# Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of indefinite variational problems 

Claudianor O. Alves and Minbo Yang

In this paper we study the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following class of strongly indefinite problems
$(P)_{k} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\Delta u+V(x) u=A(x / k) f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\end{array}\right.$
where $N \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a positive parameter, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with subcritical growth and $V, A: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions verifying some technical conditions. Assuming that $V$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$-periodic function, $0 \notin \sigma(-\Delta+V)$ the spectrum of $-\Delta+V$, we show how the "shape" of the graph of function $A$ affects the number of nontrivial solutions.

## 1. Introduction

This paper concerns with the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following class of problems

$$
(P)_{k}
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=A(x / k) f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $N \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a positive parameter, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with subcritical growth and $V, A: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions verifying some technical conditions.

In the whole paper, function $V$ is $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$-periodic with

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \notin \sigma(-\Delta+V), \quad \text { the spectrum of }-\Delta+V, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the problem is strongly indefinite.
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Related to function $A$, we assume the following conditions:
(H1) Function $A: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} A(x)=A_{\infty}
$$

with $0<A_{\infty}<A(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(H2) There exist $l$ points $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{l}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ with $z_{1}=0$ such that

$$
1=A\left(z_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} A(x), \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leq i \leq l
$$

The present paper was motivated by some recent results that studied the existence of ground state solutions for related problems to $(P)_{k}$, more precisely, for the strongly indefinite problems of type

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=f(x, u), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.1}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In [8], Kryszewski and Szulkin studied the existence of ground state solution for (1.1) by supposing condition $\left(V_{1}\right)$. Involving the function $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$, they assumed that the function $f$ is continuous, $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$-periodic in $x$ with
$\left(h_{1}\right) \quad|f(x, t)| \leq c\left(|t|^{q-1}+|t|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad$ and $\quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$
and
$\left(h_{2}\right) \quad 0<\alpha F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t) \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{*}, \quad F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$ for some $c>0, \alpha>2$ and $2<q<p<2^{*}$ where $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$ and $2^{*}=+\infty$ if $N=1,2$. The above hypotheses guarantee that the energy functional associated to (1.1) given by

$$
J(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x)|u|^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x, \forall u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
$$

is well defined and belongs to $C^{1}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$. By assumption $\left(V_{1}\right)$, there is an equivalent inner product $\langle$,$\rangle in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
J(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x
$$

where $\|u\|=\sqrt{\langle u, u\rangle}$ and $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=E^{+} \oplus E^{-}$corresponds to the spectral decomposition of $-\Delta+V$ with respect to the positive and negative part of the spectrum with $u=u^{+}+u^{-}$, where $u^{+} \in E^{+}$and $u^{-} \in E^{-}$. In order to prove the existence of solutions for $\left(P_{1}\right)$, Kryszewski and Szulkin introduced a new and interesting generalized linking theorem. In [11], Li and Szulkin improved this generalized linking theorem and proved the existence of solution for a class of strongly indefinite problem with $f$ being asymptotically linear at infinity.

The linking theorems mentioned above were applied in many papers, we would like to mention Chabrowski and Szulkin [4], do Ó and Ruf [6], Furtado and Marchi 7, Tang [20, 21] and the references therein.

Pankov and Pflüger [13] also considered the existence of solution for problem $\left(P_{1}\right)$ with the same conditions introduced in [8], however the approach was based on an approximation technique of periodic function together with the linking theorem due to Rabinowitz [14]. Later, Pankov [12] studied the existence of solution for problems of the type

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u= \pm f(x, u), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.2}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

by supposing conditions $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(h_{1}\right)-\left(h_{2}\right)$ and employing the same approach explored in [13]. In [12] and [13], the existence of ground state solutions was established by assuming that $f$ is $C^{1}$ and there exists $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<t^{-1} f(x, t) \leq \theta f_{t}^{\prime}(x, t), \quad \forall t \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [12], Pankov obtained a ground state solution by minimizing the energy functional $J$ on the set

$$
\mathcal{O}=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash E^{-} ; J^{\prime}(u) u=0 \text { and } J^{\prime}(u) v=0, \forall v \in E^{-}\right\}
$$

The reader is invited to see that if $J$ is strongly definite, that is, when $E^{-}=\{0\}$, the set $\mathcal{O}$ is exactly the Nehari manifold associated to $J$. Hereafter, we say that $u_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a ground state solution if

$$
J^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0, \quad u_{0} \in \mathcal{O} \quad \text { and } \quad J\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf _{w \in \mathcal{O}} J(w)
$$

