

Homothetic variant of fractional Sobolev space with application to Navier-Stokes system revisited

Jie Xiao

Communicated by Y. Charles Li, received August 1, 2013.

ABSTRACT. This note provides a deeper understanding of the main results obtained in the author's 2007 DPDE paper [25].

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	167
2.	$\{Q_\alpha^{-1}\}_{0 \leq \alpha < 1}$ and its Navier-Stokes equations	168
3.	$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} Q_\alpha^{-1}$ and its Navier-Stokes equations	177
	References	180

1. Introduction

This note is devoted to a further understanding of the results on the so-called Q-spaces on \mathbb{R}^n and the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on $\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} = (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ established in the author's 2007 DPDE paper [25].

For $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$, the space Q_α on \mathbb{R}^n is defined as the class of all measurable complex-valued functions f on \mathbb{R}^n with

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{Q_\alpha} = \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(r^{2\alpha-n} \iint_{B(x,r) \times B(x,r)} \frac{|f(y) - f(z)|^2}{|y - z|^{n+2\alpha}} dydz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Here and henceforth, $B(x, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ stands for the open ball centered at x with radius r .

This space exists as a homothetic variant of the fractional Sobolev space \dot{L}_α^2 on \mathbb{R}^n , where

$$f \in \dot{L}_\alpha^2 \iff \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(z)|^2}{|y - z|^{n+2\alpha}} dydz < \infty.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 31C15, 35Q30, 42B37, 46E35.

Key words and phrases. Homothetic variant of fractional Sobolev space, Q-spaces, Navier-Stokes equations.

JX was in part supported by NSERC of Canada and URP of Memorial University.

According to [6, 25], $(Q_\alpha/\mathbb{C}, \|\cdot\|_{Q_\alpha})$ is not only a Banach space, but also affine invariant: if $(\lambda, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}$ then

$$\phi(x) = \lambda x + x_0 \Rightarrow \|\phi \circ f\|_{Q_\alpha} = \|f\|_{Q_\alpha}.$$

Interestingly, one has the following structure:

$$Q_\alpha = \begin{cases} BMO & \text{as } \alpha \in (-\infty, 0); \\ (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} & \text{between } W^{1,n} \text{ and } BMO \text{ as } \alpha \in (0, 1); \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{as } \alpha \in [1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

where $(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}$ stands for the $-\alpha/2$ -th power of the Laplacian operator, and

$$\begin{cases} f \in \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} \iff \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}}^2 = \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r^{2(\alpha-n)} \iint_{B(x,r) \times B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(z)|^2 dydz < \infty; \\ f \in W^{1,n} \iff \|f\|_{W^{1,n}}^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^n dx < \infty; \\ f \in BMO \iff \|f\|_{BMO}^2 = \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r^{-2n} \iint_{B(x,r) \times B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(z)|^2 dydz < \infty. \end{cases}$$

As showed in [25], the importance of the structure lies in an application of Q_α to treating the existence and uniqueness of the so-called mild solution $u = u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), \dots, u_n(t, x))$ of the normalized incompressible Navier-Stokes system with the pressure function $p = p(t, x)$ and the initial data $a = a(x) = (a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x))$ below

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n; \\ u(0, \cdot) = a(\cdot) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

namely, u solves the integral equation

$$(1.3) \quad u(t, x) = e^{t\Delta} a(x) - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) ds,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} e^{t\Delta} a(x) = (e^{t\Delta} a_1(x), \dots, e^{t\Delta} a_n(x)); \\ P = \{P_{jk}\}_{j,k=1,\dots,n} = \{\delta_{jk} + R_j R_k\}_{j,k=1,\dots,n}; \\ \delta_{jk} = \text{Kronecker symbol}; \\ R_j = \partial_j (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \text{Riesz transform}. \end{cases}$$

Even more interestingly, several relevant advances were made in [21, 19, 12, 8, 18, 20, 15, 16, 17]. The principal results in these papers have strongly inspired the author to revisit and optimize the main results in [25]. The present article is divided into the following two sections between this Introduction and the References at the end:

2. $\{Q_\alpha^{-1}\}_{0 \leq \alpha < 1}$ and its Navier-Stokes equations;
3. $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} Q_\alpha^{-1}$ and its Navier-Stokes equations.

Notation. $U \lesssim V$ or $V \gtrsim U$ stands for $U \leq CV$ for a constant $C > 0$ independent of U and V ; $U \approx V$ is used for both $U \lesssim V$ and $V \lesssim U$.

2. $\{Q_\alpha^{-1}\}_{0 \leq \alpha < 1}$ and its Navier-Stokes equations

2.1. $\{(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}\}_{0 \leq \alpha < 1}$ & $\{Q_\alpha^{-1}\}_{0 \leq \alpha < 1}$. As an extension of the John-Nirenberg's *BMO*-space [13], the Q -space Q_α was studied first in [6], and then in [4, 5]. Among several characterizations of Q_α , the following, as a variant of [4, Theorem 3.3] (expanding Fefferman-Stein's basic result for $BMO = (-\Delta)^{-0} \mathcal{L}_{2,n}$ in [7]), is of independent interest: given $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and a C^∞ function ψ on \mathbb{R}^n with

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \psi \in L^1; \\ |\psi(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-(n+1)} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 0; \\ \psi_t(x) = t^{-n} \psi(\frac{x}{t}) \text{ for } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}, \end{cases}$$

one has:

$$(2.2) \quad f \in (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} \iff \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^r \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |f * \psi_t(y)|^2 dy \right) t^{-1-2\alpha} dt < \infty.$$

Obviously, * stands for the convolution operating on the space variable and

$$(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} = \begin{cases} BMO \text{ for } \alpha = 0; \\ \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Upon choosing four ψ -functions in (2.1)-(2.2), we can get four descriptions of

$$(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha}$$

involving the Poisson and heat semi-groups. To see this, denote by $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $e^{t\Delta}(\cdot, \cdot)$ the Poisson and heat kernels respectively:

$$\begin{cases} e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(x, y) = \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) \pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} t(|x-y|^2 + t^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}; \\ e^{t\Delta}(x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right). \end{cases}$$

