

# Rational 2-functions are abelian

L. FELIPE MÜLLER

We show that the coefficients of rational 2-functions are contained in an abelian number field. More precisely, we show that the poles of such functions are poles of order one and given by roots of unity and rational residue.

AMS 2000 SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS: Primary 81Q60, 30C10; secondary 33B30.

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>605</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>607</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>A theorem due to Minton</b>	<b>608</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 1.2</b>	<b>610</b>
	<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>613</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>613</b>

## 1. Introduction

Fermat's and Euler's congruences are well-known in number theory and are rich of remarkable consequences. In the following we will give a short survey of these congruences. We start with the famous

**Theorem 1.1** (Euler). *The congruence*

$$(1.1) \quad a^{p^r} \equiv a^{p^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r}$$

*holds for all integers  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , all primes  $p$ , and all natural numbers  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

A sequence  $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of rational numbers is called an *Euler sequence* (or *Gauss sequence* as in [4]) for the prime  $p$ , if  $a_k$  is a  $p$ -adic integer for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$(1.2) \quad a_{mp^r} \equiv a_{mp^{r-1}} \pmod{p^r}$$

for all integers  $r \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1$ . A survey of these congruences has been given in [9] and [12].

Beukers coined the term *supercongruence*: A supercongruence (with respect to a prime  $p$ ) refers to a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$  that satisfies congruences of the type

$$(1.3) \quad a_{mp^r} \equiv a_{mp^{r-1}} \pmod{p^{sr}},$$

for all  $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and a fixed  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s > 1$  (cf. [6]). Such supercongruences are given by the Jacobsthal-Kazandzidis congruence (cf. [5]), Apéry numbers (cf. [2], [3]), generalized Domb numbers (cf. [10]) and Almkvist-Zudilin numbers (cf. [1], [7]) to name a few. Note that all the above mentioned supercongruences are valid for  $s = 3$  with respect to  $p \geq 5$ .

Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field and  $\mathcal{O}$  its ring of algebraic integers. We consider a generalization of supercongruences to sequences of algebraic integers in  $K$ . More precisely, for  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , an  $s$ -sequence is a sequence  $(a_n) \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$ , such that for any unramified prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{O}$  lying above the prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and for all  $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_{p^{r-1}m}) - a_{p^r m} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{sr} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the ring of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic integers and  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the canonical lift of the standard Frobenius element of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in the Galois group of the local field extension  $(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})|(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ . The generating function  $V(z)$  of an  $s$ -sequence then integrates to what is referred to as an  $s$ -function in [11]. More precisely, the  $s$ -sequence  $a \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$  corresponds to the  $s$ -function  $f^s V(z)$  given by the (formal) power series

$$f^s V(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} z^n \in zK[[z]],$$

Interestingly, 2-functions (where  $s = 2$ ) have their geometric origin in super symmetry. As stated in [11], see Thm. 22 therein, 2-functions appear as the non-singular part of the superpotential function (without the constant term) with algebraic coefficients. In other words, algebraic cycles on Calabi-Yau three-folds provide a source of 2-functions that are analytic and furthermore satisfy a differential equation with algebraic coefficients. It is therefore expected that understanding the numerical interpretation of open Gromov-Witten/BPS theory relative to Lagrangian submanifolds mirror to algebraic cycles highly depend on delivering some (natural) basis of the class

of 2-functions with algebraic coefficients. It is therefore of main interest to characterize a submodule of  $s$ -functions by suitable algebraic or analytic properties, and a class of distinguished generators for this submodule. The contribution of the present work to this problem is to give a characterization of a 2-function  $f^2 V(z)$ , where  $V$  represents a rational function. We have

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $V \in zK[[z]]$ ,  $V(z) \neq 0$ , be the generating function of a 2-sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$ , representing the rational function  $F(z) \in K(z)$  as its Maclaurin expansion. Then, there is root of unity  $\zeta$  primitive of order  $N$  and rational coefficients  $A_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  such that*

$$(1.4) \quad F(z) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{A_i \zeta^i z}{1 - \zeta^i z}.$$