Szulkin and Weth [15] established the existence of ground state solution for problem (1.1) by completing the study made in [12]. In fact they also minimize the energy functional on $\mathcal{O}$, however they have used more weaker
conditions on $f$, for example $f$ is continuous, $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$-periodic in $x$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq C\left(1+|t|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { and } \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C>0$ and $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t)=o(t) \text { uniformly in } x \text { as }|t| \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(h_{6}\right) \quad F(x, t) /|t|^{2} \rightarrow+\infty$ uniformly in $x$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$,
and
$\left(h_{7}\right) \quad t \mapsto f(x, t) /|t|$ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
The same result was also established by Yang in [25] by applying a monotone trick. Finally, for the perturbed periodic Schrödinger equation, Alves and Germano in [1-3] studied the existence and concentration of solution for strongly indefinite problem like

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=A(\epsilon x) f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.3}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V$ is as above and $A$ is a continuous function satisfying some technical conditions.

For the definite case, Cao and Noussair [5] considered the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following class of problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+u=A(\epsilon x)|u|^{p-2} u \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.4}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

This equation is strongly definite because $V=1$. Using Ekeland's variational principle and concentration compactness principle of Lions [10, Cao and Noussair proved that if $A$ has $l$ equal maximum points, then problem (1.4) has at least $l$ positive solutions and $l$ nodal solutions if $\epsilon$ is small enough. Later Wu in [24] proved the existence of at least $\ell$ positive solutions for the perturbed problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+u=h(\varepsilon x)|u|^{r-2} u+\lambda g(\varepsilon x)|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.5}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda$ is a positive small parameter, $q \in[1,2)$ and $g: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative continuous satisfying

$$
g(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad|x| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

In [24], an important fact is that the energy functional associated with (1.5) satisfies the mountain pass geometry and has a well defined Nehari manifold.

Inspired by the results in [5], the aim of the present paper is to prove a similar result for $(P)_{k}$, one can see how the "shape" of $A$ affects the number of nontrivial solutions. However, we would like point out that one of the main difficulties is the loss of the mountain pass geometry, because we are working with a strongly indefinite problem. Then, if $I_{k}$ denotes the energy functional associated with $(P)_{k}$, we need to carry out a careful study involving the behavior of number $c_{k}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{k}} I_{k}(u) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{k}=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash E^{-} ; I_{k}^{\prime}(u) u=0 \text { and } I_{k}^{\prime}(u) v=0, \forall v \in E^{-}\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The understanding of the behavior of $c_{k}$ is a key point in our approach to show the existence of multiple solutions for $k$ large enough.

Hereafter, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function that verifies the following assumptions:
$\left(f_{1}\right) \quad \frac{f(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.
$\left(f_{2}\right) \limsup _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{|f(t)|}{|t|^{q}}<+\infty$ for some $q \in\left(1,2^{*}-1\right)$.
$\left(f_{3}\right) t \mapsto f(t) / t$ is increasing on $(0,+\infty)$ and decreasing on $(-\infty, 0)$.
$\left(f_{4}\right)$ (Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz) There exists $\theta>2$ such that

$$
0<\theta F(t) \leq f(t) t, \forall t \neq 0
$$

where $F(t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$.
Our main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. There is $k^{*}>0$ such that $(P)_{k}$ has at least $l$ nontrivial solution for $k>k^{*}$.

In the proof Theorem 1.1 we will use some arguments applied in [2], because the energy functional here has the same geometry explored in that paper. We would like to point out that our paper completes the study made in that paper in the sense that here we are assuming different assumptions on function $A$, for example, in [2] the function $A$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<A_{0}=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} A(x) \leq \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} A(x)<\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} A(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is not assumed in the present paper.
The plan of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we show a compactness result for the autonomous problem, which is a crucial result in our approach, see Theorem 2.3. In Section 3 we show the existence of multiple solutions for $(P)_{k}$.

Notation. In this paper, we will use the following notations:

- $o_{n}(1)$ denotes a sequence that converges to zero.
- If $g$ is a mensurable function, the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) d x$ will be denoted by $\int g(x) d x$.
- $B_{R}(z)$ denotes the open ball with center $z$ and radius $R$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
- The usual norms in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ will be denoted by $\left\|\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right.$ and $\left|\left.\right|_{p}\right.$ respectively.
- For each $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the equality $u=u^{+}+u^{-}$yields $u^{+} \in E^{+}$and $u^{-} \in E^{-}$.


