

TRANSVERSALITY THEOREMS ON GENERIC LINEARLY PERTURBED MAPPINGS*

SHUNSUKE ICHIKI[†]

In memory of John Mather

Abstract. In his celebrated paper “Generic projections”, John Mather has given a striking transversality theorem and its applications on generic projections. On the other hand, in this paper, two transversality theorems on generic linearly perturbed C^r mappings are shown ($r \geq 1$). Moreover, some applications of the two theorems are also given.

Key words. generic linear perturbation, transversality, immersion, injection.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 57R45, 57R42.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, let ℓ , m and n stand for positive integers. In this paper, unless otherwise stated, all manifolds and mappings are assumed to be of class C^r ($r \geq 1$) and all manifolds are assumed to be without boundary and to have countable bases.

Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a C^r mapping from an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Then, for any linear mapping $\pi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$, set

$$F_\pi = F + \pi.$$

Here, the mapping π in $F_\pi = F + \pi$ is restricted to U .

Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ be the space consisting of all linear mappings of \mathbb{R}^m into \mathbb{R}^ℓ . Notice that we have the natural identification $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$. By N , we denote a C^r manifold of dimension n . For given C^r mappings $f : N \rightarrow U$ and $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$, a property of mappings $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ (resp., $\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$) will be said to be true for a *generic linearly perturbed mapping* (resp., a *generic projection*) if there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ (resp., $\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$) has the property.

In his celebrated paper [5], for a given C^∞ embedding $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, John Mather has given a striking transversality theorem on a generic projection $\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ($m > \ell$), where N is a C^∞ manifold (for details on this result, see [5, Theorem 1 (p. 229)]). Moreover, in [5], as an application of this result, he has also shown that if $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a C^∞ embedding and (n, ℓ) is in the nice range of dimensions (for the definition of nice range of dimensions, refer to [4]), then a generic projection $\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ($m > \ell$) is stable, where N is a compact C^∞ manifold.

In [3], an improvement of the transversality theorem of [5] is given by replacing generic projections by generic linear perturbations. Namely, in [3], for a given C^∞ embedding $f : N \rightarrow U$ and a given C^∞ mapping $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$, a transversality theorem on a generic linearly perturbed mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is given, where N is a C^∞ manifold and ℓ is an arbitrary positive integer which may possibly satisfy $m \leq \ell$.

Moreover, in [2], for a given C^∞ immersion or a given C^∞ injection $f : N \rightarrow U$, transversality theorems on a generic linearly perturbed mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ are

*Received June 13, 2018; accepted for publication August 6, 2018.

[†]Institute of Mathematics for Industry, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan (s-ichiki@imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp). Research Fellow CPD of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

given, where N is a C^∞ manifold, $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a C^∞ mapping and ℓ is an arbitrary positive integer which may possibly satisfy $m \leq \ell$.

On the other hand, in this paper, as improvements of some results in [2], two main transversality theorems (Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 2) and their applications on generic linearly perturbed mapping are given in the case where manifolds and mappings are not necessarily of class C^∞ .

The first main theorem (Theorem 1) is as follows. Let $f : N \rightarrow U$ (resp., $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$) be a C^r immersion (resp., a C^r mapping), where N is a C^r manifold (for the value of r , see Theorem 1). Then, generally, the composition $F \circ f$ does not necessarily yield a mapping transverse to the subfiber-bundle of the jet bundle $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with a fiber Σ^k , where k is a positive integer satisfying $1 \leq k \leq \min\{n, \ell\}$ and

$$\Sigma^k = \{j^1g(0) \in J^1(n, \ell) \mid \text{corank } Jg(0) = k\}.$$

Nevertheless, Theorem 1 asserts that a generic linearly perturbed mapping $F_\pi \circ f$ yields a mapping transverse to the subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Σ^k . The second main theorem (Theorem 2) is a specialized transversality theorem on crossings of a generic linearly perturbed mapping $F_\pi \circ f$, where N is a C^r manifold, $f : N \rightarrow U$ is a given C^r injection and $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a given C^r mapping (for the value of r , see Theorem 2).

For a given C^2 immersion (resp., C^1 injection) $f : N \rightarrow U$ and a given C^2 mapping (resp., C^1 mapping) $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$, the following (1) and (2) (resp., (3)) are obtained as applications of Theorem 1 (resp., Theorem 2), where N is a C^2 manifold (resp., a C^1 manifold).

- (1) If $(n, \ell) = (n, 1)$, then a generic linearly perturbed function $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse function.
- (2) If $\ell \geq 2n$, then a generic linearly perturbed mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an immersion.
- (3) If $\ell > 2n$, then a generic linearly perturbed mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an injection.

