

## APPROXIMATIONS OF THE STOCHASTIC 3D NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH DAMPING\*

HUI LIU<sup>†</sup>, CHENGFENG SUN<sup>‡</sup>, AND JIE XIN<sup>§</sup>

**Abstract.** The stochastic three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation with damping is considered in this paper. We show that solutions of three-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with damping driven by Brownian motion can be approximated by three-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with damping driven by pure jump noise/random kicks on the spaces  $D([0, T], V)$  and  $D([0, T], H)$  for  $3 < \beta < 5$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ .

**Keywords.** Stochastic Navier-Stokes equation; Approximations; Weak convergence.

**AMS subject classifications.** 35Q30; 76D05; 57Q55; 60H15.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following stochastic three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with damping:

$$\begin{cases} du - \nu \Delta u dt + (u \cdot \nabla) u dt + \alpha |u|^{\beta-1} u dt + \nabla p dt = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma^i(u) dW^i(t), \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = h, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\mathbb{T}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a periodic domain,  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$  is the velocity,  $p$  is the pressure,  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$  denote constants, and  $t \geq 0$ .  $W = (W^1(t), \dots, W^m(t))$  denotes a  $m$ -dimensional standard Brownian motion. The given function  $h$  is the initial velocity, and the constant  $\nu > 0$  represents the viscosity coefficient of the flow. For simplicity, we set  $\nu = 1$ .

The deterministic three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with damping have been investigated in [19]. The existence and regularity of solutions for three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with damping were proved in [4]. Moreover, Cai and Jiu have obtained the global weak solutions for  $\beta \geq 1$  and the global strong solutions for  $\frac{7}{2} \leq \beta \leq 5$ . By using the Fourier splitting method, Jiang has proved the asymptotic behavior of strong solutions for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with damping for  $\beta \geq 3$  as  $\alpha = 1$  in [14].

Recently, in various papers, stochastic three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with damping were proved in [9, 10, 15, 16]. Röckner and Zhang have proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions for stochastic tamed three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, moreover, they obtained the ergodicity by using asymptotic strong Feller property in [12, 22]. The large deviation principle for the stochastic tamed 3D Navier-Stokes equations was obtained by using weak convergence method in [21]. By using monotonicity method, Röckner and Zhang have obtained the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for stochastic 3D tamed Navier-Stokes equations. Meanwhile,

\*Received: January 13, 2019; Accepted (in revised form): May 19, 2021. Communicated by Shi Jin.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, Shandong 273165, P.R. China ([liuhuinanashi@qfnu.edu.cn](mailto:liuhuinanashi@qfnu.edu.cn)).

<sup>‡</sup>School of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing University of Finance and Economics, Nanjing, 210023, P.R. China ([sch200130@163.com](mailto:sch200130@163.com)).

<sup>§</sup>College of Information Science and Engineering, Shandong Agricultural University, Taian, Shandong 271018, P.R. China ([fdxinjie@sina.com](mailto:fdxinjie@sina.com)).

they obtained the small-time large deviation principle in [20]. Dong and Zhang have proved the existence and uniqueness of strong solution for the stochastic 3D tamed Navier-Stokes equations driven by multiplicative Lévy noise by using the Galerkin approximation method. Moreover, they obtained the large deviation principles by using weak convergence method in [7]. For the well-posedness for stochastic partial differential equations, we refer to [3, 5, 11, 17, 18].

To obtain the approximations for the stochastic three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation with damping, we overcome the main difficulty that lies in dealing with the nonlinear terms  $B(u, u) = P((u \cdot \nabla)u)$  and  $g(u) = \alpha P(|u|^{\beta-1}u)$ . We get the approximations for  $3 < \beta < 5$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ . Inspired by [13], we have  $4\nu\alpha \geq 1$ . But if  $\nu = 1$ , by using a similar method, we have a similar result. Meanwhile, we can improve the result for the global well-posedness of a 3D MHD in porous media by using a similar method as in [25].  $C$  represents a nonnegative constant which may have different values from line to line.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some fundamental concepts and symbols. In Section 3, we will show the approximations on the spaces  $D([0, T], V)$  and  $D([0, T], H)$  for  $3 < \beta < 5$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ .

**2. Preliminaries**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a filtered probability space, where  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a filtration.  $\nu^i(dx), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures on the measurable space  $(\mathbb{R}_0, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0))$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_0 = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Assume that  $N^i, i = 1, \dots, m$  are mutually independent  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -Poisson random measures on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0$  with intensity measure  $dt \times \nu^i(dz)$ , respectively. For  $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0)$  with  $\nu^i(U) < \infty$ , we will denote by  $\tilde{N}^i((0, t] \times U) = N^i((0, t] \times U) - t\nu^i(U)$  the compensated Poisson random measure on  $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_0$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}^3 = [0, L]^3$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} u(x)dx = 0$ . Let  $D([0, T], V)$  be the space of all càdlàg paths from  $[0, T]$  into  $V$  equipped with the Skorohod topology. Assume that  $\dot{C}_p^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$  is the space of all infinitely differentiable functions such that  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} u(x)dx = 0$  and  $u(x + Lw_i) = u(x)$ , for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , here,  $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

First, we define the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &= \{u \in \dot{C}_p^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) : \operatorname{div} u = 0\}, \\ H &= \text{the closure of } \mathcal{V} \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^3) := L^2(\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{R}^3), \\ V &= \text{the closure of } \mathcal{V} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{T}^3) := H^1(\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{R}^3). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that  $H, V$  are separable Hilbert spaces and identify  $H$  and its dual  $H'$ , we have  $V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow V'$  with dense and continuous injections, and  $V \hookrightarrow H$  is compact.  $H$  and  $V$  are endowed, respectively, with the inner products

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} u \cdot v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in H, \\ ((u, v)) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla v_i dx, \quad \forall u, v \in V, \end{aligned}$$

and norms  $\|\cdot\|^2 = (\cdot, \cdot), \|\nabla \cdot\|^2 = ((\cdot, \cdot)) = \|\cdot\|_V$ .  $L^p$ -norm is defined by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . We set  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$ .  $H^3$ -norm is defined by  $\|\cdot\|_{H^3}$ .

Let  $P$  be the Helmholtz-Leray orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$  onto  $H$ . In periodic space, the Leray projector commutes with derivatives.  $Au = -P\Delta u = -\Delta u$  is the Stokes operator defined by  $\langle Au, v \rangle = ((u, v))$ .  $B : V \times V \rightarrow V'$  is a bilinear operator defined by

$\langle B(u, v), w \rangle = b(u, v, w)$ ,  $B(u) = B(u, u) = P((u \cdot \nabla)u)$ , where

$$b(u, v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} u_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} w_j dx,$$

and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the duality product between  $V$  and  $V'$ . For simplicity, we take  $g(u) = \alpha P(|u|^{\beta-1}u)$ . Assume that  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset H^3$  are an orthonormal basis of  $H$  composed of eigenfunctions of  $A$  with corresponding eigenvalues  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$  satisfying  $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$ , which is an orthogonal base in  $V$ . Let  $l_k = \frac{e_k}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}$ , we have that  $\mathbf{g} = \{l_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ .

ASSUMPTION 2.1. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , assume that  $\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(\cdot), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are measurable mappings from  $V \times \mathbb{R}_0$  into  $V$  (resp.  $H \times \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow H$ ). Then there exist nonnegative constants  $C, \varepsilon_0$  and  $k_1$  is small enough such that for all  $t \in [0, T], p > 2$  and  $u, v \in H$ ,

$$(A1) \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z)\|^2 \nu^i(dz) \leq C(1 + \|u\|^2) + k_1 \|\nabla u\|^2,$$

$$(A2) \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z) - \sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(v, z)\|^2 \nu^i(dz) \leq C\|u - v\|^2,$$

$$(A3) \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z)\|^p \nu^i(dz) \leq C(1 + \|u\|^p),$$

and for  $u, v \in V$ ,

$$(A4) \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla \sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z)\|^2 \nu^i(dz) \leq C\|\nabla u\|^2,$$

$$(A5) \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla \sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z)\|^p \nu^i(dz) \leq C\|\nabla u\|^p,$$

$$(A6) \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla(\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z) - \sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(v, z))\|^2 \nu^i(dz) \leq C\|\nabla(u - v)\|^2,$$

and for  $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\sigma^i(\xi)|^2 \leq C|\xi|^2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m |\partial_{\xi^j} \sigma^i(\xi)|^2 \leq C.$$

ASSUMPTION 2.2. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , assume that  $\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(\cdot)$  map from  $H^2 \times \mathbb{R}_0$  into  $H^2$ . Then there exist nonnegative constants  $C, \varepsilon_0$  such that

$$(B1) \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\Delta \sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z)\|^2 \nu^i(dz) \leq C\|\Delta u\|^2.$$

We introduce the following conditions.

(H.1)

(i) For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , any  $M > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{\|u\| \leq M} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z)\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.1}$$

(ii) For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}, u \in H$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z), e_k)(\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z), e_j) \nu^i(dz) \rightarrow (\sigma^i(u), e_k)(\sigma^i(u), e_j), \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.2}$$

(H.2) For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $u \in H$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z)\|^2 \nu^i(dz) \rightarrow \|\sigma^i(u)\|^2, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.3}$$

(H.3)

(i) For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , any  $N > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{\|\nabla u\| \leq N} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z)\|_V \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.4}$$

(ii) For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}, u \in V, l_k, l_j \in \mathbf{g}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} ((\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z), l_k))((\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u, z), l_j)) \nu^i(dz) \rightarrow ((\sigma^i(u), l_k))((\sigma^i(u), l_j)), \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Finally, we write (1.1) as follows in the abstract form:

$$\begin{cases} du(t) = -[Au(t) + B(u(t), u(t)) + g(u(t))]dt + \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma^i(u(t))dW^i(t), \\ u(0) = h. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

**3. Approximations of 3D SNSEs with damping by pure jump noise**

In this section, let  $\sigma^{i,\varepsilon}$  be given measurable maps. We introduce the following stochastic three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with damping driven by pure jump noise:

$$\begin{aligned} u^\varepsilon(t) = & h - \int_0^t Au^\varepsilon(s)ds - \int_0^t B(u^\varepsilon(s))ds - \int_0^t g(u^\varepsilon(s))ds \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \sigma^{i,\varepsilon}(u^\varepsilon(s-), z) \tilde{N}^i(dzds). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Inspired by [6, 23], we have the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1. A  $V$ -valued  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted process  $u^\varepsilon = (u^\varepsilon(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is said to be a solution to Equation (3.1) if

- (i) for any  $T > 0, u^\varepsilon \in D([0, T], V) \cap L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; dt \times \mathbb{P}, H^2),$
- (ii) for any  $t \geq 0, (3.1)$  holds in  $H, \mathbb{P}$ -a.s..

The following lemmas provide the existence and uniqueness of approximate solutions and uniform estimates.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose that the Assumption 2.1 and  $h \in H$  hold. Let  $u^\varepsilon$  denote the solution of Equation (3.1), we get

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^T \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds \right\} < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Applying Itô's formula to the process  $\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 = & \|h\|^2 - 2 \int_0^t \langle Au^\varepsilon(s), u^\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds - 2 \int_0^t \langle g(u^\varepsilon(s)), u^\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z), u^\varepsilon(s-)) \tilde{N}(dzds) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 N(dz ds) \\
 & = \|h\|^2 - 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds - 2\alpha \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds + I_1(t) + I_2(t). \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

For any  $M > 0$ , we define the following stopping time

$$\tau_M^\varepsilon = T \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0 : \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > M\} \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0 : \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds > M\}.$$

For  $I_1(t)$ , applying the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and the Hölder’s inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} |I_1(s)| & \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z), u^\varepsilon(s-))^2 \nu(dz) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 (1 + \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + k_1 \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq C \left[ \frac{1}{2C} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad \times \left[ 2C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} (1 + \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + k_1 \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + 2C^2 t + 2C^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\
 & \quad + 2C^2 k_1 \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds. \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $I_2(t)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} |I_2(s)| & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds \\
 & \leq Ct + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + Ck_1 \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds. \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting (3.4) and (3.5) into (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + 2\mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + 2\alpha \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \|h\|^2 + (2C^2 + C)t + (2C^2 + C) \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + (2C^2 + C)k_1 \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds. \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

By choosing sufficiently small  $k_1$  such that  $(2C^2 + C)k_1 < 1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + 2\alpha \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \|h\|^2 + (2C^2 + C)t + (2C^2 + C) \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, s]} \|u^\varepsilon(r)\|^2 ds. \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

By using Gronwall lemma, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_M^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds\right) \leq C(\mathbb{E}\|h\|^2 + 1). \tag{3.8}$$

Recall that  $\tau_M^\varepsilon \uparrow T$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mathbb{P}\{\tau_M^\varepsilon < T\} = 0$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . By the Fatou lemma, we have

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^T \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds\right\} < \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose that the Assumption 2.1 and  $h \in H$  hold. Let  $u^\varepsilon$  denote the solution of Equation (3.1), we get for any  $p > 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^{2p} + \int_0^T \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^T \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^{2p-2} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds\right\} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

*Proof.* Applying Itô's formula to the process  $\|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^{2p}$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^{2p} &= \|h\|^{2p} - 2p \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds - 2p\alpha \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds \\ &\quad + 2p \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} (\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z), u^\varepsilon(s-)) \tilde{N}(dz ds) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\|\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z) + u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^{2p} - \|u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^{2p} \\ &\quad - 2p \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} (\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z), u^\varepsilon(s-))) N(dz ds). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Taking the supremum over the interval  $[0, t]$  on the equality (3.11), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} + 2p \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2p\alpha \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds \\ &\leq \|h\|^{2p} + 2p \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|u^\varepsilon(r)\|^{2p-2} (\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(r-), z), u^\varepsilon(r-)) \tilde{N}(dz dr) \right| \\ &\quad + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\|\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(r-), z) + u^\varepsilon(r-)\|^{2p} - \|u^\varepsilon(r-)\|^{2p} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2p \|u^\varepsilon(r)\|^{2p-2} (\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(r-), z), u^\varepsilon(r-))) N(dz dr) \right| \\ &= \|h\|^{2p} + I_3(t) + I_4(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

