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#### Abstract

We prove that if there exists a $c_{1}$-preserving graded ring isomorphism between integral cohomology rings of two Fano Bott manifolds, then they are isomorphic as toric varieties. As a consequence, we give an affirmative answer to McDuff's question on the uniqueness of a toric structure on a Fano Bott manifold.


## 1. Introduction

To each symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$, one can associate the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism group $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$. It is a normal subgroup of the symplectomorphism $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Symp}(M, \omega)$ and governs all possible Hamiltonian Lie group actions on $(M, \omega)$. The group $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$ is infinite dimensional and non-compact in general, and it might possess more than one maximal torus with distinct conjugacy classes. It was proved by Karshon-KesslerPinsonnault KKP07] and Pinsonnault Pin08] that the number of conjugacy classes of maximal tori in $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$, allowing conjugations by elements of $\operatorname{Symp}(M, \omega)$, is finite in dimension four. Also, McDuff McD11, Proposition 3.1] proved that the number of conjugacy classes of maximal tori of dimension $\frac{\operatorname{dim} M}{2}$ (called toric actions) is finite in any dimension.

Recall that a symplectic form $\omega$ is called monotone if $c_{1}(M):=$ $c_{1}(T M, J)=\lambda \cdot[\omega]$ for some $\lambda>0$ and an $\omega$-compatible almost complex structure $J$ on $M$. Throughout this paper, we always assume that $\lambda=1$ unless stated otherwise. In this paper, we consider the following question posed by McDuff (McD11].

Question 1.1 (McDuff). [McD11, Question 1.11] Let $(M, \omega)$ be a $2 n$ dimensional closed monotone symplectic manifold. If $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are $n$-tori in $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$, are $\left(M, \omega, T_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M, \omega, T_{2}\right)$ equivariantly symplectomorphic? Equivalently, is the number of symplectic conjugacy classes of $n$-tori in $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$ precisely one?

Note that Question 1.1 is the negation of the original question McD11, Question 1.11] as we believe the answer to Question 1.1 would be true. (See Conjecture 1.4.) We also note that one can $\operatorname{replace} \operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$ by $\operatorname{Symp}(M, \omega)$ in Question 1.1 since $M$ is a closed symplectic toric manifold, which in particular implies that any symplectic torus action is Hamiltonian so that any connected subgroup of $\operatorname{Symp}(M, \omega)$ is indeed in $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$.

Due to Delzant's theorem [Del88, any closed symplectic toric manifold is equivariantly symplectomorphic to a smooth projective toric variety equipped with a torus invariant Kähler form. When a symplectic form is monotone, it is equivariantly symplectomorphic to a smooth Fano toric variety by Kleiman's ampleness criterion [Kle66, Theorem 1 in Section III-1] and its moment polytope becomes a reflexiv $\ell^{11}$ polytope.

In the algebro-geometric aspects, Question 1.1 asks whether a smooth Fano toric variety has a unique toric structure up to isomorphism. McDuff [McD11] gave an affirmative answer to Question 1.1 when $M=\mathbb{C} P^{k} \times \mathbb{C} P^{m}$, and Fanoe Fan14 generalized McDuff's result to the case of $\mathbb{C} P^{k}$-bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{m}$. To the best of the authors' knowledge, Question 1.1 is still open.

This paper addresses Question 1.1 in case that $M$ is a Bott manifold. A Bott tower, first introduced by Grossberg and Karshon [GK94], is an iterated $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-bundle starting with a point

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n}} \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1}=\mathbb{C} P^{1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} \mathcal{B}_{0}=\{\text { a point }\}
$$

where each $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ is obtained by projectivizing the direct sum of the trivial line bundle $\mathbb{C}$ and a complex line bundle $\xi_{i}$ over $\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{i}=P\left(\mathbb{C} \oplus \xi_{i}\right)$. The total space $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is called a Bott manifold.

We may equip a Bott manifold with a natural complex structure by taking each $\xi_{i}$ as a holomorphic line bundle so that $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ becomes a complex manifold with a natural $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-action constructed in an iterative way using a toric structure of a base space and a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on a fiber at each stage, see [Oda78, Section I-7.6']. Indeed, $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is a smooth projective toric variety.

Any Bott manifold $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ can be characterized by an $n \times n$ lower triangular integer matrix called a Bott matrix. Roughly speaking, a Bott manifold $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is a smooth projective toric variety, where the corresponding fan is combinatorially equivalent to the normal fan of the $n$-cube. After fixing one reference maximal cone of the fan and making it into the "first quadrant" via some basis change by multiplying a suitable element of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, we obtain residual $n$ (column) vectors which form a Bott matrix. Note that a Bott matrix

[^0]presentation of a Bott manifold is not unique and it depends on the choice of a reference cone and an element of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. In Section 3, we illustrate some operations (temporarily denoted by Op. 1 and Op. 2 in this paper) on the set of Bott matrices and describe cohomology ring isomorphisms induced by the operations that will be crucially used in the proof of our main theorem.

Not all Bott manifolds are Fano. For instance, there are only two Fano Bott manifolds in dimension four: $\mathbb{C} P^{1} \times \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ and a Hirzebruch surface $P(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$. Recently, Suyama Suy19 classified all Fano Bott manifolds in terms of Bott matrices, see Section 2 for details. Now we state our main theorem which says that every Fano Bott manifold is characterized (as a toric variety) by its integral cohomology ring and the first Chern class.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.2). Let $X$ and $Y$ be Fano Bott manifolds. If there exists a $c_{1}$-preserving graded ring isomorphism

$$
\varphi: H^{*}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*}(Y ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

then $X$ and $Y$ are isomorphi造 as toric varieties, i.e., the fans associated with $X$ and $Y$ are unimodularly equivalent.

We call a monotone symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ a monotone Bott manifold if $M$ is diffeomorphic to a Bott manifold. Using Theorem 1.2, we obtain a positive answer to Question 1.1.

Corollary 1.3. Any monotone Bott manifold has a unique toric structure.