*In particular, the coefficients  $a_n$  of  $V(z)$  are periodic and have the form*

$$(1.5) \quad a_n = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i \zeta^{in}.$$

The first reduction in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is given by Theorem 3.1, a statement due to Minton (cf. [9]). It states, that the generating functions of Euler sequences are given by sums of logarithmic derivatives of polynomials with integral coefficients.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall basic notations in number fields and introduce  $s$ -sequences. For further reference see also [11]. Throughout this paper, the natural numbers will be meant to be the set of all positive integers,  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , while  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . If  $X$  is a set, then  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  denotes the set of all sequences indexed by the natural numbers,  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For a ring  $R$  let  $R[[z]]$  denote the ring of formal power series in the variable  $z$  with coefficients in  $R$ .

Let  $K$  be a fixed algebraic number field and assume  $K$  to be normal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  the ring of integers of  $K$ . Let  $D$  be the discriminant of  $K|\mathbb{Q}$ . We say that a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is unramified in  $K|\mathbb{Q}$  if all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p\mathcal{O}$  are unramified. Note that an unramified prime  $p$  is characterized by the property that  $p \nmid D$ . For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  denotes the ring of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic integers. Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an integral domain and its field of fractions  $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic completion of  $K$ .

For  $\mathfrak{p} \mid (p)$ , the *Frobenius element*  $\text{Fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the unique element satisfying the following two conditions:  $\text{Fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an element in the decomposition group  $D(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\text{Fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By Hensel's Lemma,  $\text{Fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  can be lifted to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and then extended to an automorphism  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}: K_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By declaring  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(z) = z$ ,  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  can be (linearly) extended to an endomorphism  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}: K_{\mathfrak{p}}[[z]] \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}[[z]]$ .

In [11], an *s-function with coefficients in  $K$*  (for  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is defined to be a formal power series  $\tilde{V} \in zK[[z]]$  such that for every unramified prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}$  dividing  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{p^s} \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \tilde{V}(z^p) - \tilde{V}(z) \in z\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[z]].$$

A sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$  is said to satisfy the *local s-function property for  $p$* , if  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is unramified in  $K|\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $a_n \in \mathcal{O}_p$  is a  $p$ -adic integer for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_{mp^{r-1}}) \equiv a_{mp^r} \pmod{p^{sr}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

for all  $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \mid (p)$ .  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called an *s-sequence* if it satisfies the local *s-function property* for all unramified primes  $p$  in  $K|\mathbb{Q}$ . By definition, it is evident that the coefficients of an *s-sequence* are contained in  $\mathcal{O}[D^{-1}]$ . The coefficients of *s-functions* are given by an *s-sequence* after applying *s-fold derivation* by the Euler operator  $z \frac{d}{dz}$  (cf. [11, Lem. 4]). We denote by  $\mathcal{S}^s(K|\mathbb{Q}) \subset z\mathcal{O}[D^{-1}][[z]]$  the set of all generating functions of *s-sequences* with coefficients in  $K$ . Furthermore, the set  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{rat}}^s(K|\mathbb{Q})$  denote the subset in  $\mathcal{S}^s(K|\mathbb{Q})$  of power series which represent rational functions.

### 3. A theorem due to Minton

The next Theorem 3.1 is a adaptation of Thm. 7.1 in [4], which on the other hand is a re-proven statement from [9]. It is the starting point for the proof of Theorem 1.2. The crucial insight is that a rational 1-function only admits poles of order 1. We give a proof for the sake of completeness. In the course of this, we follow the ideas given in [4].