By using the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and Young's inequality, we deduce for  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$

$$\mathbb{E}I_3(t) \leq 2pC\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{4p-2} (1 + \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + k_1 \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2) ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq pC\varepsilon_1\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} + \frac{pC^2T}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{2pC^2T}{\varepsilon_1} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0,s]} \|u^\varepsilon(r)\|^{2p} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{pCk_1}{\varepsilon_1} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Applying the Taylor formula, we deduce

$$\left| \|x+h\|^{2p} - \|x\|^{2p} - 2p\|x+h\|^{2p-2}(x,h) \right| \leq C_p(\|x\|^{2p-2}\|h\|^2 + \|h\|^{2p}),$$

for any  $x, h \in H$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}I_4(t) &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} ( \|u^\varepsilon(s-) + \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^{2p} - \|u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^{2p} \\ &\quad - 2p\|u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^{2p-2}(\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z), u^\varepsilon(s-)) ) |N(dz ds) \\ &\leq C_p \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\|u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^{2p-2} \|\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 + \|\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^{2p}) \nu(dz) ds \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (1 + \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} + k_1 \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2(p-1)} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2) ds \\ &\leq CT + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sup_{r \in [0,s]} \|u^\varepsilon(r)\|^{2p} ds + Ck_1 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2(p-1)} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Putting (3.13)-(3.14) into (3.12), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} + 2p \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2p\alpha \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \|h\|^{2p} + pC\varepsilon_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} + \frac{pC^2T}{\varepsilon_1} + CT \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{2pC^2T}{\varepsilon_1} + C\right) \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0,s]} \|u^\varepsilon(r)\|^{2p} ds \\ &\quad + Ck_1 \left(\frac{p}{\varepsilon_1} + 1\right) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Choosing  $k_1$  sufficiently small such that  $Ck_1(\frac{p}{\varepsilon_1} + 1) \leq p$  and  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2pC}$ , we apply Gronwall's lemma to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|^{2p} + \int_0^T \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^T \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\beta+1}^{\beta+1} ds \right) \\ &\leq C(\mathbb{E} \|h\|^{2p} + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that the Assumption 2.1 and  $h \in V$  hold and  $\beta > 3$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ , then there exists a positive constant  $C(T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \right)$$

$$+ \int_0^T \|\nabla|u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds < \infty. \tag{3.17}$$

*Proof.* We apply Itô's formula to  $\|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 &= \|\nabla h\|^2 - 2 \int_0^t \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds - 2\alpha \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad - \frac{8\alpha(\beta-1)}{(\beta+1)^2} \int_0^t \|\nabla|u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds + I_5(t) + I_6(t) + I_7(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$I_5(t) = 2 \int_0^t (B(u^\varepsilon(s)), \Delta u^\varepsilon(s)) ds, \tag{3.19}$$

$$I_6(t) = 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} ((\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-)), z), u^\varepsilon(s-)) \tilde{N}(dz ds), \tag{3.20}$$

$$I_7(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 N(dz ds). \tag{3.21}$$

For  $I_5$ , inspired by [15], since

$$0 < \frac{2}{\beta-1} < 1, \quad \beta > 3, \tag{3.22}$$

using Young's inequality and (3.22) to estimate  $I_5(t)$ , we have for  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_5(t) &\leq \int_0^t \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^2 |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{4}{\beta-1}} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^{2-\frac{4}{\beta-1}} dx ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 ds + \varepsilon_2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} dx ds + C_{\varepsilon_2} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Taking the supremum and expectation over the interval  $[0, t]$  on both sides of the equality (3.18), we estimate the last two items,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |I_6(s)| &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} ((\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-)), z), u^\varepsilon(s-))^2 \nu(dz) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

similarly, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |I_7(s)| \leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds. \tag{3.25}$$

Putting (3.23)-(3.25) into (3.18), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + (2\alpha - \varepsilon_2) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \\ &+ \frac{8\alpha(\beta-1)}{(\beta+1)^2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla|u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds \leq \mathbb{E} \|\nabla h\|^2 + (2C + C_{\varepsilon_2}) \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, s]} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(r)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$



Choosing  $\varepsilon_2$  sufficiently small and applying Gronwall’s lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla |u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds \leq C(t)(\mathbb{E}\|\nabla h\|^2 + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

By Theorem 4.1 in [13], we have  $1 - \frac{1}{2\theta} \geq 0$  and  $\alpha - \frac{\theta}{2} \geq 0$  for any  $\theta > 0$ . Then we can get the above estimate easily for  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.4. *Suppose that the Assumption 2.1,  $p > 1$  and  $h \in V$  hold and  $\beta > 3$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ , then there exists a positive constant  $C(T)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|^{2p} + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla |u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds \right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

*Proof.* We apply Itô’s formula to  $\|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|^{2p}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|^{2p} &= \|\nabla h\|^{2p} - 2p \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad - 2p\alpha \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{2p-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad - \frac{8p\alpha(\beta-1)}{(\beta+1)^2} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla |u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds + I_8(t) + I_9(t) + I_{10}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$I_8(t) = 2p \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} (B(u^\varepsilon(s)), \Delta u^\varepsilon(s)) ds, \tag{3.30}$$

$$I_9(t) = 2p \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} ((\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-)), z), u^\varepsilon(s-)) \tilde{N}(dz ds), \tag{3.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10}(t) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon(s-) + \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z))\|^{2p} \\ &\quad - \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^{2p} - 2p \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^{2(p-1)} ((u^\varepsilon(s-), \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z))) N(dz ds)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

For  $I_8(t)$ , inspired by [15], since

$$0 < \frac{2}{\beta-1} < 1, \quad \beta > 3, \tag{3.33}$$

using Young’s inequality and (3.33) to estimate  $I_8(t)$ , we have for  $\varepsilon_3 > 0$

$$I_8(t) \leq p \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{2(p-1)} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 ds + p \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{2(p-1)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^2 |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{4}{\beta-1}} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^{2-\frac{4}{\beta-1}} dx ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq p \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2(p-1)} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 ds + \varepsilon_3 \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2(p-1)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} dx ds \\ &\quad + C_{\varepsilon_3} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

For  $I_9(t)$ , applying the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and Young’s inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} I_9(s) &\leq 2pC \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^{4(p-1)} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^2 \|\nabla \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

By the Taylor formula, we get

$$\left| \|\nabla(x+h)\|^{2p} - \|\nabla x\|^{2p} - 2p \|\nabla x\|^{2p-2} \langle (x, h) \rangle \right| \leq C (\|\nabla x\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla h\|^2 + \|\nabla h\|^{2p}), \tag{3.36}$$

for any  $x, h \in V$ . For  $I_{10}(t)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} I_{10}(s) \\ &\leq C_p \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s-)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 + \|\nabla \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^{2p}) \nu(dz) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Putting (3.34)-(3.37) into (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} + p \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + (2p\alpha - \varepsilon_3) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{2p-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad + \frac{8p\alpha(\beta-1)}{(\beta+1)^2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla |u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \|\nabla h\|^{2p} + (2C + C_{\varepsilon_3}) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Choosing sufficiently small  $\varepsilon_3$  such that  $2p\alpha - \varepsilon_3 \geq p\alpha$ , applying Gronwall’s lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p} + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{2p-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla |u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds \\ &\leq C(t) (\mathbb{E} \|\nabla h\|^{2p} + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

By Theorem 4.1 in [13], we have  $1 - \frac{1}{2\theta} \geq 0$  and  $\alpha - \frac{\theta}{2} \geq 0$  for any  $\theta > 0$ . Then we can get the above estimate easily for  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.5. *Suppose that the Assumption 2.1 and Assumption 2.2 and  $h \in H^2$  hold. For any  $N > 0$ , we define*

$$\tau_N^\varepsilon = T \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0 : \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > N\} \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0 : \int_0^t \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds > N\}, \tag{3.40}$$

here, we set  $\inf\{\emptyset\} = \infty$ . We deduce for  $3 < \beta < 5$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ ,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + \int_0^{\tau_N^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds \right) < \infty. \tag{3.41}$$

