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#### Abstract

In recent years, a remarkable progress was made in the construction of spatial cloaks using the methods of transformation optics and metamaterials.

The temporal cloaking, i.e. the cloaking of an event in spacetime, was also widely studied by using transformations on spacetime domains.

We propose a simple and general method for the construction of temporal cloaking using the change of time variable only.
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## 1. Introduction

The transformation optics approach, combined with the use of metamaterials, leads to a remarkable progress in the construction of spatial cloaking devices and other problems (cf. J.B. Pendry et al. [15], U. Leonhardt [12] and others, see also [1, 16]). The mathematical analysis of the spatial cloaking was done by A. Greenleaf, Y. Kurylev, M. Lassas, and G. Uhlmann [4-7] (see also [13]).

The temporal cloaking uses the transformation of variables in spacetime. The important works on the temporal cloaking were done by physicists (cf. McCall, Kinsler et al. $[8,10,11,14]$, M. Fridman [3] and others).

In the above works, mostly the cloaking in one direction was studied, i.e. no complete cloaking in $n \geq 2$ dimensions was achieved.

Our approach to the temporal cloaking is based on a completely new idea consisting of the change of the time variable only. This way, we get a perfect cloaking region.

To preserve the hyperbolicity of the wave equation after the change of time variable, one needs to restrict the size of the cloaked region depending on the wave speed: The size of the cloaking region is decreasing when the speed is increasing. Thus, our approach is better when the speed is not too large, as in the case of the acoustic equations and the equations of linear elasticity but for the optics problems the cloaked region is too small.

Now we shall briefly describe the content of the paper.
In $\S 2$, we apply our method to the initial boundary value problem for the classical wave equation.

In $\S 3$, we give a physical interpretation of the results of $\S 2$.
Then in $\S 4$, we extend the results of $\S 2$ to the cases of more general equations.

## 2. The main result

Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Consider the initial-boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L u\left(x_{0}, x\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x_{0}, x\right)}{\partial x_{0}^{2}}-a^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x_{0}, x\right)}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}=0, \quad\left(x_{0}, x\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times D  \tag{2.1}\\
& u=0 \quad \text { for } \quad x_{0} \ll 0, x \in D \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial D}=f \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), a$ is a wave speed, $f$ has a compact support in $\mathbb{R} \times \partial D$.
Make a change of variables in $\mathbb{R} \times D$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \quad y=x \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{L} v\left(y_{0}, y\right)=0$ be the Equation (2.1) in $\left(y_{0}, y\right)$-coordinates, where $v\left(y_{0}, y\right)=$ $u\left(x_{0}, x\right),\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ and $\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ are related by $(2.4)$. For the particular case when

$$
\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=x_{0}+c(x)
$$

we have

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{j}}+c_{y_{j}}(y) \frac{\partial v\left(y_{0}, y\right)}{\partial y_{0}}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{0}}
$$

and the equation $\tilde{L} v\left(y_{0}, y\right)=0$ has the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y_{0}^{2}}-a^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}+c_{y_{j}}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}+c_{y_{j}}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}\right) v=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbol of (2.5) is

$$
p(y, \eta)=\eta_{0}^{2}-a^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\eta_{j}+c_{y_{j}}(y) \eta_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

or

$$
p(y, \eta)=\left(1-a^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{y_{j}}^{2}\right) \eta_{0}^{2}-2 a^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a^{2} c_{y_{j}} \eta_{0} \eta_{j}-a^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \eta_{j}^{2}
$$

It is strictly hyperbolic with respect to $y_{0}$ (cf. [9], $\S 23.2$, or [2], §48) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-a^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{y_{j}}^{2}>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, $p\left(y, \eta_{0}, \eta\right)=0$ has two distinct real roots

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{0}=\frac{a^{2} c_{y} \cdot \eta \pm \sqrt{a^{4}\left(c_{y} \cdot \eta\right)^{2}+\left(1-a^{2}\left|c_{y}\right|^{2}\right) a^{2}|\eta|^{2}}}{1-a^{2}\left|c_{y}\right|^{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

when (2.6) holds. Here $c_{y} \cdot \eta=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{y_{j}} \eta_{j}$. Note that, when $n \geq 2$ and $c_{y} \cdot \eta=0$, the roots in (2.7) will be not real when $1-a^{2}\left|c_{y}\right|^{2}<0$, i.e. (2.5) is not strictly hyperbolic when (2.6) is not satisfied.

