}-(n-2) H\right)^{2}>0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the equality holds in (1.2) and this supremum is attained at some point of $M^{n}$ if, and only if, $M^{n}$ is isometric to an open piece of a
(a) hyperbolic cylinder $\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(-\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, with $r>0$, when $c=-1$.
(b) circular cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, with $r>0$, when $c=0$.
(c) Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, with $\quad 0<r<$ $\sqrt{(n-1) / n}$, when $c=1$.

Here, $\Phi$ stands for the traceless part of the second fundamental form of the submanifold $M^{n}$. When $M^{n}$ is complete (which happens, for instance, when $M^{n}$ is properly immersed), we obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1 :

Corollary 1. Let $M^{n}$ be a complete submanifold immersed with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field in a Riemannian space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$ of constant sectional curvature $c=-1,0,1$, with $n \geq 4$ and such that its normalized scalar curvature satisfies $R \geq c$. When $c=-1$, assume in addition that $H>1$. Then
(i) either $\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2}=0$ and $M^{n}$ is a totally umbilical submanifold,
(ii) or the inequality (1.2) is satisfied. Moreover, the equality holds in 1.2) and this supremum is attained at some point of $M^{n}$ if, and only if, $M^{n}$ is isometric to a
(a) hyperbolic cylinder $\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(-\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, with $r>0$, when $c=-1$.
(b) circular cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, with $r>0$, when $c=0$.
(c) Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, with $\quad 0<r<$ $\sqrt{(n-1) / n}$, when $c=1$.

Recall that a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ is said to be parabolic if the constant functions are the only subharmonic functions on $M^{n}$ which are bounded from above; that is, for a function $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(M)$

$$
\Delta u \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad u \leq u^{*}<+\infty \quad \text { implies } \quad u=\text { constant. }
$$

In this setting, we obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1 related to complete parabolic submanifolds of $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$ :

Corollary 2. Let $M^{n}$ be a complete parabolic submanifold immersed with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field in a Riemannian space form
$\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$ of constant sectional curvature $c=-1,0,1$, with $n \geq 4$ and such that its normalized scalar curvature satisfies $R \geq c$. When $c=-1$, assume in addition that $H>1$. Then
(i) either $\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2}=0$ and $M^{n}$ is a totally umbilical submanifold,
(ii) or the inequality (1.2) is satisfied. Moreover, the equality holds in 1.2) if, and only if, $M^{n}$ is isometric to a
(a) hyperbolic cylinder $\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(-\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, with $r>0$, when $c=-1$.
(b) circular cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, with $r>0$, when $c=0$.
(c) Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, with $0<r<$ $\sqrt{(n-1) / n}$, when $c=1$.

The proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1 and 2 in Section 4.
We close this section pointing out that, compared with recent rigidity results concerning closed submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector field in $\mathbb{S}^{n+p}$ which have as hypothesis a previous control of the square length of the second fundamental form of the submanifold through the mean curvature and the second largest eigenvalue of the fundamental matrix (cf. [11, 14]), our results offer the advantage that they do not suppose such a control. Furthermore, we also note that our constraint on the normalized scalar curvature already appears in several papers of the current literature (cf. $[3,7,12,13]$ ).

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional connected submanifold immersed in a space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$, with constant sectional curvature $c$. We choose a local field of orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n+p}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$, with dual coframe $\left\{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n+p}\right\}$, such that, at each point of $M^{n}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M^{n}$ and $e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n+p}$ are normal to $M^{n}$. We will use the following convection for indices
$1 \leq A, B, C, \ldots \leq n+p, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \ldots \leq n$ and $n+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots n+p$.
When restricting on $M^{n}$, the second fundamental form $A$, the curvature tensor $R$ and the normal curvature tensor $R^{\perp}$ of $M^{n}$ are given by

