

## DIAMETERS OF HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

MICHAEL H. FREEDMAN, ALEXEI KITAEV, AND JACOB LURIE

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group with trivial center. Using the action of  $G$  on its Lie algebra, we define an operator norm  $|\cdot|_G$  which induces a bi-invariant metric  $d_G(x, y) = |\text{Ad}(yx^{-1})|_G$  on  $G$ . We prove the existence of a constant  $\beta \approx .12$  (independent of  $G$ ) such that for any closed subgroup  $H \subsetneq G$ , the diameter of the quotient  $G/H$  (in the induced metric) is  $\geq \beta$ .

### 1. Introduction

Finding a lower bound to the (operator norm) diameter of homogeneous spaces  $G/H$ ,  $G$  compact is a natural geometric problem. It can also be motivated by considering quantum computation. In standard models [NC] the state space of a (theoretical) quantum computer is a Hilbert space with a tensor decomposition,  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ . A “gate” is a local unitary operation acting on a small number, perhaps two, tensor factors (and as the identity on the remaining factors). One often wonders if a certain set of local gates is “universal” meaning that the closed subgroup  $H$  they generate satisfies  $U(1)H = U(2^n)$ . We produce a constant  $\beta \approx .12$  so that  $\text{diam } U(2^n)/U(1)H < \beta$  implies universality, where diameter is to be computed in the operator norm. This norm is well-suited here because it is stable under  $\otimes_{\text{id}}$ .

Because the operator norm is bi-invariant it suffices to check that every element  $b$  in the ball of radius  $2\beta$  about the identity of  $SU(2^n)$  has  $\text{Ball}_\beta(b) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ . In principle this leads to an algorithm to test if a gate set is universal. Such an algorithm will be exponentially slow in  $n$ . But often it is assumed that identical gates can be applied on any pair of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  factors; in this case universality for  $n = 2$  is sufficient to imply universality for all  $n$ .

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group with trivial center. The semisimplicity of  $G$  implies that the (negative of the) Killing form is a natural positive-definite, bi-invariant inner product on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . We let  $\|x\|_{\mathfrak{g}}$  denote the induced (Euclidean) norm on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We use this to define the *operator norm* on  $G$  as follows:

$$|g|_G = \sup_{\|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}}=1} |\angle(y, \text{Ad}_g y)|$$

where  $\angle(y, \text{Ad}_g y)$  denotes the usual Euclidean angle between the vectors  $y$  and  $\text{Ad}_g y$ , normalized so that it lies in the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . Since angles between

---

Received June 21, 2002.

Revised version received November 4, 2002.

vectors in a Euclidean space obey a triangle inequality, we deduce the inequality  $|gh|_G \leq |g|_G + |h|_G$ . It is also clear that  $|g|_G = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ad}_g$  is the identity, which implies that  $g$  is the identity since the adjoint action of  $G$  is faithful up to the center of  $G$ , and we have assumed that the center of  $G$  is trivial.

We define a distance on  $G$  by the formula  $d_G(g, g') = |g^{-1}g'|_G$ . It is easy to check that this defines a bi-invariant metric on  $G$ , where all distances are bounded above by  $\pi$ . Note that  $d_G$  is continuous on  $G$ , hence there is a continuous bijection from  $G$  with its usual topology to  $G$  with the topology induced by  $d_G$ . Since the source is compact and the target Hausdorff (this fails if  $G$  has nontrivial center, since the operator norm of a central element is equal to zero), we deduce that the metric  $d_G$  determines the usual topology on  $G$ . For any closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , the homogeneous space  $G/H$  inherits a quotient metric given by the formula

$$d_{G/H}(p, q) = \inf d_G(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) = \inf_{g\tilde{p}=q} |g|_G$$

where the first infimum is taken over all pairs  $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in G$  lifting the pair  $p, q \in G/H$ . Note that if  $H$  is contained in  $H'$ , then the diameter of  $G/H$  is at least as large as that of  $G/H'$ . We are now in a position to state the main result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group with trivial center and  $H \subsetneq G$  a proper compact subgroup of  $G$ . Then the diameter of  $G/H$  with respect to the metric  $d_{G/H}$  is no smaller than  $\beta$ , where  $\beta$  is the smallest real solution to the transcendental equation  $\cos^2(\alpha - \beta) + \sin^2(\alpha - \beta) \sin(\beta) = \cos(4\beta)$  and  $\cos(\alpha) = \frac{7}{8}$ .*

One can estimate that the constant  $\beta$  is approximately .124332.

