

GLOBAL STRONG SOLUTIONS TO THE CAUCHY PROBLEM OF 1D COMPRESSIBLE MHD EQUATIONS WITH NO RESISTIVITY*

ZILAI LI[†], HUAQIAO WANG[‡], AND YULIN YE[§]

Abstract. We consider the Cauchy problem to the 1D non-resistive compressible magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) equations. We establish the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for large initial data and vacuum when the viscosity coefficient is assumed to be constant or density-dependent. The analysis is based on the full use of effective viscous flux and the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality to get the higher-order estimates of the solutions. This result could be viewed as the first one on the global well-posedness of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of 1D non-resistive compressible MHD equations while the initial data may be arbitrarily large and permit vacuum.

Keywords. 1D compressible MHD equations; zero-resistivity; Cauchy problem; global strong solutions; vacuum.

AMS subject classifications. 35D35; 35Q35; 76N10; 76W05.

1. Introduction and main result

Compressible magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) equations with density-dependent viscosity in \mathbb{R}^1 can be described as:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2 + P(\rho) + \frac{1}{2}b^2)_x = (\mu(\rho)u_x)_x, \\ b_t + (ub)_x = \nu b_{xx}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\rho, u, P(\rho)$ and b denote the density, velocity, pressure and magnetic field, respectively. $\mu(\rho) = 1 + a\rho^\beta$ ($\beta \geq 0$) is the viscosity, the constant $\nu > 0$ is the resistivity coefficient acting as the magnetic diffusion coefficient of the magnetic field. In this paper, we consider the isentropic compressible MHD equations in which the equation of the state has the form

$$P(\rho) = R\rho^\gamma, \gamma > 1.$$

For simplicity, we set $a = R = 1$.

The compressible MHD equations are used to describe the macroscopic behavior of the electrically conducting fluid in a magnetic field. However, it is well known that the resistivity coefficient ν is inversely proportional to the electrical conductivity, therefore it is more reasonable to ignore the magnetic diffusion which means $\nu = 0$, when the conducting fluid considered is of high conductivity, for example the ideal conductors. So instead of Equations (1.1), when there is no resistivity, the system reduces to the so-called compressible, isentropic, viscous and non-resistive MHD equations with the

*Received: December 05, 2018; Accepted (in revised form): December 06, 2019. Communicated by Song Jiang.

[†]School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454000, P.R. China (lizl@hpu.edu.cn).

[‡]College of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, P.R. China (hqwang111@163.com).

[§]Corresponding author. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, P.R. China (ylye@vip.henu.edu.cn).

following form:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2 + P(\rho) + \frac{1}{2}b^2)_x = (\mu(\rho)u_x)_x, \\ b_t + (ub)_x = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for (1.2) with (ρ, u, b) satisfying the initial conditions as follows:

$$(\rho, u, b)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(x), u_0(x), b_0(x)) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.3)$$

There has been a huge amount of literature on the studies of the compressible MHD equations by physicists and mathematics due to its physical importance, complexity, rich phenomena, and mathematical challenges. Before stating our main theorem, we briefly recall some previously known results on compressible MHD equations. Firstly, we begin with the MHD equations with magnetic diffusion. For one-dimensional case, Vol’pert-Hudjaev [24] proved the local existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem and Kawashima-Okada [20] obtained the global smooth solutions with small initial data. For large initial data and the density that may contain vacuum, the authors Ye-Li [27] proved the global existence of strong solutions to the 1D Cauchy problem. When considering the full MHD equations and the heat conductivity depending on the temperature θ , Chen-Wang [3] studied the free boundary value problem and established the existence, uniqueness and Lipschitz dependence of strong solutions. Recently, Fan-Huang-Li [7] obtained the global strong solutions to the initial boundary value problem to the planner MHD equations with temperature-dependent heat conductivity. Later, with the effect of self-gravitation as well as the influence of radiation on the dynamics in high temperature regimes taken into account, Zhang-Xie [29] obtained the global strong solutions to the initial boundary value problem for the nonlinear planner MHD equations. For multi-dimensional MHD equations, Lv-Shi-Xu [22] considered the 2-D isentropic MHD equations and proved the global existence of classical solutions provided that the initial energy is small, in which the decay rates of the solutions were also obtained. By exploiting some $L^p - L^q$ estimates of solutions for the heat equation and linearized Navier-Stokes system, Zhang-Zhao [30] obtained the time-asymptotic behavior of solutions to the 3D isentropic MHD equations when the initial data around a constant state is sufficiently small in H^3 and is bounded in L^p with any given $1 \leq p \leq \frac{6}{5}$. Vol’pert-Hudjaev [24] and Fan-Yu [6] obtained the local classical solution to the 3-D compressible MHD equations where the initial density is strictly positive or could contain vacuum, respectively. Hu-Wang [9] derived the global weak solutions to the 3-D compressible MHD equations with large initial data. Recently, Li-Xu-Zhang [21] established the global existence of classical solution of 3-D MHD equations which are of small energy but possibly large oscillations. Later, the result was improved by Hong-Hou-Peng-Zhu [8] provided $((\gamma - 1)^{\frac{1}{5}} + \nu^{-\frac{1}{4}})E_0$ is suitably small. When the resistivity is zero, then the magnetic equation is reduced from the heat-type equation to the hyperbolic-type equation, the problem becomes more challenging, hence the results are few. Kawashima [19] obtained the classical solutions to 3-D MHD equations when the initial data are of small perturbations in H^3 norm and away from vacuum. Xu-Zhang [25] proved a blow-up criterion of strong solutions for 3-D isentropic MHD equations with vacuum. Fan-Hu [5] established the global strong solutions to the initial boundary value problem of 1-D heat-conducting MHD equations with no resistivity. We note that some authors considered the Rayleigh-Taylor problem

of the non-resistive MHD equations (see [4, 10–16] and references therein). With more general heat-conductivity, Zhang-Zhao [31] established the global strong solutions and also obtained the non-resistivity limits of the solutions in L^2 norm. Jiang-Zhang [17] considered the initial boundary value problem to 1-D isentropic MHD equations and obtained the global strong solutions, in which they also gave the “magnetic boundary layer” estimates when the resistivity $\nu \rightarrow 0$. Yu [28] obtained the global existence of strong solutions to the initial boundary value problem of 1-D isentropic non-resistive MHD equations. It is easy to see that the previous results concern the initial boundary value problem, however, for the Cauchy problem, the global strong solution with large initial data and vacuum is still unknown. The aim of this paper is to study the existence and uniqueness of global strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of 1-D non resistive MHD equations with large initial data and vacuum.

Notations: We denote the material derivative of u and effective viscous flux by

$$\dot{u} \triangleq u_t + uu_x \text{ and } F \triangleq \mu(\rho)u_x - P(\rho) - \frac{1}{2}b^2, \int \cdot = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot dx,$$

$$D^k = \{u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \|\partial_x^k u\|_{L^2} < \infty\}, \quad \|u\|_{D^k} = \|\partial_x^k u\|_{L^2}, \quad H^k = L^2 \cap D^k.$$

The main result of this paper can be stated as:

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that the initial data $(\rho_0, u_0, b_0)(x)$ satisfies*

$$0 \leq \rho_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad b_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0(1 + |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_{0x} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad (\rho_0^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, b_0|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$
(1.4)

for $2 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sqrt[3]{4}}}$ (≈ 2.46), and the compatibility condition

$$\left(\mu(\rho_0)u_{0x} - P(\rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}b_0^2 \right)_x = \sqrt{\rho_0}g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1.5)

with some g satisfying $g(1 + |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then for any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$, there exists a unique global strong solution (ρ, u, b) to the Cauchy problem (1.2)-(1.3) such that

$$0 \leq (\rho, b) \leq C, \quad (\rho, b) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R})), \quad (\rho_t, b_t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R})),$$

$$\sqrt{\rho}u(1 + |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}), \quad \sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}(1 + |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}), \quad |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(u_x, \rho^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}, b) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R})),$$

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R})), \quad \sqrt{\rho}u_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R})), \quad u_t \in L^2(0, T; D^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

REMARK 1.1. The restrictions $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 1.1 can be generalized to $\beta \geq 0$ if an additional condition $\rho_0^\beta \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is given.