And, for $\beta \in (-\infty, \infty)$ the notation $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} f$, determined by the Fourier transform $(\widehat{\cdot})$: $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} f(x) = (2\pi|x|)^\beta \widehat{f}(x)$, represents the $\beta/2$ -th power of the Laplacian

$$-\Delta f = -\Delta_x f = -\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^2 f = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}.$$

Choice 1: If

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{1,0}(x) = (1 + |x|^2 - (n+1)\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})\pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}})(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n+3}{2}}; \\ (\psi_{1,0})_t(x) = t\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(x, 0), \end{cases}$$

then

$$f \in (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} \iff \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^r \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}} f(y)|^2 dy \right) t^{1-2\alpha} dt < \infty.$$

Choice 2: If

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{1,j}(x) = -(n+1)\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})\pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n+3}{2}}; \\ (\psi_{1,j})_t(x) = t\partial_j e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}(x, 0), \end{cases}$$

then

$$f \in (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} \iff \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^r \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla_y e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}} f(y)|^2 dy \right) t^{1-2\alpha} dt < \infty,$$

where ∇_y is the gradient with respect to the space variable $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Choice 3: If

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{2,0}(x) = -(4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(n - \frac{|x|^2}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4}\right); \\ (\psi_{2,0})_t(x) = t\partial_t e^{t\Delta}(x, 0), \end{cases}$$

then

$$f \in (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} \iff \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^r \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |\partial_t e^{t^2 \Delta} f(y)|^2 dy \right) t^{1-2\alpha} dt < \infty.$$

Choice 4: If

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{2,j}(x) = -(4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{x_j}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4}\right); \\ (\psi_{2,j})_t(x) = t \partial_j e^{t^2 \Delta}(x, 0), \end{cases}$$

then

$$f \in (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha} \iff \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^r \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla_y e^{t^2 \Delta} f(y)|^2 dy \right) t^{1-2\alpha} dt < \infty.$$

The previous characterizations lead to the following assertion uniting [25, Theorem 1.2 (iii)] and the corresponding result on BMO^{-1} in [11].

THEOREM 2.1. For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ let $Q_\alpha^{-1} = ((-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2,n-2\alpha})^{-1}$ be the class of all functions f on \mathbb{R}^n with

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{Q_\alpha^{-1}} = \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^r \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |e^{t^2 \Delta} f(y)|^2 dy \right) t^{-\alpha} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

then

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla \cdot (Q_\alpha)^n = \operatorname{div}(Q_\alpha)^n = Q_\alpha^{-1}.$$

Consequently,

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < 1 \implies Q_{\alpha_2}^{-1} \subseteq Q_{\alpha_1}^{-1}.$$

PROOF. The argument below, taken essentially from the proofs of [25, Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 1.2 (ii)], is valid for all $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.

Step 1. We prove

$$f_{j,k} = \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} f \ \& \ f \in Q_\alpha^{-1} \implies f_{j,k} \in Q_\alpha^{-1} \ \text{for } j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Taking a C_0^∞ function ϕ with

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{supp} \phi \subset B(0, 1); \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1; \\ \phi_r(x) = r^{-n} \phi(x/r); \\ g_r(t, x) = \phi_r * \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} e^{t^2 \Delta} f(x), \end{cases}$$

we get

$$e^{t^2 \Delta} f_{j,k}(x) = \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} e^{t^2 \Delta} f(x) = f_r(t, x) + g_r(t, x).$$

Upon denoting by $\dot{B}_1^{1,1}$ the predual of the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_\infty^{-1,\infty}$ (consisting of all functions f on \mathbb{R}^n with $\|e^{t^2 \Delta} f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2}$), we find (cf. [14, p. 160, Lemma 16.1])

$$\begin{aligned} f \in Q_\alpha^{-1} &\implies f \in BMO^{-1} \subseteq \dot{B}_\infty^{-1,\infty} \\ &\implies \|g_r(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} e^{t^2 \Delta} f\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{-1,\infty}} \|\phi_r\|_{\dot{B}_1^{1,1}} \lesssim r^{-1} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{-1,\infty}}, \end{aligned}$$

thereby reaching

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^{r^2} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |g_r(t, y)|^2 dy \right) t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{-1,\infty}}^2 \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|f\|_{Q_\alpha^{-1}}^2.$$

Next, taking another C_0^∞ function ψ with $\psi = 1$ on $B(0, 10)$, writing

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{r,x} = \psi\left(\frac{y-x}{r}\right); \\ f_r = F_{r,x} + G_{r,x}; \\ G_{r,x} = \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} \psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f - \phi_r * \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} \psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f, \end{cases}$$

and employing the Plancherel formula for the space variable, we find out

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{r^2} \|\partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} \psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt &\lesssim \int_0^{r^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y_j y_k| |y|^{-2} |\widehat{(\psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f)}(y)|^2 dy \right) t^{-\alpha} dt \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{r^2} \|\psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt. \end{aligned}$$

At the same time, using Minkowski's inequality (for ϕ_r) and the Plancherel formula once again, we read off

$$\int_0^{r^2} \|\phi_r * \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} \psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim \int_0^{r^2} \|\psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt.$$

Consequently

$$\int_0^{r^2} \|G_{r,x}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim \int_0^{r^2} \|\psi_{r,x} e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt.$$

To handle $F_{r,x}$, we apply the following inequality (cf. [14, p. 161])

$$\int_{B(x,r)} |F_{r,x}(t, y)|^2 dy \lesssim r^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, 10r)} |e^{t\Delta} f(w)|^2 |x-w|^{-(n+1)} dw$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{r^2} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |F_{r,x}(t, y)|^2 dy \right) t^{-\alpha} dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(x, r10^{l+1}) \setminus B(x, r10^l)} \frac{\left(\int_0^{r^2} |e^{t\Delta} f(w)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \right)}{(|w-x|r^{-1})^{n+1}} dw \lesssim \|f\|_{Q_\alpha^{-1}}^2 r^{2\alpha-n}. \end{aligned}$$

A combination of the above estimates for $F_{r,x}$ and $G_{r,x}$ yields

$$(2.7) \quad \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |f_r(t, y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|f\|_{Q_\alpha^{-1}}^2.$$

Of course, both (2.6) and (2.7) produce $f_{j,k} \in Q_\alpha^{-1}$, as desired.