*Proof.* We apply Itô's formula to  $\|\Delta u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 &= \|\Delta h\|^2 - 2 \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds - 2 \int_0^t \langle B(u^\varepsilon(s)), u^\varepsilon(s) \rangle_{H^2} ds \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \langle g(u^\varepsilon(s)), u^\varepsilon(s) \rangle_{H^2} ds + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \langle \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z), u^\varepsilon(s-) \rangle_{H^2} \tilde{N}(dz ds) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\Delta \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 N(dz ds) \\ &= \|\Delta h\|^2 - 2 \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + \sum_{i=11}^{14} I_i(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

For  $I_{11}(t)$ , by using the Sobolev embedding, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11}(t) &\leq 2 \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Delta(u^\varepsilon \nabla u^\varepsilon) \Delta u^\varepsilon dx ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|\nabla(u^\varepsilon \nabla u^\varepsilon)\|^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + C \int_0^t (\|u^\varepsilon\|_\infty^2 \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 + \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_3^2 \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_6^2) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^4 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

For  $I_{12}(t)$ , similarly, we get for  $3 < \beta < 5$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12}(t) &\leq 2\alpha \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Delta(|u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} u^\varepsilon) \Delta u^\varepsilon dx ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|\nabla(|u^\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} u^\varepsilon)\|^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon\|_{3(\beta-1)}^{2(\beta-1)} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_6^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{\frac{8}{\beta-1}} \|u^\varepsilon\|_{\frac{2(\beta-3)}{3(\beta-1)}(\beta+1)} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + C \int_0^t (\|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{\frac{8}{5-\beta}} + \|u^\varepsilon\|_{3(\beta+1)}^{\beta+1}) \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + C \int_0^t (\|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{\frac{8}{5-\beta}} + \|\nabla|u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2) \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 ds. \tag{3.44}$$

Putting (3.43) and (3.44) into (3.42), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds &\leq \|\Delta h\|^2 + C \int_0^t (\|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{\frac{8}{5-\beta}} + \|\nabla|u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2) \\ &\quad + \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2 ds + I_{13}(t) + I_{14}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Taking the supremum on  $[0, \tau_N^\varepsilon]$  and applying the Gronwall inequality, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \int_0^{\tau_N^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds \\ &\leq [\|\Delta h\|^2 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} I_{13}(t) + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} I_{14}(t)] \times e^{C \int_0^t (\|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|^{\frac{8}{5-\beta}} + \|\nabla|u^\varepsilon|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 + \|\Delta u^\varepsilon\|^2) ds} \\ &\leq [\|\Delta h\|^2 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} I_{13}(t) + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} I_{14}(t)] e^{C(T)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

Taking the expectation and using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and Assumption 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} |I_{13}(s)| \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\| \left[ \int_0^{\tau_N^\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\Delta \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left[ \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \mathbb{E} \int_0^{\tau_N^\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\Delta \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{\tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

By using the Assumption 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} |I_{14}(s)| &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{\tau_N^\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\Delta \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{\tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

Putting (3.47) and (3.48) into (3.46), we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + \int_0^{\tau_N^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds \right) \leq C(T, N)(1 + \|\Delta h\|^2) < \infty. \tag{3.49}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5. □

LEMMA 3.6. *Suppose that the Assumption 2.1 and Assumption 2.2 and  $h \in H^2$  hold and  $3 < \beta < 5$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ . Then the family  $\{u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0\}$  is tight on the space  $D([0, T], V)$ .*

*Proof.* Inspired by Aldou’s tightness criterion [1], it suffices to prove that:

(i) there exists a positive constant  $L_\eta$  such that for every  $0 < \eta < 1$ ,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(t)\| > L_\eta) < \eta; \tag{3.50}$$

(ii) let  $\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta = T \wedge (\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta)$  and for any  $\eta > 0$ . The stopping time  $0 \leq \zeta^\varepsilon \leq T$  with respect to the natural filtration generated by  $\{u^\varepsilon(s), s \leq t\}$ ,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon(\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta) - u^\varepsilon(\zeta^\varepsilon))\| > \eta) = 0. \tag{3.51}$$

For (i), by using Lemma 3.1-Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\tau_N^\varepsilon < T) &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\int_0^T \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds > N) + \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 > N) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds + \frac{1}{N} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

By virtue of (3.41), we deduce that for any  $L > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(t)\| > L) \\ &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(t)\| > L, \tau_N^\varepsilon = T) + \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\tau_N^\varepsilon < T) \\ &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(t)\| > L) + \frac{C}{N} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{L^2} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \frac{C}{N} \\ &\leq \frac{C_N}{L^2} + \frac{C}{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

For any  $\eta > 0$ , choosing large enough  $N > 0$  and  $L > 0$  such that  $\frac{C_N}{L^2} + \frac{C}{N} < \eta$ . This completes the proof of (i).

For (ii), we deduce that for every  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\|\nabla(u^\varepsilon(\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta) - u^\varepsilon(\zeta^\varepsilon))\| > \eta) \\ &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\|\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} Au^\varepsilon(s) ds\|_V > \frac{\eta}{4}) \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\|\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} B(u^\varepsilon(s)) ds\|_V > \frac{\eta}{4}) \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\|\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} g(u^\varepsilon(s)) ds\|_V > \frac{\eta}{4}) \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\|\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z) \tilde{N}(dz ds)\|_V > \frac{\eta}{4}) \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

For  $J_1$ , by (3.41) and (3.52), Hölder’s inequality and Chebyshev’s inequality, then we have for  $N > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\delta \int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \|Au^\varepsilon(s)\|_V^2 ds > \frac{\eta^2}{16}) \\
 &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\delta \int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \|Au^\varepsilon(s)\|_V^2 ds > \frac{\eta^2}{16}, \tau_N^\varepsilon = T) + \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\tau_N^\varepsilon < T) \\
 &\leq \frac{16}{\eta^2} \delta \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \int_0^{\tau_N^\varepsilon} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^3}^2 ds + \frac{C}{N} \\
 &\leq \frac{C_N \delta}{\eta^2} + \frac{C}{N}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.55}$$

Inspired by [24], we also get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B(u^\varepsilon(s))\|_V^2 &\leq C(\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_\infty^2 \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|_4^4) \\
 &\leq C\|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\| \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $J_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} ds > \frac{\eta}{4C}) \\
 &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} ds > \frac{\eta}{4C}, \tau_N^\varepsilon = T) + \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\tau_N^\varepsilon < T) \\
 &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{(\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta) \wedge \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} ds > \frac{\eta}{4C}) + \frac{C}{N} \\
 &\leq \frac{4C}{\eta} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} [(\mathbb{E} \int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{(\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta) \wedge \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\mathbb{E} \int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{(\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta) \wedge \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds)^{\frac{3}{4}}] + \frac{C}{N} \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\eta} \delta \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} (\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} \times \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} (\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{C}{N} \\
 &\leq \frac{C_N}{\eta} \delta + \frac{C}{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $J_3$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_3 &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_\infty^{\beta-1} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\| ds > \frac{\eta}{4C}) \\
 &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} ds > \frac{\eta}{4C}) \\
 &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} ds > \frac{\eta}{4C}, \tau_N^\varepsilon = T) + \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\tau_N^\varepsilon < T) \\
 &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{(\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta) \wedge \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} ds > \frac{\eta}{4C}) + \frac{C}{N} \\
 &\leq \frac{4C}{\eta} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} (\mathbb{E} \int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{(\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta) \wedge \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{2(\beta+1)}{5-\beta}} ds)^{\frac{5-\beta}{4}} (\mathbb{E} \int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{(\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta) \wedge \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds)^{\frac{\beta-1}{4}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{C}{\eta} \delta \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} (\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2(\frac{\beta+1}{5-\beta}) \frac{5-\beta}{4}})^{\frac{5-\beta}{4}} \times \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} (\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau_N^\varepsilon} \|\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2)^{\frac{\beta-1}{4}} + \frac{C}{N} \\
 &\leq \frac{C\delta}{\eta} + \frac{C}{N}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