## 2. A compactness result for the autonomous problem.

In this section our main goal is to prove a compactness result for the autonomous equation that will be used later on. In order to do that, we need to recall some results that were proved in [2]. Let us consider the following autonomous problem
$(A P)_{\lambda}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=\lambda f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda>0$ and $V, f$ verify the conditions $\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$ respectively. Associated to equation $(A P)_{\lambda}$ we define the energy functional
$J_{\lambda}: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x)|u|^{2}\right) d x-\lambda \int F(u) d x
$$

equivalently

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \int F(u) d x
$$

In what follows, let us denote by $d_{\lambda}$ the real number defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\lambda}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}} J_{\lambda}(u) ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash E^{-} ; J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u) u=0 \text { and } J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u) v=0, \forall v \in E^{-}\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for each $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the sets $E(u)$ and $\hat{E}(u)$ designate

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u)=E^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R} u \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{E}(u)=E^{-} \oplus[0,+\infty) u \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader is invited to observe that $E(u)$ and $\hat{E}(u)$ are independent of $\lambda$, more precisely they depend on only of the operator $-\Delta+V$. This remark is very important because these sets will be used in the next sections as well as the lemma below.

Lemma 2.1. For all $u=u^{+}+u^{-} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$, if $u_{y}(x):=u(x+y)$ then $u_{y} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $u_{y}^{+}(x)=u^{+}(x+y)$ and $u_{y}^{-}(x)=$ $u^{-}(x+y)$.

In 15, Szulkin and Weth have proved that for each $\lambda>0$, the problem $(A P)_{\lambda}$ possesses a ground state solution $u_{\lambda} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, that is,

$$
u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}, \quad J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=d_{\lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

Still in [15], the authors also proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<d_{\lambda}=\inf _{u \in E^{+} \backslash\{0\}} \max _{v \in \widehat{E}(u)} J_{\lambda}(u) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, an interesting and important fact is that for each $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash$ $E^{-}, \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} \cap \hat{E}(u)$ is a singleton set and the element of this set is the unique
global maximum of $\left.J_{\lambda}\right|_{\hat{E}(u)}$, that is, there are $t^{*} \geq 0$ and $v^{*} \in E^{-}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}\left(t^{*} u+v^{*}\right)=\max _{w \in \widehat{E}(u)} J_{\lambda}(w) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.12), we can define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\lambda}: E^{+} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} \text { where } m_{\lambda}(u)=t^{*} u+v^{*} \in \hat{E}(u) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.2. The function $\lambda \mapsto d_{\lambda}$ is decreasing and continuous on $(0,+\infty)$.

The next result is an important compactness result, which is well known for the strongly definite case, for example if $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)>0$. Here we prove that it also holds for the strongly indefinite case and its proof follows as in [2, Proposition 4.5]. For the completeness of the paper, we outline the proof here for readers' convenience.

Theorem 2.3. (Compactness result) Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a $(P S)_{d_{\lambda}}$ sequence for $J_{\lambda}$ with $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then, one of the following two cases holds:
(i) $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,
or
(ii) There exists $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ with $\left|y_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ such that the sequence $\tilde{u}_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(.+y_{n}\right)$ is strongly convergent to a function $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. We begin the proof by showing that $(i)$ holds if $u \neq 0$. In fact, since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{d_{\lambda}}$ sequence, we know that $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)=0$. Then, if $u \neq 0$, we know that $u \in \mathcal{N}_{d_{\lambda}}$, and so,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\lambda} & \leq J_{\lambda}(u)=J_{\lambda}(u)-\frac{1}{2} J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u) u=\int\left(\frac{1}{2} f(u) u-F(u)\right) d x \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int\left(\frac{1}{2} f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int\left(\frac{1}{2} f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right)=d_{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int\left(\frac{1}{2} f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(u_{n}\right)\right) d x=\int\left(\frac{1}{2} f(u) u-F(u)\right) d x
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{2} f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(u_{n}\right) \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and supposing that

$$
u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\frac{1}{2} f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} f(u) u-F(u) \text { in } L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Thus, up to subsequence, there exists $H \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2} f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(u_{n}\right) \leq H \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by $\left(f_{4}\right)$,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \leq H, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Consequently, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \leq c H, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