REMARK 1.2. It is easy to see that our result holds for both the density-dependent viscosity ($\beta \geq 1$) case and constant viscosity ($\beta = 0$) case.

REMARK 1.3. When there is no magnetic field, that is, $b = 0$, our result reduces to that of the 1-D isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations in [18], which means that we generalized the result in [18] to the MHD equations even with no magnetic diffusion.

We now give some comments on the analysis of this paper. Comparing with the previously known results relating to resistive MHD equations and the initial boundary

value problems, some new difficulties will arise. Firstly, we can not get the integrability of the magnetic field b in $L^p(1 < p < \infty)$ space directly due to the lack of magnetic diffusion, where the estimate $\int_0^T \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} dt$ is needed (see (3.19)); to overcome this difficulty, motivated by the Navier-Stokes equations in which the effective viscous flux has more regularity than the gradient of velocity, similarly we introduce the effective viscous flux F in MHD equations and estimate the integral of the effective viscous flux F instead of u_x (see (3.19)-(3.24)). Next, the 1-D non-resistive MHD equations look similar to the two-phase fluids (e.g. [26]), hence, when handling the MHD equations, usually it is technically assumed that the proportion between the magnetic field and density is bounded, that is $\rho, b \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \frac{b}{\rho} < \infty$, which implies the magnetic field b is bounded provided the density ρ is bounded. However, this assumption is not physical and realistic in magnetohydrodynamics, so how to obtain the upper bound of the magnetic field is another main difficulty, our strategy is based on the full use of effective viscous flux, the material derivative and the structure of the equations. Finally, when deriving the high order derivatives of the solutions, the Poincaré-type inequality is no longer valid and we have no $L^p(1 \leq p < \infty)$ norm of the velocity because the region considered is the whole space. To overcome this difficulty, we establish the weighted estimates on the solutions by using the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality and furthermore obtain some $L^p(1 < p < \infty)$ and L^∞ norm of the velocity (see (3.37) and (3.38)).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminary lemmas which will be used later. Section 3 is devoted to proving our main results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some well-known inequalities which will be used frequently when deriving the global a priori estimates. The first one is the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

LEMMA 2.1 (**Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality [23]**). *For any $f \in W^{1,m}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R})$, there exists some generic constant $C > 0$ which may depend on q, r such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^q} \leq C \|f\|_{L^r}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla f\|_{L^m}^\theta, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\theta = (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q})(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{m} + 1)^{-1}$, if $m \geq 1$, then $q \in [r, \infty]$.

The following Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality is the key to deal with the Cauchy problem in this paper.

LEMMA 2.2 (**Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality [1, 2]**).

(1) *For any $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, it holds that*

$$\| |x|^\kappa h \|_r \leq C \| |x|^\alpha |\partial_x h| \|_p^\theta \| |x|^\beta h \|_q^{1-\theta}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $1 \leq p, q < \infty, 0 < r < \infty, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, \frac{1}{p} + \alpha > 0, \frac{1}{q} + \beta > 0, \frac{1}{r} + \kappa > 0$ and satisfying

$$\frac{1}{r} + \kappa = \theta \left(\frac{1}{p} + \alpha - 1 \right) + (1 - \theta) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \beta \right), \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\kappa = \theta \sigma + (1 - \theta) \beta.$$

with $0 \leq \alpha - \sigma$ if $\theta > 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha - \sigma \leq 1$ if $\theta = 0$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \alpha - 1 = \frac{1}{r} + \kappa$.

(2) (Best constant for Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality.) For any $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, it holds that

$$\| |x|^b h \|_p \leq C_{a,b} \| |x|^a \partial_x h \|_2, \tag{2.4}$$

where $a > \frac{1}{2}, a - 1 \leq b \leq a - \frac{1}{2}$ and $p = \frac{2}{2(a-b)-1}$. If $b = a - 1$, then $p = 2$ and the best constant in the inequality (2.4) is

$$C_{a,b} = C_{a,a-1} = \left| \frac{2a-1}{2} \right|.$$

3. Proof of the Theorem 1.1

In this section, we get a global solution to (1.2)-(1.4) with initial density having lower bound $\delta e^{-|x|^2} > 0$ by using some a priori estimates of the solution based on the local existence. Theorem 1.1 would be obtained after we make some a priori estimates uniformly for δ and take $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$.

Denote $\rho_0^\delta = \rho_0 + \delta e^{-|x|^2} > 0$ for $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Throughout this section, we denote C to be a generic constant depending on ρ_0, u_0, b_0, T and some other known constants but independent of δ for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Clearly,

$$\rho_0^\delta \rightarrow \rho_0 \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}), \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

To approximate the initial velocity, we define u_0^δ as

$$u_0^\delta = \begin{cases} \tilde{u}_0^\delta, & |x| < M + 1, \\ u_0, & |x| \geq M + 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where \tilde{u}_0^δ is the unique solution to the following elliptic equation:

$$\begin{cases} (\mu(\rho_0^\delta) \tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta)_x = P(\rho_0^\delta)_x + \frac{1}{2}(b_0^2)_x + \sqrt{\rho_0}g(x), & \text{in } \Omega_M := \{x \mid |x| < M + 1\}, \\ \tilde{u}_0^\delta|_{|x|=M+1} = u_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Since $\rho_0^\delta = \rho_0 + \delta e^{-|x|^2} \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $P(\rho_0^\delta) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $b_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\sqrt{\rho_0}g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, by the elliptic theory, (1.5) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_0^\delta\|_{H^2(\Omega_M)} &\leq C (\|(\rho_0^\delta)_x\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta\|_{L^\infty} + \|P(\rho_0^\delta)_x\|_{L^2} + \|(b_0^2)_x\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho_0}g\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C (\varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_0^\delta\|_{H^2(\Omega_M)} + 1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

which implies

$$\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta\|_{H^2(\Omega_M)} \leq C. \tag{3.4}$$

From the compatibility conditions (1.5) and (3.2), it follows that

$$\begin{cases} (\mu(\rho_0)(\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_x)_x = ((\mu(\rho_0) - \mu(\rho_0^\delta)) \tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta)_x + (P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0))_x, & \text{in } \Omega_M, \\ (\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)|_{|x|=M+1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

which yields

$$\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_M)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C(\|\mu(\rho_0)_x\|_{L^2}\|(\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty}\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta\|_{H^2} \\
 &\quad + \|(\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0))_x\|_{L^2}\|\tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta\|_{L^\infty} + \|(P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0))_x\|_{L^2}) \\
 &\leq C(\|\mu(\rho_0)_x\|_{L^2}\|(\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_x\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_{xx}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty}\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta\|_{H^2} \\
 &\quad + \|(\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0))_x\|_{L^2}\|\tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta\|_{L^\infty} + \|(P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0))_x\|_{L^2}) \\
 &\leq \delta\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_M)} + C(\|\mu(\rho_0)_x\|_{L^2}^2\|(\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_x\|_{L^2} + \|\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty}\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta\|_{H^2} \\
 &\quad + \|(\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0))_x\|_{L^2}\|\tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta\|_{L^\infty} + \|(P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0))_x\|_{L^2}). \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