For  $J_4$ , by the Assumption 2.1, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_4 &\leq \frac{16}{\eta^2} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z) \tilde{N}(dz ds) \right\|_V^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\eta^2} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|_V^2 \nu(dz) ds \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\eta^2} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \int_{\zeta^\varepsilon}^{\zeta^\varepsilon + \delta} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\eta^2} \delta.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

Putting (3.55)-(3.57) into (3.54), let  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , then we get (3.51). This completes the proof of (ii).  $\square$

**3.1. The weak convergence.** Let  $\mu_\varepsilon$  and  $\mu$  be the laws of  $u^\varepsilon$  and  $u$  on the spaces  $D([0, T], V)$  and  $C([0, T], V)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let the Assumption 2.1 and Assumption 2.2, (H.3) and  $h \in H^2$  hold and  $3 < \beta < 5$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ . For any  $T > 0$ ,  $\mu_\varepsilon$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ , for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , on the space  $D([0, T], V)$  equipped with the Skorohod topology.*

*Proof.* By virtue of Lemma 3.6, the family  $\{\mu_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0\}$  is tight in  $D([0, T], V)$ . Let  $\mu_0$  be the weak limit of any convergent subsequence  $\{\mu_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ . We need to prove  $\mu = \mu_0$ . We first need to prove the following three steps. In step 1, we will prove that  $\mu_0$  is supported on the space  $C([0, T], V)$ . We get that for  $\eta > 0$  and  $N > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 < t \leq T} \|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^\varepsilon(t-)\|_V > \eta) \\
 &\leq \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 < t \leq T} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(t-), z)\|_V > \eta) \\
 &\leq \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 < t \leq T} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(t-), z)\|_V > \eta, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\| \leq N) + \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\| > N) \\
 &\leq \mathbb{P}(\sup_{\|\nabla x\| \leq N} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^\varepsilon(x, z)\|_V > \eta) + \frac{1}{N^2} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 and (2.4) and let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , then we get

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq T} \|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^\varepsilon(t-)\|_V \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Thence, by the Theorem 13.4 in [2], we get that  $\mu_0$  is supported on the space  $C([0, T], V)$ . Then we get  $\mu_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \mu_0$ .

In step 2, let  $f(x) = ((x, l_k))((x, l_j))$ ,  $x \in V$ , for any  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\nabla f$  and  $f''$  are defined by, respectively,

$$\nabla f(x) = ((x, l_j))l_k + ((x, l_k))l_j, \tag{3.58}$$

$$f''(x) = l_j \otimes l_k + l_k \otimes l_j. \tag{3.59}$$

Let

$$L^\varepsilon f(x) = -((A\nabla f(x), x)) - ((B(x), \nabla f(x))) - ((g(x), \nabla f(x))) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} [f(x + \sigma^\varepsilon(x, z)) - f(x) - ((\nabla f(x), \sigma^\varepsilon(x, z)))] \nu(dz), \tag{3.60}$$

$$Lf(x) = -((A\nabla f(x), x)) - ((B(x), \nabla f(x))) - ((g(x), \nabla f(x))) + \frac{1}{2}((f''(x)\sigma(x), \sigma(x))). \tag{3.61}$$

Applying the Itô's formula, we get

$$f(u^\varepsilon(t)) - f(h) - \int_0^t L^\varepsilon f(u^\varepsilon(s)) ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} [f(u^\varepsilon(s-) + \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)) - f(u^\varepsilon(s-))] \tilde{N}(dz ds) \tag{3.62}$$

is a martingale. Let  $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t), \omega \in D([0, T], V)$  be the coordinate process in  $D([0, T], V)$ . Applying the martingale property, we have that for any  $0 \leq s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n \leq s < t$  and  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_b(V)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^\varepsilon} [(f(X_t) - f(X_s) - \int_s^t L^\varepsilon f(X_r) dr) f_0(X_{s_0}) \dots f_n(X_{s_n})] = 0. \tag{3.63}$$

Let

$$G_\varepsilon(x) = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} ((\sigma^\varepsilon(x, z), l_k)) ((\sigma^\varepsilon(x, z), l_j)) \nu(dz) - ((\sigma(x), l_k)) ((\sigma(x), l_j)) \right|, \quad x \in V. \tag{3.64}$$

Applying (3.60) and (3.61), then we get

$$|L^\varepsilon f(X_r) - Lf(X_r)| = G_\varepsilon(X_r). \tag{3.65}$$

We next claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon_n}} \left[ \int_s^t |L^{\varepsilon_n} f(X_r) - Lf(X_r)| dr \right] = 0. \tag{3.66}$$

Then, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon_n}} \left[ \int_s^t |L^{\varepsilon_n} f(X_r) - Lf(X_r)| dr \right] = \int_s^t \mathbb{E} G_{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r)) dr. \tag{3.67}$$

Since

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} G_\varepsilon(x) \leq C(1 + \|\nabla x\|^2), \tag{3.68}$$

applying the dominated convergence theorem, we need to prove that for any  $r \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} G_{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r)) = 0. \tag{3.69}$$

By the Skorohod's representation theorem and  $\mu_{\varepsilon_n}$  converging weakly to  $\mu_0$ , we assume that  $u^{\varepsilon_n}(r)$  converges to a  $V$ -valued random variable  $u^0$ . Then we get that  $\{\|\nabla u^{\varepsilon_n}(r)\|^2\}_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly integrable. Moreover, we have  $u^0 \in L^2(\Omega, V)$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \|u^{\varepsilon_n}(r) - u^0\|_V^2 = 0. \tag{3.70}$$



Applying the dominated convergence theorem and (3.68) and (2.5), we deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}G_{\varepsilon_n}(u^0) = 0. \tag{3.71}$$

Then, we will next prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|G_{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r)) - G_{\varepsilon_n}(u^0)| = 0. \tag{3.72}$$

Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}|G_{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r)) - G_{\varepsilon_n}(u^0)| \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} ((\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r), z), l_k))((\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r), z), l_j))\nu(dz) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} ((\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^0, z), l_k))((\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^0, z), l_j))\nu(dz) \right| \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}|((\sigma(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r)), l_k))((\sigma(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r)), l_j)) - ((\sigma(u^0), l_k))((\sigma(u^0), l_j))| \\ & = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

For  $I_1$ , by the Assumption 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} |((\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r), z), l_k))((\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r), z) - \sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^0, z), l_j))| \nu(dz) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} |((\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^0, z), l_j))((\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r), z) - \sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^0, z), l_k))| \nu(dz) \\ & \leq C [\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla \sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r), z)\|^2 \nu(dz)]^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla(\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r), z) - \sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^0, z))\|^2 \nu(dz)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + [\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla \sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^0, z)\|^2 \nu(dz)]^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\nabla(\sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r), z) - \sigma^{\varepsilon_n}(u^0, z))\|^2 \nu(dz)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C [(1 + \mathbb{E}\|\nabla u^0\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sup_{\varepsilon_n} (1 + \mathbb{E}\|\nabla u^{\varepsilon_n}(r)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}] (\mathbb{E}\|\nabla(u^{\varepsilon_n}(r) - u^0)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.74}$$

By (3.17) and (3.70), we have  $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ . Similarly, we have  $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, we prove (3.72). Then, (3.66) holds.