Thus, the initial boundary value problems are well-posed when (2.6) holds.
We specify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=x_{0}+c(x) \quad \text { for } \quad x_{0} \geq 0  \tag{2.8}\\
& \varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=x_{0} \quad \text { for } \quad x_{0}<0 \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x)=c_{0} \chi(x), \quad c_{0}>0, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\chi(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \chi(x)=0$ for $|x|>c_{1}, \chi(x)=1$ for $|x|<\frac{c_{1}}{2}, 0<\chi(x)<1$ for $\frac{c_{1}}{2}<|x|<c_{1}$, the ball $|x| \leq c_{1}$ is inside $D$. Therefore, $y_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ is strictly increasing in $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and has a jump $\varphi_{0}(+0, x)-\varphi_{0}(-0, x)=c(x)$ at $x_{0}=0$.

Denote by $Y^{+}$and $Y^{-}$the sets $\left\{y_{0} \geq c(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{0}<0, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$, respectively.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}=\left\{\left(y_{0}, y\right): 0<y_{0}<c(y),|y|<c_{1}\right\} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{+} \cup \bar{Y}^{-}=\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash Y_{0} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $y_{0}=\varphi\left(x_{0}, x\right), y=x$ maps $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ onto $Y^{-} \cup Y^{+}$.
Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{L}^{-} v^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad Y^{-} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{L}^{-}=L, y_{0}=x_{0}<0$, with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{-}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad y_{0} \ll 0, y \in D \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{-}=f \quad \text { on } \quad(\mathbb{R} \times \partial D) \cap Y^{-} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem is well-posed and has a unique smooth solution $v^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$. Now consider the initial-boundary value problem for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{L}^{+} v^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad Y^{+} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D), \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the change of variable $y_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=x_{0}+c(x), x_{0}>0$, with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{+}=f \quad \text { on } \quad(\mathbb{R} \times \partial D) \cap Y^{+}, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v^{+}\right|_{\partial Y^{+} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D)}=\left.v^{-}\right|_{\partial Y^{-} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D)},\left.\quad \frac{\partial v^{+}}{\partial y_{0}}\right|_{\partial Y^{+} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D)}=\left.\frac{\partial v^{-}}{\partial y_{0}}\right|_{\partial Y^{-} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D)} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we assume that $\left.v^{-}\right|_{\partial Y-\cap D}$ and $\left.\frac{\partial v^{-}}{\partial y_{0}}\right|_{\partial Y^{-} \cap D}$ are already known.
This initial-boundary value problem also has a unique smooth solution when (2.6) holds. Therefore we can determine a function $v\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ such that $v=v^{+}$in $Y^{+} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times$ $D), v=v^{-}$in $Y^{-} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D), \tilde{L}^{+} v^{+}=0$ in $Y^{+} \cap \mathbb{R} \times D, \tilde{L}^{-} v^{-}=0$ in $Y^{-} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D), v=$ $v^{-}=0$ for $y_{0} \ll 0, y \in D,\left.v\right|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial D}=f$ and $v^{+}$and $v^{-}$satisfy the conditions (2.18).

Once $v\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ is given, we determine $u^{+}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ for $x_{0}>0$, such that $u^{+}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=$ $v^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$, where $x_{0}=y_{0}-c(y), x=y,\left(y_{0}, y\right) \in Y^{+}$. Analogously, $u^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=v^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$, where $x_{0}=y_{0}, x=y,\left(y_{0}, y\right) \in Y^{-}$.

Then $u^{+}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ and $u^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ satisfy the wave Equation (2.1) for $x_{0}>0$ and $x_{0}<0$, respectively. Since the conditions (2.18) are satisfied, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow 0 \\
x_{0}<0}} u^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=\lim _{\substack{x_{0} \rightarrow 0 \\
x_{0}>0}} u^{+}\left(x_{0}, x\right)  \tag{2.19}\\
& \lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow 0 \\
x_{0}<0}} \frac{\partial u^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)}{\partial x_{0}}=\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow 0 \\
x_{0}>0}} \frac{\partial u^{+}}{\partial x_{0}}\left(x_{0}, x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $u\left(x_{0}, x\right)=u^{+}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ for $x_{0}>0$ and $u\left(x_{0}, x\right)=u^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ for $x_{0}<0$ satisfies (2.1) in $\mathbb{R} \times D$ and also satisfies the initial and boundary conditions (2.2) and (2.3).

