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#### Abstract

Arc-locally semicomplete digraphs and arc-locally in-semicomplete digraphs were introduced by Bang-Jensen as a common generalization of both semicomplete and semicomplete bipartite digraphs in 1993. Later, Bang-Jensen (2004), Galeana-Sánchez and Goldfeder (2009) and Wang and Wang (2009) provided a characterization of strong arc-locally semicomplete digraphs. In 2009, Wang and Wang provided a characterization of strong arc-locally in-semicomplete digraphs. In 2012, Galeana-Sánchez and Goldfeder provided a characterization of arbitrary arc-locally semicomplete digraphs which generalizes some results by Bang-Jensen. In this paper, we characterize the structure of arbitrary arc-locally (out) in-semicomplete digraphs and arbitrary arc-locally semicomplete digraphs.
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## 1. Introduction

We only consider finite digraphs without loops and multiple edges. In this section, we give an overview of the literature related to the problems under study. We assume the reader is familiar with graph theory terminology and we postpone formal definitions to Section 2.

A tournament is an orientation of a complete graph. There are many results in the literature about tournaments. In [11], Rédei proved that every tournament (and hence, every semicomplete digraph) has a hamiltonian path. In [6], Camion showed that every strong tournament (and hence, every strong semicomplete digraph) has a hamiltonian cycle. In [1], Bang-Jensen introduced interesting classes of digraphs in terms of certain forbidden induced subgraphs that generalize both semicomplete and semicomplete bipartite digraphs. There are four different possible orientations of the $P_{4}$, see Figure 1. Consider the digraphs $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$ and $H_{4}$ of Figure 1. For
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Figure 1: Orientations of the $P_{4}$.
$i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, we say that $D$ is an orientedly $\left\{H_{i}\right\}$-free digraph if for all $H_{i}$ in $D$, the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{4}$ of $H_{i}$ are adjacent. The orientedly $\left\{H_{1}\right\}$ free digraphs (resp., orientedly $\left\{H_{2}\right\}$-free digraphs) are called arc-locally in-semicomplete digraphs (resp., arc-locally out-semicomplete digraphs), orientedly $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{3}\right\}$-free digraphs are called 3-quasi-transitive digraphs, orientedly $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{4}\right\}$-free digraphs are called 3-anti-quasi-transitive digraphs and orientedly $\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}\right\}$-free digraphs are called arc-locally semicomplete digraphs.

Several structural results for the classes defined above are known. In [2], Bang-Jensen provided a characterization for strong arc-locally semicomplete digraphs, but Galeana-Sánchez and Goldfeder in [9] and Wang and Wang in [2] independently pointed out that one family of strong arc-locally semicomplete digraphs was missing. In [15], Wang and Wang characterized strong arc-locally in-semicomplete digraphs. In [8], Galeana-Sánchez, Goldfeder and Urrutia characterized strong 3-quasi-transitive digraphs. To the best of our knowledge, no characterization for the class of 3 -anti-quasi-transitive digraphs is known. In [14], Wang defined a subclass of 3-anti-quasi-transitive digraphs. A digraph $D$ is a 3-anti-circulant digraph if for any four distinct vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in V(D)$, if $x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{2}$ and $x_{3} x_{4}$ are edges in $E(D)$, then $x_{4} x_{1}$ is in $E(D)$. Wang [14] characterized the structure of 3-anti-circulant digraphs containing a cycle factor and showed that the structure is very close to semicomplete and semicomplete bipartite digraphs. In [10], GaleanaSánchez and Goldfeder extended the Bang-Jensen results in [2] and characterized all arc-locally semicomplete digraphs, this is the only class among the ones defined previously that has a characterization for arbitrary digraphs. In this paper, we characterize the structure of arbitrary arc-locally (out)
in-semicomplete digraphs and arbitrary arc-locally semicomplete digraphs. We show that the structure of these digraphs is very similar to diperfect digraphs.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the basic concepts of digraphs and the notation used. In Section 3, we prove one of main results of this paper (Theorem 13); roughly speaking, we show that if $D$ is a connected arc-locally (out) in-semicomplete digraph, then $D$ is diperfect, or $D$ admits a special partition of its vertices, or $D$ has a clique cut. This result may be useful to approach some conjectures related to diperfect digraphs (see Section 5). In Section 4, we present the other main result (Theorem 17), we show that if $D$ is a connected arc-locally semicomplete digraph, then $D$ is either a diperfect digraph or an odd extended cycle of length at least five. Finally, in Section 5, we present the final considerations.

## 2. Notation

We consider that the reader is familiar with the basic concepts of graph theory. Thus, for details that are not present in this paper, we refer the reader to $[3,5]$.

