

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH PARAMETERS*

YINBIN DENG[†] AND YOUJUN WANG[‡]

Abstract. This paper is devoted to investigating the existence of positive solutions for a class of parameter-dependent quasilinear elliptic equations

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u - \frac{\gamma u}{2\sqrt{1+u^2}} \Delta \sqrt{1+u^2} = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (0.1)$$

where γ, λ are positive parameters, $N \geq 3$. For a trapping potential $V(x)$ and $p \in (2, 2^*)$, by controlling the range of γ and λ , we establish the existence of positive solutions $u_{\gamma, \lambda}$ for the above problem, where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ is critical exponent. For super-critical case, we find a constant $p^* \in [2^*, \min\{\frac{9+2\gamma}{8+2\gamma}, \frac{2\gamma+4-2\sqrt{4+2\gamma}}{\gamma}\}2^*)$ such that Equation (0.1) has no positive solution for all $\gamma, \lambda > 0$ if $p \geq p^*$ and $\nabla V(x) \cdot x \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Furthermore, for fixed $\lambda > 0$, the asymptotic behavior of positive solutions $u_{\gamma, \lambda}$ is also obtained when $V(x)$ is a positive constant as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$.

Keywords. Quasilinear elliptic equations; positive solutions; asymptotic behavior.

AMS subject classifications. 35J20; 35J60.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the parameter-dependent quasilinear elliptic equations of the form

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u - \frac{\gamma u}{2\sqrt{1+u^2}} \Delta \sqrt{1+u^2} = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where $V(x)$ is a given potential, $N \geq 3$, γ is a parameter, $f(s)$ is a real function. Equations of this type are related to the solitary wave solutions for the quasilinear Schrödinger equations

$$i\psi_t = -\Delta \psi + W(x)\psi - \rho(|\psi|^2)\psi - \gamma \Delta l(|\psi|^2)l'(|\psi|^2)\psi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\psi(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $W(x)$ is a given potential, γ is a parameter, $\rho(s)$ and $l(s)$ are real functions. If $l(s) = \sqrt{1+s}$ and $\rho(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s}}$, Equation (1.2) is known to describe propagation of high-power ultrashort laser pulse in a medium, see e.g. [5–9]. If $l(s) = \sqrt{1-s}$, Equation (1.2) is the fundamental equation of motion for nonlinear excitations in a classical planar Heisenberg ferromagnetic spin chain in an external field [23, 28]. In the case when $l(s) = s$, Equation (1.2) appears in various problems in plasma physics and nonlinear optics, see e.g. [15, 22]. We refer the readers to [12, 13, 16, 17, 19] and the references therein for more results on the physical background.

In the last decade, a considerable attention has been devoted to the study of solutions to (1.2) when $l(s) = s$, see for example [1, 20, 24, 32] and the references therein. Here, we focus on the case $l(s) = \sqrt{1+s}$. A solution of the form $\psi(t, x) = \exp(-iEt)u(x)$

*Received: June 19, 2022; Accepted (in revised form): January 27, 2023. Communicated by Feimin Huang.

The research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12271196) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong (No.2023A1515012812).

[†]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, China (ybdeng@mail.ccnu.edu.cn).

[‡]School of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China (scyjwang@scut.edu.cn).

is called a solitary wave solution, where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u(x)$ is a real function. Then, we observe that ψ satisfies (1.2) if and only if the function $u(x)$ satisfies (1.1) with $V(x) = W(x) - E$, $f(s) = \rho(|s|^2)s$ and $l(s) = \sqrt{1+s}$.

Setting $\tilde{g}_\gamma(u) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\gamma u^2}{2(1+u^2)}}$, then (1.1) can be reduced to quasilinear elliptic equations

$$-\operatorname{div}(\tilde{g}_\gamma^2(u)\nabla u) + \tilde{g}_\gamma(u)\tilde{g}'_\gamma(u)|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.3}$$

In the sequel, we always assume that $V(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ is a trapping potential, that is,

$$(V) \quad 0 < V_0 \leq V(x) \leq \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} V(x) = V_\infty < +\infty.$$

In [24], Shen and Wang proved the existence of nontrivial solutions for problem (1.1) when $\gamma = 1$ and the nonlinear term $f(s)$ satisfies the generalized global Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz superlinear condition

$$\exists \mu > 2, \text{ such that } 0 < \mu \tilde{g}(s)F(s) \leq \tilde{G}(s)f(s), \quad \forall s > 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\tilde{g}(s) := \tilde{g}_1(s)$, $\tilde{G}(s) = \int_0^s \tilde{g}(t)dt$ and $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t)dt$. In view of the definition of $\tilde{g}(s)$, we get that $\frac{s\tilde{g}(s)}{\tilde{G}(s)} \leq 6 - 2\sqrt{6}$ for all $s > 0$. So, (1.4) is a consequence of the condition

$$\exists \mu > 2, \text{ such that } 0 < \mu(6 - 2\sqrt{6})F(s) \leq sf(s), \quad \forall s > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

From (1.5), we deduce that there exist constants $C, C_1 > 0$ such that $F(s) \geq C|s|^{\mu(6-2\sqrt{6})}$ for $s > C_1 > 0$. Stated in the particular case of (1.5), for $f(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ with $p \in (12 - 4\sqrt{6}, 2^*)$, the existence of a nontrivial solution for (1.1) was proved in [32]. Unfortunately, (1.5) is invalid for $f(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ if $p \leq 12 - 4\sqrt{6}$ and thus the method used in [32] can not be applied to study this case. Recently, in [10], Deng and Huang proved the existence of positive ground state solutions for (1.1) with $\gamma = 1$ and $f(s) = |s|^{p-2}s + |s|^{2^*-2}s$, where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$, $p \in (2, 12 - 4\sqrt{6}]$ for $N \geq 4$ or $p \in (2, 4)$ for $N = 3$. In their paper, the Pohozaev type identity has been used to find a bounded (PS) sequence and thus conditions on $\nabla V(x)$ were needed. Precisely, they assumed that

$$(\nabla V) \quad \text{there exists } C_0 \in (0, \frac{(N-2)^2}{2}) \text{ such that } |\nabla V(x) \cdot x| \leq \frac{C_0}{|x|^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus, it is interesting to discuss the existence of positive solutions for (1.1) with general $\gamma > 0$ and $f(s) = \lambda|s|^{p-2}s$ when $p \in (2, 2^*)$ if the condition (∇V) is abandoned. The present paper is to consider the existence of positive solutions for problem (1.1) for general $\gamma > 0$ without assumption (∇V) . Precisely, for the following parameter-dependent equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u - \frac{\gamma u}{2\sqrt{1+u^2}} \Delta \sqrt{1+u^2} = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.6}$$

where γ and λ are positive parameters, the existence and non-existence of positive solutions are given by the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume that (V) and $p > 2$, $N \geq 3$. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (1) *for all $\lambda > 0$ and $p \in (2, 2^*)$, Equation (1.6) has a positive classical solution if $\gamma \in (0, \gamma^*)$, where*

$$\gamma^* = \begin{cases} \frac{16(p-2)}{(p-4)^2}, & \text{if } p < 4, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } p \geq 4 \end{cases};$$

- (2) for all $\gamma > 0$ and $p \in (2, 2^*)$, Equation (1.6) has a positive classical solution if $\lambda \in (\lambda^*, +\infty)$, where

$$\lambda^* = (p-2)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left(\frac{2^* - p + 2}{2} \right)^{\frac{2(2^* - p + 2)(p-2)}{(2^* - p)^2}} 2^{\frac{7 \cdot 2^* - 2 - 6p}{2(2^* - p)}} S^{-\frac{(2^* - 2)(p-2)}{2(2^* - p)}} \cdot (2 + \gamma)^{\frac{p(2^* - 2)}{2(2^* - p)}} \gamma^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$$

and S is the best Sobolev constant of inequality $S\|u\|_{2^*}^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_2^2$, $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

- (3) for all $\gamma, \lambda > 0$, there exists a constant $p^* \in [2^*, \min\{\frac{9+2\gamma}{8+2\gamma}, \frac{2\gamma+4-2\sqrt{4+2\gamma}}{\gamma}\}2^*)$ such that Equation (1.6) has no positive solution if $p \in [p^*, +\infty)$ and $\nabla V(x) \cdot x \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N .