$$
\omega_{i \alpha}=\sum_{j} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{j}, \quad A=\sum_{i, j, \alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} e_{\alpha}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
d \omega_{i j}=\sum_{k} \omega_{i k} \wedge \omega_{k j}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l}, \\
d \omega_{\alpha \beta}=\sum_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha \gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma \alpha}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{\alpha \beta k l}^{\perp} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, the components $h_{i j k}^{\alpha}$ of the covariant derivative $\nabla A$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} h_{i j k}^{\alpha} \omega_{k}=d h_{i j}^{\alpha}+\sum_{k} h_{k i}^{\alpha} \omega_{k j}+\sum_{k} h_{k j}^{\alpha} \omega_{k i}+\sum_{\beta} h_{i j}^{\beta} \omega_{\beta \alpha} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Gauss equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=c\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)+\sum_{\alpha}\left(h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{j l}^{\alpha}-h_{i l}^{\alpha} h_{j k}^{\alpha}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the components of the Ricci tensor $R_{i k}$ and the normalized scalar curvature $R$ are given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i k}=(n-1) \delta_{i k}+n \sum_{\alpha} H^{\alpha} h_{i k}^{\alpha}-\sum_{\alpha, j} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{j k}^{\alpha} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j} h_{j j}^{\alpha}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i} R_{i i} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we get the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(n-1) R=n(n-1) c+n^{2} H^{2}-S \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S=\sum_{\alpha, i, j}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}$ is the norm square of the second fundamental form and, being $h=\sum_{\alpha} H^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha}\left(\sum_{k} h_{k k}^{\alpha}\right) e_{\alpha}$ the mean curvature vector field, $H=|h|$ is the mean curvature function of $M^{n}$.

By exterior differentiation of (2.1), we have the following Ricci identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k l}^{\alpha}-h_{i j l k}^{\alpha}=\sum_{m} h_{m j}^{\alpha} R_{m i k l}+\sum_{m} h_{i m}^{\alpha} R_{m j k l}+\sum_{\beta} h_{i j}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha k l}^{\perp} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Codazzi equation and the Ricci equation are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}^{\alpha}=h_{i k j}^{\alpha}=h_{j i k}^{\alpha} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\alpha \beta i j}^{\perp}=\sum_{k}\left(h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{k j}^{\beta}-h_{j k}^{\alpha} h_{k i}^{\beta}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. A Simons type formula

From now on, we will deal with submanifolds $M^{n}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$ having nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field, which means that the mean curvature function $H$ is, in fact, a positive constant and that the corresponding mean curvature vector field $h$ is parallel as a section of the normal bundle.

In this context, we can choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n+p}\right\}$ such that $e_{n+1}=\frac{h}{H}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{n+1}=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}\left(h^{n+1}\right)=H \quad \text { and } \quad H^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}\left(h^{\alpha}\right)=0, \alpha \geq n+2 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will also consider the following symmetric tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\sum_{\alpha, i, j} \Phi_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} e_{\alpha} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{i j}^{\alpha}=h_{i j}^{\alpha}-H^{\alpha} \delta_{i j}$. Consequently, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i j}^{n+1}=h_{i j}^{n+1}-H \delta_{i j} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{i j}^{\alpha}=h_{i j}^{\alpha}, \quad n+2 \leq \alpha \leq n+p \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $|\Phi|^{2}=\sum_{\alpha, i, j}\left(\Phi_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}$ be the square of the length of $\Phi$. From (2.5), it is not difficult to verify that $\Phi$ is traceless with