Let $D$ be a digraph with vertex set $V(D)$ and edge set $E(D)$. Given two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $V(D)$, we say that $u$ dominates $v$, denoted by $u \rightarrow v$, if $u v \in E(D)$. We say that $u$ and $v$ are adjacent if $u \rightarrow v$ or $v \rightarrow u$. A digraph $H$ is a subdigraph of $D$ if $V(H) \subseteq V(D), E(H) \subseteq E(D)$ and every arc in $E(H)$ has both end-vertices in $V(H)$. If every edge of $E(D)$ with both end-vertices in $V(H)$ is in $E(H)$, then we say that $H$ is induced by $X=V(H)$, we write $H=D[X]$. We say that $H$ is an induced subdigraph of $D$ if there is $X \subseteq V(D)$ such that $H=D[X]$. If every pair of distinct vertices of $D$ are adjacent, we say that $D$ is a semicomplete digraph. The underlying graph of a digraph $D$, denoted by $U(D)$, is the simple graph defined by $V(U(D))=V(D)$ and $E(U(D))=\{u v: u$ and $v$ are adjacent in $D$ and deleting the parallel edges $\}$. Whenever it is appropriate, we may borrow terminology from undirected graphs to digraphs. For instance, we say that a digraph $D$ is connected if $U(D)$ is connected. The inverse digraph of $D$ is the digraph with vertex set $V(D)$ and edge set $\{u v: v u \in E(D)\}$.

A path $P$ in a digraph $D$ is a sequence of distinct vertices $P=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{k}$, such that for all $v_{i} \in V(P), v_{i} v_{i+1} \in E(D)$, with $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. We say that $P$ is a path that starts at $v_{1}$ and ends at $v_{k}$. We define the length of $P$ as $k-1$. We denote by $P_{k}$ the class of isomorphism of a path of length $k-1$. For disjoint subsets $X$ and $Y$ of $V(D)$ (or subdigraphs of $D$ ), we say that $X$ reaches $Y$ if there are $u \in X$ and $v \in Y$ such that there exists a path
from $u$ to $v$ in $D$. The distance between two vertices $u, v \in V(D)$, denoted by dist $(u, v)$, is the length of the shortest path from $u$ to $v$. We can extend the concept of distance to subsets of $V(D)$ or subdigraphs of $D$, that is, the distance from $X$ to $Y$ is dist $(X, Y)=\min \{\operatorname{dist}(u, v): u \in X$ and $v \in Y\}$.

A cycle $C$ in a digraph $D$ is a sequence of vertices $C=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{k} v_{1}$, such that $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{k}$ is a path, $v_{k} v_{1} \in E(D)$ and $k>1$. We define the length of $C$ as $k$. If $k$ is odd, then we say that $C$ is an odd cycle. We say that $D$ is an acyclic digraph if $D$ does not contain cycles. We say that $C$ is a non-oriented cycle if $C$ is not a cycle in $D$, but $U(C)$ is a cycle in $U(D)$. In particular, if a non-oriented cycle $C$ has length three, then we say that $C$ is a transitive triangle.

Let $G$ be an undirected graph. A clique in $G$ is a subset $X$ of $V(G)$ such that $G[X]$ is complete. The clique number of $G$, denoted by $\omega(G)$, is the size of maximum clique of $G$. A subset $S$ of $V(G)$ is stable if every pair of vertices in $S$ are pairwise non-adjacent. A (proper) coloring of $G$ is a partition of $V(G)$ into stable sets $\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right\}$. The chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the cardinality of a minimum coloring of $G$. A graph $G$ is perfect if for every induced subgraph $H$ of $G$, equality $\omega(H)=\chi(H)$ holds. We say that a digraph $D$ is diperfect if $U(D)$ is perfect.

Let $D$ be a connected digraph. We say that $D$ is strong if for each pair of vertices $u, v \in V(D)$, there exists a path from $u$ to $v$ in $D$. A strong component of $D$ is a maximal induced subgraph of $D$ which is strong. Let $Q$ be a strong component of $D$. We define $\mathcal{K}^{-}(Q)$ (resp., $\mathcal{K}^{+}(Q)$ ) as the set of strong components that reach (resp., are reached by) $Q$. We say that $Q$ is an initial strong component if there exists no vertex $v$ in $D-V(Q)$ such that $v$ dominates some vertex of $Q$. The vertex set $B \subset V(D)$ is a vertex cut if $D-B$ is a disconnected digraph. If $D[B]$ is a semicomplete digraph, then we say that $B$ is a clique cut. For disjoint subsets $X$ and $Y$ of $V(D)$ (or subdigraphs of $D$ ), we say that $X$ and $Y$ are adjacent if some vertex of $X$ and some vertex of $Y$ are adjacent; $X \rightarrow Y$ means that every vertex of $X$ dominates every vertex of $Y, X \Rightarrow Y$ means that there is no edge from $Y$ to $X$ and $X \mapsto Y$ means that both of $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \Rightarrow Y$ hold. When $X=\{x\}$ or $Y=\{y\}$, we simply write the element, as $x \mapsto Y$ and $X \mapsto y$.

## 3. Arc-locally in-semicomplete digraphs

In this section, we show that if a digraph $D$ is connected arc-locally (out) in-semicomplete, then $D$ is diperfect, or $D$ admits a special partition of its vertices, or $D$ has a clique cut. Recall that a digraph $D$ is arc-locally in-semicomplete if for any four distinct vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in V(D)$, if


Figure 2: Example of an extended cycle.
$x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are adjacent and $x_{4} \rightarrow x_{3}$, then $x_{1}$ and $x_{4}$ are adjacent in $D$.