From the part (1) of Theorem 1.1, for all $\lambda > 0$ and $p \in (2, 2^*)$, Equation (1.6) has a positive classical solution if $\gamma \in (0, \gamma^*)$. For the case when $V(x)$ is a positive constant and λ is fixed, we have the following delicate result:

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose $V(x) = \mu = \text{constant} > 0$, $p \in (2, 2^*)$, then the corresponding solution $u_{\gamma,\lambda}$ of Equation (1.6) obtained in Theorem 1.1 is spherically symmetric and monotone decreasing with respect to $r = |x|$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have*

$$u_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ as } \gamma \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where u_λ is the ground state of semilinear problem

$$-\Delta u + \mu u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{1.7}$$

We observe that the natural energy functional corresponding to the Euler-Lagrange Equation (1.6) is:

$$\tilde{I}_{\gamma,\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{g}_\gamma^2(u) |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx. \tag{1.8}$$

Notice that although $\tilde{I}_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is well defined in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it is not smooth. It is difficult to find the critical point of $\tilde{I}_{\gamma,\lambda}(u)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by standard variational method. In [24], the authors overcome this difficulty by introducing a change of variables $s = \tilde{G}_\gamma^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in [0, +\infty)$, where

$$\tilde{G}_\gamma(s) = \int_0^s \tilde{g}_\gamma(t) dt. \tag{1.9}$$

Then $\tilde{I}_{\gamma,\lambda}$ was converted to the following C^1 functional:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\gamma,\lambda}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |\tilde{G}_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{G}_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^p dx. \tag{1.10}$$

For $\gamma = 1$ and $p \in (12 - 4\sqrt{6}, 2^*)$, the existence of positive critical point of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\gamma,\lambda}$ can be proved via mountain pass theorem, which will lead to the existence of positive critical point of $\tilde{I}_{\gamma,\lambda}$. It should be pointed out that the condition $p > 12 - 4\sqrt{6}$ plays an important role to prove the boundedness of $(PS)_c$ sequence, see also [32].

The underling idea for proving Theorem 1.1-(1) can be processed by a standard way, see [10, 25]. The proof of Theorem 1.1-(2) is inspired by the recent work [1, 29, 30], where

some other type of quasilinear elliptic equations were studied. In order to adopt the variational method, we will first modify our problem. Namely, we establish an auxiliary function $g_\gamma(t)$ such that $g_\gamma(t) = \tilde{g}_\gamma(t)$ for $t \in (0, t_1)$, where $t_1 > 0$ is a proper cut-off point. Then, we consider the modified quasilinear elliptic equation

$$-div(g_\gamma^2(u)\nabla u) + g_\gamma(u)g'_\gamma(u)|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.11}$$

Direct calculations show that if $g_\gamma(t) = \tilde{g}_\gamma(t)$, then Equation (1.11) becomes Equation (1.6). Solutions of (1.11) correspond to critical points of the functional

$$I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_\gamma^2(u)|\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx, \tag{1.12}$$

where $I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u)$ is well defined in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. However, it is nonsmooth. As in [24], we introduce the change of variables $u = G_\gamma^{-1}(v)$ to reformulate functional $I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u)$ by a smooth functional $J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v)$:

$$J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^p dx, \tag{1.13}$$

where $G_\gamma(t) = \int_0^t g_\gamma(\tau) d\tau$. Then, we prove that $J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v)$ has a positive critical point and so (1.11) has a positive solution $u_{\gamma,\lambda} = G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})$. Finally, using elliptic regularity estimate, by choosing proper λ , we show that $|u_{\gamma,\lambda}(x)| \leq t_1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Thus it is indeed a positive solution of (1.6).

The outline of the article is as follows: In Section 2, by establishing an auxiliary function, we modify (1.6). In Section 3, we prove the existence and nonexistence of a positive solution for problem (1.6) by employing the variational technique and a general Pohozaev identity. Finally, we study the asymptotic behavior of solution of (1.6) as $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$ in Section 4.

In this paper, we always make use of the following notations: C will denote various positive constants whose exact value may change from line to line but are not essential to the analysis of the problem; The symbol $\|u\|_p$ is used for the norm of the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$; By (V) , we denote by $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$ endowed with the norm $\|u\| := \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) dx}$.

2. The modification of Equation (1.6)

To prove our main result, we first introduce an auxiliary function $g_\gamma(t)$ as follows:

$$g_\gamma(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma t^2}{1+t^2} \right) \eta(t) + \frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\eta(t)$ is a spatial function satisfying either the following (η_1) or (η_2) :

- (η_1) $\eta(t) \equiv 1$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (η_2) $\eta(t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ is a cut-off function satisfying

$$\eta(t) \begin{cases} = \eta(-t), & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ = 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \delta_\gamma := \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}, \\ \in (0, 1), & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}} < t < \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}, \\ = 0, & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $p \in (2, 2^*)$. Moreover, it also satisfies

$$-\sigma \sqrt{\eta(t)} \leq \eta'(t)t \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.2}$$

where σ is a positive constant independent of γ .

For the proper establishment of this kind of spatial function $\eta(t)$, people can refer [30].

Set

$$G_\gamma(t) = \int_0^t g_\gamma(s) ds.$$

Clearly, $G_\gamma(t)$ is an odd C^∞ function and increases in \mathbb{R} . Thus, the inverse function $G_\gamma^{-1}(t)$ exists and it is also an odd C^∞ function.

Now we first collect some properties of g_γ and $G_\gamma^{-1}(t)$, which will play important roles in the proof of our main results. By direct calculations, we get the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.1. *The following properties hold:*

- (1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(t)}{t} = 1;$
- (2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(t)}{t} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\gamma}}, & \text{if } (\eta_1) \text{ holds,} \\ \sqrt{2}, & \text{if } (\eta_2) \text{ holds,} \end{cases};$
- (3) $|G_\gamma^{-1}(t)| \in \begin{cases} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\gamma}}|t|, |t| \right], & \text{if } (\eta_1) \text{ holds,} \\ \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\gamma}}|t|, \sqrt{2}|t| \right], & \text{if } (\eta_2) \text{ holds,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R};$
- (4) $\frac{g'_\gamma(t)t}{g_\gamma(t)} \in \begin{cases} \left[0, 1 + \frac{4-2\sqrt{4+2\gamma}}{\gamma} \right], & \text{if } (\eta_1) \text{ holds,} \\ \left[-\tilde{C}, \frac{p-2}{4} \right], & \text{if } (\eta_2) \text{ holds,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for some constant } \tilde{C} > 0 \text{ and}$
all } t \in \mathbb{R}.

Proof. We consider the case (η_2) and the case (η_1) can be treated in exactly the same manner. Since $g_\gamma(t)$ is even and $G_\gamma^{-1}(t)$ is odd, we only consider the case $t \geq 0$. It follows from Hospital's principle that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(t))} = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(t))} = \sqrt{2}.$$

Thus, the items (1) and (2) are proved.

From the definition of $g_\gamma(t)$, we get $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq g_\gamma(t) < \sqrt{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus for all $t \geq 0$, we deduce that

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}t \leq G_\gamma(t) = \int_0^t g_\gamma(s) ds \leq \sqrt{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}t,$$

which yields that $\sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\gamma}}t \leq G_\gamma^{-1}(t) \leq \sqrt{2}t$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Lastly, we prove (4). By (2.2), we get

$$\frac{g'_\gamma(t)t}{g_\gamma(t)} = \frac{2\gamma t^2\eta(t) + (1+t^2)[1+(1+\gamma)t^2]\eta'(t)t}{2(1+t^2)[1+(1+\gamma)t^2]\eta(t) + 2(1+t^2)^2}$$

$$\begin{cases} \geq -\frac{\sigma[1+(1+\gamma)t^2]\sqrt{\eta(t)}}{2(1+t^2)} \geq -\frac{1+\gamma t^2}{2}\sigma =: -\tilde{C}, & \text{if } 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}, \\ = 0, & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

To prove the second inequality, by (2.3), it suffices to consider the case $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}$. In fact, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g'_\gamma(t)t}{g_\gamma(t)} &\leq \frac{2\gamma t^2\eta(t)}{2(1+t^2)[1+(1+\gamma)t^2]\eta(t) + 2(1+t^2)^2} \\ &\leq \gamma t^2\eta(t) \\ &\leq \frac{p-2}{4}, \quad 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the result. □

REMARK 2.1. We remark that the cut-off point δ_γ in assumption (η_2) is not unique. In fact, as long as the inequality $\frac{g'_\gamma(t)t}{g_\gamma(t)} < \frac{p-2}{2}$ is guaranteed, any $t \in (0, \sqrt{\frac{p-2}{2\gamma}})$ is allowed.