To deal with the second term on the right-hand side of (3.6), we multiply the Equation (3.5)₁ by $(\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)$ and integrate over Ω_M to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega_M} \mu(\rho_0) ((\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_x)^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_M} (\mu(\rho_0) - \mu(\rho_0^\delta))\tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta(\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_x dx + \int_{\Omega_M} (P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0))(\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_x dx \\
 &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega_M} ((\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_x)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_M} (\mu(\rho_0) - \mu(\rho_0^\delta))^2(\tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_M} (P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0))^2 dx \\
 &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega_M} ((\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0)_x)^2 dx + \|\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega_M)}^2\|\tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_M)}^2 + \|P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega_M)}^2, \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with $\mu(\rho_0) = 1 + \rho_0^\beta$ gives

$$\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \|\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0)\|_{L^2}\|\tilde{u}_{0x}^\delta\|_{L^\infty} + \|P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0)\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.8}$$

It follows from (3.6) and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta - u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega_M)} \\
 &\leq \|\mu(\rho_0)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_M)}^2 (\|\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega_M)}\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta\|_{H^2(\Omega_M)} + \|P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega_M)}) \\
 &\quad + \|\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty}\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta\|_{H^2(\Omega_M)} + \|(\mu(\rho_0^\delta) - \mu(\rho_0))_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_M)}\|\tilde{u}_0^\delta\|_{H^2(\Omega_M)} \\
 &\quad + \|(P(\rho_0^\delta) - P(\rho_0))_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_M)} \\
 &\leq C\delta \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+. \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using (3.1) and (3.9), we get

$$u_0^\delta \rightarrow u_0, \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+. \tag{3.10}$$

Furthermore

$$\sqrt{\rho_0^\delta}u_0^\delta(1 + |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \rightarrow \sqrt{\rho_0}u_0(1 + |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+,$$

and

$$(\rho_0^\delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rightarrow \rho_0^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \quad u_{0x}^\delta|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rightarrow u_{0x}|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we need the following auxiliary theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.1, for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a unique global solution $(\rho^\delta, u^\delta, b^\delta)$ to (1.2)-(1.4) with the initial data replaced by $(\rho_0^\delta, u_0^\delta, b_0)$ such that for any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$*

$$0 < \delta e^{(-|x|^2 - C(T))} \leq \rho^\delta \leq C, \quad 0 \leq b^\delta \leq C,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\rho^\delta, b^\delta) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R})), \quad (\rho_t^\delta, b_t^\delta) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R})), \\
 &(\sqrt{\rho^\delta} u^\delta, \sqrt{\rho^\delta} u_x^\delta)(1 + |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}), \quad |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left((\rho^\delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}, b^\delta, u_x^\delta \right) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R})), \\
 &u^\delta \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R})), \quad \sqrt{\rho^\delta} u_t^\delta \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R})), \quad u_t^\delta \in L^2(0, T; D^1(\mathbb{R})).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The local existence and uniqueness of the strong solutions in Theorem 3.1 is standard by the fixed point theorem, and we omit it here for brevity. Based on it, Theorem 3.1 can be proved by some global a priori estimates.

For any $T \in (0, \infty)$, let $(\rho^\delta, u^\delta, b^\delta)$ be the solution to (1.2)-(1.4) as in Theorem 3.1, without confusion, we still denote the solution by (ρ, u, b) instead of $(\rho^\delta, u^\delta, b^\delta)$ to simplify the presentation. First, we establish the upper bounds of the density ρ and magnetic field b . □

3.1. Pointwise bounds on ρ and b .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). Then for any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) dx + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(\rho) (u_x)^2 dx dt \leq C. \tag{3.11}$$

The upper bound of the density is stated as follows.

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose that (ρ, u, b) is a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). Then for any $T > 0$, there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ which depends on the initial data and $\beta \geq 0$ such that*

$$\rho(x, t) \leq C, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, T].$$

Proof. Let

$$\xi = \int_{-\infty}^x \rho u(y) dy.$$

Using the momentum Equation (1.2)₂, we have

$$\xi_{tx} + \left(\rho u^2 + P(\rho) + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right)_x = (\mu(\rho) u_x)_x.$$

Integrating with respect to x over $(-\infty, x)$ yields

$$\xi_t + \rho u^2 + P(\rho) + \frac{1}{2} b^2 - \mu(\rho) u_x = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

By using the mass Equation (1.2)₁, we rewrite (3.12) as

$$\xi_t + \rho u^2 + \mu(\rho) \frac{\rho_t + u \rho_x}{\rho} + P(\rho) + \frac{1}{2} b^2 = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $X(t, x)$ be the particle trajectory defined by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX(t, x)}{dt} = u(X(t, x), t), \\ X(0, x) = x. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

Then

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt}(X(t, x), t) = \xi_t + u\xi_x = \xi_t + \rho u^2. \tag{3.15}$$

Denote

$$\eta(\rho) = \int_1^\rho \frac{\mu(s)}{s} ds = \begin{cases} \ln \rho + \frac{1}{\beta}(\rho^\beta - 1), & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ 2\ln \rho, & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (3.13) and (3.15) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\xi + \eta)(X(t, x), t) + P(X(t, x), t) + \frac{1}{2}b^2(X(t, x), t) = 0, \tag{3.16}$$

which together with $P(X(t, x), t) + \frac{1}{2}b^2(X(t, x), t) \geq 0$ gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\xi + \eta)(X(t, x), t) \leq 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Integrating (3.17) over $(0, t)$, we have

$$(\xi + \eta)(X(t, x), t) \leq \xi(X(0, x), 0) + \eta(X(0, x), 0).$$

Since

$$\xi(X(0, x), 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{X((0, x), 0)} \rho_0 u_0(y) dy \leq \left| \int \rho_0 u_0 dy \right| \leq \|\sqrt{\rho_0} u_0\|_{L^2} \|\rho_0\|_{L^1}^{1/2} \leq C,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(X(0, x), 0) &= \int_1^{\rho_0} \frac{\mu(s)}{s} ds = \begin{cases} \ln \rho_0 + \frac{1}{\beta}(\rho_0^\beta - 1), & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ 2\ln \rho_0, & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \rho_0 + \frac{1}{\beta}(\rho_0^\beta - 1), & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ 2\rho_0, & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\xi(x, t) + \eta(x, t) \leq C,$$

which implies

$$\ln \rho + \frac{1}{\beta}(\rho^\beta - 1) \leq C - \int_{-\infty}^x \rho u dx \leq C + \int |\rho u| dx \leq C + \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^1}^{1/2} \leq C, \quad \beta > 0,$$

or

$$2\ln \rho \leq C - \int_{-\infty}^x \rho u dx \leq C + \int |\rho u| dx \leq C + \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2} \|\rho\|_{L^1}^{1/2} \leq C, \quad \beta = 0.$$

Thus we can obtain

$$\ln \rho \leq \begin{cases} C + \frac{1}{\beta}, & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ C, & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\rho(x, t) \leq C, \quad \beta \geq 0.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is completed. □

LEMMA 3.3. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). Then for any $T > 0$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))} &\leq C, \\ \|u_x\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}))} &\leq C, \\ \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}))} &\leq C. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3.3 will be divided into three steps.