In what follows, we set

$$
Q_{n}:=f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{+}-f(u) u^{+} .
$$

Our goal is to prove that

$$
\int\left|Q_{n}\right| d x \rightarrow 0
$$

First of all, as $f$ is of subcritical growth,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|Q_{n}\right| d x \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall R>0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for each $\tau>0$, we can fix $R$ large enough a such way that

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}}\left|f(u) u^{+}\right| d x<\tau
$$

Claim 2.4. Increasing $R$ if necessary, we also have

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}}\left|f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{+}\right| d x<2 \Theta \tau, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where

$$
\Theta:=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{\left(\int\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{q+1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}, \int\left|u_{n} u_{n}^{+}\right| d x\right\}
$$

In fact, by [2, Lemma 4.4], for each $\tau>0$ the exists $c_{\tau}>0$ such that

$$
\left|g_{\tau}(t)\right| \leq \tau|t| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|j_{\tau}(t)\right|^{r} \leq c_{\tau} t f(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $r=\frac{q+1}{q}$ with $q$ given in $\left(f_{2}\right)$. Here,

$$
g_{\tau}(t):=\chi_{\delta}(t) f(t) \quad \text { and } \quad j_{\tau}(t):=\tilde{\chi}_{\delta}(t) f(t)
$$

where $\chi_{\delta}$ is the characteristic function on $(-\delta, \delta)$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{\delta}(t)=1-\chi_{\delta}(t)$ and $\delta>0$ is fixed of a such way that

$$
\frac{|f(t)|}{|t|}<\tau, \quad \forall t \in(-\delta, \delta)
$$

Using the above functions, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}}\left|f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{+}\right| d x=\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}}\left|g_{\tau}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\left|u_{n}^{+}\right| d x+\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}}\left|j_{\tau}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\left|u_{n}^{+}\right| d x \\
& \leq \tau \int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|u_{n}^{+}\right| d x+\left(\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}}\left|j_{\tau}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r}\left(\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}}\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{q+1} d x\right)^{1 /(q+1)} \\
& \leq \tau \Theta+\left(\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}} c_{\tau} f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x\right)^{1 / r} \Theta \leq \tau \Theta+c_{\tau}\left(\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}} c H d x\right)^{1 / r} \Theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, increasing $R$ if necessary, a such way that

$$
c_{\tau}\left(\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}} c H d x\right)^{1 / r}<\tau
$$

we get

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)^{c}}\left|f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{+}\right| d x \leq 2 \tau \Theta
$$

proving Claim 2.4. From (2.14) and Claim 2.4,

$$
\int\left|Q_{n}\right| d x \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore

$$
f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{+} \rightarrow f(u) u^{+} \text {in } L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Analogously,

$$
f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{-} \rightarrow f(u) u^{-} \text {in } L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Since $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{+}=o_{n}(1)$, it follows that

$$
\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}=\int f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{+} d x \rightarrow \int f(u) u^{+} d x=\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}
$$

showing that $u_{n}^{+} \rightarrow u^{+}$in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, because $u_{n}^{+} \rightharpoonup u^{+}$in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Likewise $u_{n}^{-} \rightarrow u^{-}$in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thereby $u_{n}=u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{-} \rightarrow u^{+}+u^{-}=u$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, proving that (i) holds.

Now, we are going to prove that if $u=0$, then $i i$ ) holds. Assuming that $u=0$ and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.2, there are $r, \eta>0$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{r}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} d x \geq \eta>0
$$

Since $u_{n}^{+} \rightharpoonup 0$, the last inequality implies that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is an unbounded sequence. Setting $\tilde{u}_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x+y_{n}\right)$, it follows that $\left\{\tilde{u}_{n}\right\}$ is also a $(P S)_{d_{\lambda}}$ sequence for $J_{\lambda}$ with $\tilde{u}_{n} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\tilde{u}^{+} \neq 0$. Repeating the same arguments explored in the proof of item $i$, we have that $\tilde{u}_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, finishing the proof.