Furthermore, by combining the assertions (2) and (3), for a given C^2 embedding $f : N \rightarrow U$ and a given C^2 mapping $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$, we get the following assertion (4), where N is a C^2 manifold.

- (4) If $\ell > 2n$ and N is compact, then a generic linearly perturbed mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an embedding.

In Section 2, some definitions are prepared, and the two main transversality theorems (Theorems 1 and 2) are stated. Section 3 (resp., Section 4) is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1 (resp., Theorem 2). In Section 5, the above assertions (1)–(4) are shown. In Section 6, the important lemma for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 (Lemma 1 in Section 2) is shown as an appendix.

2. Preliminaries and the statements of Theorems 1 and 2. Firstly, the definition of transversality is given.

DEFINITION 1. Let N and P be C^r manifolds, and Z be a C^r submanifold of P ($r \geq 1$). Let $g : N \rightarrow P$ be a C^1 mapping.

- (1) We say that $g : N \rightarrow P$ is *transverse* to Z at q if $g(q) \notin Z$ or in the case of $g(q) \in Z$, the following holds:

$$dg_q(T_qN) + T_{g(q)}Z = T_{g(q)}P.$$

(2) We say that $g : N \rightarrow P$ is *transverse* to Z if for any $q \in N$, the mapping g is transverse to Z at q .

For the statement and the proof of Theorem 1, some definitions are prepared. Let N be a C^r manifold ($r \geq 2$) and $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ be the space of 1-jets of mappings of N into \mathbb{R}^ℓ . Then, note that $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is a C^{r-1} manifold. For a given C^r mapping $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ($r \geq 2$), the mapping $j^1g : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is defined by $q \mapsto j^1g(q)$. Then, notice that the mapping $j^1g : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is of class C^{r-1} . For details on the space $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ or the mapping $j^1g : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, see for example, [1].

Now, let $\{(U_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a coordinate neighborhood system of N . Let $\Pi : J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) \rightarrow N \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be the natural projection defined by $\Pi(j^1g(q)) = (q, g(q))$. Let $\Phi_\lambda : \Pi^{-1}(U_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^\ell) \rightarrow \varphi_\lambda(U_\lambda) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \times J^1(n, \ell)$ be the homeomorphism defined by

$$\Phi_\lambda(j^1g(q)) = (\varphi_\lambda(q), g(q), j^1(\psi_\lambda \circ g \circ \varphi_\lambda^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\lambda)(0)),$$

where $J^1(n, \ell) = \{j^1g(0) \mid g : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell, 0)\}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (resp., $\psi_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$) is the translation given by $\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda(0) = \varphi_\lambda(q)$ (resp., $\psi_\lambda(g(q)) = 0$). Then, $\{(\Pi^{-1}(U_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^\ell), \Phi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a coordinate neighborhood system of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Set

$$\Sigma^k = \{j^1g(0) \in J^1(n, \ell) \mid \text{corank } Jg(0) = k\},$$

where $\text{corank } Jg(0) = \min\{n, \ell\} - \text{rank } Jg(0)$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, \min\{n, \ell\}$. Set

$$\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Phi_\lambda^{-1}(\varphi_\lambda(U_\lambda) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \times \Sigma^k).$$

Then, the set $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is a submanifold of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim } \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) &= \dim J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \dim \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) \\ &= (n - v + k)(\ell - v + k), \end{aligned}$$

where $v = \min\{n, \ell\}$. (For details on Σ^k and $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, see for instance [1], pp. 60–61).

Then, the first main theorem in this paper is the following.

THEOREM 1. *Let f be a C^r immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m , where N is a C^r manifold of dimension n . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a C^r mapping and k be a positive integer satisfying $1 \leq k \leq \min\{n, \ell\}$. If*

$$r > \max\{\dim N - \text{codim } \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell), 0\} + 1,$$

then there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to the submanifold $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$.

Now, in order to state the second main theorem (Theorem 2), we will prepare some definitions. Let N be a C^r manifold ($r \geq 1$). Set

$$N^{(s)} = \{(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \in N^s \mid q_i \neq q_j \text{ (} i \neq j \text{)}\}.$$

Note that $N^{(s)}$ is an open submanifold of N^s . For any mapping $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$, let $g^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ be the mapping given by

$$g^{(s)}(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) = (g(q_1), g(q_2), \dots, g(q_s)).$$

Set $\Delta_s = \{(y, \dots, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s \mid y \in \mathbb{R}^\ell\}$. Then, Δ_s is a submanifold of $(\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ satisfying

$$\text{codim } \Delta_s = \dim(\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s - \dim \Delta_s = \ell(s - 1).$$

DEFINITION 2. Let g be a C^1 mapping of N into \mathbb{R}^ℓ , where N is a C^r manifold ($r \geq 1$). Then, g is called a *mapping with normal crossings* if for any positive integer s ($s \geq 2$), the mapping $g^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to Δ_s .