**Theorem 3.1** (compare with [4], [9]). *Let  $V \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{rat}}^1(K|\mathbb{Q})$  representing the rational function  $F(z) \in K(z)$  as its Maclaurin expansion. Then there is an integer  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , distinct algebraic numbers  $\alpha_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ , and  $A_i \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ , for*

$i = 1, \dots, r$ , such that  $F$  can be written as

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{A_i \alpha_i z}{1 - \alpha_i z}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be given by the fraction of  $P, Q \in K[z]$ ,  $Q \neq 0$ , i.e.  $F = \frac{P}{Q}$ . We may assume that  $Q(0) \neq 0$  and  $P(0) = 0$ . By [4, Prop. 3.5], we have  $\deg(P) \leq \deg(Q)$ . By adding a constant  $C \in K$  to  $F$  it does not affect the 1-function condition but we may assume  $\deg(P) < \deg(Q)$ . Then, by the *Partial Fraction Decomposition*  $\tilde{F} = \frac{P}{Q} + C$  has the form

$$\tilde{F} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \frac{A_{i,j}}{(1 - \alpha_i z)^j},$$

where the  $\alpha_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  are distinct algebraic numbers,  $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A_{i,j} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  for all  $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, r\} \times \{1, \dots, m_i\}$ . Let  $p$  be a sufficiently large prime, unramified in  $K|\mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $\alpha_i, \alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \mathcal{O}_p^\times$ , and  $p > m_i$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . What we need to show is  $m_i = 1$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . We have

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_i z)^j} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k + j - 1}{j - 1} \alpha_i^k z^k.$$

Therefore, if  $\tilde{V}(z) = V(z) + C$  is the Maclaurin series expansion of  $\tilde{F}$ , the Cartier operator  $\mathcal{C}_p$  applied to  $\tilde{V}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_p \tilde{V} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} A_{i,j} \binom{pk + j - 1}{j - 1} \alpha_i^{pk} \right] z^k.$$

Since  $p > m_i$ , we find  $\binom{pk + \nu}{\nu} \equiv 1 \pmod p$  for all  $0 \leq \nu < m_i$  (in particular,  $\nu < p$ ) by the following calculation

$$\binom{pk + \nu}{\nu} = \prod_{\ell=1}^{\nu} \left( 1 + \frac{pk}{\ell} \right) \equiv 1 \pmod p.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{C}_p \tilde{V} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} A_{i,j} \alpha_i^{pk} \right] z^k = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \frac{A_{i,j}}{1 - \alpha_i^p z} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{A_i}{1 - \alpha_i^p z} \pmod p,$$

where  $A_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} A_{i,j}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{C}_p \tilde{V}$  represents a rational function with exclusively simple poles modulo  $p$ . Thus, the 1-function property  $\mathcal{C}_p \tilde{V} - \text{Frob}_p \tilde{V} \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_p[[z]]}$  ensures that  $\tilde{F}$  has only simple poles as well. Therefore, we write from now on

$$\tilde{F} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{A_i}{1 - \alpha_i z},$$

where  $A_i, \alpha_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$  and  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Evaluating  $\tilde{F}$  at  $z = 0$  we conclude that  $C = \sum_{i=1}^r A_i$ . Therefore,

$$F = \tilde{F} - C = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{A_i}{1 - \alpha_i z} - \sum_{i=1}^r A_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{A_i \alpha_i z}{1 - \alpha_i z}.$$

In particular, we have  $a_n = \sum_{i=1}^r A_i \alpha_i^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The local 1-function property for  $p$  then gives

$$0 \equiv \text{Frob}_p(a_m) - a_{mp} = \sum_{i=1}^r (\text{Frob}_p(A_i) \text{Frob}_p(\alpha_i^m) - A_i \alpha_i^{mp}) \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_p},$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\text{Frob}_p$  is given by taking component-wise the  $p$ -th power modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \mid (p)$ , we conclude