## 3. Existence of multiple solutions for $(P)_{k}$.

Hereafter, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $I_{k}: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the energy functional associated to $(P)_{k}$ defined as

$$
I_{k}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x)|u|^{2}\right) d x-\int A(x / k) F(u) d x
$$

or equivalently

$$
I_{k}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int A(x / k) F(u) d x
$$

The same idea explored in [15, Lemma 2.4] shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<c_{k}=\inf _{u \in E^{+} \backslash\{0\}} \max _{v \in \mathbb{E}(u)} I_{k}(u) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [2, Lemma 2.2], we can argue as [15, Lemma 2.6] to prove that for each $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash E^{-}, \mathcal{M}_{k} \cap \hat{E}(u)$ is a singleton set and the element of this set is the unique global maximum of $\left.I_{k}\right|_{\hat{E}(u)}$, that is, there are $\tilde{t} \geq 0$ and $\tilde{v} \in E^{-}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}(\tilde{t} u+\tilde{v})=\max _{w \in \widehat{E}(u)} I_{k}(w) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.16), we can define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k}: E^{+} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k} \text { where } m_{k}(u)=\tilde{t} u+\tilde{v} \in \hat{E}(u) \cap \mathcal{M}_{k} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hereafter,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{k}=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash E^{-} ; I_{k}^{\prime}(u) u=0 \text { and } I_{k}^{\prime}(u) v=0, \forall v \in E^{-}\right\} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our first lemma shows an important relation between $c_{k}$ and $d_{A(0)}$, however we will omit its proof because it can be done as [2, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 3.1. The minimax values $c_{k}$ satisfies the limit below

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} c_{k}=d_{A(0)}
$$

This together with Proposition 2.2 imply the corollary below.
Corollary 3.2. There exists $k^{*}>0$ such that $c_{k}<d_{A_{\infty}}$ for all $k \geq k^{*}$, where $A_{\infty}=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} A(x)$.

Our next result shows that $I_{k}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{M}_{k}$, since its proof follows the same steps found in [2, Proposition 3.4] it will also be omitted.

Proposition 3.3. $I_{k}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{M}_{k}$.
Hereafter, we consider the functional $\hat{\Psi}_{k}: E^{+} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\hat{\Psi}_{k}(u):=I_{k}\left(m_{k}(u)\right)$. We know that $\hat{\Psi}_{k}$ is continuous by previous lemma. In the sequel, we denote by $\Psi_{k}: S^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the restriction of $\hat{\Psi}_{k}$ to $S^{+}=B_{1}(0) \cap E^{+}$.

The next two results establish some important properties involving the functionals $\Psi_{k}$ and $\hat{\Psi}_{k}$ and their proofs follow as in [15].

Lemma 3.4. $\hat{\Psi}_{k} \in C^{1}\left(E^{+} \backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Psi}_{k}^{\prime}(y) z=\frac{\left\|m_{k}(y)^{+}\right\|}{\|y\|} I_{k}^{\prime}\left(m_{k}(y)\right) z, \forall y, z \in E^{+}, y \neq 0 . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.5. The following properties hold:
(a) $\Psi_{k} \in C^{1}\left(S^{+}\right)$, and

$$
\Psi_{k}^{\prime}(w) z=\left\|m(y)^{+}\right\| I_{k}^{\prime}(m(w)) z, \text { for } z \in T_{y} S^{+}
$$

Hence, $w \in S^{+}$is a critical point of $\Psi_{k}$ on $S^{+}$if, and only if, $u=m(w)$ is a critical point of $I_{k}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
(b) $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $\Psi_{k}$ if and only if $\left\{m_{k}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $I_{k}$.
(c) $(P S)_{c}$ condition holds for $\Psi_{k}$ if, and only if, $(P S)_{c}$ condition holds for $I_{k}$.

Then we can establish the following compactness criteria for $\Psi_{k}$.

Lemma 3.6. The functional $\Psi_{k}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c \leq d_{1}+\gamma$, where $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{A_{\infty}}-d_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\} \subset S^{+}$be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $\Psi_{k}$. From Corollary 3.5, $u_{n}=m_{k}\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ is also a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for functional $I_{k}$. Then by Proposition 3.3. $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and passing to a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, there exists $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Since $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, it is possible to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{k}\left(v_{n}\right)-I_{k}(u)=o_{n}(1) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{k}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{k}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)-I_{k}^{\prime}(u)\right\|=o_{n}(1) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{n}=u_{n}-u$.

Recalling that $I_{k}^{\prime}(u)=0$ and $I_{k}(u) \geq 0$, it follows from 3.20 3.21 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{k}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|=o_{n}(1) \text { and } I_{k}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c^{*}=c-I_{k}(u) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{c^{*}}$ sequence for $I_{k}$ with $c^{*} \leq d_{1}+\gamma$.
Claim 1. For each $R>0$ fixed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{R}(y)}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d x=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming for instance the claim, we can apply Lions 9 to deduce that $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, or equivalently, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. From this, $f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{ \pm} \rightarrow$ $f(u) u^{ \pm}$in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, which implies that $u_{n}^{ \pm} \rightarrow u_{n}^{ \pm}$in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, proving the lemma.