As in [2], for any injection $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, set

$$s_f = \max \left\{ s \mid \forall (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \in N^{(s)}, \dim \sum_{i=2}^s \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}f(q_1)f(q_i)} = s - 1 \right\}.$$

Since the mapping f is an injection, we have $2 \leq s_f$. Since $f(q_1), f(q_2), \dots, f(q_{s_f})$ are points of \mathbb{R}^m , it follows that $s_f \leq m + 1$. Hence, we get

$$2 \leq s_f \leq m + 1.$$

Moreover, in the following, for a set X , we denote the number of its elements (or its cardinality) by $|X|$. Then, the second main theorem in this paper is the following.

THEOREM 2. Let f be a C^r injection of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m , where N is a C^r manifold of dimension n . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a C^r mapping. If

$$r > \max\{s_0, 0\},$$

then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the C^r mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to the submanifold Δ_s , where

$$s_0 = \max\{s(n - \ell) + \ell \mid 2 \leq s \leq s_f\}.$$

Moreover, if the mapping F_π satisfies that $|F_\pi^{-1}(y)| \leq s_f$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$, then $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a C^r mapping with normal crossings.

REMARK 1.

- (1) There is an advantage that the domain of the mapping F is an arbitrary open set. Suppose that $U = \mathbb{R}$. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by $x \mapsto |x|$. Since F is not differentiable at $x = 0$, we cannot apply Theorems 1 and 2 to $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, if $U = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, then Theorems 1 and 2 can be applied to the restriction $F|_U$.

- (2) As in [2], there is a case of $s_f = 3$ as follows. If $n + 1 \leq m$, $N = S^n$ and $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is the inclusion $f(x) = (x, 0, \dots, 0)$, then we get $s_f = 3$. Indeed, suppose that there exists a point $(q_1, q_2, q_3) \in (S^n)^{(3)}$ satisfying $\dim \sum_{i=2}^3 \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}f(q_1)f(q_i)} = 1$. Then, since the number of the intersections of $f(S^n)$ and a straight line of \mathbb{R}^m is at most two, this contradicts the assumption. Thus, we have $s_f \geq 3$. From $S^1 \times \{0\} \subset f(S^n)$, we get $s_f < 4$, where $0 = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{(m-2)\text{-tuple}}$. Therefore, it follows that $s_f = 3$.

- (3) The essential idea for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 is to apply Lemma 1, and it is similar to the idea of the proofs of [2, Theorems 1 and 2]. Note that in the special case $r = \infty$, from some results in [2], the results in this paper (Theorems 1 and 2 in this section and Corollaries 1 to 7 in Section 5) can be obtained.

The following well known result is important for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. In [1], the proof of Lemma 1 in the case $r = \infty$ is given. Hence, for the sake of readers' convenience, the proof of Lemma 1 is given in Section 6 as an appendix.

LEMMA 1 ([1]). *Let N, A, P be C^r manifolds, Z be a C^r submanifold of P and $\Gamma : N \times A \rightarrow P$ be a C^r mapping. If*

$$r > \max\{\dim N - \text{codim } Z, 0\},$$

and Γ is transverse to Z , then there exists a subset Σ of A with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $a \in A - \Sigma$, the C^r mapping $\Gamma_a : N \rightarrow P$ is transverse to Z , where $\text{codim } Z = \dim P - \dim Z$ and $\Gamma_a(q) = \Gamma(q, a)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. In this proof, for a positive integer \tilde{n} , we denote the $\tilde{n} \times \tilde{n}$ unit matrix by $E_{\tilde{n}}$. Let $(\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ be a representing matrix of a linear mapping $\pi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Set $F_\alpha = F_\pi$. Then, we have

$$F_\alpha(x) = \left(F_1(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j}x_j, F_2(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j}x_j, \dots, F_\ell(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j}x_j \right), \quad (3.1)$$

where $F = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_\ell)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \dots, \alpha_{1m}, \dots, \alpha_{\ell 1}, \alpha_{\ell 2}, \dots, \alpha_{\ell m}) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$. For a given C^r immersion $f : N \rightarrow U$, the C^r mapping $F_\alpha \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is given as follows:

$$F_\alpha \circ f = \left(F_1 \circ f + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j}f_j, F_2 \circ f + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j}f_j, \dots, F_\ell \circ f + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j}f_j \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$. Since we have the natural identification $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, for the proof, it is sufficient to show that there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ such that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\alpha \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$.