Proof. Suppose that $(M, \omega)$ is a $2 n$-dimensional monotone Bott manifold and $T_{1}, T_{2}$ are $n$-tori in $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)$. From Delzant's theorem Del88, each $T_{i}$-action makes $M$ into a toric Fano variety (which we denote by $X_{i}$ ) with $T_{i}$-invariant complex structure $J_{i}$ on $M$. Note that each $J_{i}$ can be chosen to be $\omega$-compatible so that $c_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)=c_{1}\left(X_{2}\right)=[\omega] \in H^{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$.

On the other hand, it follows from MP08, Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 5.5] that any smooth projective toric variety whose integral cohomology ring is isomorphic to that of a Bott manifold is in fact isomorphic to a Bott manifold as a toric variety. (See Remark 2.3 for details.) Thus we may

[^1]assume that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are Fano Bott manifolds. Then the identity map $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ induces a graded ring isomorphism
$$
H^{*}\left(X_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(X_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right), \quad c_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)=[\omega] \mapsto[\omega]=c_{1}\left(X_{2}\right) .
$$

Thus the result follows from Theorem 1.2.
It is worth mentioning a relation between Theorem 1.2 and a problem posed by the third author and Suh [MS08, Problems 1 and 4] which asks whether two smooth complete toric varieties having isomorphic cohomology rings (as graded rings) are diffeomorphic or not. This problem is now called the cohomological rigidity for toric varieties. There are many partial affirmative answers to the problem. For instance, two smooth complete toric varieties with Picard number 2 are diffeomorphic if and only if their integral cohomology rings are isomorphic as graded rings, see CMS10. We also refer the reader to [CMS11, BEM $^{+}$17] and references therein for recent accounts of this problem.

Inspired by Theorem 1.2, we pose the following conjecture.
Conjecture 1.4. Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are smooth toric Fano varieties. If there exists a $c_{1}$-preserving graded ring isomorphism between their integral cohomology rings, then $X$ and $Y$ are isomorphic as toric varieties.

Conjecture 1.4 was verified for some other classes of smooth toric Fano varieties. Indeed, the authors confirmed Conjecture 1.4 for smooth toric Fano varieties with Picard number 2, whose proof will be provided in an upcoming manuscript [CLMP23]. Also the third author together with Higashitani and Kurimoto HKM22] proved Conjecture 1.4 for smooth toric Fano varieties with small dimension $\left(\operatorname{dim} X_{\mathbb{C}} \leq 4\right)$ or with large Picard number.)

Note that if Conjecture 1.4 is true, then the answer to Question 1.1 is positive. More precisely, if ( $M, \omega, T_{1}$ ) and $\left(M, \omega, T_{2}\right)$ are two toric structures over the same monotone symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$, then the identity map on $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies the hypothesis in Conjecture 1.4. Therefore, Conjecture 1.4 can be thought of as a stronger version of Question 1.1.

We also note that we can count the number of isomorphism classes (as verieties) of Fano Bott manifolds, that agrees with the number of rooted triangular cacti. The number goes to infinity as the dimension approaches infinity. On the other hand, the number of isomorphism classes of smooth toric Fano varieties is explicitly counted up to some dimension by Obro and we may give a table of these numbers to compare them up to some dimension. See [CLMP21].

As a final remark, we would like to mention a recent work of Pabiniak and Tolman. In PT20], they considered the following question which they called symplectic cohomological rigidity.

Question 1.5. [PT20, p.3] Let $\left(M_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)$ be symplectic toric manifolds. If there exists a graded ring isomorphism between their integral cohomology rings sending $\left[\omega_{1}\right]$ to $\left[\omega_{2}\right]$, are $\left(M_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)$ symplectomorphic?

They also gave a positive answer to Question 1.5 under the assumptions that $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are rational symplectic forms and that $H^{*}\left(M_{1} ; \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong$ $H^{*}\left(M_{2} ; \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C} P^{1} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we explain the notion of Bott manifolds and also discuss their cohomological properties. In Section 3, we introduce two operations Op. 1 and Op. 2 on Bott matrices and prove that any two Bott matrices which represent isomorphic Bott manifolds are obtained by applying those operations repeatedly. In Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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## 2. Bott manifolds

We begin by recalling the definition of Bott towers and Bott manifolds.

Definition 2.1. GK94, §2.1] A Bott tower $\mathcal{B}$ • is an iterated $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-bundle starting with a point:

where each $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ is the complex projectivization of the Whitney sum of a holomorphic line bundle $\xi_{i}$ and the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$. The total space $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is called a Bott manifold.

Let $\gamma_{j}$ be the tautological line bundle over $\mathcal{B}_{j}$ and $\gamma_{i, j}$ the pullback of $\gamma_{j}$ by the projection $\pi_{i} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{j+1}: \mathcal{B}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{j}$ for $i>j$. We also define $\gamma_{j, j}:=\gamma_{j}$ for convenience. The Picard group of $\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$ is isomorphic to the free abelian group of rank $i-1$, and is generated by the line bundles $\gamma_{i-1, j}$ for $1 \leq j<i$ by Har77, Exercise II.7.9]. Therefore, for each $i=2, \ldots, n$, there exist $a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq j<i$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}=\bigotimes_{1 \leq j<i} \gamma_{i-1, j}^{\otimes a_{i, j}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the set of integers $\left\{a_{i, j}\right\}_{1 \leq j<i \leq n}$ determines a Bott manifold.
Each projection $\pi_{i}: \mathcal{B}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{i-1}$ admits a section induced from the zero section of $\mathbb{C} \oplus \xi_{i}$. This implies that

$$
\pi_{i}^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}_{i-1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}_{i} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

is an injective ring homomorphism. By abuse of notation, we continue to write $x_{j} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{i-1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for the first Chern class of the dual of $\gamma_{i-1, j}$ for each $i>j$. From 2.2), we obtain

$$
c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i, j} x_{j} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{i-1}\right)
$$

On the other hand, a Bott manifold $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is a smooth projective toric variety by the construction (cf. GK94] and [Oda78, Section I-7.6']). If $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is obtained from $\left\{a_{i, j}\right\}_{1 \leq j<i \leq n}$, then it is known from [Civ05, §3] that its fan