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi|^{2}=S-n H^{2}=n(n-1)\left(c+H^{2}-R\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Extending the ideas of [7], we obtain the following Simons type formula
Proposition 1. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional ( $n \geq 2$ ) submanifold immersed with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field in a Riemannian space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\Phi|^{2}= & |\nabla \Phi|^{2}+c n|\Phi|^{2}+n \sum_{\beta, i, j, k} H h_{i j}^{n+1} h_{j k}^{\beta} h_{k i}^{\beta} \\
& -\sum_{i, j, k, l}\left(\sum_{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k l}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta}\left(R_{\alpha \beta i j}^{\perp}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Taking into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S=\sum_{\alpha, i, j} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \Delta h_{i j}^{\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha, i, j, k}\left(h_{i j k}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Laplacian $\Delta h_{i j}^{\alpha}$ of $h_{i j}^{\alpha}$ is defined by $\Delta h_{i j}^{\alpha}=\sum_{k} h_{i j k k}^{\alpha}$, using Codazzi equation (2.7) into (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S=\sum_{\alpha, i, j} h_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{k} h_{i j k k}^{\alpha}\right)+\sum_{\alpha, i, j, k}\left(h_{i j k}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}=|\nabla A|^{2}+\sum_{\alpha, i, j, k} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k i j k}^{\alpha} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, since (3.2) and (3.5) imply that $|\nabla A|^{2}=|\nabla \Phi|^{2}$ and $\Delta|\Phi|^{2}=\Delta S$, from (2.6) and (3.6) we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\Phi|^{2}=\mid & \left.\nabla \Phi\right|^{2}+\sum_{\alpha, i, j, k}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k k i}^{\alpha}\right)_{j}-\sum_{\alpha, i, j, k} h_{i j j}^{\alpha} h_{k k i}^{\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha, i, j, m} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m j} \\
& +\sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, m} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+\sum_{\beta, \alpha, i, j, k} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k i}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha j k}^{\perp} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $H$ is constant, from Codazzi equation (2.7) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha, i, j, k}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k k i}^{\alpha}\right)_{j}-\sum_{\alpha, i, j, k} h_{i j j}^{\alpha} h_{k k i}^{\alpha}=\sum_{i, j, \alpha} n H_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) we also conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, m} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{m k}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k}+\sum_{\alpha, i, j, m} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{i m}^{\alpha} R_{m j}+\sum_{\beta, \alpha, i, j, k} h_{j i}^{\alpha} h_{i k}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha j k}^{\perp}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \quad=c|\Phi|^{2}-\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k, m} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\beta} h_{m k}^{\alpha} h_{m k}^{\beta}+n \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, m} H^{\beta} h_{m j}^{\beta} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{i m}^{\alpha} \\
& \quad-\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, m, l} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{i m}^{\alpha} h_{m l}^{\beta} h_{i j}^{\beta}+\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k, m} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k m}^{\alpha} h_{j m}^{\beta} h_{i k}^{\beta}+\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} h_{j i}^{\alpha} h_{i k}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha j k}^{\perp} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from (3.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, m} H^{\beta} h_{m j}^{\beta} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{i m}^{\alpha}=\sum_{\beta, i, j, k} H h_{i j}^{n+1} h_{j k}^{\beta} h_{k i}^{\beta}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k, m} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\beta} h_{m k}^{\alpha} h_{m k}^{\beta} & =\sum_{i, j, k, l}\left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k l}^{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\beta} h_{k l}^{\beta}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\sum_{i, j, k, l}\left(\sum_{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k l}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.8) we also have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\alpha, \beta, j, k}\left(R_{\alpha \beta j k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}= & \sum_{\alpha, \beta, j, k}\left[\sum_{i}\left(h_{j i}^{\beta} h_{i k}^{\alpha}-h_{j i}^{\alpha} h_{i k}^{\beta}\right)\right] R_{\beta \alpha j k}^{\perp}  \tag{3.12}\\
= & \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} h_{j i}^{\beta} h_{i k}^{\alpha} R_{\beta \alpha j k}^{\perp}-\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} h_{j i}^{\alpha} h_{i k}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha j k}^{\perp} \\
= & \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, m, l}^{\alpha} h_{i m}^{\alpha} h_{m l}^{\beta} h_{l j}^{\beta}-\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k, m} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k m}^{\alpha} h_{j m}^{\beta} h_{i k}^{\beta} \\
& -\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} h_{j i}^{\alpha} h_{i k}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha j k}^{\perp} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, considering (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) in (3.7), we conclude the proof.

## 4. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1 and 2

In order to prove Theorem 1 we will also need of two algebraic lemmas. The proofs of them can be found in [18] and [12], respectively.