Now, let $\Gamma : N \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ be the C^{r-1} mapping defined by

$$\Gamma(q, \alpha) = j^1(F_\alpha \circ f)(q).$$

Note that $r - 1 > \max\{\dim N - \text{codim } \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell), 0\}$. Thus, if Γ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, then from Lemma 1, there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, the C^{r-1} mapping $\Gamma_\alpha : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ ($\Gamma_\alpha = j^1(F_\alpha \circ f)$) is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Therefore, for the proof, it is sufficient to show that if $\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha}) \in \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, then the following holds:

$$d\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}(T_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}(N \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell)) + T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell). \quad (3.3)$$

As in Section 2, let $\{(U_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ (resp., $\{(\Pi^{-1}(U_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^\ell), \Phi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$) be a coordinate neighborhood system of N (resp., $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$). There exists a coordinate neighborhood $(U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell, \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id)$ containing the point $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ of $N \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, where id is the identity

mapping of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ into $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, and the mapping $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id : U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(U_{\tilde{\lambda}}) \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ ($\subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$) is given by $(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id)(q, \alpha) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(q), id(\alpha))$. There exists a coordinate neighborhood $(\Pi^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell), \Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ containing the point $\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Let $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a local coordinate on $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(U_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ containing $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q})$. Then, the mapping Γ is locally given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \circ \Gamma \circ (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id)^{-1})(t, \alpha) \\
&= (\Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \circ j^1(F_\alpha \circ f) \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t) \\
&= \left(t, (F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t), \right. \\
&\quad \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,1} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,1} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,1} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_n}(t), \\
&\quad \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,2} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,2} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,2} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_n}(t), \\
&\quad \dots, \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,\ell} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,\ell} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,\ell} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_n}(t) \right) \\
&= \left(t, (F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t), \right. \\
&\quad \frac{\partial F_1 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_1}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial F_1 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_2}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial F_1 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_n}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_n}(t), \\
&\quad \frac{\partial F_2 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_1}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial F_2 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_2}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial F_2 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_n}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_n}(t), \\
&\quad \dots, \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\partial F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_1}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_2}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_n}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_n}(t) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_\alpha = (F_{\alpha,1}, F_{\alpha,2}, \dots, F_{\alpha,\ell})$ and $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2, \dots, \tilde{f}_m) = (f_1 \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}, f_2 \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}, \dots, f_m \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}) = f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}$. The Jacobian matrix of Γ at $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ is the following:

$$J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_n & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ & * & \cdots & \cdots & * \\ & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & & \mathbf{0} \\ * & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{0} & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) \end{array} \right)_{(t, \alpha) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}), \tilde{\alpha})},$$

where $Jf_{\tilde{q}}$ is the Jacobian matrix of f at \tilde{q} . Notice that ${}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}})$ is the transpose of $Jf_{\tilde{q}}$ and that there are ℓ copies of ${}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}})$ in the above description of $J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}$. Since $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is a subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with the fiber Σ^k , in order to show (3.3),

it is sufficient to prove that the matrix M_1 given below has rank $n + \ell + n\ell$:

$$M_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_{n+\ell} & * & \cdots & \cdots & * \\ \hline & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & & 0 \\ 0 & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & \\ & & & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) \end{array} \right)_{(t,\alpha)=(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}),\tilde{\alpha})}$$

Notice that there are ℓ copies of ${}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}})$ in the above description of M_1 . Note that for any i ($1 \leq i \leq m\ell$), the $(n + \ell + i)$ -th column vector of M_1 coincides with the $(n + i)$ -th column vector of $J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q},\tilde{\alpha})}$. Since f is an immersion ($n \leq m$), the rank of M_1 is equal to $n + \ell + n\ell$. Therefore, we get (3.3). \square

4. Proof of Theorem 2. As in the proof of Theorem 1, set $F_\alpha = F_\pi$, where F_α is given by (3.1) in Section 3. For a given C^r injection $f : N \rightarrow U$, the C^r mapping $F_\alpha \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is given by the same expression as (3.2). Since we have the natural identification $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, in order to prove that there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the C^r mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to Δ_s , it is sufficient to prove that there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the C^r mapping $(F_\alpha \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to the submanifold Δ_s .