Now, we are going to prove Claim 1. If the claim does not hold, there are $\xi>0$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{B_{R}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d x \geq \xi>0
$$

The last limit ensures that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is an unbounded sequence.
Setting $\tilde{v}_{n}=v_{n}\left(.+y_{n}\right)$, we have that $\left\{\tilde{v}_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Hence, there exist $\tilde{v} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ and subsequence of $\left\{\tilde{v}_{n}\right\}$, still denoted by itself, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{v}_{n} \rightharpoonup \tilde{v} \quad \text { in } H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \\
\tilde{v}_{n}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{v}(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)}|\tilde{v}|^{2} d x \geq \xi>0
$$

Since $I_{k}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \psi\left(.-y_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1), \forall \psi \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the above limits ensure that $J_{A_{\infty}}^{\prime}(\tilde{v}) \psi=0$, then $\tilde{v}$ is a nontrivial critical point of solution of $J_{A_{\infty}}$. As a
consequence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{A_{\infty}} & \leq J_{A_{\infty}}(\tilde{v}) \\
& =J_{A_{\infty}}(\tilde{v})-\frac{1}{2} J_{A_{\infty}}^{\prime}(\tilde{v}) \tilde{v} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int A\left(\left(x+y_{n}\right) / k\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} f\left(\tilde{v}_{n}\right) \tilde{v}_{n}-F\left(\tilde{v}_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[I_{k}\left(v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} I_{k}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) v_{n}\right] \\
& =c_{*} \leq d_{1}+\gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the fact that $\gamma<d_{A_{\infty}}-d_{1}$. Hence $\Psi_{k}$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c \leq d_{1}+\gamma$.

In what follows, let us fix $\rho_{l}, r_{0}>0$ such that $\overline{B_{\rho_{0}}\left(a_{i}\right)} \cap \overline{B_{\rho_{0}}\left(a_{j}\right)}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, l\}, \bigcup_{i=1} B_{\rho_{0}}\left(a_{i}\right) \subset B_{r_{0}}(0)$ and $K_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}}=\bigcup_{i=1} \overline{B_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}}\left(a_{i}\right)}$. Moreover, we also set function $Q_{k}: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ by

$$
Q_{k}(u)=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \chi(x / k)|u|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2} d x}
$$

where $\chi: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is given by

$$
\chi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x, & \text { if } & |x| \leq r_{0} \\
r_{0} \frac{x}{|x|}, & \text { if } & |x|>r_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The following lemma is very useful to obtain $(P S)_{c}$ sequences for $\Psi_{k}$.
Lemma 3.7. There exist $\alpha_{0}>0$ and $k_{1}>0$ such that if $u \in S^{+}$and $\Psi_{k}(u) \leq d_{1}+\alpha_{0}$, then $Q_{k}(u) \in K_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{1}$.

Proof. If the lemma is not true, then there exist $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, k_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\omega_{n} \in S^{+}$such that

$$
\Psi_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \leq c_{\infty}+\alpha_{n}
$$

and

$$
Q_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \notin K_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}} .
$$

Hereafter, we consider the functional $\hat{\Theta}_{\lambda}: E^{+} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\hat{\Theta}_{\lambda}(u):=J_{\lambda}\left(m_{\lambda}(u)\right)$ and denote by $\Theta_{\lambda}: S^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the restriction of $\hat{\Theta}_{\lambda}$ to $S^{+}=B_{1}(0) \cap E^{+}$, where $m_{\lambda}$ was given in (2.13)

From definition of $\Theta_{1}$ and $\Psi_{k}$,

$$
d_{1} \leq \Theta_{1}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \leq \Psi_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \leq d_{1}+\alpha_{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Then,

$$
\left\{\omega_{n}\right\} \subset S^{+} \quad \text { and } \quad \Theta_{1}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \rightarrow d_{1}
$$

From Ekeland's Variational principle, we can assume that $\Theta_{1}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $u_{n}=m_{1}\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ verifies

$$
\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{1}, \quad J_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow d_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad J_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

By virtue of Theorem 2.3, we need to consider the following two cases:
(i) $u_{n} \rightarrow u \neq 0$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, or
(ii) There exists $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ with $\left|y_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ such that the sequence $v_{n}=$ $u_{n}\left(.+y_{n}\right)$ is strongly convergent to a function $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$.