Figure 1: The fan of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$.
has $2 n$ rays and their generators are column vectors of the following matrix

$$
\left.(E \mid A):=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & & & & & -1 & & &  \tag{2.3}\\
\\
& 1 & & & & a_{2,1} & -1 & & \\
& & 1 & & & a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & -1 & \\
& & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & & & 1 & a_{n, 1} & a_{n, 2} & \cdots & a_{n, n-1}
\end{array}\right]-1\right]
$$

where $E$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. We call an integer matrix of the form $A$ in (2.3) a Bott matrix. For a given Bott matrix $A$, we denote by
$\mathbf{e}_{j}:=j$-th standard basis vector, $\mathbf{v}_{j}:=j$-th column vector of $A$.
The fan $\Sigma_{A}$ of the Bott manifold $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ has $2^{n}$ maximal cones $\Sigma(n)=\left\{\sigma_{I} \mid I \subset\right.$ $[n]\}$, where $[n]:=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\left\{\mathbf{e}_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \cup\left\{\mathbf{v}_{j} \mid j \in I^{c}\right\}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.2. Let $n=2$. Then the Bott manifold determined by $\left\{a_{2,1}\right\}$ is a Hirzebruch surface $\mathcal{H}_{a_{2,1}}:=P\left(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(-a_{2,1}\right)\right)$. There are four maximal cones:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlr}
\sigma_{\emptyset} & =\operatorname{Cone}\left\{\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}\right\}, & \sigma_{\{1\}} & =\operatorname{Cone}\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}\right\}, \\
\sigma_{\{2\}} & =\operatorname{Cone}\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{1}\right\}, & \sigma_{\{1,2\}} & =\operatorname{Cone}\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

We present the fan of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ in Figure 1.
Let $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ be the set of all Bott matrices of size $n \times n$, i.e., the set of all $n \times n$ lower triangular integer matrices with -1 's on the main diagonal as in (2.3). Since a Bott matrix $A$ determines the fan $\Sigma_{A}$ of a Bott manifold, we denote the corresponding Bott manifold by $\mathcal{B}(A)$. Note that it happens
that $\mathcal{B}(A)$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic as toric varieties even if $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are different.

### 2.1. Cohomology rings

By the Borel-Hirzebruch formula BH58, the integral cohomology ring of a Bott manifold $\mathcal{B}(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$ is described by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left\langle x_{i}^{2}+c_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right) x_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\rangle \\
& \quad \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left\langle x_{i}^{2}-\left(a_{i, 1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{i, i-1} x_{i-1}\right) x_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\rangle  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left\langle x_{i}^{2}-\alpha_{i} x_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $x_{i}$ is the first Chern class of the dual of $\gamma_{n, i}$, and we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}:=a_{i, 1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{i, i-1} x_{i-1} \in H^{2}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Z}) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are of degree two and they generate the cohomology ring $H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 2.3. For a given smooth projective toric variety $M$, if its integral cohomology ring is isomorphic to that of a certain Bott manifold $\mathcal{B}$ as graded rings, then the fan of $M$ is combinatorially equivalent to that of $\mathcal{B}$, i.e., it is combinatorially equivalent to the normal fan of the $n$-cube (see MP08, Theorem 5.5]). Moreover, such a toric variety $M$ is again a Bott manifold by MP08, Corollary 3.5]. Accordingly, any smooth projective toric variety whose integral cohomology ring is isomorphic to that of a Bott manifold is isomorphic to a Bott manifold.

When working with rational coefficients, we often use the following notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}:=x_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i} \in H^{2}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Q}) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the $y_{i}$ 's may not be integral classes but they generate $H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Q})$ as a ring.

Recall from [Oda88, Theorem 3.12] that the total Chern class of a Bott manifold $\mathcal{B}(A)$ is given by

$$
c(\mathcal{B}(A))=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+x_{i}\right)\left(1+x_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+2 x_{i}-\alpha_{i}\right)
$$

Substituting (2.7) in the above, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\mathcal{B}(A))=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+2 y_{i}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(\mathcal{B}(A))=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{n}(\mathcal{B}(A))=2^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.4. Note that the cohomology ring description in (2.5) can be obtained from the Danilov-Jurkiewicz theorem, see [BP15, Theorem 5.3.1]. It follows that the even Betti number $b_{2 i}(\mathcal{B}(A))$ is $\binom{n}{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by degree two elements $\left\{x_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$, we obtain the following.

1) Since $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are linearly independent (over $\mathbb{Z}$ ), so are $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ (over $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
2) The set $\left\{x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}} \mid 1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n\right\} \quad$ is a $\quad \mathbb{Z}$-basis of $H^{2 k}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Z})$.
3) $\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$ is the orientation class of $\mathcal{B}(A)$. Indeed, since the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{B}(A)$ is $2^{n}$ and it agrees with $c_{n}(\mathcal{B}(A))$ evaluated on the fundamental class of $\mathcal{B}(A), \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$ evaluated on the fundamental class is 1 .

In terms of $y_{i}$ 's, we can obtain a simple description of (any) isomorphisms of $H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Q})$ as follows. In the following, we denote $x_{i}, y_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ in $H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A))$ by $x_{i}^{A}, y_{i}^{A}$ and $\alpha_{i}^{A}$, respectively.

Proposition 2.5. [CMM15, Proposition 4.1] For $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{n}$, if we have a graded ring isomorphism

$$
\varphi: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}\left(A^{\prime}\right) ; \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

then there are nonzero $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and a permutation $\sigma$ on $[n]$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(y_{i}^{A}\right)=q_{i} y_{\sigma(i)}^{A^{\prime}} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that there is a $c_{1}$-preserving graded cohomology ring isomorphism between two Bott manifolds. Then all $q_{i}$ 's in Proposition 2.5 are equal to 1. Moreover, it preserves their total Chern classes and hence all the Chern numbers of the two Bott manifolds are the same.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ be Bott manifolds determined by Bott matrices $A$ and $A^{\prime}$, respectively. Let $\varphi$ be a $c_{1}$-preserving graded cohomology ring isomorphism between $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$. By (2.9), we have

$$
\varphi\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{A}\right)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{A^{\prime}}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that

$$
\varphi\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{A}\right)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} y_{\sigma(i)}^{A^{\prime}}
$$

Comparing these two identities, we obtain

$$
2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{A^{\prime}}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} y_{\sigma(i)}^{A^{\prime}} .
$$

Here, $y_{1}^{A^{\prime}}, \ldots, y_{n}^{A^{\prime}}$ are linearly independent, so we conclude $q_{i}=1$ for any $i$. This together with (2.8) shows that $\varphi$ preserves their total Chern classes, proving the former part of the theorem.