Since $c(x)=0$ in $D \backslash \bar{B}$, where $B=\left\{x:|x|<c_{1}\right\}$, we have that $x_{0}=y_{0}, x=y$ in $\mathbb{R} \times(D \backslash \bar{B})$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{0}, x\right)=v\left(x_{0}, x\right) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R} \times(D \backslash \bar{B}) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the boundary data of $u\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ and $v\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \partial D$ are the same. This implies that one can not distinguish between $u\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times D$ and $v\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ in $(\mathbb{R} \times$ $D) \cap\left(Y^{+} \cup Y^{-}\right)$using the boundary measurements. Since the domain $Y_{0}$ is outside of $Y^{+} \cup \bar{Y}^{-}, Y_{0}$ is a temporal cloaking domain and the observer on $\mathbb{R} \times \partial D$ does not suspect its existence.
REmARK 2.1. Note that the change of variables (2.6) is not singular as it happens in the case of a spatial cloaking domain.

Summarizing the results of this section, we get the following theorem:


Fig. 2.1. Domain $Y_{0}$ is a temporal cloaked region.

Theorem 2.1. Let domains $Y_{0}, Y^{+}, Y^{-}$be the same as in (2.11) and (2.12) and let operators $\tilde{L}^{-}$and $\tilde{L}^{+}$be the same as in (2.13) and (2.16). Let the condition (2.6) hold. Consider the solution $v^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ of the initial-boundary value problem (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) in $Y^{-} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D)$.

Let $v^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ be the solution in $Y^{+} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times D)$ of the initial-boundary value problem (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18).

Let $v\left(y_{0}, y\right)=v^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ for $y_{0} \leq 0, v\left(y_{0}, y\right)=v^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ for $y_{0} \geq c(y)$, i.e. $v\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ is the solution in $\bar{Y}^{+} \cup Y^{-}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times D\right) \backslash Y_{0}$.

Let $u^{+}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=v^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ for $x_{0}>0$, where $x=y, x_{0}=y_{0}-c(y)$ and let $u^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=$ $v^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ for $x_{0}<0$ where $x=y, x_{0}=y_{0}$. It follows from (2.18) that $u\left(x_{0}, x\right)=$ $u^{+}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ for $x_{0}>0, u\left(x_{0}, x\right)=u^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ for $x_{0}<0$ extends to a smooth function
in $\mathbb{R} \times D$ that satisfies (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). The boundary measurements of $v\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ defined in $(\mathbb{R} \times D) \backslash Y_{0}$ and $u\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ defined in $\mathbb{R} \times D$ are equal.

Thus $Y_{0}$ is a perfect temporal cloak.

## 3. Physical interpretation of Theorem 2.1

Assume, for the definiteness, that (2.1) describes the vibration of a membrane where $a=\sqrt{\frac{T}{\rho}}$ is the speed, $T$ is the tension and $\rho$ is the density. Let $v^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ be the solution of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) for $y_{0} \leq 0$. We shall call $V^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ the physical solution of (2.1) (to distinguish from the numerical solution $v^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ ), i.e. $V^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ is the actual vibrating membrane where $V^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ is the position of the membrane at point $y$ and at time $y_{0} \leq 0$.

Consider now the equation $\tilde{L}^{+} v^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)=0$ in $Y^{+}$with the boundary condition (2.3) and the initial conditions (2.18). Denote by $w\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ the function $v^{+}\left(y_{0}-c(y), y\right)$ where $x_{0}=y_{0}-c(y) \geq 0, y=x$. Function $w\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ is the solution of $(2.1)$ for $x_{0} \in$ $[0,+\infty)$ with the boundary condition (2.3) and the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(0, x)=v^{-}(0, x), \quad \frac{\partial w(0, x)}{\partial x_{0}}=\frac{\partial v^{-}(0, x)}{\partial x_{0}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. (2.18)). Knowing $w\left(x_{0}, x\right)$, we can recover $v^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)=w\left(y_{0}-c(y), y\right), \quad\left(y_{0}, y\right) \in Y^{+} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ be the physical solution of $(2.1)$ for $x_{0}>0$, i.e. the actual membrane vibrationg on $(0,+\infty)$.