**Example 2.** Consider the case where  $G = H \times H$  is a product, and  $H$  is embedded diagonally. Choose an element  $h \in H$  with  $|h|_H = \pi$  (such an element exists in any nontrivial one parameter subgroup). Then in  $H \times H$ , the distance  $d_{H \times H}(h \times 0, h' \times h')$  is equal to the larger of  $d_H(h, h')$  and  $d_H(h', e)$ . By the triangle inequality, this distance is at least  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . It follows that the diameter of  $G/H$  is at least  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

**Remarks.**

- (1) For any orthogonal representation  $\tau : G \rightarrow O(V)$  of a group  $G$ , we can define an operator norm on  $G$  with respect to  $V$ :

$$|g|_{G,\tau} = \sup_{\|v\|=1} |\angle(v, gv)|$$

This construction has the following properties:

- If  $V$  is the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $g \in G$  acts by multiplication by  $e^{i\alpha}$  where  $-\pi \leq \alpha \leq \pi$ , then  $|g|_{G,\tau} = |\alpha|$ .
- Given any subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ , the restriction of  $|\cdot|_{G,\tau}$  to  $H$  is equal to  $|\cdot|_{H,\tau|_H}$ .

- The operator norm associated to a direct sum of representations  $\tau_i$  of  $G$  is the supremum of the operator norms associated to the representations  $\tau_i$ .
  - In particular, the operator norm on  $G$  associated to a representation  $V$  is identical with the operator norm on  $G$  associated to the complexification  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  (with its induced Hermitian structure).
  - To evaluate  $|g|_{G,\tau}$ , we can replace  $G$  by the subgroup generated by  $g$  and  $V$  by its complexification, which decomposes into one-dimensional complex eigenspaces under the action of  $g$ . We deduce that  $|g|_{G,\tau}$  is the supremum of  $|\log \lambda_j|$ , where  $\{\lambda_j\}$  is the set of eigenvalues for the action of  $g$  on  $V$  (and the logarithms are chosen to be of absolute value  $\leq \pi$ ).
- (2) The reader may be curious about the diameter of  $G/H$  relative to the Riemannian quotient of the Killing metric  $d_K$ . If we let  $N$  denote the dimension of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then we have

$$d \leq d_K \leq \frac{3N^{\frac{1}{2}}d}{2}$$

- (3) We ask if the quotient  $SO(3)/I$  is the homogenous space of smallest diameter, where  $I \simeq A_5$  denotes the symmetry group of the icosahedron.
- (4) We wonder if there is a similar universal lower bound to the diameter of double coset spaces  $K \backslash G / H$ ,  $G$  as above,  $K, H \subset G$  closed subgroups. Our method does not apply directly.
- (5) Although suggested by a modern subject the theorem could easily have been proved a hundred years ago and in fact may have been (or may be) known.

## 2. Small Subgroups

Throughout this section,  $G$  shall denote a compact, connected Lie group with trivial center. We give a quantitative version of the principle that discrete subgroups of  $G$  generated by “sufficiently small” elements are automatically abelian. We will use this in the proof of Theorem 1 in the case where  $H$  is discrete. We will need to understand the operator norm on  $G$  a bit better. To this end, we introduce the *operator norm*

$$|x|_{\mathfrak{g}} = \sup_{\|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}}=1} \|[x, y]\|_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . This is a  $G$ -invariant function on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , so we can unambiguously define the operator norm of any tangent vector to the manifold  $G$  by transporting that tangent vector to the origin (via left or right translation) and then applying  $x \mapsto |x|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . The operator norm on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is related to the operator norm on  $G$  by the following:

**Lemma 3.** *The exponential map  $x \mapsto \exp(x)$  induces a bijection between  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : |x|_{\mathfrak{g}} < \frac{2\pi}{3}\}$  and  $G_0 = \{g \in G : |g|_G < \frac{2\pi}{3}\}$ . This bijection preserves the operator norms.*