We will show that

$$M_{k,j}(t) = f(X_t) - f(h) - \int_0^t Lf(X_r)dr \tag{3.75}$$

is a martingale under  $\mu_0$ . Similarly, we will prove that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu_0} [(f(X_t) - f(X_s) - \int_s^t Lf(X_r)dr) f_0(X_{s_0}) \dots f_n(X_{s_n})] = 0. \tag{3.76}$$

Inspired by the Theorem 1.6.8 in [8] and  $|f(x)| \leq C\|\nabla x\|^2$ , we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu_0} [f(X_t) f_0(X_{s_0}) \dots f_n(X_{s_n})] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\varepsilon_n}} [f(X_t) f_0(X_{s_0}) \dots f_n(X_{s_n})]. \tag{3.77}$$

Since  $l_j \in H^3$ , we have

$$-((\nabla f(x), Ax)) - ((B(x), \nabla f(x))) + \frac{1}{2}((f''(x)\sigma(x), \sigma(x))) \leq C(\|\nabla x\|^2 + \|\nabla x\|^3)$$

$$\leq C(1 + \|\nabla x\|^3). \tag{3.78}$$

Next, we obtain for  $3 < \beta < 5$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -((g(x), \nabla f(x))) &= -((g(x), l_k))((x, l_j)) - ((g(x), l_j))((x, l_k)) \\ &\leq C\|x\|^{\frac{\beta}{6\beta}}\|\Delta l_k\|_6 \cdot \|\nabla x\| \|\nabla l_j\| + C\|x\|^{\frac{\beta}{6\beta}}\|\Delta l_j\|_6 \cdot \|\nabla x\| \|l_k\| \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla x\|^{2\beta} + \|\nabla x\|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

Hence,  $Lf(x)$  is a continuous function on  $V$  and

$$|Lf(x)| \leq C(1 + \|\nabla x\|^2 + \|\nabla x\|^3 + \|\nabla x\|^{2\beta}). \tag{3.80}$$

For any  $r \in [s, t]$ , we get

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu_0}[(Lf(X_r))f_0(X_{s_0}) \cdots f_n(X_{s_n})] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\varepsilon_n}}[(Lf(X_r))f_0(X_{s_0}) \cdots f_n(X_{s_n})]. \tag{3.81}$$

Applying the Fubini theorem and dominated convergence theorem, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}^{\mu_0}[(\int_s^t Lf(X_r)dr)f_0(X_{s_0}) \cdots f_n(X_{s_n})] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\varepsilon_n}}[(\int_s^t Lf(X_r)dr)f_0(X_{s_0}) \cdots f_n(X_{s_n})]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.82}$$

By (3.63), (3.66), (3.77) and (3.82), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}^{\mu_0}[(f(X_t) - f(X_s) - \int_s^t Lf(X_r)dr)f_0(X_{s_0}) \cdots f_n(X_{s_n})] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\varepsilon_n}}[(f(X_t) - f(X_s) - \int_s^t Lf(X_r)dr)f_0(X_{s_0}) \cdots f_n(X_{s_n})] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\varepsilon_n}}[(f(X_t) - f(X_s) - \int_s^t L^{\varepsilon_n} f(X_r)dr)f_0(X_{s_0}) \cdots f_n(X_{s_n})] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

Therefore,  $M_{k,j}(t)$  is defined by (3.75) and is a martingale under  $\mu_0$ .

Let  $m(x) = ((x, l_k)), x \in V, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly, we prove

$$\begin{aligned} M_k(t) &= m(X_t) - m(h) - \int_0^t Lm(X_r)dr \\ &= ((X_t, l_k)) - ((h, l_k)) + \int_0^t ((Al_k, X_s))ds + \int_0^t ((B(X_s), l_k))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t ((g(X_s), l_k))ds \end{aligned} \tag{3.84}$$

is a martingale under  $\mu_0$ .

In step 3, we will prove that  $\mu_0$  is the law of a weak solution of (2.6). Applying (3.75) and (3.84), by Itô's formula, we have

$$\langle M_k, M_j \rangle (t) = \int_0^t ((\sigma(X_s), l_k))((\sigma(X_s), l_j))ds, \tag{3.85}$$

here,  $\langle M_k, M_j \rangle$  represents the sharp bracket of the two martingales. By [8], then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathbb{P}')$  with a filtration  $\mathbb{F}'$  such that the extensional

$$(\Omega \times \Omega', \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}', \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}', \mu_0 \times \mathbb{P}')$$
(3.86)

of  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Then there exists a one-dimensional Brownian motion  $W(t), t \geq 0$  such that

$$M_k(t) = \int_0^t ((\sigma(X_s), l_k)) dW(s).$$
(3.87)

Meanwhile, we have for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ((X_t, l_k)) - ((h, l_k)) &= - \int_0^t ((A l_k, X_s)) ds - \int_0^t ((B(X_s), l_k)) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t ((g(X_s), l_k)) ds + \int_0^t ((\sigma(X_s), l_k)) dW(s). \end{aligned}$$
(3.88)

We get that  $\{X_t, t \geq 0\}$  is a solution to (2.6) under  $\mu_0$ . Applying the uniqueness of (2.6), we get  $\mu = \mu_0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Let  $\mu_\varepsilon$  and  $\mu$  be the laws of  $u^\varepsilon$  and  $u$  on the spaces  $D([0, T], H)$  and  $C([0, T], H)$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let the Assumption 2.1, (H.1), (H.2) and  $h \in V$  hold and  $3 < \beta < 5$  with any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  as  $\beta = 3$ . For any  $T > 0$ ,  $\mu_\varepsilon$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ , for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , on the space  $D([0, T], H)$  equipped with the Skorohod topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $h^n, \sigma_n(u), \sigma_n^\varepsilon(u, z)$  be the corresponding orthogonal projections of  $h, \sigma(u), \sigma^\varepsilon(u, z)$  into the  $n$ -dimensional space  $\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ , respectively. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{\sigma_n^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0}$  satisfies the Assumption 2.1, (H.1) and (H.2). For any  $u, u_1, u_2 \in H$ , there exists  $C > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\sigma_n(u)\|^2 + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u, z)\|^2 \nu(dz) \leq C(1 + \|u\|^2),$$
(3.89)

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\sigma_n(u_1) - \sigma_n(u_2)\|^2 + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u_1, z) - \sigma_n^\varepsilon(u_2, z)\|^2 \nu(dz) \leq C\|u_1 - u_2\|^2.$$
(3.90)

Let  $u^{n,\varepsilon}, u^n$  denote the solution of the three-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with damping:

$$\begin{aligned} u^{n,\varepsilon}(t) &= h^n - \int_0^t A u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) ds - \int_0^t B(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s)) ds - \int_0^t g_n(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s-), z) \tilde{N}(dz ds) \end{aligned}$$
(3.91)

and

$$u^n(t) = h^n - \int_0^t A u^n(s) ds - \int_0^t B(u^n(s)) ds - \int_0^t g_n(u^n(s)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_n(u^n(s)) dW(s).$$
(3.92)