Now, let s be a positive integer satisfying $2 \leq s \leq s_f$. Let $\Gamma : N^{(s)} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ be the C^r mapping given by

$$\Gamma(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s, \alpha) = ((F_\alpha \circ f)(q_1), (F_\alpha \circ f)(q_2), \dots, (F_\alpha \circ f)(q_s)).$$

Note that from $r > \max\{s_0, 0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r &> \max\{s(n - \ell) + \ell, 0\} \\ &= \max\{\dim N^{(s)} - \text{codim } \Delta_s, 0\} \end{aligned}$$

for any positive integer s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$). Thus, if for any positive integer s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping Γ is transverse to Δ_s , then from Lemma 1, for any positive integer s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), there exists a subset Σ_s of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma_s$, the mapping $\Gamma_\alpha : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ ($\Gamma_\alpha = (F_\alpha \circ f)^{(s)}$) is transverse to Δ_s . Then, $\Sigma = \bigcup_{s=2}^{s_f} \Sigma_s$ is a subset of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero. Thus, for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the C^r mapping $\Gamma_\alpha : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ ($\Gamma_\alpha = (F_\alpha \circ f)^{(s)}$) is transverse to Δ_s .

Therefore, for this proof, it is sufficient to prove that for any positive integer s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), if $\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha}) \in \Delta_s$ ($\tilde{q} = (\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_s)$), then the following holds:

$$d\Gamma_{(\tilde{q},\tilde{\alpha})}(T_{(\tilde{q},\tilde{\alpha})}(N^{(s)} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell)) + T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q},\tilde{\alpha})}\Delta_s = T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q},\tilde{\alpha})}(\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\{(U_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a coordinate neighborhood system of N . There exists a coordinate neighborhood $(U_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell, \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times id)$ containing $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ of $N^{(s)} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, where $id : (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ is the identity mapping, and $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times id : U_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^s \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ is defined by $(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times id)(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s, \alpha) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1}(q_1), \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2}(q_2), \dots, \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s}(q_s), id(\alpha))$.

Let $t_i = (t_{i1}, t_{i2}, \dots, t_{in})$ be a local coordinate around $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_i}^{-1}(\tilde{q}_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). Then, Γ is locally given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma \circ \left(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1}^{-1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2}^{-1} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s}^{-1} \times id \right)^{-1}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s, \alpha) \\ &= \left((F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1}^{-1})(t_1), (F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2}^{-1})(t_2), \dots, (F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s}^{-1})(t_s) \right) \\ &= \left(F_1 \circ \tilde{f}(t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \tilde{f}_j(t_1), F_2 \circ \tilde{f}(t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \tilde{f}_j(t_1), \dots, F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}(t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \tilde{f}_j(t_1), \right. \\ & \quad F_1 \circ \tilde{f}(t_2) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \tilde{f}_j(t_2), F_2 \circ \tilde{f}(t_2) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \tilde{f}_j(t_2), \dots, F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}(t_2) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \tilde{f}_j(t_2), \\ & \quad \dots, \\ & \quad \left. F_1 \circ \tilde{f}(t_s) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \tilde{f}_j(t_s), F_2 \circ \tilde{f}(t_s) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \tilde{f}_j(t_s), \dots, F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}(t_s) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \tilde{f}_j(t_s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{f}(t_i) = (\tilde{f}_1(t_i), \tilde{f}_2(t_i), \dots, \tilde{f}_m(t_i)) = (f_1 \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_i}^{-1}(t_i), f_2 \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_i}^{-1}(t_i), \dots, f_m \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_i}^{-1}(t_i))$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). For simplicity, set $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s)$ and $z = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1}^{-1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2}^{-1} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s}^{-1})(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_s)$.

The Jacobian matrix of Γ at $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ is the following:

$$J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} * & B(t_1) \\ * & B(t_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ * & B(t_s) \end{array} \right)_{(t, \alpha) = (z, \tilde{\alpha})},$$

where

$$B(t_i) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} \mathbf{b}(t_i) & & & 0 \\ & \mathbf{b}(t_i) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \mathbf{b}(t_i) \end{array} \right) \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{ccc|c} \mathbf{b}(t_i) & & & 0 \\ & \mathbf{b}(t_i) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \mathbf{b}(t_i) \end{array}} \right\} \ell \text{ rows}$$

and $\mathbf{b}(t_i) = (\tilde{f}_1(t_i), \tilde{f}_2(t_i), \dots, \tilde{f}_m(t_i))$. By the construction of $T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})} \Delta_s$, in order to prove (4.1), it is sufficient to prove that the rank of the following matrix M_2 is equal to ℓs :

$$M_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_\ell & B(t_1) \\ E_\ell & B(t_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ E_\ell & B(t_s) \end{array} \right)_{t=z}.$$