Step 2. We check $\nabla \cdot (Q_\alpha)^n = Q_\alpha^{-1}$.

If $f \in \nabla \cdot (Q_\alpha)^n$, then there exist $f_1, \dots, f_n \in Q_\alpha$ such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f_j$. Thus, an application of the Minkowski inequality derives

$$\|f\|_{Q_\alpha^{-1}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j f_j\|_{Q_\alpha^{-1}} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{Q_\alpha} < \infty.$$

Conversely, if $f \in Q_\alpha^{-1}$, then an application of *Step 1* derives $f_{j,k} = \partial_j \partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} f \in Q_\alpha^{-1}$, whence giving $f_k = -\partial_k (-\Delta)^{-1} f \in Q_\alpha$. So,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{\partial_k f_k} = - \sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{f_{k,k}} = \widehat{f} \implies f \in \nabla \cdot (Q_\alpha)^n.$$

Step 3. (2.5) follows immediately from (2.4). □

2.2. Navier-Stokes system initiated in $\{(Q_\alpha^{-1})^n\}_{0 \leq \alpha < 1}$. Classically, the Cauchy problem for (1.2) is to establish the existence of a solution (velocity)

$$u = u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), \dots, u_n(t, x))$$

with a pressure $p = p(t, x)$ of the fluid at time $t \in (0, \infty)$ and position $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ assuming the initial data/velocity $a = a(x) = (a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x))$. Of particularly important is the invariance of (1.2) under the scaling transform:

$$\begin{cases} u(t, x) \mapsto u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x); \\ p(t, x) \mapsto p_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^2 p(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x); \\ a(x) \mapsto a_\lambda(x) = \lambda a(\lambda x). \end{cases}$$

Namely, if $(u(t, x), p(t, x), a(x))$ solves (1.2) then $(u_\lambda(t, x), p_\lambda(t, x), a_\lambda(x))$ also solves (1.2) for any $\lambda > 0$. This suggests a consideration of (1.2) with an initial data being of the scaling invariance. Through the scale invariance

$$\|a_\lambda\|_{(L^n)^n} = \sum_{j=1}^n \|(a_j)_\lambda\|_{L^n} = \|a\|_{(L^n)^n},$$

Kato proved in [9] that (1.2) has mild solutions locally in time if $a \in (L^n)^n$ and globally if $\|a\|_{(L^n)^n}$ is small enough (for some generalizations of Kato’s result, see e.g. [24] and [26]). Note that $\|\cdot\|_{Q_\alpha^{-1}}$ is invariant under the scale transform $a(x) \mapsto \lambda a(\lambda x)$. So it is a natural thing to extend the Kato’s results to $\{Q_\alpha^{-1}\}_{0 \leq \alpha < 1}$. To do this, we introduce the following concept whose case with $\alpha = 0$ coincides with the space triple $(BMO_T^{-1}, \overline{VMO}^{-1}, X_T)$ in [11].

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $(\alpha, T) \in [0, 1) \times (0, \infty]$.

(i) A distribution f on \mathbb{R}^n is said to be in $Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1}$ provided

$$\|f\|_{Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1}} = \sup_{(r,x) \in (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left(r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |e^{t\Delta} f(y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

(ii) A distribution f on \mathbb{R}^n is said to be in $\overline{VQ}_\alpha^{-1}$ provided $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \|f\|_{Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1}} = 0$.

(iii) A function g on \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} is said to be in $X_{\alpha;T}$ provided

$$\|g\|_{X_{\alpha;T}} = \sup_{t \in (0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{(r,x) \in (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left(r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |g(t, y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Clearly, if $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < 1$ then $X_{\alpha_2;T} \subseteq X_{\alpha_1;T}$. Moreover, one has:

$$\begin{cases} f_\lambda(x) = \lambda f(\lambda x); \\ g_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda g(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x); \\ (\lambda, t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \|f_\lambda\|_{Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}} = \|f\|_{Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}}; \\ \|g_\lambda\|_{X_{\alpha;\infty}} = \|g\|_{X_{\alpha;\infty}}. \end{cases}$$

Also, recalling (cf. [3])

$$f \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{n}{p}} \text{ under } p > n \iff \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^p} \lesssim t^{\frac{n-p}{2p}} \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

one has

$$p > n > \alpha p \implies L^n \subseteq \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{n}{p}} \subseteq Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1} = Q_\alpha^{-1},$$

which follows from Hölder’s inequality based calculation for $r \in (0, 1)$:

$$\int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |e^{t\Delta} f(y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \lesssim r^{n(1-\frac{2}{p})} \int_0^{r^2} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^p}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha}.$$

In order to establish the existence and uniqueness of a mild solution of (1.2) with an initial data in $(Q_\alpha^{-1})^n$, we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.3. *Given $(\alpha, T) \in [0, 1) \times (0, \infty]$ and a function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ on \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} , let*

$$l(f, t, x) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \Delta f(s, x) ds \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}.$$

Then

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^T \|l(f, t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim \int_0^T \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt.$$

PROOF. This lemma and its proof are basically the same as [25, Lemma 3.1] and its argument under $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