The latter part of the theorem follows from the former part and the fact that $\varphi$ preserves the orientation classes $\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{A}$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{A^{\prime}}$ (as well as top Chern classes).

Remark 2.7. We note the following.

1) Not every graded cohomology ring isomorphism between Bott manifolds is $c_{1}$-preserving. For instance, one can find such an isomorphism for Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$. See Example 2.8.
2) Recall that two Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathcal{H}_{a}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{b}$ are isomorphic if and only $|a|=|b|$. However, for any integers $a$ and $b$ with the same parity, there is a $c_{1}$-preserving graded cohomology ring isomorphism between Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathcal{H}_{a}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{b}$. Therefore, the existence of such a cohomology ring isomorphism does not imply that two varieties are isomorphic. We would need to restrict our attention to Fano Bott manifolds to conclude a variety isomorphism. See Example 2.8 and Remark 4.3.

Example 2.8. It follows from (2.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) & =\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] /\left\langle x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \\
H^{*}\left(\mathcal{H}_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) & =\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right] /\left\langle\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}-2 x_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ is Fano with $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)=2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ is not with $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)=$ $2 x_{2}^{\prime}$. The map $\varphi: H^{*}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$ given by $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime}-$ $x_{2}^{\prime}$ is a graded ring isomorphism which does not preserve the first Chern class. On the other hand, the map $\varphi^{\prime}$ defined by $\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right):=x_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right):=$ $x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{1}^{\prime}$ is a $c_{1}$-preserving isomorphism from $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ to $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$. (Note that $\left.\varphi^{\prime}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)\right)=\varphi^{\prime}\left(2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}\right)=2 x_{2}^{\prime}=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right).\right)$

### 2.2. Fano Bott manifolds

In this subsection, we recall a description of Fano Bott manifolds from Suy19.

Theorem 2.9. Suy19, Theorem 8] A Bott manifold $\mathcal{B}(A)$ is Fano if and only if each column of $A+E$ has values in $\{-1,0,1\}$ and it satisfies one of the following:

1) all entries are zero,
2) there is at most one 1 and every other entry below the 1 vanishes (if there is 1 on the column),
3) if there is -1 at the $i$-th row, then the entries below the -1 coincide with the entries on the $i$-th column below the diagonal $a_{i i}=-1$.

Example 2.10. Consider the following Bott matrices.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right], & A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right], \\
A_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right], & A_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

One can easily check that only $A_{4}$ satisfies all the conditions in Theorem 2.9 and so $\mathcal{B}\left(A_{4}\right)$ is the only Fano Bott manifold among $\mathcal{B}\left(A_{k}\right)$ 's for $1 \leq k \leq 4$. Indeed, $A_{4}$ is (10) on the list of Fano threefolds in the book of Oda Oda88, Figure 2.6] and (12) on the list of 'Smooth toric Fano varieties' Obr07] in the Graded Ring Database [BK].

## 3. Operations on Bott matrices

For two Bott matrices $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{n}$, we say that $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are isomorphic if $\mathcal{B}(A)$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic as toric varieties. Equivalently, $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are isomorphic if the corresponding fans $\Sigma_{A}$ and $\Sigma_{A^{\prime}}$ are unimodularly equivalent, i.e., there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map in $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ which sends a maximal cone in $\Sigma_{A}$ to a maximal cone in $\Sigma_{A^{\prime}}$. For a given Bott matrix $A$, there are two natural ways of producing (possibly new) isomorphic Bott matrices as follows.

For $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$ and $I \subset[n]$, we consider the $n \times n$ lower triangular matrix $L_{I}$ whose $j$-th column $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ is defined by

$$
\mathbf{c}_{j}:= \begin{cases}\mathbf{e}_{j} & \text { if } j \in I \\ \mathbf{v}_{j} & \text { if } j \in I^{c}\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{j}$ is the $j$-th column of $A$.
Proposition 3.1. For $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$ and $I \subset[n]$, the matrix

$$
A_{I}:=L_{I}^{-1} \cdot L_{I^{c}}
$$

is also a Bott matrix. Moreover, $A$ and $A_{I}$ are isomorphic. We denote the operation $A \mapsto A_{I}$ by "Op.1".

Proof. Observe that $A_{I}$ is a lower triangular integer matrix and

$$
\left(A_{I}\right)_{i i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(L_{I}^{-1}\right)_{i j}\left(L_{I^{c}}\right)_{j i}=\left(L_{I}^{-1}\right)_{i i}\left(L_{I^{c}}\right)_{i i}=-1
$$

since $\left(L_{I}^{-1}\right)_{i j}=0$ for $j>i$ and $\left(L_{I^{c}}\right)_{j i}=0$ for $i>j$. Thus the first claim easily follows.

For the latter statement, consider a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map given by $L_{I}^{-1} \in$ $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then it induces a map

$$
\Sigma_{A} \rightarrow \Sigma_{A_{I}}
$$

which sends each maximal cone $\sigma_{J} \in \Sigma_{A}$ to $L_{I}^{-1} \sigma_{J} \in \Sigma_{A_{I}}$ for each $J \subset[n]$ (in particular $\sigma_{I}$ to the first quadrant). Therefore, two fans $\Sigma_{A}$ and $\Sigma_{A_{I}}$ are unimodularly equivalent.

Remark 3.2. The column vectors of $L_{I}$ are the ray generators of the maximal cone $\sigma_{I}$ in (2.4). Therefore, the operation Op. 1 is nothing but a procedure of selecting a reference cone $\sigma_{I}$ and sending it to the first quadrant by $L_{I}^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Accordingly, if we take a Bott matrix $A$ which defines a Fano Bott manifold, all the matrices $A_{I}$ obtained by the operation Op. 1 define Fano Bott manifolds because being Fano is an intrinsic property of a toric variety.