There exists an $\ell s \times \ell s$ regular matrix Q_1 satisfying

$$Q_1 M_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_\ell & B(t_1) \\ 0 & B(t_2) - B(t_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & B(t_s) - B(t_1) \end{array} \right)_{t=z}.$$

There exists an $(\ell + m\ell) \times (\ell + m\ell)$ regular matrix Q_2 satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_1 M_2 Q_2 &= \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} E_\ell & & 0 & \\ 0 & B(t_2) - B(t_1) & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \\ 0 & B(t_s) - B(t_1) & & \end{array} \right)_{t=z} \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_\ell & & & & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} & & & 0 \\ & & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} & & \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ \hline & & & & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_s)} & & & 0 \\ & & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_s)} & & \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ \hline & & & & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_s)} \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} \\ \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} \\ \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} \\ \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} \end{matrix}} \right\} \ell \text{ rows} \\ , \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_s)} \\ \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_s)} \\ \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_s)} \end{matrix}} \right\} \ell \text{ rows} \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_i)} = (\tilde{f}_1(t_i) - \tilde{f}_1(t_1), \tilde{f}_2(t_i) - \tilde{f}_2(t_1), \dots, \tilde{f}_m(t_i) - \tilde{f}_m(t_1))$ ($2 \leq i \leq s$) and $t = z$. From $s - 1 \leq s_f - 1$ and the definition of s_f , we have

$$\dim \sum_{i=2}^s \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_i)} = s - 1,$$

where $t = z$. Hence, by the construction of $Q_1 M_2 Q_2$ and $s - 1 \leq m$, the rank of $Q_1 M_2 Q_2$ is equal to ℓs . Therefore, the rank of M_2 must be equal to ℓs . Hence, we get (4.1). Therefore, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the C^r mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to Δ_s .

Moreover, suppose that the C^r mapping F_π satisfies that $|F_\pi^{-1}(y)| \leq s_f$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Since $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is injective, it follows that $|(F_\pi \circ f)^{-1}(y)| \leq s_f$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Thus, for any positive integer s with $s \geq s_f + 1$, we have $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)}(N^{(s)}) \cap \Delta_s = \emptyset$. Namely, for any positive integer s with $s \geq s_f + 1$, the C^r mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)}$ is transverse to Δ_s . Hence, $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a C^r mapping with normal crossings. \square

5. Applications of Theorems 1 and 2. In Section 5.1 (resp., Section 5.2), applications of Theorem 1 (resp., Theorem 2) are stated and proved. In Section 5.2, applications obtained by combining Theorems 1 and 2 are also given.

5.1. Applications of Theorem 1. A C^2 function $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *Morse function* if all of the critical points of g are nondegenerate, where N is a C^2 manifold of dimension n (for details on Morse functions, see for instance, [1, p. 63]). In the case of $(n, \ell) = (n, 1)$, we have the following.

COROLLARY 1. *Let f be a C^2 immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m , where N is a C^2 manifold of dimension n . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 function. Then, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) - \Sigma$, the C^2 function $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse function.*

Proof. We have $\dim N - \text{codim } \Sigma^1(N, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Therefore, from Theorem 1, there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R})$ is transverse to $\Sigma^1(N, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, if $q \in N$ is a critical point of the function $F_\pi \circ f$, then the point q is nondegenerate. \square

In the case of $\ell \geq 2n$, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2. *Let f be a C^2 immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m , where N is a C^2 manifold of dimension n . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a C^2 mapping ($\ell \geq 2n$). Then, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a C^2 immersion.*

Proof. It is clearly seen that $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an immersion if and only if $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(N) \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^n \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \emptyset$. From $\ell \geq 2n$, for any positive integer k ($1 \leq k \leq n$), we have

$$\dim N - \text{codim } \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = n - k(\ell - n + k) \leq 0.$$

Thus, for any positive integer k ($1 \leq k \leq n$), from Theorem 1, there exists a subset $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \tilde{\Sigma}_k$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Set $\Sigma = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \tilde{\Sigma}_k$. Note that Σ has Lebesgue measure zero. Let $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$ be an arbitrary element. Then, suppose that there exists a point $q \in N$ and a positive integer k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) such that $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q) \in \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Since $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)$ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, we have the following:

$$d(j^1(F_\pi \circ f))_q(T_q N) + T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)} \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)} J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim d(j^1(F_\pi \circ f))_q(T_q N) &\geq \dim T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)} J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \dim T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)} \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) \\ &= \text{codim } T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)} \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $n \geq k(\ell - n + k)$. This contradicts the assumption $\ell \geq 2n$. Therefore, we get $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(N) \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^n \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \emptyset$. \square

A C^1 mapping $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ has singular points of corank at most k if

$$\sup \{ \text{corank } dg_q \mid q \in N \} \leq k,$$

where $\text{corank } dg_q = \min\{n, \ell\} - \text{rank } dg_q$.