We now consider the modified quasilinear Schrödinger equation of the form:

$$-div(g_\gamma^2(u)\nabla u) + g_\gamma(u)g'_\gamma(u)|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.4)$$

It follows from assumption (η_2) that u must be a positive solution of (1.6), if we can prove the existence of a positive solution u of (2.4) satisfying $0 \leq u(x) < \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

The associate variational functional for problem (2.4) is

$$I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_\gamma^2(u)|\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $g_\gamma(t)$ is bounded, we can deduce that $I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u)$ is well defined in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By introducing the change of variables $u = G_\gamma^{-1}(v)$, we observe that functional $I_{\gamma,\lambda}$ can be written in the following form

$$J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^p dx. \quad (2.6)$$

From Lemma 2.1, $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is well defined in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $J_{\gamma,\lambda} \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\langle J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v), \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\nabla v \nabla \psi + V(x) \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v))} \psi - \lambda \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^{p-2} G_\gamma^{-1}(v)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v))} \psi \right] dx, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $v, \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Note that any critical points of $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$ correspond to the solutions of the equation

$$-\Delta v + V(x) \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v))} = \lambda \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^{p-2} G_\gamma^{-1}(v)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v))}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.8)$$

In order to find positive solutions of (2.4), it suffices to study the existence of positive solutions of Equation (2.8).

REMARK 2.2. It is easy to verify that $u = G_\gamma^{-1}(v) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ must be a classical solution for (2.4) if $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a critical point of $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$.

REMARK 2.3. Because we look for positive solutions, we can rewrite the functional $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$ in the following

$$J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(v^+)|^p dx,$$

where $v^+ = \max\{v, 0\}$. Standard regularity arguments show that any critical points v belong to C^2 and $v(x) > 0$ from the strong maximum principle if v is nontrivial.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Thanks to Lemma 2.1–(3), it is easy to prove that the functional $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$ exhibits the mountain pass geometry.

LEMMA 3.1. (i) $J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v) \geq C\|v\|^2 + o(\|v\|^2)$ as $v \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$;
 (ii) there exists a $e \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $e \neq 0$ satisfying $J_{\gamma,\lambda}(e) \leq 0$.

In view of Lemma 3.1, applying the mountain pass theorem [31], it follows that there exists a $(PS)_{c_{\gamma,\lambda}}$ sequence $\{v_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, i.e., a sequence such that $J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n) \rightarrow c_{\gamma,\lambda}$ and $J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n) \rightarrow 0$, where $c_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is the mountain pass level of $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$ characterized by

$$c_{\gamma,\lambda} = \inf_{\xi \in \Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda}} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\gamma,\lambda}(\xi(t)) \tag{3.1}$$

and $\Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda} = \{\xi(t) \in C([0,1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \xi(0) = 0, \xi(1) \neq 0, J_{\gamma,\lambda}(\xi(1)) < 0\}$. Moreover, from Lemma 3.1, we get $c_{\gamma,\lambda} > 0$.

We next claim that the $(PS)_{c_{\gamma,\lambda}}$ sequence for $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is bounded. To this end, we assert that the item (4) in Lemma 2.1 plays an important role. Indeed, let $\{v_n\}$ be a $(PS)_{c_{\gamma,\lambda}}$ sequence for $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$, namely,

$$J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n) = c_{\gamma,\lambda} + o_n(1), \quad \langle J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n), \psi \rangle = o_n(1) \|\psi\|, \quad \forall \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{3.2}$$

where $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\psi_n = G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n) g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))$. From Lemma 2.1–(3) and (4),

$$|\nabla \psi_n| = \left| \left(1 + \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n) g'_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} \right) \nabla v_n \right| \leq C |\nabla v_n|, \quad |\psi_n| \leq C |v_n|.$$

Thus $\psi_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By choosing $\psi = \psi_n$ as a test function and from Lemma 2.1–(3), (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} pc_{\gamma,\lambda} + o_n(1) + o_n(1) \|v_n\| &= pJ_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n) - \langle J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n), \psi_n \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{p-2}{2} - \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n) g'_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} \right) |\nabla v_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{p-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1–(4), if (η_1) occurs, we get $\frac{p-2}{2} - \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(t)g'_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(t))}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(t))} > \frac{p-2}{2} - \frac{4+\gamma-2\sqrt{4+2\gamma}}{\gamma} > 0$ if $p \in (2, 2^*)$ and $\gamma \in (0, \gamma^*)$. On the other hand, if (η_2) occurs, we get $\frac{p-2}{2} - \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(t)g'_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(t))}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(t))} > \frac{p-2}{4}$. This together with Lemma 2.1–(3) imply that $\|v_n\|$ is bounded.

Thus, up to subsequence, we may assume that there is $v_{\gamma,\lambda} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &\rightharpoonup v_{\gamma,\lambda} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ v_n &\rightarrow v_{\gamma,\lambda} \text{ in } L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad q \in [1, 2^*), \\ v_n &\rightarrow v_{\gamma,\lambda} \text{ a.e. in } \mathcal{O} := \text{supp}\psi \end{aligned}$$

and there exists $w_q(x) \in L^q(\mathcal{O})$, such that for any n , $|v_n(x)| \leq |w_q(x)|$ a.e. in \mathcal{O} . Now we are going to prove that $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is a positive solution of (2.8).

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose $g_\gamma(t)$ satisfy either (η_1) or (η_2) , then $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ obtained above is a positive solution for modified problem (2.8).*

Proof. We first show that $\langle J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}), \psi \rangle = 0$ for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, i.e., $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is a critical point of $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$. Note that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} \rightarrow \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))}, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{O}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^{p-2}G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} \rightarrow \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})|^{p-2}G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))}, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{O}. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1–(3), we have

$$\left| \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} \psi \right| \leq C_1 |v_n| |\psi| \leq C_1 |w_2| |\psi|, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{O}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\left| \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^{p-2}G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} \psi \right| \leq C_2 |v_n|^{p-1} |\psi| \leq C_2 |w_p|^{p-1} |\psi|, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{O}. \tag{3.6}$$

Now, combining (3.3)–(3.6), the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the weak convergence $v_n \rightharpoonup v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have $\langle J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n), \psi \rangle \rightarrow \langle J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}), \psi \rangle$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Because $J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}) = 0$. By Remark 2.3, we may assume $v_{\gamma,\lambda} \geq 0$. If $v_{\gamma,\lambda} \not\equiv 0$, by the strong maximum principle, we get $v_{\gamma,\lambda} > 0$. Otherwise, assuming $v_{\gamma,\lambda} \equiv 0$, then, as in [24], $\{v_n\}$ is also a (PS) $_{c_{\gamma,\lambda}}$ for the function $J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{V_\infty}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^p dx. \tag{3.7}$$

Next, we claim that there exist $\alpha, R > 0$ and $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} v_n^2 dx \geq \alpha > 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Suppose by contradiction that for all $R > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} v_n^2 dx = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Then, by Lions compactness lemma [18], we deduce that $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (2, 2^*)$. So by Lemma 2.1–(1) and (2), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^p dx = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^{p-2} G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} v_n dx = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.1–(1), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $|v_n(x)| < \delta$, we have

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |v_n(x)| \leq \delta\}} V(x) \left| \frac{v_n}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)) G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)} - 1 \right| |G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^2 dx \leq V_\infty \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon. \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1–(2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |v_n(x)| \geq \delta\}} V(x) \left| \frac{v_n}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)) G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)} - 1 \right| |G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C V_\infty \delta^{2-p} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^p dx = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.12) and (3.13), since ε is arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} v_n dx. \tag{3.14}$$

Thus, by (3.11) and (3.14), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n), v_n \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla v_n|^2 + V(x) \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} v_n - \lambda \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^{p-2} G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n))} v_n \right) dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^2 + V(x) |G_\gamma^{-1}(v_n)|^2) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Then combining (3.10) and (3.15), we get $J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction since $J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_n) \rightarrow c_{\gamma,\lambda} > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The claim is proved, i.e., (3.8) holds.

Define $\tilde{v}_n(x) = v_n(x + y_n)$. Since $\{v_n\}$ is a (PS) $_{c_{\gamma,\lambda}}$ sequence for $J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty$, $\{\tilde{v}_n\}$ is also a (PS) $_{c_{\gamma,\lambda}}$ sequence for $J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty$. Arguing as in the case of $\{v_n\}$, we get $\{\tilde{v}_n\}$ is bounded. So, we may assume that $\tilde{v}_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}_\gamma$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $(J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty)'(\tilde{v}_\gamma) = 0$. By (3.8), we have $\tilde{v}_\gamma \neq 0$.