It is easy to see that if $(i)$ holds, then $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega \neq 0$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. However, if (ii) holds, we must have $\omega_{n}\left(.+y_{n}\right) \rightarrow \hat{\omega}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Analysis of (i): Applying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$
Q_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right)=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \chi\left(x / k_{n}\right)\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{2} d x} \rightarrow \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \chi(0)|\omega|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\omega|^{2} d x}=0 \in K_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}}
$$

From this, $Q_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right)=Q_{k_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \in K_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}}$ for $n$ large enough, which is a contradiction.

Analysis of (ii): Setting $u_{n}=m_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right), v_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x+y_{n}\right)$ and $\hat{\omega}_{n}(x)=$ $\omega_{n}\left(x+y_{n}\right)$, we have that $I_{k_{n}}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) v_{n}=0, I_{k_{n}}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \phi=0$ for all $\phi \in E^{-}$.

Next, we distinguish two cases:
(I) $\left|y_{n} / k_{n}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$
or
(II) $y_{n} / k_{n} \rightarrow y$ for some $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, for some subsequence.

If ( $I$ ) holds, since there are $s>0$ and $z \in E^{-}$such that $s \hat{\omega}^{+}+z \in \mathcal{M}_{\infty}$, it follows from $\hat{\omega}_{n}^{+} \rightarrow \hat{\omega}^{+}$in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{A_{\infty}} & \leq J_{A_{\infty}}\left(s \hat{\omega}^{+}+z\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2} s^{2}\left\|\hat{\omega}_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\|z\|^{2}-\int A\left(\left(x+y_{n}\right) / k_{n}\right) F\left(s \hat{\omega}_{n}^{+}+z\right) d x\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{k_{n}}\left(s \omega_{n}^{+}+z\right) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right)=d_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.1.
Now, if (II) holds, the previous argument yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{A(y)} \leq d_{1}, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{A(y)}$ is the mountain pass level of the functional $J_{A(y)}: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
J_{A(y)}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-A(y) \int F(u) d x
$$

One can see that

$$
d_{A(y)}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{A(y)}} J_{A(y)}(u)
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{M}_{A(y)}=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}: J_{A(y)}^{\prime}(u) u=0\right\}
$$

If $A(y)<1$, it is possible to prove that $d_{A(y)}>d_{1}$, which contradicts (3.24). Then $A(y)=1$ and $y=z_{i}$ for some $i=1, \ldots, l$. Hence
$Q_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right)=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \chi\left(x / k_{n}\right)\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{2} d x}=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \chi\left(\left(x+y_{n}\right) / k_{n}\right)\left|\hat{\omega}_{n}\right|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\hat{\omega}_{n}\right|^{2} d x} \rightarrow a_{i} \in K_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}}$
from where it follows that $Q_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \in K_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}}$ for $n$ large, which is absurd, because we are assuming that $Q_{k_{n}}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \notin K_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}}^{2}$. This finishes the proof.

Next, we specify the following symbols.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{k}^{i}=\left\{u \in S^{+}:\left|Q_{k}(u)-z_{i}\right|<\rho_{0}\right\} \\
& \partial \Omega_{k}^{i}=\left\{u \in S^{+}:\left|Q_{k}(u)-z_{i}\right|=\rho_{0}\right\} \\
& \alpha_{k}^{i}=\inf _{u \in \Omega_{k}^{i}} \Psi_{k}(u) \\
& \tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{i}=\inf _{u \in \partial \Omega_{k}^{i}} \Psi_{k}(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.8. There exists $k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{k}^{i}<d_{1}+\gamma \text { and } \alpha_{k}^{i}<\tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{i},
$$

for all $k \geq k_{2}$, where $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{A_{\infty}}-d_{1}\right)>0$.
Proof. Let $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a ground state critical point for $\Psi_{1}$, i.e.,

$$
w \in S^{+}, \quad \Psi_{1}(w)=d_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi_{1}^{\prime}(w)=0 \quad \text { (See Corollary 3.5). }
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the function $\tilde{w}_{k}^{i}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{w}_{k}^{i}(x)=w\left(x-k z_{i}\right)$. Clearly $\tilde{w}^{i} \in S^{+}$. Setting $u_{k}^{i}=m_{k}\left(\tilde{w}_{k}^{i}\right)$, we have
Claim 2. For all $1 \leq i \leq l$, we have