Depending on $A$, it could happen that a reordering (by some permutation $\pi \in S_{n}$ ) of the standard basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right\}$ changes $A$ into another Bott matrix (denoted by $A_{\pi}$ ). Equivalently, $A_{\pi}=P_{\pi} A P_{\pi}^{-1}$, where $P_{\pi}$ is the row permutation matrix of $\pi$, that is, $P_{\pi}$ has 1 on $(i, \pi(i))$-entry for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and all the other entries are zero. We call the operation $A \mapsto A_{\pi}$ "Op.2" when $A_{\pi}$ is still a Bott matrix. It is straightforward that $A$ and $A_{\pi}$ are isomorphic since $\Sigma_{A}$ and $\Sigma_{A_{\pi}}$ are the same up to reordering coordinates.
Example 3.3. Consider $A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$.

1) (Op.1) For $I=\{1\} \subset[3]$, we have
$L_{I}=L_{I}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right], L_{I^{c}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right], \quad A_{I}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$.
2) ( Op.2) For $\pi=(2,3)$, we have

$$
A_{(2,3)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The following proposition tells us that for a given Bott matrix $A$, all Bott matrices isomorphic to $A$ are produced by applying Op. 1 and Op. 2 to $A$.

Proposition 3.4. Two Bott matrices are isomorphic if and only if one is obtained from the other by applying the two operations Op. 1 and Op.2.

Proof. The "if" part is straightforward. Thus we only need to prove the "only if" part.

Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are isomorphic Bott matrices, i.e., there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map $C \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ sending maximal cones in $\Sigma_{A}$ to maximal
cones in $\Sigma_{B}$. The $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map $C$ sends $\sigma_{[n]}^{A} \in \Sigma_{A}$ to $\sigma_{I}^{B} \in \Sigma_{B}$ for some $I \subset[n]$. (We denote by $\sigma_{I}^{A}$ the maximal cone in $\Sigma_{A}$ given by $I \subset[n]$.) Since $B$ and $B_{I}:=\left(L_{I}^{B}\right)^{-1} \cdot L_{I^{c}}^{B}$ are isomorphic, we may think of $\left(L_{I}^{B}\right)^{-1} \cdot C$ as a $\mathbb{Z}^{-}$ linear map which sends $\sigma_{[n]}^{A} \in \Sigma_{A}$ to $\sigma_{[n]}^{B_{I}} \in \Sigma_{B_{I}}$. In other words, $\left(L_{I}^{B}\right)^{-1} \cdot C$ is a reordering of the standard basis and hence it corresponds to Op.2. Therefore, $B_{I}$ is obtained from $A$ by Op.2. Accordingly, $B$ is given by $A$ applying Op. 2 and Op. 1 in order and this completes the proof.

Note that the rational cohomology class $y_{i}^{A}$ given in (2.7) depends on the Bott matrix $A$. In the rest of the section, we will show that if $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are isomorphic, then there is a graded ring isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}\left(A^{\prime}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

sending the set $\left\{2 y_{i}^{A}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ to $\left\{2 y_{i}^{A^{\prime}}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$. This fact can be obtained as a byproduct of Propositions 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6.

Proposition 3.5. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$. For $I \subset[n]$, there exists a $c_{1}$-preserving graded ring isomophism

$$
\varphi_{I}: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}\left(A_{I}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

such that $\varphi_{I}\left(2 y_{i}^{A}\right)=2 y_{i}^{A_{I}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Proof. We first consider the case where $I=\{k\}^{c}=[n] \backslash\{k\}$ for some $k \in[n]$ so that $A_{I}=L_{\{k\} c}^{-1} L_{\{k\}}$. Let $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{I}$ be the $i$-th row of $A+E$ and $A_{I}+E$, respectively. By direct computations, we obtain

1) $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{I}=\mathbf{a}_{i}$ for $i<k$;
2) $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{I}=-\mathbf{a}_{k}$ for $i=k$; and
3) $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{I}=\mathbf{a}_{i}+a_{i, k} \mathbf{a}_{k}$ for $i>k$.

Then the Bott tower $\mathcal{B}_{\bullet}^{I}$ corresponding to $A_{I}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{B}_{i}^{I}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{B}_{i} & \text { for } i<k \\ P\left(\mathbb{C} \oplus \xi_{k}^{-1}\right) & \text { for } i=k \\ P\left(\mathbb{C} \oplus\left(\xi_{i} \otimes \xi_{k}^{a_{i, k}}\right)\right) & \text { for } i>k\end{cases}
$$

Here, $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ are the line bundles used to construct the Bott tower $\mathcal{B}_{\bullet}=$ $\mathcal{B}(A)$.

We define a map $\varphi_{I}$ by

$$
\varphi_{I}\left(x_{i}^{A}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{i}^{A_{I}} & \text { for } i \neq k  \tag{3.2}\\ x_{k}^{A_{I}}+\sum_{j<k} a_{k, j} x_{j}^{A_{I}} & \text { for } i=k\end{cases}
$$

Then, by (2), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{I}\left(x_{k}^{A}\right)=x_{k}^{A_{I}}-\alpha_{k}^{A_{I}} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{I}\left(\alpha_{k}^{A}\right)=-\alpha_{k}^{A_{I}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\varphi_{I}$ is well-defined and is indeed a graded ring isomorphism such that $\varphi_{I}\left(2 y_{i}^{A}\right)=2 y_{i}^{A_{I}}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$. The well-definedness follows by showing that $\varphi_{I}\left(x_{i}^{A}\left(x_{i}^{A}-\alpha_{i}^{A}\right)\right)=0$ for all $i$.