Let

$$E(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{p-2}{2} - \frac{g'_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v)) G_\gamma^{-1}(v)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v))} \right) |\nabla v|^2 dx.$$

By Theorem 1.6 in [27], $E(v)$ is weakly lower semi-continuous. Then according to

Fatou’s lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 pc_{\gamma,\lambda} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (pJ_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\tilde{v}_n) - \langle (J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty)'(\tilde{v}_n), G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_n)g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_n)) \rangle) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{p-2}{2} - \frac{g'_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_n))G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_n)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_n))} \right) |\nabla \tilde{v}_n|^2 dx \\
 &\quad + \frac{p-2}{2} V_\infty \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_n)|^2 dx \\
 &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{p-2}{2} - \frac{g'_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_\gamma))G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_\gamma)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_\gamma))} \right) |\nabla \tilde{v}_\gamma|^2 dx + \frac{p-2}{2} V_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_\gamma)|^2 dx \\
 &= pJ_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\tilde{v}_\gamma) - \langle (J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty)'(\tilde{v}_\gamma), G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_\gamma)g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{v}_\gamma)) \rangle \\
 &= pJ_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\tilde{v}_\gamma),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

which yields that $J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\tilde{v}_\gamma) \leq c_{\gamma,\lambda}$.

Analogous to the arguments used in [14], we can get a path $\chi(t) : [0, L] \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \chi(0) = 0, J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\chi(L)) < 0, \tilde{v}_\gamma \in \chi([0, L]), \\ \chi(t)(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \in [0, L], \\ \max_{t \in [0, L]} J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\chi(t)) = J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\tilde{v}_\gamma). \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

Define the set

$$\Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty = \{ \chi \in C([0, 1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \chi(0) = 0, \chi(1) \neq 0, J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\chi(1)) < 0 \}.$$

After a suitable scale change in t , we can assume $\chi(t) \in \Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty$. Particularly,

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\chi(t)) = J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\tilde{v}_\gamma) \leq c_{\gamma,\lambda}.$$

With restriction we can assume that $V(x) \leq V_\infty$ but $V(x) \not\equiv V_\infty$ (otherwise there is nothing to prove). Thus, $\chi(t) \in \Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty \subset \Gamma_\gamma$, and hence

$$c_{\gamma,\lambda} \leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J_{\gamma,\lambda}(\chi(t)) := J_{\gamma,\lambda}(\chi(\bar{t})) < J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\chi(\bar{t})) \leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\chi(t)) = J_{\gamma,\lambda}^\infty(\tilde{v}_\gamma) \leq c_{\gamma,\lambda}$$

which is a contradiction. It follows from Remark 2.2 that $v_{\gamma,\lambda} > 0$ is a critical point of $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$ and hence $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is a positive solution of (2.8). \square

For all $\gamma > 0$, if $p \in (2, 2^*)$ and $\gamma \in (0, \gamma^*)$, we take $\eta(t)$ satisfying (η_1) . In this case, $\tilde{g}_\gamma(t) = g_\gamma(t)$ in (2.4) and hence (2.4) turns into (1.6). According to the above arguments, we get $u_{\gamma,\lambda} = G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}) > 0$ is a solution of (1.6).

However, if (η_2) occurs, (2.4) can not be transformed into (1.6) unless $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ obtained above satisfies $0 \leq u_{\gamma,\lambda}(x) = G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}(x)) < \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. To this end, we next establish the L^∞ estimate for $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$. First we give the boundedness of its gradient.

LEMMA 3.3. *The solution $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ of (2.8) satisfies $\|\nabla v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1}{2+\gamma}\right)^{\frac{p}{2(2-p)}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$.*

Proof. Since $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is a critical point of $J_{\gamma,\lambda}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 pc_{\gamma,\lambda} &= pJ_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}) - \langle J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}), G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})) \rangle \\
 &\geq \frac{p-2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2 dx + \frac{p-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})|^2 dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$\|\nabla v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{4p}{p-2} c_{\gamma,\lambda}. \tag{3.18}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1–(3), we conclude that

$$J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v) \leq P_{\gamma,\lambda}(v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx + 2V_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \left(\frac{2}{2+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^p dx.$$

Denote

$$\Sigma_{\gamma,\lambda} = \{ \xi \in C([0,1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \xi(0) = 0, \xi(1) \neq 0, P_{\gamma,\lambda}(\xi(1)) < 0 \}$$

and note that $\Sigma_{\gamma,\lambda} \subset \Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda}$, we have

$$c_{\gamma,\lambda} = \inf_{\xi \in \Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda}} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\gamma,\lambda}(\xi(t)) \leq \inf_{\xi \in \Sigma_{\gamma,\lambda}} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\gamma,\lambda}(\xi(t)) \leq \inf_{\xi \in \Sigma_{\gamma,\lambda}} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} P_{\gamma,\lambda}(\xi(t)). \tag{3.19}$$

Let us set

$$S_p = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v|^2 + 4V_\infty |v|^2) dx : v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^p dx = 1 \right\}.$$

It is well known that $S_p > 0$ and it is achieved at some v^* , see e.g. [2].

Now, we take

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} v^*(x), & \text{if } S_p \leq 1, \\ v^*(S_p^{\frac{p}{(N-2)p-2N}} x), & \text{if } S_p > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} P_{\gamma,\lambda}(t\phi) &= P_{\gamma,\lambda}(t_{max}\phi) \\ &= \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{2}{2+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla \phi|^2 + 4V_\infty |\phi|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2-p}} \\ &\leq \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{2}{2+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Note that we can choose large $T > t_{max}$ such that $P_{\gamma,\lambda}(T\phi) < 0$. Thus for $t \in [0,1]$, we get $\xi(t) := tT\phi \in \Sigma_{\gamma,\lambda}$ such that $P_{\gamma,\lambda}(\xi(t)) \leq \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{1}{2+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-p}}$. It follows from (3.19) that

$$c_{\gamma,\lambda} \leq \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{1}{2+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-p}},$$

which yields the result. □

REMARK 3.1. Note that equation

$$-\Delta v + 4V_\infty v = \lambda \left(\frac{2}{2+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{p-2} v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \tag{3.21}$$

is the Euler–Lagrange equation associated to the energy functional $P(v)$. In [21], Pohozaev showed that (3.21) possesses a solution if and only if $p \in (2, 2^*)$, $N \geq 3$ (see also [3]).

REMARK 3.2. From Lemma 3.3 and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_{2^*} \leq S^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1}{2+\gamma}\right)^{\frac{p}{2(2-p)}} S^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2-p}},$$

where S is the best Sobolev constant.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The solution $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ of (2.8) satisfies*

$$\|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_{\infty} \leq \left(\frac{2^*-p+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{2(2^*-p+2)}{(2^*-p)^2}} 2^{\frac{2-2^*-2-p}{2(2^*-p)}} S^{-\frac{2^*-2}{2(2^*-p)}} \left(\frac{1}{2+\gamma}\right)^{\frac{p(2^*-2)}{2(2-p)(2^*-p)}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2-p}}.$$

Proof. The result can be proved in a similar way as Proposition 3.1 in [1], we give the outline of the proof here. In what follows, for convenience, we denote $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ by v . For each $m \in N$ and $\beta > 1$, let $A_m = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |v|^{\beta-1} \leq m\}$ and $B_m = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus A_m$. Define

$$v_m = \begin{cases} v|v|^{2(\beta-1)}, & \text{in } A_m, \\ m^2v, & \text{in } B_m. \end{cases}$$

Note that $v_m \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using v_m as a test function in (2.7), we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\nabla v \nabla v_m + V(x) \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v))} v_m \right] dx = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^{p-2} G_\gamma^{-1}(v)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v))} v_m dx. \tag{3.22}$$

Besides, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v \nabla v_m dx = (2\beta - 1) \int_{A_m} |v|^{2(\beta-1)} |\nabla v|^2 dx + m^2 \int_{B_m} |\nabla v|^2 dx. \tag{3.23}$$

Let

$$w_m = \begin{cases} v|v|^{\beta-1}, & \text{in } A_m, \\ mv, & \text{in } B_m. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_m|^2 dx = \beta^2 \int_{A_m} |v|^{2(\beta-1)} |\nabla v|^2 dx + m^2 \int_{B_m} |\nabla v|^2 dx. \tag{3.24}$$

Thus from (3.23) and (3.24), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla w_m|^2 - \nabla v \nabla v_m) dx = (\beta - 1)^2 \int_{A_m} |v|^{2(\beta-1)} |\nabla v|^2 dx. \tag{3.25}$$