Lemma 1. Let $B, C: \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be symmetric linear maps that $B C-$ $C B=0$ and $\operatorname{tr} B=\operatorname{tr} C=0$, then

$$
-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|B|^{2}|C| \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(B^{2} C\right) \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|B|^{2}|C|
$$

Lemma 2. Let $B^{1}, B^{2}, \cdots, B^{n}$ be symmetric $(n \times n)$-matrices. Set $S_{\alpha \beta}=$ $\operatorname{tr}\left(B^{\alpha} B^{\beta}\right), \quad S_{\alpha}=S_{\alpha \alpha}, S=\sum_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$, then

$$
\sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left|B^{\alpha} B^{\beta}-B^{\beta} B^{\alpha}\right|^{2}+\sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha \beta}^{2} \leq \frac{3}{2}\left(\sum_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}\right)^{2}
$$

Now we are in position to proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. From Proposition 11 we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\Phi|^{2}= & |\nabla \Phi|^{2}+c n|\Phi|^{2}+n \sum_{\beta, i, j, k} H h_{i j}^{n+1} h_{j k}^{\beta} h_{k i}^{\beta}  \tag{4.1}\\
& -\sum_{i, j, k, l}\left(\sum_{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k l}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta}\left(R_{\alpha \beta i j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.1) and (3.3) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i, j, k, \beta} H h_{i j}^{n+1} h_{j k}^{\beta} h_{k i}^{\beta}  \tag{4.2}\\
&= \sum_{i, j, k} H h_{i j}^{n+1} h_{j k}^{n+1} h_{k i}^{n+1}+\sum_{\beta=n+2}^{n+p} \sum_{i, j, k} H h_{i j}^{n+1} \Phi_{j k}^{\beta} \Phi_{k i}^{\beta} \\
&= H \operatorname{tr}\left(\Phi^{n+1}+H I\right)^{3}+\sum_{\beta=n+2}^{n+p} \sum_{i, j, k} H \Phi_{i j}^{n+1} \Phi_{j k}^{\beta} \Phi_{k i}^{\beta} \\
&+\sum_{\beta=n+2}^{n+p} H^{2}\left|\Phi^{\beta}\right|^{2} \\
&= H \operatorname{tr}\left(\Phi^{n+1}\right)^{3}+3 H^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}+n H^{4}+\sum_{\beta=n+2}^{n+p} H^{2}\left|\Phi^{\beta}\right|^{2} \\
&+\sum_{\beta=n+2}^{n+p} \sum_{i, j, k} H \Phi_{i j}^{n+1} \Phi_{j k}^{\beta} \Phi_{k i}^{\beta} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, taking into account $R_{(n+1) \beta i j}^{\perp}=0$ for every $\beta, i, j$, from Ricci equation (2.8) we get that $\Phi^{n+1} \Phi^{\beta}-\Phi^{\beta} \Phi^{n+1}=0$, for every $\beta$. Thus, since $\operatorname{tr} \Phi^{\beta}=0$ for every $\beta$, we can apply Lemma 1 to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& H \operatorname{tr}\left(\Phi^{n+1}\right)^{3}+3 H^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}+n H^{4}+\sum_{\beta=n+2}^{n+p} H^{2}\left|\Phi^{\beta}\right|^{2}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \quad+\sum_{\beta=n+2}^{n+p} \sum_{i, j, k} H \Phi_{i j}^{n+1} \Phi_{j k}^{\beta} \Phi_{k i}^{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\geq & -\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{3}+2 H^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}+H^{2}|\Phi|^{2}+n H^{4} \\
& -\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \sum_{\beta=n+2}^{n+p} H\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|\left|\Phi^{\beta}\right|^{2} \\
= & 2 H^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}+H^{2}|\Phi|^{2}+n H^{4}-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right||\Phi|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from (4.2) and (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\beta, i, j, k} H h_{i j}^{n+1} h_{j k}^{\beta} h_{k i}^{\beta} \geq & 2 H^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}+H^{2}|\Phi|^{2}+n H^{4}  \tag{4.4}\\
& -\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right||\Phi|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From Ricci equation 2.3 we get
(4.5) $\sum_{i, j, k, l}\left(\sum_{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k l}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j}\left(R_{\alpha \beta i j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{\alpha} A^{\beta}\right)\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1, \beta \neq n+1, i, j}\left(R_{\alpha \beta i j}^{\perp}\right)^{2} \\
= & {\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{n+1} A^{n+1}\right)\right]^{2}+2 \sum_{\beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{n+1} A^{\beta}\right)\right]^{2} } \\
& +\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1, \beta \neq n+1}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{\alpha} A^{\beta}\right)\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1, \beta \neq n+1}\left|A^{\alpha} A^{\beta}-A^{\beta} A^{\alpha}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But, using (3.3) and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1, \beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{\alpha} A^{\beta}\right)\right]^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1, \beta \neq n+1}\left|A^{\alpha} A^{\beta}-A^{\beta} A^{\alpha}\right|^{2}  \tag{4.6}\\
\leq \frac{3}{2}\left(\sum_{\beta \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{\beta} A^{\alpha}\right)\right)^{2} \leq \frac{3}{2}\left(\sum_{\beta \neq n+1}\left|\Phi^{\beta}\right|\right)^{2}
\end{array}
$$