It is enough to verify (2.8) for $T = \infty$ thanks to three facts: (i) $l(f, \cdot, \cdot)$ counts only on the values of f on $(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^n$; (ii) if $T < \infty$ then one can extend f by letting $f = 0$ on (T, ∞) ; (iii) we can define $f(\cdot) = 0 = l(f, t, \cdot)$ for $t \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Through defining

$$\kappa(t, x) = \begin{cases} \Delta e^{t\Delta}(x, 0) & \text{for } t > 0; \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$l(f, t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \kappa(t - s, x - y) f(s, y) dy ds,$$

whence finding that $l(f, t, x)$ is actually a convolution operator over \mathbb{R}^{1+n} . Due to

$$\widehat{\kappa(t, \cdot)}(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \kappa(t, x) \exp(-2\pi i x \cdot \zeta) dx = -(2\pi)^2 |\zeta|^2 \exp(- (2\pi)^2 t |\zeta|^2),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} l(\widehat{f, t, \cdot})(\zeta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+n}} f(s, y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \kappa(t - s, v) \exp(-2\pi i(v + y) \cdot \zeta) dv \right) dy ds \\ &= -(2\pi)^2 \int_0^t |\zeta|^2 \exp(- (2\pi)^2 (t - s) |\zeta|^2) \widehat{f(s, \cdot)}(\zeta) ds. \end{aligned}$$

This last formula, along with the Fubini theorem and the Plancherel formula, derives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \|l(f, t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt &\leq \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^t \frac{|\zeta|^2 |\widehat{f(s, \cdot)}(\zeta)|}{\exp((2\pi)^2 (t - s) |\zeta|^2)} ds \right)^2 d\zeta \right) t^{-\alpha} dt \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{(1_{\{0 \leq s \leq t\}}) |\zeta|^2 |\widehat{f(s, \cdot)}(\zeta)|}{\exp((2\pi)^2 (t - s) |\zeta|^2)} ds \right)^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \right) d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

This indicates that if one can verify

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty (1_{\{0 \leq s \leq t\}}) \frac{|\zeta|^2 |\widehat{f(s, \cdot)}(\zeta)|}{\exp((t - s) |\zeta|^2)} ds \right)^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim \int_0^\infty |\widehat{f(t, \cdot)}(\zeta)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dt,$$

then the Plancherel formula can be used once again to produce

$$\int_0^\infty \|l(f, t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim \int_0^\infty \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt,$$

as required.

To prove (2.9), let us rewrite its left side as $\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty K(s, t) F(s, \zeta) ds \right)^2 dt$, where

$$\begin{cases} F(s, \zeta) = s^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\widehat{f}(s, \cdot)(\zeta)|; \\ K(s, t) = (1_{\{0 \leq s \leq t\}})(\frac{s}{t})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\zeta|^2 \exp(- (t - s) |\zeta|^2). \end{cases}$$

A simple calculation shows

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^\infty K(s, t) ds = |\zeta|^2 \int_0^t (\frac{s}{t})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp(- (t - s) |\zeta|^2) ds \lesssim 1; \\ \int_0^\infty K(s, t) dt = |\zeta|^2 \int_s^\infty (\frac{s}{t})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp(- (t - s) |\zeta|^2) dt \lesssim 1, \end{cases}$$

and then an application of the Schur lemma gives

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty K(s, t) F(s, \zeta) ds \right)^2 dt \lesssim \int_0^\infty (F(t, \zeta))^2 dt,$$

as desired. □

LEMMA 2.4. Given $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and a function f on $(0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$J(f; \alpha) = \sup_{(r,x) \in (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^n} r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(t, y)| t^{-\alpha} dt dy.$$

Then

$$(2.10) \quad \int_0^1 \left\| \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} \int_0^t f(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim J(f; \alpha) \int_0^1 \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} t^{-\alpha} dt.$$

PROOF. This lemma and its argument follow from [25, Lemma 3.2] and its proof.

To be short, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the inner product in L^2 with respect to the space variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\cdots\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} \int_0^t f(s, y) ds \right|^2 dy \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^t \langle \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} f(s, \cdot), \sqrt{-\Delta} e^{t\Delta} f(h, \cdot) \rangle ds dh. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \|\cdots\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt &\lesssim \iint_{0 < h < s < 1} \langle |f(s, \cdot)|, (e^{2\Delta} - e^{2s\Delta}) |f(h, \cdot)| \rangle s^{-\alpha} ds dh \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_0^1 \|f(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} s^{-\alpha} ds \right) \sup_{s \in (0,1)} \left\| \int_0^s e^{2s\Delta} |f(h, \cdot)| dh \right\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

From [14, p. 163] it follows that

$$\sup_{(s,z) \in (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^s e^{2s\Delta} |f(h, z)| dh \lesssim \sup_{(r,x) \in (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^n} r^{-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(s, y)| dy ds.$$

and so that

$$\sup_{(s,z) \in (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^s e^{2s\Delta} |f(h, z)| dh \lesssim \sup_{(r,x) \in (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^n} r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(s, y)| s^{-\alpha} ds dy.$$

This in turn implies

$$\int_0^1 \|\cdots\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim J(f; \alpha) \int_0^1 \|f(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} s^{-\alpha} ds,$$

whence giving (2.10). □

Below is the so-called existence and uniqueness result for a mild solution to (1.2) established in [11, 25].

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then*

- (i) (1.2) has a unique small global mild solution u in $(X_\alpha)^n$ for all initial data a with $\nabla \cdot a = 0$ and $\|a\|_{(Q_\alpha^{-1})^n}$ being small.
- (ii) For any $T \in (0, \infty)$ there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that (1.2) has a unique small mild solution u in $(X_{\alpha;T})^n$ on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ when the initial data a satisfies $\nabla \cdot a = 0$ and $\|a\|_{(Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1})^n} \leq \epsilon$. Consequently, for all $a \in (\overline{VQ_\alpha^{-1}})^n$ with $\nabla \cdot a = 0$ there exists a unique small local mild solution u in $(X_{\alpha;T})^n$ on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

PROOF. For completeness, we give a proof based on a slight improvement of the argument for [25, Theorem 1.4 (i)-(ii)].