Applying the above Theorem 3.1, we get for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$u^{n,\varepsilon} \rightarrow u^n, \text{ as in distribution}$$
(3.93)

on the space  $D([0, T], H)$ . Applying the Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u^{n, \varepsilon}(t)\|^{2p} + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^{n, \varepsilon}(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\Delta u^{n, \varepsilon}(s)\|^2 ds \right. \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^{n, \varepsilon}(s)\|^{2p-2} \int_D |u^{n, \varepsilon}|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^{n, \varepsilon}|^2 dx ds \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^{n, \varepsilon}(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla |u^{n, \varepsilon}|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds \right) < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{3.94}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u^n(t)\|^{2p} + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^n(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\Delta u^n(s)\|^2 ds \right. \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^n(s)\|^{2p-2} \int_D |u^n|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u^n|^2 dx ds \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^n(s)\|^{2p-2} \|\nabla |u^n|^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}\|^2 ds \right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.95}$$

We will prove that for each  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^n(t) - u(t)\| > \delta \right) = 0, \tag{3.96}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^{n, \varepsilon}(t) - u^\varepsilon(t)\| > \delta \right) = 0. \tag{3.97}$$

Similarity, we need to prove (3.97). By Itô's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\gamma \int_0^t \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n, \varepsilon}(t) - u^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \\ &= \|h^n - h\|^2 - \gamma \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n, \varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^4 ds \\ & \quad - 2 \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \langle A(u^{n, \varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)), u^{n, \varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds \\ & \quad - 2 \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \langle B(u^{n, \varepsilon}(s)) - B(u^\varepsilon(s)), u^{n, \varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds \\ & \quad - 2 \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \langle g_n(u^{n, \varepsilon}(s)) - g(u^\varepsilon(s)), u^{n, \varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \\ & \quad \times (\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n, \varepsilon}(s-), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z), u^{n, \varepsilon}(s-) - u^\varepsilon(s-)) \tilde{N}(dz ds) \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n, \varepsilon}(s-), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s-), z)\|^2 N(dz ds) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^7 J_k^{n, \varepsilon}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.98}$$

Inspired by [15], we get for  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$

$$2|\langle B(u^{n, \varepsilon}(s)) - B(u^\varepsilon(s)), u^{n, \varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s) \rangle|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s))\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1 \|\nabla(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s))\|^2 + C_{\varepsilon_1} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^4, \end{aligned} \tag{3.99}$$

and

$$\langle g_n(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s)) - g(u^\varepsilon(s)), u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s) \rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.100}$$

Let  $\gamma = C_{\varepsilon_1}$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^5 J_k^{n,\varepsilon}(t) \leq \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} (\varepsilon_1 - 2) \|\nabla(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s))\|^2 ds. \tag{3.101}$$

Let us choose  $\varepsilon_1$  small enough such that

$$\sum_{k=2}^5 J_k^{n,\varepsilon}(t) \leq - \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|\nabla(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s))\|^2 ds. \tag{3.102}$$

For  $J_6^{n,\varepsilon}(t)$ , applying Burkholder’s-Davis-Gundy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |J_6^{n,\varepsilon}(s)| \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-2\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s), z)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.103}$$

here, the property of  $\sigma^\varepsilon$  was used. Similarly, we also get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |J_7^{n,\varepsilon}(s)| &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.104}$$

By (3.98), (3.102), (3.103) and (3.104), we get

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 2\mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|\nabla(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s))\|^2 ds \\
 &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \|h^n - h\|^2 + C\mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\
 &\quad + C\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds. \tag{3.105}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the Gronwall’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|\nabla(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s))\|^2 ds \\
 &\leq C(T) \times [\mathbb{E} \|h^n - h\|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds]. \tag{3.106}
 \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z)\|^2 \nu(dz) ds = 0. \tag{3.107}$$

The above equality (3.107) is proved, we will get the following equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.108}$$

Let

$$G_n^\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(x, z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(x, z)\|^2 \nu(dz), \tag{3.109}$$

we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} G_n^\varepsilon(x) \leq C(1 + \|x\|^2). \tag{3.110}$$

We also need to prove the following equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} G_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s)) = 0. \tag{3.111}$$

Now, we want to prove the following three equalities.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} G_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} G_n^\varepsilon(u^n(s)), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.112}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} G_n^\varepsilon(u^n(s)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} G_n^\varepsilon(u(s)), \tag{3.113}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} G_n^\varepsilon(u(s)) = 0. \tag{3.114}$$

To prove (3.112), since  $u^n$  is continuous, by (3.93), we have that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in [0, T]$ ,

$$u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) \longrightarrow u^n(s) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.115}$$

in distribution. We set  $\|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^n(s)\| \rightarrow 0$  a.s. as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  by using the Skorohod’s representation theorem. By virtue of (3.94),  $\{\|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s)\|^2\}_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0}$  is uniformly integrable. Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^n(s)\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.116}$$

In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}|G_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s)) - G_n^\varepsilon(u^n(s))| \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z)|^2 - |\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^n(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^n(s), z)|^2) \nu(dz) \\ & \leq [2\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^n(s), z)|^2 + |\sigma^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(u^n(s), z)|^2) \nu(dz)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times [8\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z)|^2 + |\sigma_n^\varepsilon(u^n(s), z)|^2 + |\sigma^\varepsilon(u^{n,\varepsilon}(s), z)|^2 + |\sigma^\varepsilon(u^n(s), z)|^2) \nu(dz)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = I_1^\varepsilon \times I_2^\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{3.117}$$

By the above Assumption 2.1, (3.89)-(3.90), (3.94)-(3.95) and (3.116), we have

$$|I_1^\varepsilon|^2 \leq C \mathbb{E} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^n(s)\|^2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.118}$$

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} |I_2^\varepsilon|^2 \leq C \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E}(1 + \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s)\|^2 + \|u^n(s)\|^2) < \infty. \tag{3.119}$$

By (3.118)-(3.119), we get (3.112). Similarly, by (3.96), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{E}|G_n^\varepsilon(u^n(s)) - G_n^\varepsilon(u(s))| = 0. \tag{3.120}$$

Then, (3.113) is proved. To prove (3.114), by (2.2) and (2.3), we deduce for  $x \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(x, z) - \sigma^\varepsilon(x, z)\|^2 \nu(dz) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma_n^\varepsilon(x, z)\|^2 \nu(dz) - \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \|\sigma^\varepsilon(x, z)\|^2 \nu(dz)] \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_n(x)\|^2 - \|\sigma(x)\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.121}$$

By (3.110), (3.121) and the dominated convergence theorem, (3.114) holds. Then (3.108) is proved.

To prove (3.97), by virtue of the Lemmas 3.3-3.4, there exists a  $M_1 > 0$  such that for any  $\delta_1 > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(t) - u^\varepsilon(t)\| > \delta, \int_0^T \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^4 ds > M_1) \\ & \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \mathbb{P}(\int_0^T \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^4 ds > M_1) \leq \delta_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.122}$$

Meanwhile, applying (3.108), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(t) - u^\varepsilon(t)\| > \delta, \int_0^T \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(s)\|^4 ds \leq M_1) \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 \geq e^{-\gamma M_1} \delta^2) \\ & \leq e^{\gamma M_1} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} e^{-\gamma \int_0^s \|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\rho)\|^4 d\rho} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.123}$$

By (3.122) and (3.123), we deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(t) - u^\varepsilon(t)\| > \delta) \leq \delta_1. \tag{3.124}$$

Because  $\delta_1$  is arbitrary, (3.97) holds.