Step 1. Multiplying the equation (1.2)₃ by $2nb^{2n-1}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int b^{2n} dx &= - \int u (b^{2n})_x dx - 2n \int u_x b^{2n} dx \\ &= -(2n-1) \int b^{2n} u_x dx = -(2n-1) \int b^{2n} \frac{F+P+\frac{1}{2}b^2}{\mu(\rho)} dx \\ &\leq -(2n-1) \int b^{2n} \frac{F}{\mu(\rho)} dx \\ &\leq (2n-1) \|F\|_{L^\infty} \|b\|_{L^{2n}}^{2n} \\ &\leq C(2n-1) (1 + \|u_x\|_{L^2} + \|b\|_{L^4}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|b\|_{L^{2n}}^{2n}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where $F = \mu(\rho)u_x - P(\rho) - \frac{1}{2}b^2$ and we have used the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|F\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F_x\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|\mu(\rho)u_x - P(\rho) - \frac{1}{2}b^2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(1 + \|u_x\|_{L^2} + \|b\|_{L^4}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Step 2. Next, we estimate the term $\|u_x\|_{L^2}$ on the right-hand side of (3.19). By multiplying the equation (1.2)₂ by \dot{u} , integrating the resulting equality over \mathbb{R} and using (1.2)₁, (1.2)₃ and effective fluid flux $F = \mu(\rho)u_x - P(\rho) - \frac{1}{2}b^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \mu(\rho)(u_x)^2 dx + \int \rho\dot{u}^2 dx \\ &= \int \frac{1}{2} (\rho_t^\beta + u\rho_x^\beta)(u_x)^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int \mu(\rho)(u_x)^3 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(P + \frac{1}{2}b^2\right) u_x dx - \int \left(\left(P + \frac{1}{2}b^2\right)_t + \left(P + \frac{1}{2}b^2\right)_x u \right) u_x dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(P + \frac{1}{2}b^2\right) u_x dx - \frac{1}{2} \int (1 + (\beta + 1)\rho^\beta)(u_x)^3 dx + \int (\gamma\rho^\gamma + b^2)(u_x)^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(P + \frac{1}{2}b^2\right) u_x dx + C(\|F + P + \frac{1}{2}b^2\|_{L^3}^3 + \|b\|_{L^6}^6 + 1) \\ &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(P + \frac{1}{2}b^2\right) u_x dx + C((1 + \|u_x\|_{L^2} + \|b\|_{L^4}^2)^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|b\|_{L^6}^6 + 1) \\ &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(P + \frac{1}{2}b^2\right) u_x dx + \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|u_x\|_{L^2}^{\frac{10}{3}} + \|b\|_{L^4}^{\frac{20}{3}} + \|b\|_{L^6}^6 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(P + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) u_x dx + \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_1 (\|u_x\|_{L^2}^4 + \|b\|_{L^6}^6 + 1), \tag{3.21}$$

where in the fourth and last inequalities we have used the following inequalities, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{L^3}^3 &\leq \|F\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}} \|F_x\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|\mu(\rho)u_x - P - \frac{1}{2}b^2\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\rho \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(1 + \|u_x\|_{L^2} + \|b\|_{L^4}^2)^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\|b\|_{L^4} \leq \|b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|b\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{4}} \leq C \|b\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ suitably small and integrating (3.21) over $[0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int u_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int \rho \dot{u}^2 dx dt \\ &\leq \int \left(P + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) u_x dx + C_1 \int_0^T \|b\|_{L^6}^6 dt + C \left(\int_0^T \|u_x\|_{L^2}^4 dt + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int (u_x)^2 dx + C_2 \int b^4 dx + C_1 \int_0^T \|b\|_{L^6}^6 dt + C \left(\int_0^T \|u_x\|_{L^2}^4 dt + 1 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Step 3. To estimate the term $\|b\|_{L^6}$ on the right-hand side of (3.23), we use the fact that $u_x = \frac{F+P+\frac{1}{2}b^2}{\mu(\rho)}$ and multiply the Equation (1.2)₃ by $4b^3$ and then integrate over \mathbb{R} to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int b^4 dx + 3 \int \left(\frac{Pb^4}{\mu(\rho)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{b^6}{\mu(\rho)} \right) dx = -3 \int \frac{Fb^4}{\mu(\rho)} dx \\ &\leq C \|F\|_{L^3} \|b\|_{L^6}^4 \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|b\|_{L^6}^6 + C \|F\|_{L^3}^3 \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|b\|_{L^6}^6 + C(1 + \|u_x\|_{L^2} + \|b\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\|b\|_{L^6}^6 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) + C(1 + \|u_x\|_{L^2} + \|b\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{10}{3}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon (\|b\|_{L^6}^6 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2) + C(1 + \|u_x\|_{L^2}^4). \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ suitably small and integrating (3.24) over $[0, T]$, we have

$$\int b^4 dx + \int_0^T \int b^6 dx dt \leq \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \left(1 + \int_0^T \|u_x\|_{L^2}^4 dt \right). \tag{3.25}$$

Adding (3.25) multiplied by large enough $C_1 + C_2 + 1$ to (3.23), and then taking ε suitably small, we obtain

$$\int (u_x^2 + b^4) dx + \int_0^T \int \rho \dot{u}^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int b^6 dx dt \leq C \int_0^T \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C,$$

which together with Grönwall’s inequality and (3.11) yields

$$\int (u_x^2 + b^4) dx + \int_0^T \int \rho \dot{u}^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int b^6 dx dt \leq C. \tag{3.26}$$

The combination of (3.26) with (3.19) leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int b^{2n} dx \leq C(2n - 1) \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|b\|_{L^{2n}}^{2n},$$

which together with Grönwall’s inequality and (3.26) gives

$$\|b\|_{L^{2n}} \leq \exp \left\{ C \int_0^T \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \right\} \|b_0\|_{L^{2n}} \leq C.$$

Let $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\|b\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C.$$

Then the proof of Lemma 3.3 is completed. □

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). For any $T > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &\geq \delta e^{(-|x|^2 - C(T))} > 0, \\ \|(F, u_x)\|_{L^4(0,T;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))} &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we deduce the positive lower bound of the density as follows.

By using $\rho \leq C(T)$ in Lemma 3.2, $b \leq C(T)$ in Lemma 3.3 and integrating (3.16) over $[0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta(X(x, t), t) - \eta(X(x, 0), 0) \\ &= -\xi(X(x, t), t) + \xi(X(x, 0), 0) - \int_0^T (P + \frac{1}{2}b^2)(X(x, s), s) ds \\ &\leq C(T), \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

which implies

$$|\eta(X(x, t), t) - \eta(X(x, 0), 0)| \leq C(T) \Rightarrow \eta(X(x, t), t) - \eta(X(x, 0), 0) \geq -C(T).$$

Consequently, we get

$$\ln \rho \geq \ln \rho_0 - C(T) \Rightarrow \rho \geq \rho_0 e^{-C(T)} > \delta e^{(-|x|^2 - C(T))} > 0,$$

where we have used $\rho_0^\delta = \rho_0 + \delta e^{-|x|^2}$ and $\rho_0 \geq 0$.