Notice that the following estimate for a distribution f on \mathbb{R}^n (cf. [14, Lemma 16.1]):

$$\|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1+n}{2}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t \int_{B(x,t)} |e^{s\Delta} f(y)|^2 dy ds \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty)$$

implies

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{Q_{0,T}^{-1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1}} \quad \text{for } 0 < t < T \leq \infty.$$

So, according to the Picard contraction principle (see e.g. [14, p. 145, Theorem 15.1]), we know that verifying Theorem 2.5 via the integral equation (1.3) is equivalent to showing that the bilinear operator

$$B(u, v; t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) ds$$

is bounded from $(X_{\alpha;T})^n \times (X_{\alpha;T})^n$ to $(X_{\alpha;T})^n$. Of course, $u \in (X_{\alpha;T})^n$ and $a \in (Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1})^n$ are respectively equipped with the norms:

$$\begin{cases} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} = \sum_{j=1}^n \|u_j\|_{X_{\alpha;T}}; \\ \|a\|_{(Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1})^n} = \sum_{j=1}^n \|a_j\|_{Q_{\alpha;T}^{-1}}. \end{cases}$$

Step 1. We are about to show L^∞ -bound:

$$(2.11) \quad |B(u, v; t)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} \quad \forall t \in (0, T).$$

Indeed, if $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < t$ then

$$\|e^{(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (s(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}.$$

Meanwhile, if $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(s, y)| |v(s, y)|}{(t^{\frac{1}{2}} + |x - y|)^{n+1}} dy \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + |k|))^{-(n+1)} \int_{x-y \in t^{\frac{1}{2}}(k+[0,1]^n)} \frac{|u(s, y)|}{|v(s, y)|^{-1}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is applied to imply

$$\int_0^t \int_{x-y \in t^{\frac{1}{2}}(k+[0,1]^n)} |u(s, y)| |v(s, y)| dy ds \lesssim t^{\frac{n}{2}} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha;T})^n}.$$

These inequalities in turn derive

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{B}(u, v; t)| &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |e^{(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)| ds + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |e^{(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)| ds \\
&\lesssim \left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t s^{-1} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \right) \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n} \\
&\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n},
\end{aligned}$$

producing (2.11).

Step 2. We are about to prove L^2 -bound:

$$(2.12) \quad r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |\mathbf{B}(u, v; t)|^2 s^{-\alpha} dy ds \lesssim \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}^2 \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}^2,$$

$\forall (r^2, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. In fact, if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
1_{r,x} = 1_{B(x,10r)}; \\
\mathbf{B}(u, v; t) = \mathbf{B}_1(u, v; t) - \mathbf{B}_2(u, v; t) - \mathbf{B}_3(u, v; t); \\
\mathbf{B}_1(u, v; t) = \int_0^s e^{(s-h)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot ((1 - 1_{r,x})u \otimes v) dh; \\
\mathbf{B}_2(u, v; t) = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P \nabla \cdot \int_0^s e^{(s-h)\Delta} \Delta \left((-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (I - e^{h\Delta}) (1_{r,x})u \otimes v \right) dh; \\
\mathbf{B}_3(u, v; t) = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P \nabla \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{s\Delta} \left(\int_0^s (1_{r,x})u \otimes v \right) dh; \\
I = \text{the identity operator,}
\end{array} \right.$$

then one has the following consideration under $0 < s < r^2$ and $|y - x| < r$.

First, we utilize the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{B}_1(u, v; t)| &\lesssim \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,10r)} \frac{|u(h, z)| |v(h, z)|}{((s-h)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |y-z|)^{n+1}} dz dh \\
&\lesssim \int_0^{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,10r)} |u(h, z)| |v(h, z)| |x-z|^{-(n+1)} dz dh \\
&\lesssim \frac{\left(\int_0^{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,10r)} |u(h, z)|^2 |x-z|^{-(n+1)} dz dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\int_0^{r^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,10r)} |v(h, z)|^2 |x-z|^{-(n+1)} dz dh \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim r^{-1} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n},
\end{aligned}$$

whence obtaining

$$\int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |\mathbf{B}_1(u, v; t)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}^2 \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}^2.$$

Next, for $\mathbf{B}_2(u, v; t)$ set

$$\mathbf{M}(h, y) = 1_{r,x}(u \otimes v) = 1_{r,x}(y)(u(h, y) \otimes v(h, y)).$$

From the L^2 -boundedness of the Riesz transform and Lemma 2.3 it follows that

$$\int_0^{r^2} \|\mathbf{B}_2(u, v; t)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim \int_0^{r^2} \left\| \left((-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (I - e^{s\Delta}) \mathbf{M}(s, \cdot) \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 s^{-\alpha} ds.$$

Note that $\sup_{s \in (0, \infty)} s^{-1}(1 - \exp(-s^2)) < \infty$. So, $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I - e^{s\Delta})$ is bounded on L^2 with operator norm $\lesssim s^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This fact, along with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, implies

$$\int_0^{r^2} \|\mathbf{B}_2(u, v; t)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}^2 \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}^2.$$

In a similar manner, we establish the following estimate for $\mathbf{B}_3(u, v; t)$:

$$\int_0^{r^2} \|\mathbf{B}_3(u, v; t)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim r^{4+n-2\alpha} \int_0^1 \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\tau\Delta} \int_0^\tau |\mathbf{M}(r^2\theta, r \cdot)| d\theta \right\|_{L^2}^2 \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau.$$