Let us start with a class of digraphs which is related to arc-locally insemicomplete digraphs. Let $C$ be a cycle of length $k \geq 2$ and let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$ be disjoint stable sets. The extended cycle $C:=C\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right]$ is the digraph with vertex set $X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup \cdots \cup X_{k}$ and edge set $\left\{x_{i} x_{i+1}: x_{i} \in\right.$ $\left.X_{i}, x_{i+1} \in X_{i+1}, i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\}$, where subscripts are taken modulo $k$. So $X_{1} \mapsto X_{2} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto X_{k} \mapsto X_{1}$ (see Figure 2).

In [15], Wang and Wang characterized the structure of strong arc-locally in-semicomplete digraphs. We have omitted the definition of a $T$-digraph, because it is a family of digraphs that does not play an important role in this paper. For ease of reference, we state the following result.

Theorem 1 (Wang and Wang, 2009). Let $D$ be a strong arc-locally insemicomplete digraph, then $D$ is either a semicomplete digraph, a semicomplete bipartite digraph, an extended cycle or a T-digraph.

We start with the proof of a simple and useful lemma.
Lemma 2. If $D$ is an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph, then $D$ contains no induced non-oriented odd cycle of length at least five.

Proof. Assume that $D$ contains an induced non-oriented odd cycle $C$ of length at least five. Let $P=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{k}$ be a maximum path in $C$. Note that $P$ has at least three vertices, since $C$ is odd and has at least five vertices. Let $w$ be the vertex of $C$ distinct from $u_{k-1}$ that dominates $u_{k}$. Since $D$ is arc-locally in-semicomplete, the vertices $w$ and $u_{k-2}$ must be adjacent, a contradiction.

The next lemma states that not containing an induced odd cycle of length at least five is a necessary and sufficient condition for an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph to be diperfect. Note that if a digraph $D$ contains no induced odd cycle of length at least five, then $D$ also contains no induced odd extended cycle of the same length. For the next lemma, we need the famous Strong Perfect Graph Theorem [7].

Theorem 3 (Chudnovsky, Robertson, Seymour and Thomas, 2006). A graph $G$ is perfect if, and only if, $G$ does not contain an induced odd cycle of length at least five or its complement as an induced subgraph.

Lemma 4. Let $D$ be an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph. Then, $D$ is diperfect if and only if $D$ contains no induced odd cycle of length at least five.

Proof. Let $D$ be an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph. By Lemma 2, the digraph $D$ contains no induced non-oriented odd cycle of length at least five. Thus, it follows that every induced odd cycle of length at least five in $U(D)$ is, also, an induced odd cycle in $D$.

First, if $D$ is diperfect, then the result follows by Theorem 3. To prove sufficiency, assume that $D$ is not diperfect. Since $D$ contains no induced odd cycle of length at least five, by Theorem 3, the graph $U(D)$ contains an induced complement, denoted by $\overline{U(C)}$, of an odd cycle $U(C)$ of length at least five. By definition of complement, two vertices are adjacent in $\overline{U(C)}$ (and in $D$ ) if, and only if, they are not consecutive in $U(C)$. Since the complement of a $C_{5}$ is a $C_{5}$, we may assume that $C$ contains at least seven vertices. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}, v_{7}$ be consecutive vertices in $C$.

The remainder of the proof is divided into two cases, depending on whether $v_{2} \rightarrow v_{4}$ or $v_{4} \rightarrow v_{2}$.

Case 1. $v_{2} \rightarrow v_{4}$. If $v_{1} \rightarrow v_{6}$, then since $v_{4}$ and $v_{6}$ are adjacent, it follows that $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are adjacent, a contradiction. So, we can assume that $v_{6} \rightarrow v_{1}$. If $v_{3} \rightarrow v_{7}$, then since $v_{2} \rightarrow v_{4}$ and, $v_{4}$ and $v_{7}$ are adjacent, it follows that $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are adjacent in $D$, a contradiction. So $v_{7} \rightarrow v_{3}$. Finally, since $v_{7} \rightarrow v_{3}$, $v_{6} \rightarrow v_{1}$ and, $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ are adjacent, it follows that $v_{6}$ and $v_{7}$ are adjacent in $D$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $v_{4} \rightarrow v_{2}$. If $v_{3} \rightarrow v_{6}$, then since $v_{2}$ and $v_{6}$ are adjacent, it follows that $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ are adjacent, a contradiction. So, we have $v_{6} \rightarrow v_{3}$. If $v_{7} \rightarrow v_{5}$, since $v_{6} \rightarrow v_{3}$ and, $v_{5}$ and $v_{3}$ are adjacent, it follows that $v_{7}$ and $v_{6}$ are adjacent in $D$, a contradiction. So, we have $v_{5} \rightarrow v_{7}$. Finally, since $v_{5} \rightarrow v_{7}$, $v_{4} \rightarrow v_{2}$ and, $v_{2}$ and $v_{7}$ are adjacent, it follows that $v_{5}$ and $v_{4}$ are adjacent in $D$, a contradiction. Thus $D$ is diperfect.