It follows from (3.2) that $V^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)=W\left(y_{0}-c(y), y\right)$ is the physical solution of (2.5) on $Y^{+}$, i.e. $V^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ is the actual vibrating membrane shifted in time.

It follows from (2.1) that the physical initial data of $V^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ on $y_{0}-c(y)=0$ are equal to the physical final data of $V^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ on $y_{0}=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)\right|_{y_{0}-c(y)=0}=V^{-}(0, y),\left.\quad \frac{\partial V^{+}}{\partial y_{0}}\right|_{y_{0}-c(y)=0}=\frac{\partial V^{-}(0, y)}{\partial y_{0}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $W(0, y)=V^{-}(0, y), \frac{\partial W(0, y)}{\partial y_{0}}=\frac{\partial V^{-}(0, y)}{\partial y_{0}}$.
Summarizing, we have a physical solution $V^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ (membrane) on $Y^{-}$satisfying the initial condition (2.2) and the boundary condition (2.3), and we have another physical solution $V^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ on $Y^{+}$satisfying the boundary condition (2.3). The initial physical condition of $V^{+}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ are equal to the final physical condition of $V^{-}\left(y_{0}, y\right)$. Note that the cloaking region $Y_{0}$ is outside of domains $Y^{-}$and $Y^{+}$of these two physical solutions $V^{-}$and $V^{+}$. If one takes the physical measurement of the force $T \frac{\partial V^{+}}{\partial n}$ on the boundary, one will get the same result as when we measure the force on the boundary for the initial boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), i.e. it is impossible to find out whether the cloaking region exists. Here $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is the normal derivative to $\partial D$.

## 4. More general equations

Results of $\S 2$ can be easily extended to the case of more general equations.
Consider a strictly hyperbolic equation in $\mathbb{R} \times D$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{j, k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-1)^{n} g\left(x_{0}, x\right)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\sqrt{(-1)^{n} g\left(x_{0}, x\right)} g^{j k}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \frac{\partial u\left(x_{0}, x\right)}{\partial x_{k}}\right)=0, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g^{-1}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[g^{j k}\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}, g^{00}(x, t)>0, \operatorname{det}\left[g^{j k}\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right]_{j, k=1}^{n} \neq 0$.
We assume that the initial and boundary conditions (2.2) and (2.3) are satisfied. Note that we allow the metric to be time-dependent.

We assume also that the boundary $\mathbb{R} \times \partial D$ is time-like, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g^{j k}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \nu_{j} \nu_{k}<0 \quad \text { on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial D \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n}\right)$ is the normal to $\mathbb{R} \times \partial D$. Then the initial-boundary value problem (4.1), (2.2) and (2.3) is well-posed (cf. [9], §23.2).

Consider the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \quad y_{k}=x_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Equation (4.1) has the following form in $\left(y_{0}, y_{n}\right)$ coordinates (cf. (2.5)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L} v \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{j, k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-1)^{n} \hat{g}\left(y_{0}, y\right)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\left(\sqrt{(-1)^{n} \hat{g}\left(y_{0}, y\right)} \hat{g}^{j k}\left(y_{0}, y\right) \frac{\partial v\left(y_{0}, y\right)}{\partial y_{k}}\right)=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{g}^{j k}\left(y_{0}, y\right) & =g^{j k}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n,  \tag{4.5}\\
\hat{g}^{00}\left(y_{0}, y\right) & =\sum_{p, r=0}^{n} g^{p r}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial x_{p}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial x_{r}},  \tag{4.6}\\
\hat{g}^{0 j}\left(y_{0}, y\right) & =\sum_{p=0}^{n} g^{p j}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial x_{p}} . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider the case when $\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ is arbitrarily strictly increasing in $x_{0}$ piecewise smooth function having a jump at $x_{0}=0$.