Note that Lemma 2.4 ensures that if

$$\mathbf{K}(M; \alpha) = \sup_{\rho \in (0, 1)} \rho^{-n} \int_0^{\rho^2} \int_{B(x, \rho)} |\mathbf{M}(r^2\theta, r w)| \tau^{-\alpha} dw d\tau$$

then

$$\int_0^1 \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\tau\Delta} \int_0^\tau |\mathbf{M}(r^2\theta, r \cdot)| d\theta \right\|_{L^2}^2 \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \lesssim \mathbf{K}(M; \alpha) \int_0^1 \|\mathbf{M}(r^2\theta, r \cdot)\|_{L^1} \theta^{-\alpha} d\theta.$$

So, the easily-verified estimates

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{K}(M; \alpha) \lesssim r^{-2} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}; \\ \int_0^1 \|\mathbf{M}(r^2\theta, r \cdot)\|_{L^1} \theta^{-\alpha} d\theta \lesssim r^{-2} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n} \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}; \end{cases}$$

derive

$$\int_0^{r^2} \|\mathbf{B}_3(u, v; t)\|_{L^2}^2 t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha} \|u\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}^2 \|v\|_{(X_{\alpha; T})^n}^2.$$

Putting the estimates for $\{\mathbf{B}_j(u, v)\}_{j=1}^3$ together, we reach (2.12).

Finally, the boundedness of $\mathbf{B}(\cdot, \cdot; t) : (X_{\alpha; T})^n \times (X_{\alpha; T})^n \mapsto (X_{\alpha; T})^n$ follows from both (2.11) and (2.12). Of course, $T = \infty$ and $T \in (0, \infty)$ assure (i) and (ii) respectively. \square

3. $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} Q_\alpha^{-1}$ and its Navier-Stokes equations

3.1. $(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \mathcal{L}_{2, n-2}$ & $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} Q_\alpha^{-1}$. A careful observation of the analysis carried out in Section 2 reveals that one cannot take $\alpha = 1$ in those lemmas and theorems. But, upon recalling

$$Q_\alpha = (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \mathcal{L}_{2, n-2\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1),$$

for which the proof given in the first group of estimates on [25, p. 234] unfortunately contains five typos and the correct formulation reads as:

$$\begin{aligned} |(\psi_0)_t * f_2(y)| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2B} \frac{t|f(z) - f_{2B}|}{(t + |x - z|)^{n+1}} dz \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2B} \frac{t|f(z) - f_{2B}|}{|x - z|^{n+1}} dz \\ &\lesssim t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_k} \frac{|f(z) - f_{2B}|}{|x - z|^{n+1}} dz \\ &\lesssim t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k r)^{-(n+1)} \int_{B_k} |f - f_{2B}| dz \\ &\lesssim t r^{-(1+\alpha)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2, n-2\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

and considering the limiting process of (2.3) as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ via the fact that $(1 - \alpha)t^{-\alpha}dt$ converges weak-* as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ to the point-mass at 0 but also $\int_{B(x,r)} |e^{t\Delta} f(y)|^2 dy$ approaches $\int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^2 dy$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, in Theorem 2.1, (2.3) and Definition 2.2 we can naturally define the limiting space $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} Q_\alpha^{-1}$ as the square Morrey space $L_{2,n-2}$ (cf. [21]) - the class of all L^2_{loc} -functions f with

$$(3.1) \quad \|f\|_{L_{2,n-2}} = \sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left(r^{2-n} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

In the light of (3.1) and a result on the Riesz operator $(-\Delta)^{-1/2}$ acting on the square Morrey space in [1], we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (-\Delta)^{-1/2} L_{2,n-2} \subseteq BMO; \\ L_{2,n-2} \subseteq BMO^{-1}; \\ f_\lambda(x) = \lambda f(\lambda x) \quad \forall (\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}; \\ \|f_\lambda\|_{L_{2,n-2}} = \|f\|_{L_{2,n-2}} \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \infty). \end{array} \right.$$

Here it is worth pointing out that $(-\Delta)^{-1/2} L_{2,n-2}$ is also affine invariant under the norm

$$\|f\|_{(-\Delta)^{-1/2} L_{2,n-2}} = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L_{2,n-2}}.$$

To see this, note that

$$f \in (-\Delta)^{-1/2} L_{2,n-2} \iff f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) |y - x|^{1-n} dy \quad \text{for some } g \in L_{2,n-2}.$$

So, a simple computation gives

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(\lambda x + x_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_\lambda(y) |y - x|^{1-n} dy \quad \text{with} \\ G_\lambda(x) = \lambda g(\lambda x + x_0) \quad \& \quad \|G_\lambda\|_{L_{2,n-2}} = \|g\|_{L_{2,n-2}}. \end{array} \right.$$

The following assertion supports the above limiting process.

THEOREM 3.1. $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L_{2,n-2} \subseteq \cap_{\alpha \in (0,1)} Q_\alpha$ & $L_{2,n-2} \subseteq \cap_{\alpha \in (0,1)} Q_\alpha^{-1}$.

PROOF. Given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For $f \in (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L_{2,n-2} \subseteq BMO$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a Schwartz function ψ , let

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g; \\ \psi_j(x) = 2^{jn} \psi(2^j x); \\ \Delta_j(f)(x) = \psi_j * f(x); \\ \widehat{\Delta'_j(f)}(x) = |2^j x|^\alpha \hat{\psi}(2^{-j} x) \hat{f}(x); \\ \text{supp } \hat{\psi} \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-1} \leq |y| \leq 2\}; \\ \sum_j \hat{\psi}_j \equiv 1. \end{array} \right.$$