Next, we prove some properties of an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph $D$, when $D$ has a strong component that induces an odd extended cycle of length at least five. To do this, we will use the following auxiliary results.

Lemma 5 (Wang and Wang, 2011). Let $D$ be an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph and let $H$ be a non-trivial strong subdigraph of $D$. For any $v \in$ $V(D)-V(H)$, if there exists a path from $v$ to $H$, then $v$ and $H$ are adjacent. In particular, if $H$ is a strong component, then $v$ dominates some vertex of $H$.

Lemma 6 (Wang and Wang, 2011). Let $D$ be an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph and let $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ be two distinct non-trivial strong components of $D$ with at least one edge from $K_{1}$ to $K_{2}$. Then either $K_{1} \mapsto K_{2}$ or $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is a bipartite digraph.

Lemma 7 (Wang and Wang, 2011). Let $D$ be an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph and let $Q$ be a non-trivial strong component of $D$. Let $v$ be a vertex of $V(D)-V(Q)$ that dominates some vertex of $Q$. If $Q$ is non-bipartite, then $v \mapsto Q$.

Recall that if $Q$ is a strong component of a digraph $D$, then $\mathcal{K}^{-}(Q)$ (resp., $\left.\mathcal{K}^{+}(Q)\right)$ is the set of strong components that reach (resp., are reached by) $Q$ in $D$.

Lemma 8. Let $D$ be a non-strong arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph. Let $Q$ be a non-initial strong component of $D$ that induces an odd extended cycle of length at least five. Let $W=\cup_{K \in \mathcal{K}^{-}(Q)} V(K)$. Then, each of the following holds:
(i) every strong component of $\mathcal{K}^{+}(Q)$ is trivial;
(ii) $W \mapsto Q$;
(iii) $D[W]$ is a semicomplete digraph;
(iv) there exists a unique initial strong component that reaches $Q$ in $D$.

Proof. Let $Q:=Q\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{2 k+1}\right]$ be a non-initial strong component that induces an odd extended cycle of length at least five of $D$.
(i) Towards a contradiction, assume that there exists a non-trivial strong component $K$ in $\mathcal{K}^{+}(Q)$. By the definition of $\mathcal{K}^{+}(Q)$, there exists a path from $Q$ to $K$. By Lemma 5 , there must be some edge from $Q$ to $K$. Note that $Q$ is a non-bipartite digraph. Thus, it follows by Lemma 6 that $Q \mapsto K$. Let $u v$ be an edge of $K$ and, let $x_{1} \in X_{1}$ and $x_{3} \in X_{3}$ be vertices of $Q$. Since $x_{1} \rightarrow u, x_{3} \rightarrow v$ and $D$ is arc-locally in-semicomplete, then $x_{1}$ and $x_{3}$ are adjacent, a contradiction to the fact that $Q$ induces an extended cycle. Therefore, every strong component of $\mathcal{K}^{+}(Q)$ is trivial.
(ii) Let $v$ be a vertex of $W$. By the definition of $\mathcal{K}^{-}(Q)$ and $W$, there exists a path from $v$ to $Q$. By Lemma 5, the vertex $v$ dominates some vertex of $Q$. Since $Q$ is a non-bipartite digraph, it follows by Lemma 7 that $v \mapsto Q$.
(iii) Towards a contradiction, assume that there are two non-adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$ in $W$. By (ii), we have that $\{u, v\} \mapsto Q$. Let $x y$ be an edge of $Q$. Since $D$ is arc-locally in-semicomplete, $u \rightarrow x$ and $v \rightarrow y$, it follows that $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, a contradiction. So, all vertices in $W$ are adjacent, and therefore, the vertex set $W$ induces a semicomplete digraph.
(iv) Towards a contradiction, assume that $D$ has two initial strong components, say $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, that reach $Q$. By (iii), $D[W]$ is a semicomplete digraph. Since $V\left(K_{1}\right) \cup V\left(K_{2}\right) \subseteq W$, the initial strong components $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ must be adjacent which is a contradiction.

For next lemma, we need the following auxiliary result.
Lemma 9 (Wang and Wang, 2011). Let $D$ be a connected non-strong arclocally in-semicomplete digraph. If there are more than one initial strong component, then all initial strong components are trivial.

Lemma 10. Let $Q$ be a strong component that induces an odd extended cycle of length at least five of an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph $D$. If $Q$ is an initial strong component of $D$, then $V(D)$ admits a partition $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$, such that $V_{1} \Rightarrow V_{2}, V_{1}=V(Q), D\left[V_{2}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph and $V_{2}$ can be empty.