Next, using (3.20), (3.26) and $1 \leq \mu(\rho) \leq C$, we obtain

$$\int_0^T \|F\|_{L^\infty}^4 dt \leq C \int_0^T \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \tag{3.28}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 and (3.18)₁ that

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\mu(\rho)u_x\|_{L^\infty} = \|F + P + \frac{1}{2}b^2\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|F\|_{L^\infty} + C \leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C, \tag{3.29}$$

which together with Lemma 3.3 gives

$$\int_0^T \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^4 dt \leq C \int_0^T \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C.$$

Then the proof of Corollary 3.1 is completed. □

3.2. Higher order estimates on ρ, u and b .

LEMMA 3.4. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). Then for any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$, it holds that*

$$\int (\rho_x^2 + b_x^2) dx + \int_0^T \int u_{xx}^2 dx dt \leq C.$$

Proof. Differentiating the mass Equation (1.2)₁ with respect to x , multiplying the resulting equation by ρ_x and integrating over \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{1}{2} \rho_x^2 dx &= -\frac{3}{2} \int u_x \rho_x^2 dx - \int u_{xx} \rho \rho_x dx \\ &\leq C \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2} \|\rho_x\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty})(\|\rho_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b_x\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

where we have used the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\mu(\rho) u_{xx}\|_{L^2} = \|\rho \dot{u} + P_x + (\frac{1}{2} b^2)_x - \rho_x^\beta u_x\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\rho_x\|_{L^2} + \|b_x\|_{L^2} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho_x\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Similar to the argument of (3.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{1}{2} b_x^2 dx &= -\frac{3}{2} \int u_x b_x^2 dx - \int u_{xx} b b_x dx \\ &\leq C \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|b_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2} \|b_x\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty})(\|b_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho_x\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Adding (3.32) to (3.30), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{1}{2} (b_x^2 + \rho_x^2) dx \leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty})(\|\rho_x\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b_x\|_{L^2}^2), \tag{3.33}$$

which together with Grönwall’s inequality, Corollary 3.1 and (3.18)₃ yields

$$\int (b_x^2 + \rho_x^2) dx \leq C(T). \tag{3.34}$$

It follows from (3.31), (3.34), (3.29) and Cauchy’s inequality that

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{L^2} \leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + C.$$

This together with (3.18)₃ yields that

$$\int_0^T \int |u_{xx}|^2 dx dt \leq C.$$

Then we complete the proof of Lemma 3.4. □

To obtain the estimate of $\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$, (for some $p \in (1, +\infty)$), we need the following weighted energy estimates.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). Then for any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$, if $2 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sqrt[3]{4}}}$, it holds that*

$$\int |x|^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} P + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) dx + \int_0^T \int |x|^\alpha (1 + \rho^\beta) u_x^2 dx dt \leq C(T),$$

moreover, there exists a fixed constant $\frac{2}{\alpha-1} < \tilde{p} < 2$ such that

$$\int_0^T \|u\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}}^2 dx dt \leq C(T).$$

Proof. To prove Lemma 3.5, we divide it into two cases.

(i) Case 1: $\beta \geq 1$. Multiplying (1.2)₁, (1.2)₂, (1.2)₃ by $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} |x|^\alpha \rho^{\gamma-1}$, $|x|^\alpha u$, $|x|^\alpha b$, respectively and integrating with respect to x over \mathbb{R} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) |x|^\alpha dx + \int (1 + \rho^\beta) |x|^\alpha u_x^2 dx \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2} \int \rho u^3 |x|^{\alpha-2} x dx - \int \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x (1 + \rho^\beta) u u_x dx + \int \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma + b^2 \right) \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x u dx \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Now, we estimate I_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) in the following way. First, for the term I_1 , it follows from Hölder’s inequality and Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \int \rho u^3 |x|^{\alpha-1} dx \leq C \int (\rho u^2 |x|^\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} (\rho u^2)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} u dx \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho} u |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^{2(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho} u |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^{2(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

To estimate the terms $\|u\|_{L^\infty}$ on the right-hand side of (3.36), firstly, using the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality (2.4) gives

$$\|u\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}} \leq C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|u_x\|_{L^2}^\theta, \tag{3.37}$$

where the indexes $\tilde{p}, \alpha, \theta$ satisfy

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 \right) (1 - \theta) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 1 \right) \theta \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\tilde{p}\alpha - \tilde{p} - 2}{\tilde{p}\alpha} \in (0, 1),$$

for some fixed large constant $\tilde{p} > \frac{2}{\alpha-1}$. Moreover, we have $u \in L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R})$, $\tilde{p} < 2$ provided $\alpha > 2$. Then, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (2.1) and (3.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \|u\|_{L^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}+2}}}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}+2}} \|u_x\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\tilde{p}+2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^{\frac{\tilde{p}+2}{\tilde{p}\alpha}} \|u_x\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\tilde{p}\alpha - \tilde{p} - 2}{\tilde{p}\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}+2}} \|u_x\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{\tilde{p}+2}} \\ &\leq C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|u_x\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Putting (3.38) into (3.36) and then using Cauchy’s inequality gives

$$I_1 \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho} u |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^{2(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \| \sqrt{\rho} u |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2}^{2(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \\
 &\leq C (\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} + \| \sqrt{\rho} u |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2}^2) \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \| \sqrt{\rho} u |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2}^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Next, for the term I_2 , by Hölder’s inequality, the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequalities (2.2) and (2.4), Young’s inequality and (3.18)₂, we deduce that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= -\alpha \int (1 + \rho^\beta) u u_x |x|^{\alpha-2} x dx \\
 &= -\frac{\alpha}{2} \int (u^2)_x |x|^{\alpha-2} x dx - \int \alpha \rho^\beta |x|^{\alpha-2} x u u_x dx \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int u^2 \left((\alpha-2) |x|^{\alpha-3} \frac{x}{|x|} x + |x|^{\alpha-2} \right) + \alpha \| \sqrt{\rho^\beta} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} \| \sqrt{\rho^\beta} \|_{L^{p_1}} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} u \|_{L^{p_2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} u \|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \| \sqrt{\rho^\beta} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} \| u_x \|_{L^2}^\theta \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} + \varepsilon \right) \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^2 + C,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

where the indexes $\alpha > 2, p_1 > 2, p_2 > 2, \theta \in (0, 1)$, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - 1 \right) \theta + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 \right) (1 - \theta), \\
 \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} &< 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{1-\theta} < \alpha < \frac{1}{\theta} \implies \theta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

By (3.41) and $\alpha > 2$, we first choose α as

$$(\alpha - 1)^3 < \frac{8}{\alpha} < 4 \implies \alpha < 1 + \sqrt[3]{4}.$$

Then, to guarantee (3.41)₂, we impose

$$\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} < \frac{(1 + \sqrt[3]{4})(\alpha-1)^3}{8} < 1,$$

which implies that

$$(\alpha - 1)^3 < \frac{8}{1 + \sqrt[3]{4}} \implies \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1 + \sqrt[3]{4}}}. \tag{3.42}$$

Combining $\alpha > 2$ and (3.42), the index α is chosen to satisfy

$$2 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1 + \sqrt[3]{4}}}. \tag{3.43}$$

Finally, for the term I_3 , it follows from Hölder’s inequality, the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality (2.4) and Young’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &= \int \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma + b^2 \right) \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x u dx \\
 &\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho^\gamma} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} u \|_{L^2} + C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} b \|_{L^2} \| b \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} u \|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho^\gamma} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} + C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} b \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^2 + C (\| \sqrt{\rho^\gamma} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} b \|_{L^2}^2).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.44}$$

Substituting (3.39)-(3.44) into (3.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) |x|^\alpha dx + \int_0^T \int \mu(\rho) u_x^2 |x|^\alpha dx dt \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} + 3\varepsilon \right) \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^2 + C (\| \sqrt{\rho} u |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \sqrt{\rho^\gamma} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} b \|_{L^2}^2 + 1).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.45}$$