Combining Lemma 2.1–(3), (3.22), (3.23) and (3.25), since $\beta > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_n|^2 dx &\leq \left[\frac{(\beta - 1)^2}{2\beta - 1} + 1 \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v \nabla v_m dx \\ &\leq \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\nabla v \nabla v_m + V(x) \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v))} v_m \right] dx \\ &= \beta^2 \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^{p-2} G_\gamma^{-1}(v)}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v))} v_m dx \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \beta^2 \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{p-2} w_m^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality, and since $|w_m| \leq |v|^\beta$ in \mathbb{R}^N and $|w_m| = |v|^\beta$ in A_m , we get

$$\left(\int_{A_m} |v|^{\beta 2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \leq \sqrt{2} \lambda \beta^2 \|v\|_{2^*}^{p-2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2\beta q_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}},$$

where $q_1 = \frac{2^*}{2^*-p+2}$. By Monotone Convergence Theorem, letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\|v\|_{\beta 2^*} \leq \beta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} (\sqrt{2} \lambda \|v\|_{2^*}^{p-2})^{\frac{1}{2\beta}} \|v\|_{2\beta q_1}. \tag{3.26}$$

Setting $\sigma = \frac{2^*}{2q_1} = \frac{2^*-p+2}{2}$ and $\beta = \sigma$ in (3.26), we obtain $2q_1\beta = 2^*$ and

$$\|v\|_{\sigma 2^*} \leq \sigma^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} (\sqrt{2} \lambda \|v\|_{2^*}^{p-2})^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \|v\|_{2^*}. \tag{3.27}$$

Taking $\beta = \sigma^2$ in (3.26), we have

$$\|v\|_{\sigma^2 2^*} \leq \sigma^{\frac{2}{\sigma^2}} (\sqrt{2} \lambda \|v\|_{2^*}^{p-2})^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \|v\|_{\sigma 2^*}. \tag{3.28}$$

From (3.27) and (3.28),

$$\|v\|_{\sigma^2 2^*} \leq \sigma^{\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{2}{\sigma^2}} (\sqrt{2} \lambda \|v\|_{2^*}^{p-2})^{\frac{1}{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}} \|v\|_{2^*}.$$

Taking $\beta = \sigma^i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) and iterating (3.26), we get

$$\|v\|_{\sigma^j 2^*} \leq \sigma^{\sum_{i=1}^j \frac{i}{\sigma^i}} (\sqrt{2} \lambda \|v\|_{2^*}^{p-2})^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{\sigma^i}} \|v\|_{2^*}.$$

Therefore, by (3.20), using Sobolev inequality, taking the limit of $j \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_\infty &\leq \sigma^{\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)^2}} 2^{\frac{1}{4(\sigma-1)}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2(\sigma-1)}} \|v\|_{2^*}^{\frac{2^*-2}{2^*-p}} \\ &= \left(\frac{2^*-p+2}{2} \right)^{\frac{2(2^*-p+2)}{(2^*-p)^2}} 2^{\frac{2^*-2-p}{2(2^*-p)}} S^{-\frac{2^*-2}{2(2^*-p)}} \left(\frac{1}{2+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{p(2^*-2)}{2(2^*-p)(2^*-p)}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2-p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1–(1). For all $\gamma > 0$, if $p \in (2, 2^*)$ and $\gamma \in (0, \gamma^*)$, we take $\eta(t)$ satisfying (η_1) . In this case, $\tilde{g}_\gamma(t) = g_\gamma(t)$ in (2.4) and hence (2.4) turns into (1.6). It follows from Lemma 3.2 and Remark 2.2 that $u_{\gamma,\lambda} = G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}) > 0$ is a solution of (1.6).

Proof of Theorem 1.1–(2). From Proposition 3.1, for any $\gamma > 0$, we set $K = \left(\frac{2^*-p+2}{2} \right)^{\frac{2(2^*-p+2)}{(2^*-p)^2}} 2^{\frac{2^*-2-p}{2(2^*-p)}} S^{-\frac{2^*-2}{2(2^*-p)}} \left(\frac{1}{2+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{p(2^*-2)}{2(2^*-p)(2^*-p)}}$ and choose $\lambda^* = d\gamma^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$ with $d = \left(\frac{\sqrt{p-2}}{4\sqrt{2}K} \right)^{2-p}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_\infty &= \|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})\|_\infty \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2} K \lambda^{\frac{1}{2-p}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{p-2}{\gamma}}, \quad \forall \lambda \in (\lambda^*, +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we take $\eta(t)$ satisfying (η_2) . It follows from above estimate that $\tilde{g}_\gamma(t) = g_\gamma(t)$ in (2.4) and hence (2.4) turns into (1.6) if $\lambda \in (\lambda^*, +\infty)$. Again using Lemma 3.2 and Remark 2.2 we obtain that $u_{\gamma,\lambda} = G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}) > 0$ is a solution of (1.6).

Proof of Theorem 1.1–(3). We are going to find a constant

$$p^* \in \left[2^*, \min \left\{ \frac{9+2\gamma}{8+2\gamma}, \frac{2\gamma+4-2\sqrt{4+2\gamma}}{\gamma} \right\} 2^* \right)$$

such that problem (1.6) has no positive solution $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p \geq p^*$ if $x \cdot \nabla V(x) \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . It suffices to prove that problem (2.8) has no positive solution.

Suppose by contradiction that $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a positive solution of (2.8), it follows from the Pohozaev identity that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) |G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(G_\gamma^{-1}(v)) dx \\ &=: \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 0 \leq u < \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-2}}}\}} K(u) dx + \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : u \geq \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-2}}}\}} K(u) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where $u = G_\gamma^{-1}(v)$ and

$$K(u) = \frac{(N-2)\lambda}{2} \frac{G_\gamma(u)u^{p-1}}{g_\gamma(u)} - \frac{N\lambda}{p} u^p + \frac{N}{2} u^2 - \frac{N-2}{2} \frac{G_\gamma(u)u}{g_\gamma(u)}.$$

The assumption $x \cdot \nabla V(x) \geq 0$ implies that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) |G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^2 dx < 0.$$

Therefore, to complete the proof of our Theorem 1.1–(3), it suffices to verify that the right-hand side of (3.29) is nonnegative.

Using Lemma 2.1–(4), we get $K(u) > 0$ if $p \geq \frac{2\gamma+4-2\sqrt{4+2\gamma}}{\gamma} 2^* > 2^*$. Noting that $\frac{2\gamma+4-2\sqrt{4+2\gamma}}{\gamma} \rightarrow 1$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. Hence, we only need to consider the case $p \in [2^*, \frac{2\gamma+4-2\sqrt{4+2\gamma}}{\gamma} 2^*]$.

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} K(u) &\geq \frac{(N-2)\lambda}{2} \frac{G_\gamma(u)u^{p-1}}{g_\gamma(u)} - \frac{N\lambda}{2^*} u^p + \frac{N}{2} u^2 - \frac{N-2}{2} \frac{G_\gamma(u)u}{g_\gamma(u)} \\ &= \frac{N-2}{2} \frac{u}{g_\gamma(u)} (ug_\gamma(u) - G_\gamma(u)) (1 - \lambda u^{p-2}) + u^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

we see

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 0 \leq u < \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-2}}}\}} K(u) dx > 0. \tag{3.31}$$

Observing (3.30), we can choose $\bar{t} > \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-2}}}$ (which can be independent of p) such that $K(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-2}}}, \bar{t}]$. Now, by direct calculation, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{tg'_\gamma(t)}{g_\gamma(t)} &= \frac{1}{2t^{-2} + (4+\gamma) + (2+\gamma)t^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\bar{t}^{-2} + (4+\gamma) + (2+\gamma)\bar{t}^2} =: \eta(\bar{t}) \leq \frac{1}{8+2\gamma}, \forall t \geq \bar{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we choose $p \geq (1 + \eta(\bar{t}))2^* =: p^*$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} K(u) &= \frac{N\lambda u^{p-1}}{pg_\gamma(u)} \left(\frac{p}{2^*} G_\gamma(u) - ug_\gamma(u) \right) + \frac{N-2}{2} (ug_\gamma(u) - G_\gamma(u)) + u^2 \\ &> \frac{N\lambda u^{p-1}}{pg_\gamma(u)} \left[(1 + \eta(\bar{t}))G_\gamma(u) - ug_\gamma(u) \right] \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which combined with (3.31) implies that the right-hand side of (3.29) is positive.

As a result, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1–(3).

REMARK 3.3. Since we can not find the explicit form of $G_\gamma(t)$, it is difficult for us to give the exact value of \bar{t} , below which $K(u)$ in (3.30) is nonnegative. However, we guess that \bar{t} there should be $+\infty$, which implies that p^* is exactly 2^* , the critical exponent.

4. Asymptotic behavior of positive solution $u_{\gamma,\lambda}$

In what follows, we assume that $V(x) = \mu > 0$. For fixed $\lambda > 0$, we study the asymptotic behavior of $u_{\gamma,\lambda}$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$.