Proof. Let $Q:=Q\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{2 k+1}\right]$. If $V(D)=V(Q)$, then the result follows by taking the partition $(V(Q), \emptyset)$. So we may assume that $D-V(Q)$ is nonempty. In particular, $D$ is non-strong. By Lemma $9, Q$ is the only initial strong component of $D$. Consider the set $V_{2}=\cup_{K \in \mathcal{K}^{+}(Q)} V(K)$. Note that $V(D)=V(Q) \cup V_{2}$ and $V(Q) \Rightarrow V_{2}$. Now, we show that $D\left[V_{2}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph. By Lemma 8(i), every vertex of $V_{2}$ is a trivial strong component and hence, $D\left[V_{2}\right]$ is an acyclic digraph.

Claim 1. If a vertex $u$ dominates a vertex $v$ of a transitive triangle $T$, then $u$ is adjacent to a vertex $w$ distinct from $v$ in $V(T)$ such that $D[\{u, v, w\}]$ is a transitive triangle. In particular, if $u \in V(Q)$, then $u$ dominates both $v$ and $w$, because $V(Q) \Rightarrow V_{2}$.

Let $V(T)=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$. Assume that $u \rightarrow y_{1}$. If $y_{2} \rightarrow y_{3}$ (resp., $y_{3} \rightarrow$ $y_{2}$ ), then $u$ and $y_{2}\left(r e s p ., y_{3}\right)$ are adjacent. Therefore, $D\left[\left\{u, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right]$ (resp., $\left.D\left[\left\{u, y_{1}, y_{3}\right\}\right]\right)$ is a transitive triangle, since $D\left[V_{2}\right]$ is an acyclic digraph. This proves the claim.

Claim 2. There are no index $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 2 k+1\}$, vertices $x_{i-1} \in X_{i-1}$, $x_{i} \in X_{i}$ and $y \in V_{2}$ such that $D\left[\left\{x_{i-1}, x_{i}, y\right\}\right]$ is a transitive triangle (thus, $x_{i-1} \rightarrow\left\{x_{i}, y\right\}$ and $\left.x_{i} \rightarrow y\right)$ where all subscripts are taken modulo $2 k+1$.

Let $x_{i-2} \in X_{i-2}$. Since $x_{i-2} \rightarrow x_{i-1}, x_{i} \rightarrow y$ and $x_{i-1} y$ is an edge, we conclude that $x_{i-2}$ and $x_{i}$ must be adjacent, a contradiction. This proves the claim.

By Lemma 2, it follows that $D$ (and hence, $D\left[V_{2}\right]$ ) contains no induced non-oriented odd cycle of length at least five. Therefore, it suffices to show that $D\left[V_{2}\right]$ contains no transitive triangle. Towards a contradiction, assume that $D\left[V_{2}\right]$ contains a transitive triangle. Let $T$ be a transitive triangle of $D\left[V_{2}\right]$ such that dist $(Q, T)$ is minimum. Assume that $V(T)=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$. Let $P=w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{l} w_{l+1}$ be a minimum path from $Q$ to $T$. Without loss of generality, assume that $w_{l+1}=y_{1}$. First, assume that $l>1$. By Claim 1, the digraph $D\left[\left\{w_{l}, y_{1}, y_{j}\right\}\right]$ is a transitive triangle $T^{\prime}$ for some $j \in\{2,3\}$, such that dist $\left(Q, T^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{dist}(Q, T)$ which contradicts the choice of $T$. Thus, it follows that $l=1$, that is, there is an edge from $Q$ to $T$. Let $x_{i} \in X_{i}$ be a vertex of $Q$ that dominates $y_{1}$ in $V(T)$. By Claim 1, it follows that $D\left[\left\{x_{i}, y_{1}, y_{j}\right\}\right]$ is a transitive triangle for some $j \in\{2,3\}$. Let $x_{i-1} \in X_{i-1}$. By definition of extended cycle, $x_{i-1} \rightarrow x_{i}$. If $y_{1} \rightarrow y_{j}$ (resp., $y_{j} \rightarrow y_{1}$ ), then since $x_{i} \rightarrow\left\{y_{1}, y_{j}\right\}$ and $x_{i-1} \rightarrow x_{i}$, it follows that $x_{i-1} \rightarrow y_{1}$ (resp., $x_{i-1} \rightarrow y_{j}$ ), a contradiction by Claim 2. Therefore, $D\left[V_{2}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph.

Since $Q$ is the only initial strong component of $D$ and $V(D)=V(Q) \cup V_{2}$, it follows that $V(D)$ can be partition into $\left(V(Q), V_{2}\right)$ such that $V(Q) \Rightarrow V_{2}$ and $D\left[V_{2}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph. This ends the proof.

The next lemma states that if an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph $D$ contains a non-initial strong component $Q$ that induces an odd extended cycle of length at least five, then $V(D)$ admits a similar partition to the previous lemma or a clique cut.

Lemma 11. Let $D$ be a connected non-strong arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph and let $Q$ be a non-initial strong component of $D$ that induces an odd extended cycle of length at least five. Then, $D$ has a clique cut or $V(D)$ admits a partition $\left(V_{1}, V(Q), V_{3}\right)$, such that $D\left[V_{1}\right]$ is a semicomplete digraph, $V_{1} \mapsto V(Q), V_{1} \Rightarrow V_{3}, V(Q) \Rightarrow V_{3}$ and $D\left[V_{3}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph $\left(V_{3}\right.$ could be empty).