Taking $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, $2 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sqrt[3]{4}}}$ in (3.45) and using Grönwall’s inequality, we have

$$\int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \rho^\gamma + b^2 \right) |x|^\alpha dx + \int_0^T \int \mu(\rho) u_x^2 |x|^\alpha dx dt \leq C.
 \tag{3.46}$$

(ii) Case 2: $\beta = 0$. Taking $\beta = 0$ in (3.35), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) |x|^\alpha + 2 \int |x|^\alpha u_x^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{2} \int \rho u^3 |x|^{\alpha-2} dx - 2\alpha \int u u_x |x|^{\alpha-2} dx + \int \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma + b^2 \right) u \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} dx \\
 &=: I'_1 + I'_2 + I'_3.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.47}$$

The estimates of I'_1 and I'_3 are same as those of I_1 and I_3 . Thus, we only need to focus on the estimates of I'_2 . For the term I'_2 , by Hölder’s inequality, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I'_2 &= -2\alpha \int u u_x |x|^{\alpha-2} dx \\
 &\leq 2 \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2} \int u^2 \left((\alpha-2) |x|^{\alpha-3} \frac{x}{|x|} + |x|^{\alpha-2} \right) \\
 &\leq 2 \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} u \|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq 2 \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.48}$$

Substituting (3.39), (3.44) and (3.48) into (3.47), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) |x|^\alpha dx + 2 \int |x|^\alpha u_x^2 dx \\
 &\leq \left(2 \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} + 2\varepsilon \right) \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^2 + C (\| \sqrt{\rho} u |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \sqrt{\rho^\gamma} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} b \|_{L^2}^2 + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, to guarantee $2 \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} < 2$, we choose $2 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sqrt[3]{4}}}$ and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and then use Grönwall’s inequality to infer that

$$\int (\rho u^2 + \rho^\gamma + b^2)|x|^\alpha dx + \int_0^T \int |x|^\alpha u_x^2 dx dt \leq C(T).$$

Then, the proof of Lemma 3.5 is completed. □

LEMMA 3.6. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). For any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$, it holds that*

$$\|\rho_t\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} + \|b_t\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T).$$

Proof. It follows from (1.2)₁, (1.2)₃, (3.18)₂, Lemma 3.4 and (3.38) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \|u\rho_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\rho u_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|\rho_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C\|u_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + C \\ &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C. \end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 3.5 gives

$$\|\rho_t\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T).$$

Similarly, we can get $\|b_t\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T)$. Hence, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.6. □

LEMMA 3.7. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). For any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$, we have*

$$\int \rho \dot{u}^2 dx + \int_0^T \int \mu(\rho) \dot{u}_x^2 dx dt \leq C(T),$$

and

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T),$$

where $\dot{u} = u_t + uu_x$ is the material derivative.

Proof. Applying the operator $\partial_t + \partial_x(u \cdot)$ to Equation (1.2)₂, we have

$$\rho \dot{u}_t + \rho u \dot{u}_x - (\mu(\rho) \dot{u}_x)_x = [(\gamma P + b^2)u_x - (1 + (1 + \beta)\rho^\beta)u_x^2]_x. \tag{3.49}$$

Multiplying (3.49) by \dot{u} and integrating over \mathbb{R} , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \dot{u}^2 + \int \mu(\rho) \dot{u}_x^2 dx &= \int [(1 + (1 + \beta)\rho^\beta)u_x^2 - (\gamma P + b^2)u_x] \dot{u}_x \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\gamma P + b^2\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + 1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

where we have used (3.29), Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Young’s inequality. By using Grönwall’s inequality, we get

$$\int \rho \dot{u}^2 + \int_0^T \int \mu(\rho) \dot{u}_x^2 dx dt \leq (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2} + C(T)) \exp\{C(T)\}. \tag{3.51}$$

From (1.2)₁ and (1.2)₂, one has

$$\rho \dot{u} + P_x + \frac{1}{2} (b^2)_x = (\mu(\rho) u_x)_x. \tag{3.52}$$

Multiplying (3.52) by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}$, taking $t \rightarrow 0^+$, and using (3.2) and (3.10), we have

$$|\sqrt{\rho^\delta} \dot{u}^\delta(\cdot, 0)| \leq \frac{|(\mu(\rho_0^\delta) u_{0x}^\delta)_x - p(\rho_0^\delta)_x - \frac{1}{2} (b_0^2)_x|}{\sqrt{\rho_0^\delta}} = \frac{|\sqrt{\rho_0} g|}{\sqrt{\rho_0}} \leq |g|, \tag{3.53}$$

which implies

$$\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2} \leq \|g(1 + |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})\|_{L^2} \leq C. \tag{3.54}$$

This together with (3.51) yields

$$\int \rho \dot{u}^2 + \int_0^T \int \mu(\rho) \dot{u}_x^2 dx dt \leq C(T). \tag{3.55}$$

Due to (3.29) and (3.31), it follows from (3.55), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 that

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \leq C,$$

and

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{L^2} \leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\rho_x\|_{L^2} + \|b_x\|_{L^2} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho_x\|_{L^2} \leq C.$$

Then the proof of Lemma 3.7 is completed. □

To obtain the estimate of $\|\dot{u}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ (for some $p \in (1, +\infty)$), we need the following weighted estimates of the material derivative.

LEMMA 3.8. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). Then for any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$, if $2 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sqrt[3]{4}}}$, it holds that*

$$\int \rho \dot{u} |x|^\alpha dx + \int_0^T \int \mu(\rho) |x|^\alpha \dot{u}_x^2 dx dt \leq C(T),$$

moreover, there exists a fixed constant $\frac{2}{\alpha-1} < \tilde{p} < 2$ such that

$$\int_0^T \|\dot{u}\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}}^2 dx dt \leq C(T).$$

Proof. When $\beta \geq 1$, multiplying (3.49) by $|x|^\alpha \dot{u}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \dot{u}^2 |x|^\alpha + \int \mu(\rho) |x|^\alpha \dot{u}_x^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho u \dot{u}^2 \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x - \int \mu(\rho) \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x u \dot{u}_x$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int [(1 + (1 + \beta)\rho^\beta)u_x^2 - (\gamma P + b^2)u_x](\dot{u}_x|x|^\alpha + \alpha|x|^{\alpha-2}x\dot{u}) \\
 & =: J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

Now, we estimate the terms J_1 - J_3 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 & = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho u \dot{u}^2 \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \dot{u} \|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 & = -\alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x \dot{u} \dot{u}_x - \int \rho^\beta \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x \dot{u} \dot{u}_x \\
 & \leq -\frac{\alpha}{2} \int (\dot{u}^2)_x |x|^{\alpha-2} x + C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2} \|\rho^\beta\|_{L^{p_3}} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \dot{u} \|_{L^{q_3}} \\
 & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int \dot{u}^2 \left((\alpha-2) |x|^{\alpha-3} \frac{x}{|x|} + |x|^{\alpha-2} \right) + C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2} \|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}^\theta \| |x|^\kappa \dot{u} \|_{L^p}^{1-\theta} \\
 & \leq \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \dot{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2} \|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}^\theta \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u} \|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} + \varepsilon \right) \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

where we have used Hölder’s inequality, Young’s inequality, the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality from Lemma 2.2 and the indexes $p_3 > 2$, $q_3 > 2$, $\kappa \geq 0$, $p > 1$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ are chosen to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{q_3} & = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{q_3} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - 1 \right) \theta + \left(\frac{1}{p} + \kappa \right) (1 - \theta), \\
 \frac{1}{p} + \kappa & = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1, \quad \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\alpha - 1}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$q_3 = \frac{2}{1 - \alpha\theta} > 2 \implies 0 < \alpha\theta < 1.$$