A simple computation gives that for any cube I (whose edges are parallel to the coordinate axes) in \mathbb{R}^n with side length $\ell(I)$,

$$(3.2) \quad \ell(I)^{2\alpha-n} \iint_{I \times I} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 |x - y|^{-(n+2\alpha)} dx dy \lesssim T_1(I) + T_2(I),$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_1(I) = \ell(I)^{2\alpha-n} \iint_{I \times I} |\sum_{j < -\log_2 \ell(I)} \Delta_j(f)(x) - \sum_{j < -\log_2 \ell(I)} \Delta_j(f)(y)|^2 |x - y|^{-(n+2\alpha)} dx dy; \\ T_2(I) = \ell(I)^{2\alpha-n} \iint_{I \times I} |\sum_{j \geq -\log_2 \ell(I)} \Delta_j(f)(x) - \sum_{j \geq -\log_2 \ell(I)} \Delta_j(f)(y)|^2 |x - y|^{-(n+2\alpha)} dx dy. \end{array} \right.$$

According to [19, (3.2)] and the last estimate for \mathbb{V} in [19] as well as [2, (22)], we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \sup_I T_1(I) \lesssim \|f\|_{BMO}^2 \sup_I \ell(I)^{2\alpha-n-2} \int_I \int_I |x-y|^{2-2\alpha-n} dx dy \lesssim \|g\|_{L_{2,n-2}}^2; \\ \sup_I T_2(I) \lesssim \sup_I \ell(I)^{2\alpha-n} \sum_{j \geq -\log_2 \ell(I)} 2^{2\alpha j} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{j}{2}} \Delta'_j g\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{L_{2,n-2}}^2. \end{cases}$$

Each \sup_I in (3.3) ranges over all cubes I with edges being parallel to the coordinate axes. Thus, $f \in Q_\alpha$ follows from (3.2) and (3.3) as well as (1.1). This shows the first inclusion of Theorem 3.1.

Next, suppose $f \in L_{2,n-2}$. Then the easily-verified uniform boundedness of the map $f \mapsto e^{t\Delta} f$ on $L_{2,n-2}$, i.e.,

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L_{2,n-2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{2,n-2}},$$

yields

$$r^{2\alpha-n} \int_0^{r^2} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |e^{s\Delta} f|^2 dy \right) s^{-\alpha} ds \lesssim r^{2(\alpha-1)} \int_0^{r^2} \|e^{s\Delta} f\|_{L_{2,n-2}}^2 s^{-\alpha} ds \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{2,n-2}}^2,$$

whence giving $f \in Q_\alpha^{-1}$ and verifying the second inclusion of Theorem 3.1. □

3.2. Navier-Stokes equations initiated in $(\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} Q_\alpha^{-1})^n$. When applying ∇ to

$$((-\Delta)^{-1/2} L_{2,n-2})^n$$

or

$$((-\Delta)^{-1/2} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} Q_\alpha^{-1})^n$$

(cf. Theorem 2.1), we are suggested to consider $L_{2,n-2}$ in a further study of (1.2). To see this clearly, let us introduce the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.2.

- (i) A function $f \in L_{2,n-2}$ is said to be in $VL_{2,n-2}$ provided that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a C_0^∞ function h such that $\|f - h\|_{L_{2,n-2}} < \epsilon$, namely, $VL_{2,n-2}$ is the closure of C_0^∞ in $L_{2,n-2}$.
- (ii) Given $T \in (0, \infty]$, a function $g \in L_{loc}^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be in $X_{2,n-2;T}$ provided

$$\|g\|_{X_{2,n-2;T}} = \sup_{t \in (0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L_{2,n-2}} < \infty.$$

Related to Theorem 3.1 is the following inclusion $X_{2,n-2;T} \subseteq \cap_{\alpha \in (0,1)} X_{\alpha;T}$ which follows from

$$\int_0^{r^2} \int_{B(x,r)} |g(t,y)|^2 t^{-\alpha} dy dt \lesssim r^{n-2} \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L_{2,n-2}}^2 \int_0^{r^2} t^{-\alpha} dt \lesssim r^{n-2\alpha}.$$

As a limiting case $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ of Theorem 2.5, we have the following generalization of the 3D result [15, Theorem 1 (A)-(B)] (cf. [10, 16]) on the existence of a mild solution to (1.2) under $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (L_{2,n-2})^n$ and $\|a\|_{(L_{2,n-2})^n} = \sum_{j=1}^n \|a_j\|_{L_{2,n-2}}$.

THEOREM 3.3.

- (i) (1.2) has a small global mild solution u in $(X_{2,n-2;\infty})^n$ for all initial data $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with $\nabla \cdot a = 0$ and $\|a\|_{(L_{2,n-2})^n}$ being small.
- (ii) For any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (VL_{2,n-2})^n$ with $\nabla \cdot a = 0$ there exists a $T > 0$ depending on a such that (1.2) has a small local mild solution u in $C([0, T], (L_{2,n-2})^n)$.

PROOF. To prove this assertion, for $T \in (0, \infty]$ let us introduce the following middle space $X_{4,2;T}$ of all functions g on \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} with

$$\|g\|_{X_{4,2;T}} = \sup_{t \in (0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{t \in (0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{4}} \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L_{4,n-2}} < \infty,$$

where

$$\|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L_{4,n-2}} = \left(\sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} r^{2-n} \int_{B(x,r)} |g(t, y)|^4 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Note that the following estimate for $f \in L_{2,n-2}$ (cf. [14, Theorem 18.1]):

$$(3.4) \quad |e^{t\Delta} f(x)| \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{z \in k + [0,1]^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|z|^2}{4}\right) \int_{k+[0,1]^n} |f(x - t^{\frac{1}{2}}y)| dy \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n},$$

along with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, deduces $t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{2,n-2}}$. So, (3.4), plus the uniform boundedness of the map $f \mapsto e^{t\Delta} f$ on $L_{2,n-2}$, gives

$$\|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L_{4,n-2}} \lesssim \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_{L_{2,n-2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|f\|_{L_{2,n-2}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases} \|e^{t\Delta} f(x)\|_{X_{4,2;T}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{2,n-2}}; \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \|e^{t\Delta} f(x)\|_{X_{4,2;T}} = 0 \quad \text{as } f \in VL_{2,n-2}. \end{cases}$$