Proof. Consider the sets $V_{1}=\cup_{K \in \mathcal{K}-(Q)} V(K)$ and $V_{3}=\cup_{K \in \mathcal{K}+(Q)} V(K)$. Note that only $V_{3}$ can be empty. By Lemma 8(iii), it follows that $D\left[V_{1}\right]$ is a semicomplete digraph. By Lemma 8(iv), there exists only one initial strong
component $K$ that dominates $Q$ in $D$. Note that $V(K) \subseteq V_{1}$. Consider the vertex set $B=V_{1} \cup V(Q) \cup V_{3}$. We split the proof in two cases, depending on whether $V(D)=B$ or not.

Case 1. $V(D)=B$. Consider the digraph $H=D-V_{1}$. Note that $V(H)=$ $V(Q) \cup V_{3}$ and $Q$ is the unique initial strong component of $H$. By Lemma 10 applied to $H$, it follows that $V(Q) \Rightarrow V_{3}$ and $D\left[V_{3}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph. By Lemma 8(ii), it follows that $V_{1} \mapsto V(Q)$. By definition of $\mathcal{K}^{-}(Q)$ and $\mathcal{K}^{+}(Q)$, we conclude that $V_{1} \Rightarrow V_{3}$. Therefore, $\left(V_{1}, V(Q), V_{3}\right)$ is the desired partition of $V(D)$.
Case 2. $B$ is a proper subset of $V(D)$. In this case, we show that $V_{1}$ is a clique cut of $D$. First, we show that there exists no vertex $v$ in $V(D)-B$ adjacent to $V(Q) \cup V_{3}$. Since $v \notin B$, vertex $v$ does not dominate and it is not dominated by any vertex in $V(Q)$, nor it is dominated by any vertex in $V_{3}$. Thus, it suffices to show that $v$ does not dominate any vertex of $V_{3}$. Towards a contradiction, assume that there exists $v \in V(D)-B$ that dominates a vertex $u$ of $V_{3}$. Choose $u$ such that dist $(Q, u)$ is minimum. Let $P=w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{l} u$ be a minimum path from $Q$ to $u$. Assume that $l>1$. Note that $w_{1} \in V(Q)$ and $w_{2}, \ldots, w_{l}, u \in V_{3}$. Since $v \rightarrow u, w_{l} \rightarrow u, w_{l-1} \rightarrow$ $w_{l}$ and $D$ is arc-locally in-semicomplete, it follows that $v \rightarrow w_{l-1}$, which contradicts the choice of $u$. Thus, we may assume that $w_{1} \rightarrow u$ and $v \rightarrow u$. Let $z$ be a vertex of $V(Q)$ that dominates $w_{1}$. Since $D$ is arc-locally insemicomplete, $w_{1} \rightarrow u, v \rightarrow u$ and $z \rightarrow w_{1}$, it follows that $z$ and $v$ are adjacent, a contradiction to the fact that $v \notin B$. Since $D$ is connected, $B$ is a proper subset of $D, D\left[V_{1}\right]$ is a semicomplete digraph and there exists no vertex in $V(D)-B$ adjacent to $V(Q) \cup V_{3}$, we conclude that $V_{1}$ is a clique cut. This finishes the proof.

For the main result of this section, we need the following auxiliary result.
Lemma 12 (Wang and Wang, 2009). Let $D$ be a strong arc-locally insemicomplete digraph. If $D$ contains an induced cycle of length at least five, then $D$ is an extended cycle.

Theorem 13. Let $D$ be a connected arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph. Then,
(i) $D$ is a diperfect digraph, or
(ii) $V(D)$ can be partitioned into $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ such that $D\left[V_{1}\right]$ is a semicomplete digraph, $V_{1} \mapsto V_{2}, V_{1} \Rightarrow V_{3}, D\left[V_{2}\right]$ is an odd extended cycle of length at least five, $V_{2} \Rightarrow V_{3}, D\left[V_{3}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph and $V_{1}$ or $V_{3}$ (or both) can be empty, or
(iii) D has a clique cut.

Proof. If $D$ contains no induced odd cycle of length at least five, then by Lemma 4 the digraph $D$ is diperfect. Thus, let $C$ be an induced odd cycle of length at least five. Let $Q$ be the strong component that contains $C$. By Lemma 12, the strong component $Q$ induces an odd extended cycle of length at least five. Now, we have two cases to deal with, depending on whether $Q$ is an initial strong component or not. First, assume that $Q$ is an initial strong component of $D$. By Lemma 10 , the set $V(D)$ admits a partition $\left(V_{1}, V(Q), V_{3}\right)$ such that $V_{1}$ is empty, $V(Q) \Rightarrow V_{3}$ and $D\left[V_{3}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph, and so (ii) holds. Now, assume that $Q$ is not an initial strong component of $D$. By Lemma 11, it follows that $D$ contains a clique cut and hence (iii) holds, or $V(D)$ can be partitioned into $\left(V_{1}, V(Q), V_{3}\right)$ such that $D\left[V_{1}\right]$ is a semicomplete digraph, $V_{1} \mapsto V(Q), V_{1} \Rightarrow V_{3}, V(Q) \Rightarrow V_{3}$ and $D\left[V_{3}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph and hence (ii) holds. This ends the proof.

