

ERRATUM TO ‘CATEGORY OF A_∞ -CATEGORIES’

VOLODYMYR LYUBASHENKO

(communicated by Jim Stasheff)

Abstract

The erroneous statement (HHA **5** (2003), no. 1, 1–48) that the collection of unital A_∞ -categories, all A_∞ -functors, and all A_∞ -transformations (resp. equivalence classes of natural A_∞ -transformations) form a \mathcal{K} -2-category $\mathcal{K}^u A_\infty$ (resp. ordinary 2-category ${}^u A_\infty$) is corrected as follows. All 2-category axioms are satisfied, except that $1_e \cdot f$ does not necessarily equal 1_{ef} for all composable 1-morphisms e, f . The axiom $e \cdot 1_f = 1_{ef}$ does hold. The mistake does not affect results on invertible 2-morphisms and quasi-invertible 1-morphisms in ${}^u A_\infty$.

Let $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \otimes, c, \mathbf{1})$ be a symmetric monoidal category. Besides the notions of a 1-unital 2-unital \mathcal{V} -2-category (Definition A.1) and a 1-unital non-2-unital \mathcal{V} -2-category (a 2-category enriched in \mathcal{V} which has unit 1-morphisms, but does not have unit 2-morphisms) (Definition A.2) the article [Lyu03] should contain the following intermediate notion:

Definition A.3 (1-unital left-2-unital \mathcal{V} -2-category). A 1-unital left-2-unital \mathcal{V} -2-category consists of a 1-unital non-2-unital \mathcal{V} -2-category \mathfrak{A} plus a morphism $1_f: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})(f, f)$ for any 1-morphism $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, which is a two-sided unit with respect to vertical composition of 2-morphisms m_2 , such that

$$e \cdot 1_f \equiv \left(\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \Downarrow 1_f \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} \mathcal{B} \right) = 1_{ef} \quad (1)$$

for all composable 1-morphisms e, f . Moreover, if

$$1_f \cdot k \equiv \left(\mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \Downarrow 1_f \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{k} \mathcal{C} \right) = 1_{fk} \quad (2)$$

for all composable 1-morphisms f, k , such \mathfrak{A} is the same as a 1-unital 2-unital \mathcal{V} -2-category.

Let \mathcal{K} denote the homotopy category of the differential graded category of complexes of \mathbb{k} -modules, \mathbb{k} being a commutative ring with a unit. Morphisms of \mathcal{K} are chain maps modulo homotopy. It is correctly stated in [Lyu03] that the collection

Received June 10, 2007; published on August 8, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18D05, 18D20, 18G55, 57T30.

Key words and phrases: A_∞ -categories, A_∞ -functors, A_∞ -transformations, unit A_∞ -transformation, 2-category.

Copyright © 2007, International Press. Permission to copy for private use granted.

of all A_∞ -categories, all A_∞ -functors and all A_∞ -transformations (resp. equivalence classes of natural A_∞ -transformations) is a 1-unital non-2-unital \mathcal{K} -2-category $\mathcal{K}A_\infty$ (resp. 1-unital non-2-unital 2-category A_∞). It is correctly stated there that the collection of unital A_∞ -categories, unital A_∞ -functors and all A_∞ -transformations (resp. equivalence classes of natural A_∞ -transformations) is a 1-unital 2-unital \mathcal{K} -2-category $\mathcal{K}A_\infty^u$ (resp. ordinary 2-category A_∞^u). However, it is claimed incorrectly in Corollaries 7.11, 7.12 [ibid.] that the latter property holds also for the collection of unital A_∞ -categories, *all* A_∞ -functors, and all A_∞ -transformations (resp. equivalence classes of natural A_∞ -transformations). The correct statement is that the stated collection constitutes a 1-unital left-2-unital \mathcal{K} -2-category $\mathcal{K}^u A_\infty$ (resp. 1-unital left-2-unital 2-category $^u A_\infty$). Fortunately, the notions of an invertible 2-morphism, of a 1-morphism which is an equivalence, etc. make sense in $^u A_\infty$. All other results of [Lyu03] which concern $^u A_\infty$ remain valid. For instance, if \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} are unital A_∞ -categories, $r: f \rightarrow g: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an isomorphism of A_∞ -functors and f is unital, then g is unital as well.

The proof of property (1) for all A_∞ -functors $e: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with unital A_∞ -category \mathcal{B} consists of the line $e \cdot 1_f = e \cdot (f\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{B}})s^{-1} = (ef\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{B}})s^{-1} = 1_{ef}$, where $\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{B}}: \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is the unit A_∞ -transformation. For any A_∞ -functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a unital A_∞ -functor $k: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, property (2) follows from the chain maps

$$\begin{aligned} 1_f \cdot k &= (f\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{B}}s^{-1}) \cdot k = (f\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{B}}k)s^{-1}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow (A_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})(fk, fk), m_1), \\ 1_{fk} &= (fk\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{C}})s^{-1}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow (A_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})(fk, fk), m_1) \end{aligned}$$

being equal in \mathcal{K} . In fact, these cycles are homologous, since $\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{B}}k \equiv k\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{C}}$ implies $f\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{B}}k \equiv fk\mathbf{i}^{\mathcal{C}}$.

The erroneous statement was also referred to (but not used in any reasoning) after Corollary 5.6 of [LO06]. Other articles on the subject are not influenced by the mistake described here.

References

- [Lyu03] V. V. Lyubashenko, Category of A_∞ -categories, *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* **5** (2003), no. 1, 1–48, [math.CT/0210047](#).
 [LO06] V. V. Lyubashenko and S. A. Ovsienko, A construction of quotient A_∞ -categories, *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* **8** (2006), no. 2, 157–203, [math.CT/0211037](#).

Volodymyr Lyubashenko lub@imath.kiev.ua <http://math.ksu.edu/~lub/>

Institute of Mathematics, NASU
 3 Tereshchenkivska St.
 Kyiv-4, 01601 MSP
 Ukraine

This article is available at <http://intlpress.com/HHA/v9/n2/a6>