Hence, from (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i, j, k, l}\left(\sum_{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k l}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j}\left(R_{\alpha \beta i j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \leq {\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{n+1} A^{n+1}\right)\right]^{2}+2 \sum_{\beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{n+1} A^{\beta}\right)\right]^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\left(\sum_{\beta \neq n+1}\left|\Phi^{\beta}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} } \\
&=\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{4}+2 n H^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}+n^{2} H^{4}+2 \sum_{\beta \neq n+1}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\Phi^{n+1} \Phi^{\beta}\right)\right]^{2} \\
&+\frac{3}{2}\left(|\Phi|^{2}-\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{5}{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{4}+2 n H^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}+n^{2} H^{4}+2\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}\left(|\Phi|^{2}-\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}\right) \\
&+\frac{3}{2}|\Phi|^{4}-3|\Phi|^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{4}+2 n H^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}+n^{2} H^{4}-|\Phi|^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}+\frac{3}{2}|\Phi|^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from (4.1), 4.4 and 4.7) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\Phi|^{2} \geq & c n|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right||\Phi|^{2}+n H^{2}|\Phi|^{2}  \tag{4.8}\\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{4}+|\Phi|^{2}\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{3}{2}|\Phi|^{4} \\
= & |\Phi|^{2}\left(-|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H|\Phi|+n\left(H^{2}+c\right)\right) \\
& +\left(|\Phi|-\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(|\Phi|-\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|\right)\left(|\Phi|+\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|\right)^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we note that holds the following algebraic inequality (3.5) of [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|\Phi|-\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|\right)\left(|\Phi|+\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|\right)^{2} \leq \frac{32}{27}|\Phi|^{3} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since that $R \geq c$ and using (2.5), we also have

$$
n^{2} H^{2}=S+n(n-1)(R-c) \geq S=|\Phi|^{2}+n H^{2}
$$

which give us

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|\Phi| \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from 4.9 and 4.10 we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(|\Phi|-\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|\right)\left(|\Phi|+\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|\right)^{2}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \quad \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}-\frac{16}{27}\right)|\Phi|^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

But, taking into account our assumption that $n \geq 4$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n-2}{n-1}-\frac{16}{27}>0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from (4.3), 4.11) and 4.12 we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\Phi|^{2} & \geq-|\Phi|^{2} P_{H, c}(|\Phi|)+\left(|\Phi|-\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|\right)\left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}-\frac{16}{27}\right)|\Phi|^{3}  \tag{4.13}\\
& \geq-|\Phi|^{2} P_{H, c}(|\Phi|)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
P_{H, c}(x)=|\Phi|^{2}+\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H|\Phi|-n\left(H^{2}+c\right)
$$

If $\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2}=0$, then $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical and, hence, item ( $i$ ) holds. If $\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2}=+\infty$, then $(i i)$ is trivially satisfied. So, let us suppose that $0<$ $\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2}<+\infty$, then by applying Theorem 1.1 of [16] (see also Theorem 3.1 of [17]) to the function $|\Phi|^{2}$ we obtain a sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $M^{n}$ such that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}|\Phi|^{2}\left(p_{k}\right)=\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta|\Phi|^{2}\left(p_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{k} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (4.13) and 4.14, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{k}>\Delta|\Phi|^{2}\left(p_{k}\right) \geq-2|\Phi|^{2}\left(p_{k}\right) P_{H, c}\left(|\Phi|^{2}\left(p_{k}\right)\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into (4.15) the limit when $k \rightarrow+\infty$, by continuity, we have

$$
\left(\sup _{M}|\Phi|\right)^{2} P_{H, c}\left(\sup _{M}|\Phi|\right) \geq 0
$$