Define

$$m_{\gamma,\lambda} = \inf \{ J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v); v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \text{ is a solution of (2.8)} \}.$$

Following the arguments of Berestycki and Lions in [3], we can prove that $m_{\gamma,\lambda} > 0$ and $m_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is attained by $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ satisfying

- (1) $v_{\gamma,\lambda} > 0$ is spherically symmetric and $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ decreases with respect to $|x|$;
- (2) $v_{\gamma,\lambda} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$;
- (3) $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ together with its derivatives up to order 2 have exponential decay at infinity:

$$|D^\alpha v_{\gamma,\lambda}| \leq C e^{-\delta|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

for some $C, \delta > 0$ and $|\alpha| \leq 2$.

In [14], Jeanjean and Tanaka proved that $m_{\gamma,\lambda} = c_{\gamma,\lambda}$, where $c_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is defined in (3.1) with $V(x)$ being replaced by μ . Moreover, we choose $\gamma_1 \in (0, \gamma^*)$ such that $u_{\gamma,\lambda} = G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})$ is indeed of a solution of (1.6) with $V(x) = \mu$ for $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_1]$. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.1, we can prove $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to γ .

We introduce the set $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ of non-trivial solutions of (2.8) satisfying Pohozaev identity as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \left\{ v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \tilde{P}(v) := \frac{N-2}{2N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_\gamma^{-1}(v)|^p dx = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [14], we deduce that

$$m_{\gamma,\lambda} = \inf_{v \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}} J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v)$$

From Lemma 2.1–(3), Lemma 3.3 and the definition of $g_\gamma(t)$, we get

$$\|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\| \leq C \|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\| \leq C, \tag{4.1}$$

which implies that $u_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to γ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that as $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\gamma,\lambda} &\rightharpoonup u_\lambda \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_{\gamma,\lambda} &\rightarrow u_\lambda \text{ in } L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad q \in [1, 2^*), \\ u_{\gamma,\lambda} &\rightarrow u_\lambda \text{ a.e. in } \mathcal{K} := \text{supp}\varphi, \quad \varphi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, there exists a function $\phi(x) \in L^q(\mathcal{K})$ such that $|u_{\gamma,\lambda}| \leq \phi(x)$ a.e. in \mathcal{K} for all γ .

We claim that u_λ is a solution of problem (1.7), namely, $\langle I'_\lambda(u_\lambda), \varphi \rangle = 0, \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $I_\lambda(u)$ is defined by

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + \mu u^2) dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx.$$

In fact, by (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle I'_\gamma(u_{\gamma,\lambda}), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda} \nabla \varphi + \mu u_{\gamma,\lambda} \varphi) dx \\ &\quad - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{u_{\gamma,\lambda}}{2(1+u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2)^2} |\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2 \varphi + \frac{u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}{2(1+u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2)} \nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda} \nabla \varphi \right] dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda} \nabla \varphi + \mu u_{\gamma,\lambda} \varphi) dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} \varphi dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla \varphi + \mu u \varphi) dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u_\lambda + \mu u_\lambda - \lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} u_\lambda) \varphi dx = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

which yields u_λ is a solution of problem (1.7). Since $u_{\gamma,\lambda}(x) > 0$ and $u_{\gamma,\lambda}(x) \in C^2$, we have $u_\lambda(x) \geq 0$.

Note that at this stage, we do not know whether $u_\lambda(x) \not\equiv 0$ or not. Next we prove $u_\lambda(x) \not\equiv 0$ and thus $u_\lambda(x) > 0$.

To this end, set

$$\tilde{m}_{\gamma,\lambda} = \inf \{ I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u); u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \text{ is a solution of (2.4)} \}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, for $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u = G_\gamma^{-1}(v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, while for $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $v = G_\gamma(u) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, since

$$I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u) = J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v),$$

$$\langle I'_{\gamma,\lambda}(u), \varphi \rangle = \langle J'_{\gamma,\lambda}(v), g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v)) \varphi \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

we have $\tilde{m}_{\gamma,\lambda} = m_{\gamma,\lambda}$.

Next, we set

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : P(u) := \frac{N-2}{2N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[1 + \frac{\gamma u^2}{2(1+u^2)} \right] |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Then, since $P(u) = \tilde{P}(v)$ for $u = G_\gamma^{-1}(v)$, we get that

$$m_{\gamma,\lambda} = \inf_{v \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}} J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}} I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u).$$

LEMMA 4.1.

$$\limsup_{\gamma \rightarrow 0^+} m_{\gamma,\lambda} \leq m_\lambda.$$

where m_λ is the ground state level of (1.7) defined by

$$m_\lambda = \inf \{ I_\lambda(u) : u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, I'_\lambda(u) = 0 \}.$$

Proof. Let u be a ground state of (1.7) such that $I_\lambda(u) = m_\lambda$. By [3], $u \in L^\infty$. Moreover, u satisfies the Pohozaev identity:

$$\frac{N-2}{2N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

For $\tau > 0$, we let

$$P\left(u\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right)\right) := \frac{N-2}{2N} \tau^{N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[1 + \frac{\gamma u^2}{2(1+u^2)} \right] |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{2} \tau^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \tau^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx. \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from (4.6) and (4.5) that

$$P\left(u\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right)\right) := \frac{N-2}{2N} \tau^{N-2} \left[(1-\tau^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{2(1+u^2)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right].$$

Let

$$\tau_{\gamma,\lambda} = \sqrt{\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[1 + \frac{\gamma u^2}{2(1+u^2)} \right] |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx}},$$

we get $P(u(\frac{x}{\tau})) = 0$ and $\tau_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow 1$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$. Clearly, $u(\frac{x}{\tau_{\gamma,\lambda}}) \in \mathcal{P}$.

Therefore, we have

$$m_{\gamma,\lambda} \leq I_{\gamma,\lambda}\left(u\left(\frac{x}{\tau_{\gamma,\lambda}}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\gamma}^{N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[1 + \frac{\gamma u^2}{2(1+u^2)} \right] |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \mu \tau_{\gamma}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \tau_{\gamma}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\gamma \rightarrow 0^+} m_{\gamma,\lambda} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + \mu u^2) dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx \\ &= I_\lambda(u) = m_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 4.2. For any given $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$, there exists some positive constant $c_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda}$ such that $m_{\gamma,\lambda} > c_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda}$ for all $\gamma \in (0, \tilde{\gamma})$.

Proof. For $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$, we define the functional

$$Q_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{2 + \tilde{\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^p dx$$

and the set

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda} = \{ \xi \in C([0, 1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \xi(0) = 0, \xi(1) \neq 0, Q_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda}(v)(\xi(1)) < 0 \}.$$

By Lemma 2.1–(3), we have

$$Q_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda}(v) \leq J_{\gamma,\lambda}(v)$$

and thus $\Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda} \subset \Sigma_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda}$. So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < c_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda} &= \inf_{\xi \in \Sigma_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda}} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} Q_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda}(\xi(t)) \\ &\leq \inf_{\xi \in \Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda}} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} Q_{\tilde{\gamma},\lambda}(\xi(t)) \leq \inf_{\xi \in \Gamma_{\gamma,\lambda}} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} J_{\gamma,\lambda}(\xi(t)) = c_{\gamma,\lambda} = m_{\gamma,\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. □

LEMMA 4.3. Assume that $u_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is a solution of (2.4), then there exist $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\{y_\gamma^j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ and $u_\lambda^j \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that as $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$,

- (1) $I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_{\gamma,\lambda}) \rightarrow I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^\ell I_\lambda(u_\lambda^j)$;
- (2) $\|u_{\gamma,\lambda} - u_\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^\ell u_\lambda^j(\cdot - y_\gamma^j)\| \rightarrow 0$;
- (3) $I'_\lambda(u_\lambda^j) = 0$, $|y_\gamma^j| \rightarrow \infty$, $|y_\gamma^i - y_\gamma^j| \rightarrow 0$, $i \neq j$.

Proof. We follow the arguments developed by Benci and Cerami, see [4]. Let $u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 := u_{\gamma,\lambda} - u_\lambda$, then $u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and thus

$$\|u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1\|^2 = \|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|^2 - \|u_\lambda\|^2 + o(1), \tag{4.7}$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$.