- For $i<k$, we get $\varphi_{I}\left(x_{i}^{A}\left(x_{i}^{A}-\alpha_{i}^{A}\right)\right)=x_{i}^{A_{I}}\left(x_{i}^{A_{I}}-\alpha_{i}^{A_{I}}\right)=0$;
- for $i=k$, by (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{I}\left(x_{k}^{A}\left(x_{k}^{A}-\alpha_{k}^{A}\right)\right) & =\left(x_{k}^{A_{I}}-\alpha_{k}^{A_{I}}\right)\left(x_{k}^{A_{I}}-\alpha_{k}^{A_{I}}-\varphi_{I}\left(\alpha_{k}^{A}\right)\right) \\
& =x_{k}^{A_{I}}\left(x_{k}^{A_{I}}-\alpha_{k}^{A_{I}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- for $i>k$, we have $\varphi_{I}\left(x_{i}^{A}\left(x_{i}^{A}-\alpha_{i}^{A}\right)\right)=x_{i}^{A_{I}}\left(x_{i}^{A_{I}}-\varphi_{I}\left(\alpha_{i}^{A}\right)\right)=$ $x_{i}^{A_{I}}\left(x_{i}^{A_{I}}-\alpha_{i}^{A_{I}}\right)=0 ;$
where the second last equality is obtained from (3.2) and (3):

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{I}\left(\alpha_{i}^{A}\right) & =\sum_{j<i} a_{i, j} \varphi_{I}\left(x_{j}^{A}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\sum_{j \neq k, j<i} a_{i, j} x_{j}^{A_{I}}+a_{i, k}\left(x_{k}^{A_{I}}+\sum_{j<k} a_{k, j} x_{j}^{A_{I}}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{i}^{A_{I}} \quad \text { for } i>k .
\end{align*}
$$

To show $\varphi_{I}\left(2 y_{i}^{A}\right)=2 y_{i}^{A_{I}}$, we only need to check the case when $i=k$ because $\varphi_{I}\left(x_{i}^{A}\right)=x_{i}^{A_{I}}$ and $\varphi_{I}\left(\alpha_{i}^{A}\right)=\alpha_{i}^{A_{I}}$ for $i \neq k$ by (3.2) and (3.4). Then, by (3.3), we obtain

$$
\varphi_{I}\left(2 y_{k}^{A}\right)=\varphi_{I}\left(2 x_{k}^{A}-\alpha_{k}^{A}\right)=2\left(x_{k}^{A_{I}}-\alpha_{k}^{A_{I}}\right)+\alpha_{k}^{A_{I}}=2 x_{k}^{A_{I}}-\alpha_{k}^{A_{I}}=2 y_{k}^{A_{I}}
$$

and this completes the proof for the case of $I=\{k\}^{c}$.

For a general $I=\left\{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{m}\right\} \subset[n]$, using the fact $L_{I}=L_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}^{c}}$. $L_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}^{c}} \cdots L_{\left\{i_{m}\right\}^{c}}$, we obtain

$$
\varphi_{I}=\varphi_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}^{c}} \circ \varphi_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}^{c}} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{\left\{i_{m}\right\}^{c}} .
$$

Applying the previous procedure repeatedly, the result follows. One can immediately check that $\varphi_{I}$ is $c_{1}$-preserving by (2.7).

For the cohomology ring isomorphism between Bott manifolds induced from Op.2, we recall the result CMM15.

Proposition 3.6 ([CMM15, Lemma 6.1]). Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$. For a permutation $\pi$ on $[n]$, if $A_{\pi} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$, then there is a $c_{1}$-preserving graded ring isomorphism

$$
\varphi_{\pi}: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}(A) ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}\left(A_{\pi}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

such that $\varphi_{\pi}\left(x_{i}^{A}\right)=x_{\pi(i)}^{A_{\pi}}$ for $i=1, \ldots$, n. Indeed, we have $\varphi_{\pi}\left(2 y_{i}^{A}\right)=2 y_{\pi(i)}^{A_{\pi}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

## 4. Main Theorem

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2. Throughout this section, we take coefficient in $\mathbb{Z}$ for cohomology unless stated otherwise.

Before to begin with, we explain some notations used in this section. We use letters $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ to indicate Bott manifolds and we similarly denote by $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right),\left(x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left(x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, y_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ the elements $x, y$, and $\alpha$ defined in 2.5) and 2.7) for $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$, and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ be Fano Bott manifolds. If there is a $c_{1}$ preserving graded ring isomorphism $\varphi: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$, then there exists a Fano Bott manifold $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ together with a $c_{1}$-preserving graded ring isomorphism $\psi: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ are isomorphic and $\psi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. In particular, we have $\psi\left(2 y_{1}\right)=2 y_{1}^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ are Fano Bott manifolds determined by Bott matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $A^{\prime}=\left(a_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. By Theorem 2.6, there exists a permutation $\sigma$ on $[n]$ such that $\varphi\left(2 y_{i}\right)=2 y_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$. If $\sigma(1) \neq 1$, then we get $a_{\sigma(1), j}^{\prime}=0$ for every $j<\sigma(1)$. Indeed, since $2 y_{1}=2 x_{1}$
and $2 y_{\sigma(1)}^{\prime}=2 x_{\sigma(1)}^{\prime}-\sum_{j<\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(1), j}^{\prime} x_{j}^{\prime}$, we get

$$
2\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{\sigma(1)}^{\prime}\right)=-\sum_{j<\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(1), j}^{\prime} x_{j}^{\prime}
$$

which is divisible by 2 . Since $a_{\sigma(1), j}^{\prime}$ belongs to $\{0, \pm 1\}$, we conclude that $a_{\sigma(1), j}^{\prime}=0$ for every $j<\sigma(1)$ and $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{\sigma(1)}^{\prime}$. This fact tells us that the $\sigma(1)$-th row of $A^{\prime}+E$ is zero, and therefore we may apply Op. 2 to $A^{\prime}$ for the permutation $\pi=s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{\sigma(1)-1}$ where $s_{i}$ denotes the simple transposition $(i, i+1)$. Here, we note that $(\pi \circ \sigma)(1)=1$.

Consider the Bott matrix $A^{\prime \prime}:=A_{\pi}^{\prime}$ and let $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ be the Bott manifold associated with $A^{\prime \prime}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ is Fano. Then $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ are isomorphic and there is a graded ring isomorphism $\varphi^{\prime}: H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\prime}\right)=x_{\pi(\sigma(1))}^{\prime \prime}=x_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ by Proposition 3.6. Then $\varphi^{\prime} \circ \varphi$ is the desired isomorphism and it completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 1.2). Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ be Fano Bott manifolds. Assume that there is a $c_{1}$-preserving graded ring isomorphism $\varphi: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow$ $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic as toric varieties.