Since $\sup _{M}|\Phi|>0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{H, c}\left(\sup _{M}|\Phi|\right) \geq 0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, since $H^{2}+c>0$, the polynomial $P_{H}(x)$ has a unique positive root given by

$$
x_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2 \sqrt{(n-1)}}\left(\sqrt{n^{2} H^{2}+4(n-1) c}-(n-2) H\right)
$$

Therefore, 4.16) implies

$$
\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2} \geq x_{0}^{2}=\frac{n}{4(n-1)}\left(\sqrt{n^{2} H^{2}+4(n-1) c}-(n-2) H\right)^{2} .
$$

This proves inequality (1.2).
Moreover, suppose that the equality holds in $(1.2)$ or, equivalently, $\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2}=x_{0}^{2}$. Thus, in this case, $P_{H, c}(|\Phi|) \leq 0$ on $M^{n}$, which jointly with (4.13) implies that $\Delta|\Phi|^{2} \geq 0$ on $M^{n}$. Hence, if there exists a point $p_{0} \in M^{n}$ such that $\left|\Phi\left(p_{0}\right)\right|=\sup _{M}|\Phi|$, from the maximum principle the function $|\Phi|^{2}$ must be constant and, consequently, $|\Phi| \equiv x_{0}$. Thus,

$$
0=\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\Phi|^{2}=-|\Phi|^{2} P_{H, c}(|\Phi|)
$$

Thus, all the inequalities along the proof this prove must be equalities. In particular,

$$
|\nabla \Phi|^{2}=|\nabla A|^{2}=0
$$

So, it follows that $\lambda_{i}$ is constant for every $i=1, \ldots, n$, that is, $M^{n}$ is an isoparametric submanifold. Now, suppose that $M^{n}$ is not totally umbilical, which means that $|\Phi|$ a positive constant. In this case, taking into account (4.12), from (4.13) we conclude that $|\Phi|=\left|\Phi^{n+1}\right|$ and, consequently, $\Phi^{\alpha}=0$, for all $n+2 \leq \alpha \leq n+p$. Thus, since $e_{n+1}$ is parallel in the normal bundle of $M^{n}$, we are in position to apply Theorem 1 of [22] to conclude that $M^{n}$ is, in fact, isometrically immersed in a $(n+1)$-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+1}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{n+p}$. Therefore, we can use Theorem 5 of [2] to finish our proof.

We proceed with the proof of Corollary 1 .
Proof of Corollary 1. From (2.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j i j}=c+\sum_{\alpha} h_{i i}^{\alpha} h_{j j}^{\alpha}-\sum_{\alpha}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S \leq(n H)^{2}$, we have that

$$
\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \leq S \leq(n H)^{2}
$$

for every $\alpha, i, j$ and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{i i}^{\alpha} h_{j j}^{\alpha}\right|=\left|h_{i i}^{\alpha}\right|\left|h_{j j}^{\alpha}\right| \leq(n H)^{2} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, since we are supposing that $H$ is constant on $M^{n}$, it follows from 4.17) and 4.18 that the sectional curvatures of $M^{n}$ are bounded from below. Therefore, we can apply the classical maximum principle of Omori [15] and the result follows directly from Theorem 1 .

We close our paper proving Corollary 2 .
Proof of Corollary 2. First all recall that the weak Omori-Yau maximum principle holds on every parabolic Riemannian manifold. Then, if $\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2}=+\infty$ then there is nothing to prove. On the other hand, in the case that $0<\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2}<+\infty$, reasoning as in the first part of the proof of Theorem 1, we guarantee that $\sup _{M}|\Phi|^{2} \geq x_{0}$. Moreover, if equality holds in (1.2), then we have $P_{H, c}(|\Phi|) \leq 0$ and, consequently, the function $|\Phi|^{2}$ is a subharmonic on $M^{n}$. Therefore, from the parabolicity of $M^{n}$ we conclude that the function $|\Phi|^{2}$ must be constant and equal to $x_{0}$. At this point, taking into account that the circular cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ and the hyperbolic cylinder $\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(-\sqrt{1+r^{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ are parabolic (cf. Section 2.1 of [9]), we can reason as in the proof of Theorem 1 .
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