Finally, we will show that  $\mu^\varepsilon$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ . Let  $\mu_n^\varepsilon, \mu_n$  be the laws of  $u^{n,\varepsilon}$  and  $u^n$  on the space  $S := D([0, T], H)$ , respectively. Assume that  $G$  is a given bounded, uniformly continuous function on the space  $S$ . We have that for each  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S G(w) \mu^\varepsilon(dw) - \int_S G(w) \mu(dw) \\ &= \int_S G(w) \mu^\varepsilon(dw) - \int_S G(w) \mu_n^\varepsilon(dw) + \int_S G(w) \mu_n^\varepsilon(dw) \\ & \quad - \int_S G(w) \mu_n(dw) + \int_S G(w) \mu_n(dw) - \int_S G(w) \mu(dw) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[G(u^\varepsilon) - G(u^{n,\varepsilon})] + \left( \int_S G(w) \mu_n^\varepsilon(dw) - \int_S G(w) \mu_n(dw) \right) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}[G(u^n) - G(u)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.125}$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that for  $n \geq 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[G(u^\varepsilon) - G(u^{n,\varepsilon}); \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|u^{n,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\| \leq \delta_1] \leq \frac{\delta}{4}. \tag{3.126}$$

By virtue of (3.96)-(3.97), there exist positive constants  $n_1$  and  $\varepsilon_{n_1}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{n_1}} \mathbb{E}[G(u^\varepsilon) - G(u^{n_1,\varepsilon}); \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|u^{n_1,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\| > \delta_1] \\ & \leq C \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{n_1}} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|u^{n_1,\varepsilon}(s) - u^\varepsilon(s)\| > \delta_1) \leq \frac{\delta}{4}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.127}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[G(u^{n_1}) - G(u)] \leq \frac{\delta}{4}. \tag{3.128}$$

In other words, applying (3.93), there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_1$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ ,

$$\left| \int_S G(w) \mu_{n_1}^\varepsilon(dw) - \int_S G(w) \mu_{n_1}(dw) \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{4}. \tag{3.129}$$

By (3.125)-(3.129), we deduce that for any  $\varepsilon \leq \min\{\varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_1\}$ ,

$$\left| \int_S G(w) \mu^\varepsilon(dw) - \int_S G(w) \mu(dw) \right| \leq \delta. \tag{3.130}$$

Because  $\delta > 0$  is small enough, we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_S G(w) \mu^\varepsilon(dw) = \int_S G(w) \mu(dw). \tag{3.131}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

**Acknowledgements.** The work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11901342, 11701269), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2018QA002), Postdoctoral Innovation Project of Shandong Province (No. 202003040) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2019M652350).



## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Aldous, *Stopping times and tightness*, Ann. Probab., **6:335–340**, 1978. [3](#)
- [2] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, John Wiley Sons, Inc., New York, 1999. [3.1](#)
- [3] Z. Brzeźniak, W. Liu, and J.H. Zhu, *Strong solutions for SPDE with locally monotone coefficients driven by Lévy noise*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., **17:283–310**, 2014. [1](#)
- [4] X.J. Cai and Q.S. Jiu, *Weak and strong solutions for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with damping*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **343:799–809**, 2008. [1](#)
- [5] Y. Chen and H.J. Gao, *Well-posedness and large deviations for a class of SPDEs with Lévy noise*, J. Differ. Equ., **263:5216–5252**, 2017. [1](#)
- [6] G. Di Nunno and T.S. Zhang, *Approximations of stochastic partial differential equations*, Ann. Appl. Probab., **26:1443–1466**, 2016. [3](#)
- [7] Z. Dong and R.R. Zhang, *3D tamed Navier-Stokes equations driven by multiplicative Lévy noise: Existence, uniqueness and large deviations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **492(48):124404**, 2020. [1](#)
- [8] R. Durrett, *Probability: Theory and Examples*, Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. [3.1](#), [3.1](#)
- [9] H.J. Gao and H. Liu, *Stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations with nonlinear damping: martingale solution, strong solution and small time LDP*, in X. Chen, Y. Lv and W. Wang (eds.), Stochastic PDEs and Modelling of Multiscale Complex System, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, **9-36**, 2019. [1](#)
- [10] H.J. Gao and H. Liu, *Well-posedness and invariant measures for a class of stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations with damping driven by jump noise*, J. Differ. Equ., **267:5938–5975**, 2019. [1](#)
- [11] B. Gess and M. Hofmanová, *Well-posedness and regularity for quasilinear degenerate parabolic-hyperbolic SPDE*, Ann. Probab., **46:2495–2544**, 2018. [1](#)
- [12] M. Hairer and J.C. Mattingly, *Ergodicity of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations with degenerate stochastic forcing*, Ann. Math., **164:993–1032**, 2006. [1](#)
- [13] K.W. Hajduk and J.C. Robinson, *Energy equality for the 3D critical convective Brinkman-Forchheimer equations*, J. Differ. Equ., **263:7141–7161**, 2017. [1](#), [3](#), [3](#)
- [14] Z.H. Jiang, *Asymptotic behavior of strong solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with a nonlinear damping term*, Nonlinear Anal., **75:5002–5009**, 2012. [1](#)
- [15] H. Liu and H.J. Gao, *Ergodicity and dynamics for the stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations with damping*, Commun. Math. Sci., **16:97–122**, 2018. [1](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3.1](#)
- [16] H. Liu, L. Lin, C.F. Sun, and Q.K. Xiao, *The exponential behavior and stabilizability of the stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations with damping*, Rev. Math. Phys., **31(15):1950023**, 2019. [1](#)
- [17] W. Liu and M. Röckner, *SPDE in Hilbert space with locally monotone coefficients*, J. Funct. Anal., **259:2902–2922**, 2010. [1](#)
- [18] W. Liu and M. Röckner, *Local and global well-posedness of SPDE with generalized coercivity conditions*, J. Differ. Equ., **254:725–755**, 2013. [1](#)
- [19] P.A. Markowich, E.S. Titi, and S. Trabelsi, *Continuous data assimilation for the three-dimensional Brinkman-Forchheimer-extended Darcy model*, Nonlinearity, **29:1292–1328**, 2016. [1](#)
- [20] M. Röckner and T.S. Zhang, *Stochastic 3D tamed Navier-Stokes equations: existence, uniqueness and small time large deviation principles*, J. Differ. Equ., **252:716–744**, 2012. [1](#)
- [21] M. Röckner, T.S. Zhang, and X.C. Zhang, *Large deviations for stochastic tamed 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, Appl. Math. Optim., **61:267–285**, 2010. [1](#)
- [22] M. Röckner and X.C. Zhang, *Stochastic tamed 3D Navier-Stokes equations: existence, uniqueness and ergodicity*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **145:211–267**, 2009. [1](#)
- [23] S.J. Shang and T.S. Zhang, *Approximations of stochastic Navier-Stokes equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl., **130:2407–2432**, 2020. [3](#)
- [24] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1984. [3](#)
- [25] E.S. Titi and S. Trabelsi, *Global well-posedness of a 3D MHD model in porous media*, J. Geom. Mech., **11:621–637**, 2019. [1](#)