By Brezis-Lieb lemma [31], we get

$$\|u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1\|_q^q = \|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_q^q - \|u_\lambda\|_q^q + o(1), \quad q \in [2, 2^*). \tag{4.8}$$

Since $\|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_\infty \leq C$ and $\|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\| \leq C$, by (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\gamma,\lambda} &= I_{\gamma,\lambda}(u_{\gamma,\lambda}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[1 + \frac{\gamma u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}{2(1 + u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2)} \right] |\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= I_\lambda(u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1) + I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + o(1) \tag{4.9}$$

and in a similar way that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle I'_\gamma(u_{\gamma,\lambda}), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[1 + \frac{\gamma u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}{2(1+u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2)} \right] \nabla(u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 + u_\lambda) \nabla \varphi dx - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_{\gamma,\lambda}}{(1+u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2)^2} |\nabla(u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 + u_\lambda)|^2 \varphi dx \\ &\quad + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 + u_\lambda) \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 + u_\lambda|^{p-2} (u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 + u_\lambda) \varphi dx \\ &= \langle I'_\lambda(u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1), \varphi \rangle + \langle I'_\lambda(u_\lambda), \varphi \rangle + o(1) \\ &= \langle I'_\lambda(u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1), \varphi \rangle + o(1), \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Define

$$\delta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1|^2 dx.$$

If $\delta = 0$, then using Lions lemma [18], $u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p \in (2, 2^*)$. Since by (4.10), $\langle I'_\lambda(u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1), u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 \rangle \rightarrow 0$, we have $u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, namely, $u_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the proof is complete. If $\delta > 0$, we may assume that there exists $\{y_\gamma^1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B_1(y_\gamma^1)} |u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2},$$

that is,

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1(x + y_\gamma^1)|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}. \tag{4.11}$$

We may assume that $u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1(x + y_\gamma^1) \rightharpoonup u_\lambda^1$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (4.11), $u_\lambda^1 \neq 0$ and since $u_\lambda^1 \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have $|y_\gamma^1| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2 = u_{\gamma,\lambda}^1 - u_\lambda^1(\cdot - y_\gamma^1)$, we get

$$\|u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2\|^2 = \|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|^2 - \|u_\lambda\|^2 - \|u_\lambda^1\|^2 + o(1),$$

$$\|u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2\|_p^p = \|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_p^p - \|u_\lambda\|_p^p - \|u_\lambda^1\|_p^p + o(1)$$

and in a similar way that

$$m_{\gamma,\lambda} = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + I_\lambda(u_\lambda^1) + I_\lambda(u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2) + o(1),$$

$$\langle I'_\lambda(u^1), \varphi \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle I'_\lambda(u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2), \varphi \rangle = o(1), \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Iterating the above procedure, since $I_\lambda(u^j) > 0$ for every j , the iteration must terminate at some finite index, we get the result. \square

We now prove $u_\lambda \neq 0$. In fact, we have the following result:

LEMMA 4.4. *There exists $y_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $u_{\gamma,\lambda}(\cdot - y_\gamma) \rightarrow u_\lambda(\cdot) > 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.3, if $u_\lambda \not\equiv 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0^+} m_{\gamma,\lambda} = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} I_\lambda(u_\lambda^j) \geq (\ell + 1)m_\lambda.$$

However, by Lemma 4.1, we get $\limsup_{\gamma \rightarrow 0^+} m_{\gamma,\lambda} \leq m_\lambda$. Thus $\ell = 0$ and the proof is complete provided $y_\gamma = 0$.

If $u_\lambda \equiv 0$, then by Lemma 4.3 again, $\ell = 1$. Thus we have $u_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda^1(\cdot - y_\gamma^1)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $I_\lambda^1(u_\lambda^1) = 0$. Since the ground state of (1.7) is unique up to translation, it follows that $u_\lambda^1(x) = u_\lambda(x + \tilde{y})$ for some $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where u_λ is the ground state of (1.7). So, $u_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda(\cdot - y_\gamma^1 + \tilde{y})$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. \square

LEMMA 4.5. $\|\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_\infty \leq C$.

Proof. Recalling that $v_{\gamma,\lambda}$ satisfies

$$-\Delta v_{\gamma,\lambda} = -\mu \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))} + \lambda \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})|^{p-2} G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$|\Delta v_{\gamma,\lambda}| \leq C(|v_{\gamma,\lambda}| + |v_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-1}).$$

For any $q > 2^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_q &\leq C\|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_q + C\|v_{\gamma,\lambda}^{p-1}\|_q \\ &\leq C \left[\|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_\infty^{\frac{q-2^*}{q}} + \|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_\infty^{\frac{q(p-1)-2^*}{q}} \right] \|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_{2^*}^{\frac{2^*}{q}} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By Corollary 9.10 in [11], $\|D^2 u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_q \leq C\|\Delta u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_q$ for $C = C(n, p) > 0$. Then, by the interpolation, we have $\|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_{W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$. Since $q > 2^*$, by Sobolev inequalities $W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow C^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get $\|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_{C^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$, where the constant C depends only on β and q . The result follows from the fact $\|\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_\infty \leq C\|\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_\infty$. \square

LEMMA 4.6. $u_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. We claim that there exists $C > 0$ independent of $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$ such that $\|\Delta u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_2 \leq C$. Indeed, we observe that

$$-\left(1 + \frac{\gamma u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}{\sqrt{1 + u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}}\right) \Delta u_{\gamma,\lambda} = -\mu u_{\gamma,\lambda} + \frac{\gamma u_{\gamma,\lambda}}{\sqrt{(1 + u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2)^3}} |\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2 + \lambda |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.5 and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_2 &= \left\| \frac{\sqrt{1 + u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}}{\sqrt{1 + u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2} + \gamma u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2} \left[-\mu u_{\gamma,\lambda} + \frac{\gamma u_{\gamma,\lambda}}{\sqrt{(1 + u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2)^3}} |\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2 + \lambda |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} \right] \right\|_2 \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Let $L = -\Delta + \mu I$, then L^{-1} is a bounded operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$u_{\gamma,\lambda} = L^{-1} \left[\frac{\gamma u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}{\sqrt{1+u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}} \Delta u_{\gamma,\lambda} + \frac{\gamma u_{\gamma,\lambda}}{\sqrt{(1+u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2)^3}} |\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2 + \lambda |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} \right]$$

and

$$u_\lambda = L^{-1}(\lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} u_\lambda).$$

Thus, we get

$$\|u_{\gamma,\lambda} - u_\lambda\|_{H^2} \leq C(\gamma \|\Delta u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_2 + \gamma \|u_{\gamma,\lambda} |\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2\|_2) + \lambda \| |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} - |u_\lambda|^{p-2} u_\lambda \|_2. \tag{4.14}$$

By Lemma 4.5 and (4.13), we get

$$\gamma \|\Delta u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_2 + \gamma \|u_{\gamma,\lambda} |\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2\|_2 \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Since $u_{\gamma,\lambda}$ is radial, by the radial lemma [26], we have

$$|u_{\gamma,\lambda}| \leq \frac{C}{|x|} \|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\| \leq \frac{C}{|x|}, \quad |x| \geq 1.$$

Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\| |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} - |u|^{p-2} u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))} < \varepsilon. \tag{4.16}$$

Since $u_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that there exists $\phi(x) \in L^1(B_R(0))$ such that

$$|u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-1} \leq C |\phi| \in L^1(B_R(0)).$$

Moreover

$$|u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow |u_\lambda|^{p-2} u_\lambda, \text{ a.e. in } B_R(0).$$

Thus, by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\| |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} - |u_\lambda|^{p-2} u_\lambda \|_{L^2(B_R(0))} \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Finally, combining (4.14) – (4.17), we obtain

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_{\gamma,\lambda} - u_\lambda\|_{H^2} = 0.$$

□

LEMMA 4.7. $u_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. First, we show that $v_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since

$$|v_{\gamma,\lambda}| \leq \frac{C}{|x|} \|v_{\gamma,\lambda}\| \leq \frac{C}{|x|}, \quad |x| \geq 1,$$

for any $q > 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ independent of γ such that

$$\left\| -\mu \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))} + \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})|^{p-2} G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))} < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|\mu u_\lambda\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))} + \| |u_\lambda|^{p-1} \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))} < \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\|u_{\gamma,\lambda}\|_\infty = \|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})\|_\infty \leq C,$$

we have

$$G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}) \rightarrow u_\lambda, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$$-\mu \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{\sqrt{1+G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})^2}} \rightarrow -\mu u_\lambda, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\left\| -\mu \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{\sqrt{1+G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})^2}} + \mu u \right\|_{L^q(B_R(0))} + \lambda \left\| |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} - |u_\lambda|^{p-2} u_\lambda \right\|_{L^q(B_R(0))} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Thus we have $\limsup_{\gamma \rightarrow 0^+} \|\Delta(v_{\gamma,\lambda} - u_\lambda)\|_{L^q} \leq 2\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get $v_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in $W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q > 2$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$. By Sobolev embedding, we have $v_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in $C^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the bootstrap arguments, we have $v_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Next, we prove $v_{\gamma,\lambda} - u_{\gamma,\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{\gamma,\lambda} - u_{\gamma,\lambda}| &= \left| \int_0^{u_{\gamma,\lambda}} \left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{\gamma t^2}{2(1+t^2)}} - 1 \right] dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\gamma} u_\gamma^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Thus, from Proposition 3.1 that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\gamma,\lambda}(x) - u_{\gamma,\lambda}(x)| \leq C \sqrt{\gamma} \rightarrow 0.$$