Note that the inverse of an arc-locally in-semicomplete digraph is an arc-locally out-semicomplete digraph. Thus we have the following result.

Theorem 14. Let $D$ be a connected arc-locally out-semicomplete digraph. Then,
(i) $D$ is a diperfect digraph, or
(ii) $V(D)$ can be partitioned into $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}\right)$ such that $D\left[V_{1}\right]$ is a semicomplete digraph, $V_{2} \mapsto V_{1}, V_{3} \Rightarrow V_{1}, D\left[V_{2}\right]$ is an odd extended cycle of length at least five, $V_{3} \Rightarrow V_{2}, D\left[V_{3}\right]$ is a bipartite digraph and $V_{1}$ or $V_{3}$ (or both) can be empty, or
(iii) D has a clique cut.

## 4. Arc-locally semicomplete digraphs

In this section, we show that if a digraph $D$ is connected arc-locally semicomplete, then $D$ is either a diperfect digraph or an odd extended cycle of length at least five. Recall that a digraph $D$ is arc-locally semicomplete if $D$ is both arc-locally in-semicomplete and arc-locally out-semicomplete. In [10], Galeana-Sánchez and Goldfeder presented a characterization of arbitrary connected arc-locally semicomplete digraphs. In order to present the result obtained by Galeana-Sánchez and Goldfeder, we need some definitions.

Let $D$ be a digraph with $V(D)=\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and let $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n}$ be digraphs indexed by $V(D)$. The composition $D\left[H_{0}, \ldots, H_{n}\right]$ is the digraph $H$ with vertex set $V(H)=\cup_{i=0}^{n} V\left(H_{i}\right)$ and, $E(H)=\cup_{i=0}^{n} E\left(H_{i}\right) \cup\{u v: u \in$ $V\left(H_{i}\right), v \in V\left(H_{j}\right)$ and $\left.v_{i} v_{j} \in E(D)\right\}$. If each $V\left(H_{i}\right)$ is a stable set, then we
call $H$ an extension of $D$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two disjoint subsets of vertices of $D$. If $\mathcal{P}=\left(V_{0}, \ldots, V_{k-1}\right)$ is a fixed ordered $k$-partition of $V(D)$, we say that $X \mathcal{P}$-dominates $Y$ according to the ordered $k$-partition $\mathcal{P}, X \rightarrow^{\mathcal{P}} Y$, if for each vertex $u$ in $X \cap V_{k}$ and each vertex $v$ in $Y \cap V_{l}$, we have $u \rightarrow v$ whenever $k \neq l$ and there is no edge from $Y$ to $X$. Let $D_{0}, \ldots, D_{n}$ be $k$ partite digraphs with fixed ordered $k$-partitions $\mathcal{P}\left(D_{i}\right)=\left(V_{0}^{i}, \ldots, V_{k-1}^{i}\right)$, the $\mathcal{P}$-composition according to the ordered $k$-partition $\mathcal{P}=\left(\cup_{i=0}^{n}\left(V_{0}^{i} \times\{i\}\right)=\right.$ $\left.V_{0}, \ldots, \cup_{i=0}^{n}\left(V_{k-1}^{i} \times\{i\}\right)=V_{k-1}\right)$, denoted by $D\left[D_{0}, \ldots, D_{n}\right]^{\mathcal{P}}$, is the digraph $H$ with vertex set $V(H)=\cup_{i=0}^{k-1} V_{i}$ and, for $(w, i),(z, j)$ in $V(H)$, the edge $(w, i) \rightarrow(z, j)$ is in $E(H)$ if $i=j$ and $w \rightarrow z$ in $D_{i}$ or $w \in V_{k}^{i}, z \in V_{l}^{j}$ with $k \neq l$ and $v_{i} v_{j}$ in $E(D)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}$ denotes the digraph with vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ and edge set $\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{2} v_{3}, v_{3} v_{1}\right\}, T T_{3}$ denotes the digraph with vertex set $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ and edge set $\left\{u_{1} u_{2}, u_{2} u_{3}, u_{1} u_{3}\right\}$ and we denote by $E_{m}$ the digraph with $m$ vertices and no edges.