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \Psi_{k}\left(\tilde{w}_{k}^{i}\right)=\limsup _{k \rightarrow+\infty} I_{k}\left(u_{k}^{i}\right) \leq d_{1}
$$

By definition, $u_{k}^{i}=t_{k}\left(w_{k}^{i}\right)^{+}+v_{k}$ with $t_{k}>0$ and $v_{k} \in E^{-}$, changing variable, we have

$$
I_{k}\left(u_{k}^{i}\right)=\frac{t_{k}^{2}}{2}\left\|w^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\hat{v}_{k}\right\|^{2}-\int A\left(\left(x+k z_{i}\right) / k\right) F\left(t_{k} w+\hat{v}_{k}\right) d x
$$

Supposing that $t_{k} \rightarrow t_{0}$ and $\hat{v}_{k} \rightharpoonup \hat{v}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we get

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow+\infty} I_{k}\left(u_{k}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{t_{0}^{2}}{2}\left\|w^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\|\hat{v}\|^{2}-\int F\left(t_{0} w+\hat{v}\right) d x \leq \Psi_{1}(w)=d_{1}
$$

for $i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$ and Claim 2 is proved.
Once $Q_{k}\left(\tilde{w}_{k}^{i}\right) \rightarrow z_{i}$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$, it means that $\tilde{u}_{k}^{i} \in \Omega_{k}^{i}$ for $k$ sufficiently large. On the other hand, from Claim 2,

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \Psi_{k}\left(\tilde{u}_{k}^{i}\right)<d_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{4} .
$$

Hence, there is $k_{*} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k}^{i}<d_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{4}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{*} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, decreasing $\alpha_{0}$ if necessary,

$$
\alpha_{k}^{i}<d_{1}+\gamma, \quad \forall k \geq k_{*},
$$

which is the first inequality. To obtain the second one, note that if $u \in \partial \Omega_{k}^{i}$, then

$$
u \in S^{+} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|Q_{k}(u)-z_{i}\right|=\rho_{0}>\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}
$$

that is, $Q_{k}(u) \notin K_{\frac{\rho_{0}}{2}}$. Thus, from Lemma 3.7

$$
\Psi_{k}(u)>d_{1}+\alpha_{0} \text { for all } u \in \partial \Omega_{k}^{i} \text { and } k \geq k_{1}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{i}=\inf _{u \in \partial \Omega_{k}^{i}} \Psi_{k}(u) \geq d_{1}+\alpha_{0}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{1} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from (3.25)-3.26),

$$
\alpha_{k}^{i}<\tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{i}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{1}
$$

and the results are derived by fixing $k_{2}=\max \left\{k_{1}, k_{*}\right\}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Lemma 3.4, there exists $k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{k}^{i}<\tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{i} \quad \text { for } \quad \forall k \geq k_{2}
$$

Arguing as in [5, Proof of Therem 2.1], the above inequality permits to use Ekeland's variational principle to get a $(P S)_{\alpha_{k}^{i}}$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset \Omega_{k}^{i}$ for $\Psi_{k}$. Noting that $\alpha_{k}^{i}<d_{1}+\rho$, from Lemma 3.2 there exists $u^{i}$ such that $u_{n}^{i} \rightarrow u^{i}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. So

$$
u^{i} \in \Omega_{k}^{i}, \quad \Psi_{k}\left(u^{i}\right)=\alpha_{k}^{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi_{k}^{\prime}\left(u^{i}\right)=0 .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Q_{k}\left(u^{i}\right) \in \overline{B_{\rho_{0}}\left(z_{i}\right)}, Q_{k}\left(u^{j}\right) \in \overline{B_{\rho_{0}}\left(z_{j}\right)}, \\
\overline{B_{\rho_{0}}\left(z_{i}\right)} \cap \overline{B_{\rho_{0}}\left(z_{j}\right)}=\emptyset \quad \text { for } \quad i \neq j .
\end{array}
$$

We deduce that $u^{i} \neq u^{j}$ for $i \neq j$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq l$. Hence $\Psi_{k}$ possess at least $l$ nontrivial critical points for all $k \geq k_{*}$ on $S^{+}$, with $k_{*} \geq k_{2}$. From Corollary 3.5, $I_{k}$ possess at least $l$ nontrivial critical points for all $k \geq k_{2}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, finishing the proof.
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