From Lemma 3.7 and the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality from Lemma 2.2, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3 & = \int [(1 + (1 + \beta)\rho^\beta)u_x^2 - (\gamma P + b^2)u_x](\dot{u}_x|x|^\alpha + \alpha|x|^{\alpha-2}x\dot{u}) \\
 & \leq C (\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}) (\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2} + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \dot{u} \|_{L^2}) \\
 & \leq C (1 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}) \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

Finally, substituting (3.57)-(3.59) into (3.56), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \dot{u}^2 |x|^\alpha dx + \int \mu(\rho) |x|^\alpha \dot{u}_x^2 dx dt$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} + 3\varepsilon \right) \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| u \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \sqrt{\rho} \dot{u} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2}^2 + C (\| \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^2). \tag{3.60}$$

By choosing $2 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sqrt[3]{4}}}$ to guarantee $\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} < 1$, $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and then applying Grönwall’s inequality, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\int \rho \dot{u} |x|^\alpha dx + \int_0^T \int \mu(\rho) |x|^\alpha \dot{u}_x^2 dx dt \leq C(T) \left(\int \rho \dot{u} |x|^\alpha(x,0) dx + C(T) \right).$$

Similar to the arguments of (3.53) and (3.54), one has

$$\int \rho \dot{u}^2 |x|^\alpha(x,0) dx \leq C.$$

Thus

$$\int \rho \dot{u} |x|^\alpha dx + \int_0^T \int \mu(\rho) |x|^\alpha \dot{u}_x^2 dx dt \leq C(T).$$

When $\beta = 0$ ($\mu(\rho) = 1 + \rho^\beta = 2$), the term J_2 can be estimated as follows:

$$J_2 = -2\alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x \dot{u} \dot{u}_x \leq 2 \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \dot{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2 \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.61}$$

Similar to the argument of (3.60), choosing $2 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sqrt[3]{4}}}$ to guarantee $2 \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)^3}{8} < 2$, $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and applying Grönwall’s inequality, we have

$$\int \rho \dot{u} |x|^\alpha dx + \int_0^T \int |x|^\alpha \dot{u}_x^2 dx dt \leq C(T).$$

Then similar to the argument of (3.37), we use Lemma 3.7 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \| \dot{u} \|_{L^{\tilde{p}}} &\leq C \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \| \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^\theta \\ &\leq C (\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2} + \| \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}) \in L^2(0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

where the constant $\frac{2}{\alpha-1} < \tilde{p} < 2$. Then the proof of Lemma 3.8 is completed. \square

LEMMA 3.9. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). Then for any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$, it holds that*

$$\| (\rho_t, b_t) \|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T).$$

Proof. Differentiating the mass Equation (1.2)₁ and (1.2)₃ with respect to t , multiplying the resulting equation by ρ_t and b_t and integrating over \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho_t^2 + b_t^2) dx &= -\frac{1}{2} \int (\rho_t^2 + b_t^2) u_x dx - \int (\rho \rho_t + b b_t) u_{xt} - \int u_t (\rho_x \rho_t + b_x b_t) dx \\ &\leq C \| u_x \|_{L^\infty} (\| \rho_t \|_{L^2}^2 + \| b_t \|_{L^2}^2) + C \| u_{xt} \|_{L^2} (\| \rho_t \|_{L^2} + \| b_t \|_{L^2}) \\ &\quad + C \| u_t \|_{L^\infty} (\| \rho_t \|_{L^2} \| \rho_x \|_{L^2} + \| b_t \|_{L^2} \| b_x \|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C (\| \rho_t \|_{L^2}^2 + \| b_t \|_{L^2}^2 + 1) (1 + \| u_{xt} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| u_t \|_{L^\infty}^2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

where we have used Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.7.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{xt}\|_{L^2} &= \|\dot{u}_x - (uu_x)_x\|_{L^2} = \|\dot{u}_x - u_x^2 - uu_{xx}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2} + \|u_x^2\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(\|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{L^2} + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} + 1) \\ &\leq C(\|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2} + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} + 1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t\|_{L^\infty} &= \|\dot{u} - uu_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\dot{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\leq C(\|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \|u_x\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \\ &\leq C(\|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \\ &\leq C(\|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2} + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2} + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} + 1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

where in the second inequalities we have used the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality from Lemma 2.2, (3.38) and (3.18)₂. Substituting (3.64)-(3.65) into (3.63), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho_t^2 + b_t^2) dx \leq C(\|\rho_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1)(\|\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2} + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \dot{u}_x \|_{L^2} + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^2} + 1).$$

Then by Grönwall’s inequality, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, we obtain

$$\|(\rho_t, b_t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.9 is completed. □

To obtain the estimate of $\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))}$, due to (3.37), we need the following weighted estimate of $\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))}$.

LEMMA 3.10. *Let (ρ, u, b) be a smooth solution to (1.2)-(1.4). Then for any $T > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta = 0$, if $2 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sqrt[3]{4}}}$, it holds that*

$$\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_x \|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u} |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T),$$

where $\frac{2}{\alpha-1} < \tilde{p} < 2$.

Proof. Multiplying the Equation (1.2)₂ by $|x|^\alpha \dot{u}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \mu(\rho) |x|^\alpha u_x^2 dx + \int \rho \dot{u}^2 |x|^\alpha \\ &= \int \left(P(\rho) + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) [\alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x \dot{u} + |x|^\alpha \dot{u}_x] - \int \mu(\rho) \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x u_x \dot{u} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^\alpha u_x^2 \left(\rho_t^\beta + u \rho_x^\beta - \frac{1}{2} \mu(\rho) u_x \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int \mu(\rho) \alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x u u_x^2 \\ &=: K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

First, by the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$K_1 = \int \left(P(\rho) + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right) [\alpha |x|^{\alpha-2} x \dot{u} + |x|^\alpha \dot{u}_x]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(P+\frac{1}{2}b^2)\|_{L^2}+C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(P+\frac{1}{2}b^2)\|_{L^2}\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(P+\frac{1}{2}b^2)\|_{L^2}\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

For the term K_2 , using Hölder’s inequality and the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg weighted inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_2 &= -\int \mu(\rho)\alpha|x|^{\alpha-2}xu_x\dot{u} \\
 &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.68}$$

and K_3 can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\int |x|^\alpha u_x^2(\rho_t^\beta + u\rho_x^\beta - \frac{1}{2}\mu(\rho)u_x) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2}\int |x|^\alpha u_x^3(\beta\rho^\beta + \frac{1}{2}\mu(\rho)) \\
 &\leq C\| u_x\|_{L^\infty}\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq C(\| u_x\|_{L^2} + \| u_{xx}\|_{L^2})\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

where we have used the following equation:

$$\rho_t^\beta + u\rho_x^\beta + \beta\rho^\beta u_x = 0.$$

Similarly, we deal with the term K_4 as

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_4 &= \frac{1}{2}\int \mu(\rho)\alpha|x|^{\alpha-2}xu\dot{u}_x^2 \\
 &\leq C\| u_x\|_{L^\infty}\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}u\|_{L^2}\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.70}$$

Then substituting (3.67)-(3.70) into (3.66), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \mu(\rho)|x|^\alpha u_x^2 + \int \rho\dot{u}^2|x|^\alpha &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}(1 + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2}) + C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq C\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_x\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\dot{u}_x\|_{L^2}^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.71}$$

Then by Grönwall’s inequality and Lemma 3.8, we can obtain

$$\int \mu(\rho)|x|^\alpha u_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int \rho\dot{u}^2|x|^\alpha dx dt \leq C(T).$$