Theorem 15 (Galeana-Sánchez and Goldfeder, 2016). Let $D$ be a connected digraph. Then, $D$ is an arc-locally semicomplete digraph if and only if $D$ is one of the following:
(i) a digraph with at most three vertices,
(ii) a subdigraph of an extension of one edge,
(iii) a semicomplete bipartite subdigraph of $\overrightarrow{P_{2}}\left[E_{m_{0}}, \overrightarrow{C_{2}}\left[E_{m_{1}}, E_{m_{2}}\right], E_{m_{3}}\right]^{\mathcal{P}}$, $m_{1}=1$ and if $m_{2}>1$, then the partition is $\mathcal{P}=\left(E_{m_{1}}, E_{m_{0}} \cup E_{m_{2}} \cup\right.$ $E_{m_{3}}$ ),
(iv) $\mathcal{C}_{3}^{*}\left[E_{1}, E_{n}, E_{1}\right]$,
(v) $T T_{3}\left[E_{1}, E_{n}, E_{1}\right]$,
(vi) an extension of a directed path or an extension of a directed cycle,
(vii) $\overrightarrow{P_{2}}\left[E_{m_{0}}, D^{\prime}, E_{m_{2}}\right]^{\mathcal{P}} \leq D \leq T T_{3}\left[E_{m_{0}}, D^{\prime}, E_{m_{2}}\right]^{\mathcal{P}}$, where $D^{\prime}$ is a semicomplete bipartite digraph (it could have no edges),
(viii) $\overrightarrow{P_{2}}\left[E_{1}, D^{\prime}, E_{1}\right]$, where $D^{\prime}$ is a semicomplete digraph,
(ix) a semicomplete bipartite digraph, or
(x) a semicomplete digraph.

In this paper, we present another characterization for this class. We show that a connected arc-locally semicomplete digraph is either diperfect or an odd extended cycle of length at least five. Note that the inverse of an arclocally semicomplete digraph is also an arc-locally semicomplete digraph. This principle of directional duality is very useful to fix an orientation for a given path or edge in a proof. Besides, every result valid for arc-locally in-semicomplete digraphs, also holds for arc-locally semicomplete digraphs, because they form a subclass of the former one.

The next lemma states if a connected arc-locally semicomplete digraph $D$ contains an induced odd extended cycle $Q$ of length at least five, then $V(D)=V(Q)$.

Lemma 16. Let $D$ be a connected arc-locally semicomplete digraph. If $D$ contains a strong component $Q$ that induces an odd extended cycle of length of at least five, then $V(D)=V(Q)$.

Proof. Let $Q:=Q\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{2 k+1}\right]$ be a strong component $Q$ that induces an odd extended cycle of length at least five. We show that $V(Q)=V(D)$. Assume, without loss of generality, that there exists a vertex $u \in V(D)-$ $V(Q)$ such that $u$ dominates some vertex of $Q$. Since $Q$ is a non-bipartite digraph, it follows by Lemma 7 that $u \mapsto Q$. Consider vertices $x_{1} \in X_{1}$, $x_{2} \in X_{2}$ and $x_{3} \in X_{3}$. Since $u \rightarrow\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, x_{2} \rightarrow x_{3}$ and $D$ is arc-locally semicomplete, it follows that $x_{1}$ and $x_{3}$ are adjacent, a contradiction to the fact that $Q$ induces an extended cycle. Since $D$ is connected, then $V(D)=$ $V(Q)$.

Now, we are ready for the main result of this section.
Theorem 17. Let $D$ be a connected arc-locally semicomplete digraph. Then, $D$ is either a diperfect digraph or an odd extended cycle of length at least five.

Proof. Let $D$ be a connected arc-locally semicomplete digraph. If $D$ contains no induced odd cycle of length at least five, then by Lemma 4 the digraph $D$ is diperfect. Thus, let $C$ be an induced odd cycle of length at least five. Let $Q$ be the strong component that contains $C$. By Lemma 12, the strong component $Q$ induces an odd extended cycle of length of at least five. Then, by Lemma 16 we conclude that $V(D)=V(Q)$.

## 5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we characterize the structure of arbitrary arc-locally (in-) semicomplete digraphs. This characterization may be useful in verifying conjectures for this class of digraphs. In particular, our initial motivation was to use this result to approach Berge's conjecture [4] which we define next.

Let $D$ be a digraph. A collection of disjoint paths $\mathcal{P}$ of $D$ is a path partition of $V(D)$, if every vertex in $V(D)$ is on a path of $\mathcal{P}$. We say that a stable set $S$ and a path partition $\mathcal{P}$ are orthogonal if each path of $P$ contains exactly one vertex of $S$. A digraph $D$ satisfies the $\alpha$-property if for every maximum stable set $S$ of $D$, there exists a path partition $\mathcal{P}$ such that $S$ and
$\mathcal{P}$ are orthogonal. A digraph $D$ is $\alpha$-diperfect if every induced subdigraph of $D$ satisfies the $\alpha$-property.

In 1982, Claude Berge [4] proposed a characterization of $\alpha$-diperfect digraphs in terms of forbidden anti-directed odd cycles. Moreover, Berge showed that diperfect digraphs are $\alpha$-diperfect digraphs. In [12, 13], Sambinelli, Silva and Lee proved that a minimum counterexample to Berge's conjecture has no clique cut. We believe that the results presented in this paper should help towards obtaining a proof of Berge's conjecture for arclocally in-semicomplete digraphs.
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