Proof. We will use an induction on $k$, where $k$ is the smallest positive integer such that $\varphi\left(x_{k}\right) \neq x_{k}^{\prime}$ and modify $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ into $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ using either Op. 1 or Op. 2 so that the new isomorphism

$$
\psi:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{I} \circ \phi & (\text { for Op.1) } \\
\phi_{\pi} \circ \phi & (\text { for Op.2) }
\end{array} \quad: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right.
$$

satisfies $\psi\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{k}^{\prime \prime}$.
Suppose that the Fano Bott manifolds $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ are determined by the Bott matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $A^{\prime}=\left(a_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. From Theorem 2.6, there is a permutation $\sigma$ on $[n]$ such that $\varphi\left(2 y_{i}\right)=2 y_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. We may further assume that $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime}$ (or equivalently $\varphi\left(2 y_{1}\right)=2 y_{1}^{\prime}$ ) by Lemma 4.1, i.e., $\sigma(1)=1$.

Suppose there exists $2 \leq k \leq n$ such that $\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{\prime}$ for every $i<k$ and $\varphi\left(x_{k}\right) \neq x_{k}^{\prime}$, that is, $\varphi\left(2 y_{i}\right)=2 y_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i<k$. Then there are two possibilities:

1) $\sigma(k)=k$; or
2) $\sigma(k)>k$.

We first consider the case where $\sigma(k)=k$. That is, $\varphi\left(2 y_{k}\right)=2 y_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi\left(x_{k}\right) \neq x_{k}^{\prime}$. Since $\varphi\left(2 y_{k}\right)=\varphi\left(2 x_{k}-\alpha_{k}\right)=2 \varphi\left(x_{k}\right)-\varphi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(2 y_{k}\right)=$ $2 y_{k}^{\prime}=2 x_{k}^{\prime}-\alpha_{k}^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)-x_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)-\alpha_{k}^{\prime} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\varphi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)-\alpha_{k}^{\prime}$ is divisible by 2 . Note that $\varphi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)-\alpha_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{j<k}\left(a_{k, j}-\right.$ $\left.a_{k, j}^{\prime}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}$ by the definition of $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ in 2.5) and from the choice of $k$. Comparing this with Equation (4.1), we have $\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{k}^{\prime}+\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 d_{j}=a_{k, j}-a_{k, j}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, k-1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j}=a_{k, j}=-a_{k, j}^{\prime} \quad \text { when } d_{j} \neq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the Fano condition in Theorem 2.9. Note that not every $d_{j}$ is zero for $j<k$ by our assumption.

Now we claim that Equation (4.3) holds even when $d_{j}=0$. By the welldefinedness of the isomorphism $\varphi$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\varphi\left(x_{k}\left(x_{k}-\alpha_{k}\right)\right)= & \left(x_{k}^{\prime}+\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{k}^{\prime}+\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}-\sum_{j<k} a_{k, j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+x_{k}^{\prime}\left(2 \sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}-\sum_{j<k} a_{k, j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}-\sum_{j<k} a_{k, j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+x_{k}^{\prime} \sum_{j<k}\left(2 d_{j}-a_{k, j}\right) x_{j}^{\prime} \\
& +\left(\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{j<k}\left(d_{j}-a_{k, j}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$. Since

- there is no term having $x_{k}^{\prime}$ in $\left(\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{j<k}\left(d_{j}-a_{k, j}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}\right)$, and
- $\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+x_{k}^{\prime} \sum_{j<k}\left(2 d_{j}-a_{k, j}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the linearly independent set $\left\{x_{k}^{\prime} x_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j<k}$,
both $\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+x_{k}^{\prime} \sum_{j<k}\left(2 d_{j}-a_{k, j}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\left(\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{j<k}\left(d_{j}-a_{k, j}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ vanish in $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$. In particular, it follows from the vanishing of the latter term above that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{j<k}\left(d_{j}-a_{k, j}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{\substack{j<k \\
d_{j} \neq 0}} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(-\sum_{\substack{\ell<k \\
d_{\ell}=0}} a_{k, \ell} x_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)=-\sum_{\substack{j, \ell<k \\
d_{j} \neq 0 \text { and } d_{\ell}=0}} d_{j} a_{k, \ell} x_{j}^{\prime} x_{\ell}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $H^{*}(\mathcal{B})$, where the second equality follows from 4.3). However, $\left\{x_{j}^{\prime} x_{\ell}^{\prime} \mid\right.$ $\left.d_{j} \neq 0, d_{\ell}=0, j, \ell<k\right\}$ is linearly independent by Remark 2.4 (2), so $a_{k, \ell}=$ 0 if $d_{\ell}=0$. Moreover, since $2 d_{j}=a_{k, j}-a_{k, j}^{\prime}$ by 4.2), we conclude that

- if $d_{j}=0$, then $a_{k, j}=a_{k, j}^{\prime}=0$, and
- if $d_{j} \neq 0$, then $a_{k, j}=-a_{k, j}^{\prime}$ by (4.3).