Moreover, combining the above result with (3.37) and (3.38), we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T), \quad \tilde{p} > \frac{2}{\alpha-1} \Rightarrow \sqrt[3]{1 + \sqrt[3]{4}} < \tilde{p} < 2,$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T),$$

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T).$$

which together with Lemma 3.7 yields $\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C(T)$. The proof of Lemma 3.10 is completed. \square

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 1.1.) We study (1.2)-(1.4) with the initial data replaced by $(\rho_0^\delta, u_0^\delta, b_0)$. From Theorem 3.1, we know that there exists a unique solution $(\rho^\delta, u^\delta, b^\delta)$, such that Lemmas 3.1-3.9 are valid when we replace (ρ, u, b) by $(\rho^\delta, u^\delta, b^\delta)$. With the uniform estimates for δ , we let $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ (take subsequence if necessary) to get a solution to (1.2)-(1.4) still denoted by (ρ, u, b) which satisfies Lemmas 3.1-3.9 by the lower semicontinuity of the norms. This yields the existence of the solutions as in Theorem 3.1. The uniqueness of the solutions can be proved by the standard L^2 energy method. Here we omit the details for brevity. Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Professor Song Jiang for helpful discussions. Li is supported by the NSFC (No.11601128, No.11671319, No.11931013), Fund of HPU (No.B2016-57, No.2016XQG-12) and the Key Research project of university in Henan Province (No.16A110015). Wang's research is supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 11901066) and the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (Grant No. cstc2019jcyj-msxmX0167) and Project No. 2019CDXYST0015 supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. Ye is partially supported by NSFC (No.11701145, No.11971147).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg, *First order interpolation inequality with weights*, *Compositio Math.*, **53**:259–275, 1984. [2.2](#)
- [2] F. Catrina and Z.Q. Wang, *On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: Sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **54**(2):229–258, 2001. [2.2](#)
- [3] G.Q. Chen and D.H. Wang, *Global solutions of nonlinear magnetohydrodynamics with large initial data*, *J. Diff. Eqs.*, **182**:344–376, 2002. [1](#)
- [4] R. Duan, F. Jiang, and S. Jiang, *On the Rayleigh-Taylor instability for incompressible, inviscid magnetohydrodynamic flows*, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **71**(6):1990–2013, 2011. [1](#)
- [5] J.S. Fan and Y.X. Hu, *Global strong solutions to the 1-D compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with zero resistivity*, *J. Math. Phys.*, **56**(2):023101, 2015. [1](#)
- [6] J.S. Fan and W.H. Yu, *Strong solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum*, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, **10**(1):392–409, 2009. [1](#)
- [7] J.S. Fan, S.X. Huang, and F.C. Li, *Global strong solutions to the planar compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large initial data and vacuum*, *Kinet. Relat. Models*, **10**(4):1035–1053, 2017. [1](#)
- [8] G.Y. Hong, X.F. Hou, H.Y. Peng, and C.J. Zhu, *Global existence for a class of large solutions to three-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **49**(4):2409–2441, 2017. [1](#)
- [9] X.P. Hu and D.H. Wang, *Global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows*, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **197**(1):203–238, 2010. [1](#)
- [10] F. Jiang, *On effects of viscosity and magnetic fields on the largest growth rate of linear Rayleigh-Taylor instability*, *J. Math. Phys.*, **57**(11):111503, 2016. [1](#)
- [11] F. Jiang and S. Jiang, *Nonlinear stability and instability in the Rayleigh-Taylor problem of stratified compressible MHD fluids*, *Calc. Var. Part. Diff. Eqs.*, **58**(1):29, 2019. [1](#)

- [12] F. Jiang and S. Jiang, *On linear instability and stability of the Rayleigh-Taylor problem in magnetohydrodynamics*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., **17**(4):639–668, 2015. [1](#)
- [13] F. Jiang and S. Jiang, *On magnetic inhibition theory in non-resistive magnetohydrodynamic fluids*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **233**(2):749–798, 2019. [1](#)
- [14] F. Jiang and S. Jiang, *On the dynamical stability and instability of Parker problem*, Phys. D, **391**:17–51, 2019. [1](#)
- [15] F. Jiang and S. Jiang, *On the stabilizing effect of the magnetic fields in the magnetic Rayleigh-Taylor problem*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **50**(1):491–540, 2018. [1](#)
- [16] F. Jiang, S. Jiang, and Y.J. Wang, *On the Rayleigh-Taylor instability for the incompressible viscous magnetohydrodynamic equations*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eqs., **39**(3):399–438, 2014. [1](#)
- [17] S. Jiang and J.W. Zhang, *On the non-resistive limit and the magnetic boundary-layer for one-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamics*, Nonlinearity, **30**(9):3587–3612, 2017. [1](#)
- [18] Q.S. Jiu, M.J. Li, and Y.L. Ye, *Global classical solution of the Cauchy problem to 1D compressible Navier-Stokes equations with large initial data*, J. Diff. Eqs., **257**(2):311–350, 2014. [1.3](#)
- [19] S. Kawashima, *Systems of a hyperbolic-parabolic composite type, with applications to the equations of magnetohydrodynamics*, PhD thesis, Kyoto University, 1983. [1](#)
- [20] S. Kawashima and M. Okada, *Smooth global solutions for the one-dimensional equations in magnetohydrodynamics*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., **58**:384–387, 1982. [1](#)
- [21] H.L. Li, X.Y. Xu, and J.W. Zhang, *Global classical solutions to 3D compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large oscillations and vacuum*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **45**(3):1356–1387, 2013. [1](#)
- [22] B.Q. Lv, X.D. Shi, and X.Y. Xu, *Global existence and large-time asymptotic behavior of strong solutions to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **65**(3):925–975, 2016. [1](#)
- [23] V.A. Vaigant and A.V. Kazhikhov, *On the existence of global solutions of two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations of a compressible viscous fluid*, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh, **36**(6):1283–1316, ii,1995; translation in Siberian Math. J., **36** (6):1108–1141, 1995. [2.1](#)
- [24] A.I. Volpert and S.I. Hudjaev, *On the Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear differential equations*, Math. USSR-Sb, **16**:517–544, 1972. [1](#)
- [25] X.Y. Xu and J.W. Zhang, *A blow-up criterion for 3D non-resistive compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with initial vacuum*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., **12**:3442–3451, 2011. [1](#)
- [26] L. Yao and C.J. Zhu, *Existence and uniqueness of global weak solution to a two-phase flow model with vacuum*, Math. Ann., **349**(4):903–928, 2011. [1](#)
- [27] Y.L. Ye and Z.L. Li, *Global strong solution to the Cauchy problem of 1D compressible MHD equations with large initial data and vacuum*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **70**(1):38, 2019. [1](#)
- [28] H.B. Yu, *Global classical large solutions with vacuum to 1D compressible MHD with zero resistivity*, Acta Appl. Math., **128**:193–209, 2013. [1](#)
- [29] J.W. Zhang and F. Xie, *Global solution for a one-dimensional model problem in thermally radiative magnetohydrodynamics*, J. Diff. Eqs., **245**(7):1853–1882, 2008. [1](#)
- [30] J.W. Zhang and J.N. Zhao, *Some decay estimates of solutions for the 3-D compressible isentropic magnetohydrodynamics*, Commun. Math. Sci., **8**(4):835–850, 2010. [1](#)
- [31] J.W. Zhang and X.K. Zhao, *On the global solvability and the non-resistive limit of the one-dimensional compressible heat-conductive MHD equations*, J. Math. Phys., **58**(3):031504, 2017. [1](#)