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r (A_i^p - A_i) \alpha_i^{mp} \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_p},$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The Vandermonde type  $r \times r$  matrix  $M = (\alpha_j^{ip})_{i,j=1,\dots,r}$  is invertible modulo  $p\mathcal{O}_p$ . Indeed, its determinant is given by

$$\det(M) \equiv \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i^p \right) \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)^p \pmod{p\mathcal{O}_p}.$$

By assumption, we obtain  $\det(M) \in \mathcal{O}_p^\times$ . Hence,  $A_i^p \equiv A_i \pmod{p}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . From *Frobenius's Density Theorem*, see for instance [8], it follows that  $A_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In the present section we will give a proof of Theorem 1.2. Let  $V \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{rat}}^2(K|\mathbb{Q})$  and let  $a_n$  be the  $n$ -th coefficient of  $V$ . In particular,  $V \in \mathcal{S}^1(K|\mathbb{Q})$  and

by Theorem 3.1, there is an  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_i \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$  and distinct  $\alpha_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that  $a_n$  are given by the power sums  $a_n = \sum_{i=1}^r A_i \alpha_i^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the following, let us assume  $\alpha_i \in K$ , since we might otherwise substitute  $K$  by a normal closure of  $K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime, unramified in  $K|\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$  dividing  $p$  such that

- (i)  $A_i, \alpha_i, \alpha_i - \alpha_j$  and all their  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -conjugates are  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic units, and
- (ii)  $\max\{2, r\} < p$ .

In particular,  $p$  is a generator of the maximal ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $p \geq 3$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then for every  $n \geq 1$  there is a  $\rho_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{x^{p^n}}{\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x^{p^{n-1}})} = 1 + p^n \rho_n$$

and  $\text{ord}_p(\rho_n) = \text{ord}_p(\rho_1)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* For  $n = 1$ , by definition of  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  there is a  $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that eq. (4.1) is satisfied. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose that eq. (4.1) is true for  $k < n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x^{p^n}}{\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x^{p^{n-1}})} &= \left( \frac{x^{p^{n-1}}}{\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x^{p^{n-2}})} \right)^p = (1 + p^{n-1} \rho_{n-1})^p \\ &= 1 + p^n \rho_{n-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} p^{(k-1)(n-1)-1} \rho_{n-1}^{k-1} + p^{(p-1)(n-1)-n} \rho_{n-1}^{p-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p > 2$ , the sum in the brackets has  $p$ -order greater than 0. Therefore,  $\rho_n = \rho_{n-1}(1 + \rho')$  with  $\rho' \in p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence,  $\text{ord}_p(\rho_{n-1}) = \text{ord}_p(\rho_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

For  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \setminus \{0\}$  we then obtain for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{x^{mp^n}}{\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x^{mp^{n-1}})} \equiv 1 + mp^n \rho_n \pmod{p^{2n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

Set

$$\frac{\alpha_i^{p^n}}{\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_i^{p^{n-1}})} = 1 + p^n \rho_{i,n},$$

with  $\rho_{i,n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The local 2-function property eq. (2.2) then gives

$$0 \equiv a_{mp^n} - \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_{mp^{n-1}}) = \sum_{i=1}^r A_i \left( \alpha_i^{mp^n} - \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_i^{mp^{n-1}}) \right) \pmod{p^{2n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 and eq. (4.2) then gives

$$0 \equiv mp^n \sum_{i=1}^r A_i \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_i^{mp^{n-1}}) \rho_{i,n} \pmod{p^{2n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

For  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$ , we may divide this equation by  $mp^n$  and obtain

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r A_i \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_i^{mp^{n-1}}) \rho_{i,n} \pmod{p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

For  $m = 1, \dots, r$ , (in particular  $p \nmid m$  by the assumptions on  $p$ ) we find the system of linear equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_1^{p^{n-1}} & \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_2^{p^{n-1}} & \cdots & \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_r^{p^{n-1}} \\ \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_1^{2p^{n-1}} & \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_2^{2p^{n-1}} & \cdots & \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_r^{2p^{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_1^{rp^{n-1}} & \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_2^{rp^{n-1}} & \cdots & \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_r^{rp^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \rho_{1,n} \\ A_2 \rho_{2,n} \\ \vdots \\ A_r \rho_{r,n} \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}.$$