COROLLARY 3. *Let f be a C^r immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m , where N is a C^r manifold of dimension n . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a C^r mapping. Let k_0 be the maximum integer satisfying $(n - v + k_0)(\ell - v + k_0) \leq n$ ($v = \min\{n, \ell\}$). If*

$$r > \max\{\dim N - \text{codim } \Sigma^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell), 0\} + 1,$$

then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the C^r mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ has singular points of corank at most k_0 .

Proof. For any positive integer k ($1 \leq k \leq v$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r &> \max\{\dim N - \text{codim } \Sigma^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell), 0\} + 1 \\ &\geq \max\{\dim N - \text{codim } \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell), 0\} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1, for any positive integer k satisfying $1 \leq k \leq v$, there exists a subset $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \tilde{\Sigma}_k$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Then, $\Sigma = \bigcup_{k=1}^v \tilde{\Sigma}_k$ has Lebesgue measure zero. Hence, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ for any positive integer k satisfying $1 \leq k \leq v$.

In the case of $\ell = 1$, we have $k_0 = 1$. Thus, in this case, the assertion clearly holds.

Now, we will consider the case of $\ell \geq 2$. In this case, note that $k_0 + 1 \leq v$. Indeed, suppose that $v \leq k_0$. Then, by $(n - v + k_0)(\ell - v + k_0) \leq n$, we get $n\ell \leq n$. This contradicts the assumption $\ell \geq 2$. For the proof of Corollary 3, it is sufficient to show that the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ satisfies that $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(N) \cap \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \emptyset$ for any positive integer k satisfying $k_0 + 1 \leq k \leq v$. Suppose that there exist a positive integer k ($k_0 + 1 \leq k \leq v$) and a point $q \in N$ such that $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q) \in \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Since the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ at the point q , the following holds:

$$d(j^1(F_\pi \circ f))_q(T_q N) + T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim d(j^1(F_\pi \circ f))_q(T_q N) \\ &\geq \dim T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \dim T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) \\ &= \text{codim } T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $n \geq (n - v + k)(\ell - v + k)$. Since the given integer k_0 is the maximum integer satisfying $n \geq (n - v + k_0)(\ell - v + k_0)$, it follows that $k \leq k_0$. This contradicts the assumption $k_0 + 1 \leq k$. \square

5.2. Applications of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 4. *Let f be a C^r injection of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m , where N is a C^r manifold of dimension n . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a C^r mapping. If*

$$(s_f - 1)\ell > ns_f \text{ and } r > \max\{2n - \ell, 0\},$$

then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a C^r mapping with normal crossings satisfying $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(N^{(s_f)}) \cap \Delta_{s_f} = \emptyset$.

Proof. From $(s_f - 1)\ell > ns_f$, we have $n - \ell < 0$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= \max\{s(n - \ell) + \ell \mid 2 \leq s \leq s_f\} \\ &= 2n - \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, note that $r > \max\{s_0, 0\}$. From Theorem 2, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to Δ_s . Therefore, for this proof, it is sufficient to prove that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}$ satisfies that $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(N^{(s_f)}) \cap \Delta_{s_f} = \emptyset$.

Suppose that there exists an element $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$ such that there exists a point $q \in N^{(s_f)}$ satisfying $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q) \in \Delta_{s_f}$. Since $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}$ is transverse to Δ_{s_f} , we have the following:

$$d((F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)})_q(T_q N^{(s_f)}) + T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} \Delta_{s_f} = T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^{s_f}.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim d((F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)})_q(T_q N^{(s_f)}) \\ & \geq \dim T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^{s_f} - \dim T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} \Delta_{s_f} \\ & = \text{codim } T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} \Delta_{s_f}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $ns_f \geq (s_f - 1)\ell$. This contradicts the assumption $(s_f - 1)\ell > ns_f$. \square

In the case of $\ell > 2n$, we have the following.

COROLLARY 5. *Let f be a C^1 injection of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m , where N is a C^1 manifold of dimension n . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a C^1 mapping. If $\ell > 2n$, then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the C^1 mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is injective.*

Proof. Since $s_f \geq 2$ and $\ell > 2n$, it is easily seen that the dimension pair (n, ℓ) satisfies the assumption $(s_f - 1)\ell > ns_f$ of Corollary 4. Indeed, from $\ell > 2n$, we get $(s_f - 1)\ell > 2n(s_f - 1)$. From $s_f \geq 2$, it follows that $2n(s_f - 1) \geq ns_f$.