Keeping the previous preparation and the Picard contraction principle in mind, we find that showing Theorem 2.1, via the integral equation (1.3) and the iteration process

$$\begin{cases} u^{(0)}(t, \cdot) = e^{t\Delta} a(\cdot); \\ u^{(j+1)}(t, \cdot) = u^{(0)}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{B}(u^{(j)}(t, \cdot), u^{(j)}(t, \cdot), t); \\ j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \end{cases}$$

amounts to proving the boundedness of the bilinear operator $\mathbf{B}(\cdot, \cdot, t) : (X_{4,2;T})^n \times (X_{4,2;T})^n \mapsto (X_{4,2;T})^n$. However, this boundedness follows directly from the following estimates (cf. [15, (25)-(24)]) for $0 < s < t < T$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\|e^{(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)\|_{(L^\infty)^n}}{(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \min \left\{ (s(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{(L_{4,n-2})^n} s^{\frac{1}{4}} \|v\|_{(L_{4,n-2})^n} (s(t-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \right. \\ \left. s^{-1} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{(L^\infty)^n} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{(L^\infty)^n} \right\}; \\ \frac{\|e^{(t-s)\Delta} P \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)\|_{(L_{4,n-2})^n}}{(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim s^{-\frac{3}{4}} (s^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{(L_{4,n-2})^n}) (s^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{(L^\infty)^n}). \end{cases}$$

□

REMARK 3.4. Though Theorem 2.5 can be used to derive that if $\|a\|_{(L_{2,n-2})^n}$ is sufficiently small then there is a unique solution u of (1.2) in $(X_{\alpha;\infty})^n$, Theorem 2.5 cannot guarantee $u \in (X_{2,n-2,\infty})^n$ due to $X_{2,n-2,\infty} \subseteq \cap_{0 < \alpha < 1} X_{\alpha;\infty}$. In any event, we always have $\sup_{t \in (0,\infty)} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ and even more general estimate (cf. [15, (49) & Lemma 3]): $\sup_{t \in (0,\infty)} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u^{(j+1)}(t, \cdot) - u^{(j)}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (j+1)^{-2}$.

References

[1] D. R. Adams, A note on Riesz potentials, *Duke Math. J.* 42 (1975), 765-778.
 [2] A. El. Baraka, Littlewood-Paley characterization for Campanato spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* 4 (2006), 193-220.
 [3] M. Cannone, Y. Meyer and F. Planchon, *Solutions Auto-similaires des Équations de Navier-Stokes in \mathbb{R}^3* . Exposé n. VIII, Séminaire X-EDP, Ecole Polytechnique, 1963.
 [4] G. Dafni and J. Xiao, Some new tent spaces and duality theorems for fractional Carleson measures and $Q_\alpha \mathbb{R}^n$. *J. Funct. Anal.* 208 (2004), 377-422.

- [5] G. Dafni and J. Xiao, The dyadic structure and atomic decomposition of Q spaces in several variables. *Tohoku Math. J.* 57 (2005), 119-145.
- [6] M. Essén, S. Janson, L. Peng and J. Xiao, Q spaces of several real variables. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 49 (2000), 575-615.
- [7] C. Fefferman and E. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables. *Acta Math.* 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [8] J. Garnett, P. W. Jones, T. M. Le and L. A. Vese, Modeling oscillatory components with the homogeneous spaces $BMO^{-\alpha}$ and $\dot{W}^{-\alpha,p}$. *Pure Appl. Math. Quarterly* 7 (2011), 275-318.
- [9] T. Kato, Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in \mathbb{R}^m , with applications to weak solutions. *Math. Z.* 187 (1984), 471-480.
- [10] T. Kato, Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in Morrey spaces. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Math.* 22 (1992), 127-155.
- [11] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations. *Adv. Math.* 157 (2001), 22-35.
- [12] P. Koskela, J. Xiao, Y. Zhang and Y. Zhou, A quasiconformal composition problem for the Q -spaces. *Preprint*, 2013.
- [13] F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 18 (1965), 415-426.
- [14] P. G. Lemari-Rieusset, *Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem*. Boca Raton, Fla.: Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [15] P. G. Lemari-Rieusset, The Navier-Stokes equations in the critical Morrey-Campanato space. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 23 (2007), 897-930.
- [16] P. G. Lemari-Rieusset, Small data in an optimal Banach space for the parabolic-parabolic and parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel equations in the whole space. *Preprint*, 2012.
- [17] P. Li, J. Xiao and Q. Yang, Global mild solutions of modified Navier-Stokes equations with small initial data in critical Besov- Q spaces. *Preprint*, 2013.
- [18] P. Li and Z. Zhai, Well-posedness and regularity of generalized Navier-Stokes equations in some critical Q -spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010), 2457-2519.
- [19] Q. Li, Littlewood-Paley characterization for $Q_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^m)$ spaces. *arXiv:0908.4380v2[math.CA]31Aug2009*.
- [20] C. Lin and Q. Yang, Semigroup characterization of Besov type Morrey spaces and well-posedness of generalized Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 254 (2013), 804-846.
- [21] R. May, *Existence, Unicité et Régularité des Solutions Faibles des équations de Navier-Stokes*. Thèse, Univ. Evry, 2002.
- [22] C. B. Morrey, On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 43 (1938), 126-166.
- [23] R. S. Strichartz, Bounded mean oscillation and Sobolev spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 29 (1980), 539-558.
- [24] M. E. Taylor, Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier-Stokes and other evolution equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 17 (1992), 1407-1456.
- [25] J. Xiao, Homothetic variant of fractional Sobolev space with application to Navier-Stokes system. *Dynamics of PDE* 4 (2007), 227-245.
- [26] M. Yamazaki, The Navier-Stokes equation in various function spaces. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 204 (2001), 111-132.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND, ST. JOHN'S, NL A1C 5S7, CANADA

E-mail address: jxiao@mun.ca