The determinant of the Vandermonde type matrix is given by

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i^{p^{n-1}} \times \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i^{p^{n-1}} - \alpha_j^{p^{n-1}}) \right),$$

which is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic unit by assumption (1) on  $p$ . Indeed, modulo  $p$ , eq. (4.3) is congruent to

$$\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \times \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}.$$

Therefore,  $A_i \rho_{i,n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  and since  $A_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ , we obtain the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{i,n} = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Due to Lemma 4.1 this implies  $\rho_{i,1} = 0$  and equivalently,  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i^p$ . Assuming  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\kappa} = \text{id}$  for a suitable  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$  implies  $\alpha_i^{p^{\kappa}} = \alpha_i$ , hence  $\alpha_i$  is a root of unity for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ .



## Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Johannes Walcher for providing the initial motivation for this work, and to the referee for giving a more economic version of the original proof.

## References

- [1] G. Almkvist and W. Zudilin, *Differential equations, mirror maps and zeta values*, Mirror symmetry. V, volume **38** of AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. (2006), pp. 481–515. [MR2282972](#)
- [2] R. Apéry, *Irrationalité de  $\zeta(2)$  et  $\zeta(3)$* , Astérisque no. **61** (1979), pp. 11–13. [MR3363457](#)
- [3] F. Beukers, *Some Congruences for the Apery Numbers*, Journal of Number Theory, volume **21** (1985), issue 2, pp. 141–155. [MR0808283](#)
- [4] F. Beukers, M. Houben, and A. Straub, *Gauss congruences for rational functions in several variables*, Acta Arithmetica, volume **184**, issue 4 (2018), pp. 341–362. [MR3855372](#)
- [5] V. Brun, J.O. Stubban, J.E. Fjellstad, R. Tambs Lyche, K.E. Aubert, W. Ljunggren, and E. Jacobsthal, *On the divisibility of the difference between two binomial coefficients*, Den 11te Skandinaviske Matematikerkongress, Trondheim (1949), pp. 42–54. [MR0053125](#)
- [6] M.J. Coster, *Supercongruences*, [Thesis] Univ. of Leiden, the Netherlands (1988). [MR1094853](#)
- [7] O. Gorodetsky,  *$q$ -Congruences, with Applications to Supercongruences and the Cyclic Sieving Phenomenon*, Int. J. Number Theory 15 (2019), no. 9, pp. 1919–1968. [MR4015520](#)
- [8] G.J. Janusz, *Algebraic Number Fields*, Academic Press, New York, 1973. [MR0366864](#)
- [9] G.T. Minton, *Linear recurrence sequences satisfying congruence conditions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume **142**, nr. 7, July 2014, pp. 2337–2352. [MR3195758](#)
- [10] R. Osburn and B. Sahu, *A supercongruence for generalized Domb numbers*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math., Volume **48**, Number 1 (2013), pp. 29–36. [MR3086958](#)

- [11] A. Schwarz, V. Vologodsky, and J. Walcher, *Integrality of Framing and geometric origin of 2-functions (with algebraic coefficients)* (2016), arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.07135>.
- [12] A.V. Zarelua, *On Congruences for the Traces of Powers of Some Matrices*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics (2008), vol. **263**, pp. 78–98. [MR2599373](#)

L. FELIPE MÜLLER  
MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT  
UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG  
IM NEUENHEIMER FELD 205  
69120 HEIDELBERG  
GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* [lmueLLer@mathi-uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:lmueLLer@mathi-uni-heidelberg.de)

RECEIVED MARCH 9, 2021

ACCEPTED MAY 1, 2021