Since $\max\{2n - \ell, 0\} = 0$, from Corollary 4, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)} : N^{(2)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^2$ is transverse to Δ_2 . For this proof, it is sufficient to prove that the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}$ satisfies that $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(N^{(2)}) \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset$.

Suppose that there exists a point $q \in N^{(2)}$ such that $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q) \in \Delta_2$. Then, we get the following:

$$d((F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)})_q(T_q N^{(2)}) + T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} \Delta_2 = T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^2.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim d((F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)})_q(T_q N^{(2)}) \\ & \geq \dim T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^2 - \dim T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} \Delta_2 \\ & = \text{codim } T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} \Delta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $2n \geq \ell$. This contradicts the assumption $\ell > 2n$. \square

By combining Corollaries 2 and 5, we have the following.

COROLLARY 6. *Let f be an injective immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m , where N is a C^2 manifold of dimension n and f is of class C^2 . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a C^2 mapping. If $\ell > 2n$, then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the C^2 mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an injective immersion.*

From Corollary 6, we get the following.

COROLLARY 7. *Let N be a compact C^2 manifold of dimension n . Let f be a C^2 embedding of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a C^2 mapping. If $\ell > 2n$, then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the C^2 mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an embedding.*

6. Proof of Lemma 1.

6.1. Preliminaries for the proof of Lemma 1. Let N and P be C^r manifolds, and let $g : N \rightarrow P$ be a C^1 mapping ($r \geq 1$). A point $x \in N$ is called a *critical point* of g if it is not a regular point, i.e., the rank of dg_x is less than the dimension of P . We say that a point $y \in P$ is a *critical value* if it is the image of a critical point. A point $y \in P$ is called a *regular value* if it is not a critical value. The following is Sard’s theorem.

THEOREM 3 ([6]). *If N and P are C^r manifolds, $g : N \rightarrow P$ is a C^r mapping, and $r > \max\{\dim N - \dim P, 0\}$, then the set of critical values of g has Lebesgue measure zero.*

6.2. Proof of Lemma 1. In this proof, by $\pi : N \times A \rightarrow A$, we denote the natural projection defined by $\pi(x, a) = a$.

Since Γ is transverse to Z , the set $\Gamma^{-1}(Z)$ is a C^r submanifold of $N \times A$ satisfying

$$\dim N + \dim A - \dim \Gamma^{-1}(Z) = \dim P - \dim Z. \tag{1}$$

Firstly, suppose that $\dim \Gamma^{-1}(Z) = 0$. Then, since $\Gamma^{-1}(Z)$ is a countable set, $\pi(\Gamma^{-1}(Z))$ has Lebesgue measure zero in A . It is clearly seen that for any $a \in A - \pi(\Gamma^{-1}(Z))$, the mapping Γ_a is transverse to Z .

Finally, we will consider the case $\dim \Gamma^{-1}(Z) > 0$. It is not hard to see that if $a \in A$ is a regular value of $\pi|_{\Gamma^{-1}(Z)}$, then Γ_a is transverse to Z , where $\pi|_{\Gamma^{-1}(Z)}$ is the restriction of π to $\Gamma^{-1}(Z)$. Let Σ be the set of critical values of $\pi|_{\Gamma^{-1}(Z)}$. From $r > \max\{\dim N + \dim Z - \dim P, 0\}$ and (1), we have $r > \max\{\dim \Gamma^{-1}(Z) - \dim A, 0\}$. From Theorem 3, Σ has Lebesgue measure zero in A . Therefore, if $a \in A - \Sigma$, then Γ_a is transverse to Z . \square

Acknowledgements. The author is most grateful to the anonymous reviewer for carefully reading the first manuscript of this paper and for giving invaluable suggestions. The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP16J06911 and JP19J00650.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. GOLUBITSKY AND V. GUILLEMIN, *Stable mappings and their singularities*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 14, Springer, New York, 1973.
- [2] S. ICHIKI, *Composing generic linearly perturbed mappings and immersions/injections*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 70:3 (2018), pp. 1165–1184.
- [3] S. ICHIKI, *Generic linear perturbations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 146:11 (2018), pp. 4981–4991.
- [4] J. N. MATHER, *Stability of C^∞ mappings VI. The nice dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math., 192 (1971), pp. 207–253.
- [5] J. N. MATHER, *Generic projections*, Ann. of Math. (2), 98 (1973), pp. 226–245.
- [6] A. SARD, *The measure of the critical values of differentiable maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 48 (1942), pp. 883–890.