We get from $u\left(x_{0}, x\right)=v\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ as in $\S 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x_{j}}=v_{y_{j}}+\varphi_{0 x_{j}} v_{y_{0}}, 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad u_{x_{0}}=\varphi_{0 x_{0}} v_{y_{0}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore Equation (4.4) can be written in the form similar to (2.5).
Let $x_{0}=\psi\left(y_{0}, y\right)$ be the inverse to $\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}, y\right)$, i.e. $\varphi_{0}\left(\psi\left(y_{0}, y\right), y\right)=y_{0}$. As in (2.6) the Equation (4.4) will be hyperbolic with respect to $y_{0}$ if (cf. [9], §23.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}^{00}\left(y_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\sum_{p, r=0}^{n} g^{p r} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial x_{r}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial x_{p}}>0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (4.9) coincides with (2.6) when (4.1) has the form (2.1).
To simplify the condition (4.9), consider a particular case when $g^{00}>0, g^{0 j}=g^{j 0}=$ $0,1 \leq j \leq n$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} g^{j k} \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \leq-C_{0}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{n}^{2}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the case when the spatial part of the Equation (4.1) is elliptic. Let $\varphi_{0}=y_{o}-c(y)$ as in $\S 2$. Then the inequality (4.9) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{00}+\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g^{j k} c_{y_{j}} c_{y_{k}}>0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get from (4.10) and (4.11) that $\left|c_{y}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{C_{0}} g^{00}$.
For the well-posedness of the initial-boundary value problem, the boundary $\mathbb{R} \times \partial D$ must be time-like, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} \hat{g}^{j k}(y) \nu_{j} \nu_{k}<0 \quad \text { on } \quad \mathbb{R} \times \partial D \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (4.12) follows from (4.2) since $\nu_{0}=0$ and $\hat{g}^{j k}=g^{j k}$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. Therefore Theorem 2.1 holds also for the Equation (4.1), assuming that (4.9) holds.

Similar results hold for the hyperbolic systems, for example, the elasticity equations.

## REFERENCES

[1] T. Ergin, N. Stenger, P. Brenner, J. Pendry, and M. Wegener, The three-dimensional invisibility cloak at optical wave lengths, Science, 328(5976):337-339, 2010. 1
[2] G. Eskin, Lectures on Linear Partial Differential Equations, AMS, GSM, 123, 2011. 2
[3] M. Fridman et al, Demonstration of temporal cloaking, Nature, 481:62-65, 2012.
[4] A. Greenleaf, M. Lassas, and G. Uhlmann, On nonuniqueness for Calderon's inverse problem, Math. Res. Lett., 10:685-693, 2003. 1
[5] A. Greenleaf, Y. Kurylev, M. Lassas, and G. Uhlmann, Full-wave invisibility of active devices at all frequencies, Comm. Math. Phys., 275(3):749-789, 2007. 1
[6] A. Greenleaf, Y. Kurylev, M. Lassas, and G. Uhlmann, Invisibility and inverse problems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 46:55-97, 2009. 1
[7] A. Greenleaf, Y. Kurylev, M. Lassas, and G. Uhlmann, Cloaking devices, electromagnetic wormholes and transformation optics, SIAM Review, 51:3-33, 2009. 1
[8] J. Gratus, P. Kinsler, M. McCall, and R. Thompson, On spacetime transformation Optics in Temporal and Spatial Dispersion, New J. Phys., 18:1367-2630, 2016. 1
[9] L. Hormander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I, Springer Verlag, 3(24), 1985. 2
[10] P. Kinsler and M. McCall, Cloaks, editors and bubbles: application of spacetime transformation theory, Ann. Phys. (Berlin), 526(1-2):51-62, 2014. 1
[11] P. Kinsler and M. McCall, Generalized transformation design: metrics, speeds and diffusion, Wave Motion, 77:91-106, 2018. 1
[12] U. Leonhardt, Optimal conformal mapping, Science, 312:1777-1780, 2006. 1
[13] H. Liu and G. Uhlmann, Regularized transformation optics cloaking in acoustic and electromagnetic scattering, Inverse problems and imaging, Panor Syntheses, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 44:111-136, 2015. 1
[14] M. McCall et al, A spacetime cloak, or a history editor, Journal of Optics, 13(2):024003, 2011. 1
[15] J.B. Pendry, D. Schurig, and D.R. Smith, Controlling electromagnetic fields, Science, 312:17801782, 2006. 1
[16] D. Schurig, J. Mock, B. Justice, S. Cummer, J. Pendry, A. Starr, and D. Smith, Metamaterial electromagnetic cloak at microwave frequencies, Science, 314:977-980, 2006. 1


[^0]:    *Received: February 23, 2018; Accepted (in revised form): June 20, 2018. Communicated by Shi Jin.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Department of Mathematics, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles CA 90095-1555, USA (eskin@math.ucla.edu).