From Lemma 4.5 and $\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda} = g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})) \nabla v_{\gamma,\lambda}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\gamma,\lambda}(x) - \nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}(x)| &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{\gamma u_\gamma^2 \nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}}{\sqrt{2+(2+\gamma)u_\gamma^2} \left[\sqrt{2(1+u_\gamma^2)} + \sqrt{2+(2+\gamma)u_\gamma^2} \right]} \right| \\ &\leq C \gamma \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left| -\mu \frac{G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))} + \frac{|G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})|^{p-2} G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_\gamma(G_\gamma^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))} + \mu u_{\gamma,\lambda} - \lambda |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta u_{\gamma,\lambda}| &= \left| \frac{\sqrt{1+u_\gamma^2}}{\sqrt{1+u_\gamma^2+\gamma u_\gamma^2}} \left[-\mu u_{\gamma,\lambda} + \frac{\gamma u_{\gamma,\lambda}}{\sqrt{(1+u_\gamma^2)^3}} |\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2 + \lambda |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} \right] \right| \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta(v_{\gamma,\lambda} - u_{\gamma,\lambda})| &\leq \gamma \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u_{\gamma,\lambda}}{\sqrt{(1+u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2)^3}} |\nabla u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^2 \right| + \gamma \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}{\sqrt{1+u_{\gamma,\lambda}^2}} \Delta u_{\gamma,\lambda} \right| \\
&+ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left| -\mu \frac{G_{\gamma}^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_{\gamma}(G_{\gamma}^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))} + \lambda \frac{|G_{\gamma}^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})|^{p-2} G_{\gamma}^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda})}{g_{\gamma}(G_{\gamma}^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}))} \right. \\
&\left. + \mu u_{\gamma,\lambda} - \lambda |u_{\gamma,\lambda}|^{p-2} u_{\gamma,\lambda} \right| \\
&\rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

In a similar way, using (4.20), together with Sobolev interpolation inequality, we can show

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |D^j(v_{\gamma,\lambda} - u_{\gamma,\lambda})| \rightarrow 0, \quad |j| \leq 2,$$

and this completes the proof of Lemma 4.7. \square

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 1.2.) Since $u_{\gamma,\lambda}(x) = G_{\gamma}^{-1}(v_{\gamma,\lambda}(x))$, $G_{\gamma}^{-1}(t)$ is an odd C^{∞} function and increases in \mathbb{R} , $v_{\gamma,\lambda}(x)$ is spherically symmetric and monotone decreasing with respect to $r = |x|$, we deduce that $u_{\gamma,\lambda}(x)$ is also spherically symmetric and monotone decreasing with respect to $r = |x|$. Finally, the asymptotic behavior of $u_{\gamma,\lambda}$ follows from Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C.O. Alves, Y.J. Wang, and Y.T. Shen, *Soliton solutions for a class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations with a parameter*, J. Differ. Equ., **259(1):318–343**, 2015. [1](#), [1](#), [3](#)
- [2] A. Ambrosetti and A. Malchiodi, *Perturbation Methods and Semilinear Elliptic Problems in \mathbb{R}^N* , Birkhauser Verlag, Boston, 2006. [3](#)
- [3] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equation I*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **82:313–345**, 1983. [3.1](#), [4](#), [4](#)
- [4] V. Benci and G. Cerami, *Positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems in exterior domains*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **99:283–300**, 1987. [4](#)
- [5] A.B. Borisov, A.V. Borovskiy, V.V. Korobkin, A.M. Prokhorov, O.B. Shiryayev, X.M. Shi, T.S. Luk, A. McPherson, J.C. Solem, K. Boyer, and C.K. Rhodes, *Observation of relativistic and charge-displacement self-channeling of intense subpicosecond ultraviolet (248 nm) radiation in plasmas*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **68:2309**, 1992. [1](#)
- [6] A. Bouard, N. Hayashi, and J. Saut, *Global existence of small solutions to a relativistic nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Commun. Math. Phys., **189:73–105**, 1997. [1](#)
- [7] H. Brandi, C. Manus, G. Mainfray, T. Lehner, and G. Bonnaud, *Relativistic and ponderomotive self-focusing of a laser beam in a radially inhomogeneous plasma*, Phys. Fluids B., **5:3539–3550**, 1993. [1](#)
- [8] X.L. Chen and R.N. Sudan, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for self-focusing of short ultraintense laser pulse in underdense plasma*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **70:2082–2085**, 1993. [1](#)
- [9] M. Colin, *On the local well-posedness of quasilinear Schrödinger equations in arbitrary space dimension*, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ., **27:325–354**, 2002. [1](#)
- [10] Y.B. Deng and W.T. Huang, *Positive ground state solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation with critical exponent*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **37(8):4213–4230**, 2017. [1](#), [1](#)
- [11] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, 2001. [4](#)
- [12] M.V. Goldman, *Strong turbulence of plasma waves*, Rev. Modern. Phys., **56:709–735**, 1984. [1](#)
- [13] R.W. Hasse, *A general method for the solution of nonlinear soliton and kink Schrödinger equation*, Z. Phys., **37:83–87**, 1980. [1](#)

- [14] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, *A remark on least energy solutions in \mathbb{R}^N* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., [131:2399–2408, 2003.](#) [3](#), [4](#)
- [15] W. Krolkowski and O. Bang, *Solitons in nonlocal nonlinear media: Exact solution*, Phys. Rev. E., [63:3016610, 2001.](#) [1](#)
- [16] S. Kurihara, *Large-amplitude quasi-solitons in superfluid films*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., [50:3262–3267, 1981.](#) [1](#)
- [17] E.W. Laedke, K.H. Spatchek, and L. Stenflo, *Evolution theorem for a class of perturbed envelope soliton solutions*, J. Math. Phys., [24:2764–2769, 1983.](#) [1](#)
- [18] P.L. Lions, *The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. Part I and part II*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non. Linéaire, [1\(2\):109–145, 1\(4\):223–283, 1984.](#) [3](#), [4](#)
- [19] A.G. Litvak and A.M. Sergeev, *One dimensional collapse of plasma waves*, JETP Lett., [27:517–520, 1978.](#) [1](#)
- [20] J.Q. Liu, Y.Q. Wang, and Z.Q. Wang, *Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations II*, J. Differ. Equ., [187:473–493, 2003.](#) [1](#)
- [21] S.I. Pohozaev, *Eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$* , Sov. Math. Dokl., [5:1408–1411, 1965.](#) [3.1](#)
- [22] M. Porkolab and M.V. Goldman, *Upper-hybrid solitons and oscillating-two-stream instabilities*, Phys. Fluids, [19:872–881, 1978.](#) [1](#)
- [23] G.R.W. Quispel and H.W. Capel, *Equation of motion for the Heisenberg spin chain*, Phys. A., [110:41–80, 1982.](#) [1](#)
- [24] Y.T. Shen and Y.J. Wang, *Soliton solutions for generalized quasilinear Schrödinger equations*, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Meth. Appl., [80:194–201, 2013.](#) [1](#), [1](#), [1](#), [1](#), [3](#)
- [25] Y.T. Shen and Y.J. Wang, *Standing waves for a class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., [61\(6\):817–842, 2016.](#) [1](#)
- [26] W. Strauss, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys., [55:149–162, 1977.](#) [4](#)
- [27] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods*, Springer, [2007.](#) [3](#)
- [28] S. Takeno and S. Homma, *Classical planar Heisenberg ferromagnet, complex scalar field and nonlinear excitations*, Prog. Theor. Phys., [65\(1\):172–189, 1981.](#) [1](#)
- [29] Y.J. Wang, *Solitary solutions for a class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^3* , Z. Angew. Math. Phys., [67:88, 2016.](#) [1](#)
- [30] Y.J. Wang and Y.T. Shen, *Existence and asymptotic behavior of a class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., [18:131–150, 2018.](#) [1](#), [2](#)
- [31] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhauser, Boston, [1996.](#) [3](#), [4](#)
- [32] J. Yang, Y.J. Wang, and A.A. Abdelgadir, *Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Math. Phys., [54:071502, 2013.](#) [1](#), [1](#), [1](#)