Consequently, we have $d_{j}=a_{k, j}=-a_{k, j}^{\prime}$ for every $j<k$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{k}^{\prime}+\sum_{j<k} d_{j} x_{j}^{\prime}=x_{k}^{\prime}-\sum_{j<k} a_{k, j}^{\prime} x_{j}^{\prime}=x_{k}^{\prime}-\alpha_{k}^{\prime}
$$

Now, we let $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ be a Fano Bott manifold whose Bott matrix $A^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from $A^{\prime}$ by applying Op. 1 with $I=\{k\}^{c}$. Then there is a $c_{1}$-preserving graded ring isomorphism $\varphi^{\prime}: H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)=x_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ for every $j \neq k$ and $\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{k}^{\prime}\right)=x_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\alpha_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ by (3.2) and (3.3), and also $\varphi^{\prime}\left(2 y_{i}^{\prime}\right)=2 y_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for all $i$ by Proposition 3.5. Then, the composition $\psi:=\varphi^{\prime} \circ \varphi$ is a graded ring isomorphism $\psi: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $\psi\left(2 y_{i}\right)=2 y_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n, \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ are isomorphic as toric varieties, and $\psi\left(x_{j}\right)=x_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ for every $j \leq k$. Indeed, by (3.3), we have

$$
\psi\left(x_{k}\right)=\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{k}^{\prime}-\alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right)=x_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\alpha_{k}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha_{k}^{\prime \prime}=x_{k}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Now, we consider the second case, $\sigma(k)>k$. Since $\varphi\left(2 y_{k}\right)=2 \varphi\left(x_{k}\right)-$ $\sum_{j<k} a_{k, j} x_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi\left(2 y_{k}\right)=2 y_{\sigma(k)}^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)-x_{\sigma(k)}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j<k} a_{k, j} x_{j}^{\prime}-\sum_{\ell<\sigma(k)} a_{\sigma(k), \ell}^{\prime} x_{\ell}^{\prime} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $a_{k, j}$ and $a_{\sigma(k), \ell}$ belong to $\{0,1,-1\}$ and the left hand side of 4.5 is divisible by 2 , we have

$$
a_{\sigma(k), \ell}^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { for } k \leq \ell<\sigma(k)
$$

Indeed, the $\sigma(k)$-th row of $A^{\prime}$ has consecutive zeros from $(\sigma(k), k)$ to $(\sigma(k), \sigma(k)-1)$. Therefore by applying Op. 2 to $A^{\prime}$ for the permutation $\pi=s_{k} \cdots s_{\sigma(k)-1}$, we get a new Bott matrix $A^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\mathcal{B}\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is Fano and it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ and there is a graded ring isomorphism $\varphi^{\prime}: H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{i}^{\prime \prime} & \text { for } i<k \text { or } i>\sigma(k) \\ x_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} & \text { for } k \leq i \leq \sigma(k)-1 \\ x_{k}^{\prime \prime} & \text { for } i=\sigma(k)\end{cases}
$$

by Proposition 3.6. Since $\pi \circ \sigma(i)=i$ for every $i \leq k$, the composition $\varphi^{\prime} \circ \varphi$ is a $c_{1}$-preserving graded ring isomorphism $\varphi^{\prime} \circ \varphi: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ satisfying
$\varphi^{\prime} \circ \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{\prime \prime} \quad(i<k) \quad$ and $\quad \varphi^{\prime} \circ \varphi\left(2 y_{k}\right)=\varphi^{\prime}\left(2 y_{\sigma(k)}^{\prime}\right)=2 y_{\pi \circ \sigma(k)}=2 y_{k}^{\prime \prime}$.
Hence this case reduces to the first case.
We may repeat the above argument as many times as necessary. Since the indices are bounded above by $n$, this process must stop and eventually we get an isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{\psi}: H^{*}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{*}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})
$$

sending $x_{i}$ to $\widetilde{x}_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$ where $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is the resulting Bott manifold obtained from $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ by applying a sequence of Op. 1 and Op. $\mathbf{2}$ in an inductive way. Since $\widetilde{\psi}\left(x_{i}\right)=\widetilde{x}_{i}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}\left(y_{i}\right)=\widetilde{y}_{i}$, it follows from 2.7 that $\widetilde{\psi}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\widetilde{\alpha}_{i}$, which implies that the Bott matrices corresponding to $\mathcal{B}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ coincide by definition of $\alpha_{i}$ in (2.6). Therefore $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic. This finishes the proof.

Remark 4.3. We cannot extend Theorem 1.2 to weak Fano Bott manifolds. Note that the Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ are weak Fanq ${ }^{3}$ Bott manifolds. As we saw in Example 2.8, $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ are not isomorphic but there is a $c_{1}$-preserving graded cohomology ring isomorphism between them.

Remark 4.4. One may wonder whether we can extend Theorem 1.2 to Bott manifolds whose Bott matrices have entries 0,1 , or -1 . However, the set of such Bott matrices is not closed under the operation Op.1. For example, consider a matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, we have that

$$
A_{\emptyset}=A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

whose entry has $-2 \notin\{0,1,-1\}$. Note that the set of Bott matrices obtained from Fano Bott manifolds is closed under the operation Op. 1 as we mentioned in Remark 3.2.

Remark 4.5. For $n=3$, there are five Bott matrices associated with Fano Bott manifolds up to isomorphisms.
$\left.\begin{array}{l|ccccc}\hline \text { Oda's list } & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ \text { Øbro's list } & 21 & 11 & 18 & 17 & 12 \\ \hline \text { Bott } & 21 & {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right]} \\ \text { matrix } & {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -1\end{array}\right]}\end{array} \begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1\end{array}\right]$

Here, we refer the lists provided by Oda Oda88, Figure 2.6] and Obro [Obr07] in the Graded Ring Database [BK]. Note that the cohomology rings of the Bott manifolds of the second and the third matrices are isomorphic as graded rings and hence they are diffeomorphic by the smooth rigidity theorem of Bott manifolds in dimension six. See CMS10, Theorem 7.1]. Thus they provide an example of diffeomorphic but non-isomorphic Bott manifolds. Indeed, one can check that the degrees of the two Bott manifolds are

[^2]different, so there does not exist $c_{1}$-preserving cohomology ring isomorphism between them.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A polytope is reflexive if it is integral and has a unique interior lattice point such that the affine distance from the point to each facet is equal to one.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We say that two toric varieties $X$ and $Y$ are isomorphic as toric varieties if there exists a toric isomorphism $\phi$ from $X$ to $Y$, i.e., $\phi(t \cdot x)=\phi(t) \cdot \phi(x)$ for every element $t$ in the torus $T_{X} \subset X$ and $x \in X$. In fact, it was proved in Ber03 that there exists a toric isomorphism if $X$ and $Y$ are isomorphic as abstract varieties.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We call a variety $X$ weak Fano if $\left\langle c_{1}(T X),[C]\right\rangle \geq 0$ for every algebraic curve $C \subset X$.