*Proof.* First, we claim that the map  $x \mapsto \exp(x)$  does not increase the operator norm. This follows from the fact that the eigenvalues of  $\exp(x)$  have the form  $\exp(\kappa)$ , where  $\kappa$  is an eigenvalue of  $x$ . It follows that the exponential map sends  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  into  $G_0$ . Choose  $g \in G_0$ , and fix a maximal torus  $T$  containing  $g$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be the Lie algebra of  $T$ . Decompose  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  into eigenspaces for the action of  $T$ :  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{t} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ . The element  $g$  acts by an eigenvalue  $\Lambda(\alpha)$  on each nonzero eigenspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ . Since  $g$  is an orthogonal transformation, we may write  $\Lambda(\alpha) = e^{i\lambda(\alpha)}$ . Since  $g \in G_0$ , it is possible to choose the function  $\lambda$  so that  $-\frac{2\pi}{3} < \lambda(\alpha) < \frac{2\pi}{3}$  for each root  $\alpha$ . This determines the function  $\lambda$  uniquely. Choose a system  $\Delta$  of simple roots, and let  $x$  be the unique element of  $\mathfrak{t}$  such that  $\alpha(x) = \lambda(\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . It follows immediately that  $\exp(x) = g$  (since  $G$  has trivial center). To show that  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ , we need to show that  $|\alpha(x)| < \frac{2\pi}{3}$  for all roots  $\alpha$ . For this, it will suffice to prove that  $\alpha(x) = \lambda(\alpha)$  for all roots  $\alpha$ . The uniqueness of  $\lambda$  implies immediately that  $\lambda(-\alpha) = -\lambda(\alpha)$ . Thus, it will suffice to prove that the equation  $\alpha(x) = \lambda(\alpha)$  holds when  $\alpha$  is positive (with respect to the root basis  $\Delta$ ). Since the equation is known to hold whenever  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , it will suffice to prove that  $\alpha(x) = \lambda(\alpha)$ ,  $\beta(x) = \lambda(\beta)$  implies

$$(\alpha + \beta)(x) = \lambda(\alpha + \beta).$$

In other words, we need to show that the quantity

$$\epsilon = \lambda(\alpha + \beta) - \lambda(\alpha) - \lambda(\beta)$$

is equal to zero. By construction,  $|\epsilon| < 2\pi$ . On the other hand, since  $\Lambda(\alpha)\Lambda(\beta) = \Lambda(\alpha + \beta)$ , we deduce that  $e^{i\epsilon} = 1$ , so that  $\epsilon$  is an integral multiple of  $2\pi$ . It follows that  $\epsilon = 0$ , as desired. It is clear from the construction that  $|x|_{\mathfrak{g}} = |g|_G$ . To complete the proof, we need to show that  $g$  has no other logarithms lying in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . This follows from the fact that any unitary transformation (in particular, the adjoint action of  $g$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) which does not have  $-1$  as an eigenvalue has a unique logarithm whose eigenvalues are of absolute value  $< \pi$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  be a smooth function with  $p(0)$  equal to the identity of  $G$ . Then  $|p(1)|_G \leq \int_0^1 |p'(t)|_{\mathfrak{g}} dt$ .*

*Proof.* For  $N$  sufficiently large, we can write  $p(\frac{i+1}{N}) = p(\frac{i}{N}) \exp(\frac{x_i}{N})$ , where  $x_i$  is approximately equal to the derivative of  $p$  at  $\frac{i}{N}$ . Thus, as  $N$  goes to  $\infty$ , the average  $\frac{|x_0|_{\mathfrak{g}} + \dots + |x_{N-1}|_{\mathfrak{g}}}{N}$  converges to the integral on the right hand side of the desired inequality. By the triangle inequality, it will suffice to prove that  $|p(\frac{i}{N})^{-1} p(\frac{i+1}{N})|_G \leq \frac{|x_i|_{\mathfrak{g}}}{N}$ . If  $N$  is sufficiently large, then this follows immediately from Lemma 3.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** The metric  $d_G$  on  $G$  is not necessarily a path metric: given  $g, h \in G$ , there does not necessarily exist a path in  $G$  having length equal to  $d_G(g, h)$ . However, it follows from Lemma 3 that  $d_G$  is a path metric *locally on  $G$* . The length of a (smooth) path can be obtained by integrating the operator norm of the derivative of a path. Replacing  $d_G$  by the associated path metric only increases distances, so that Theorem 1 remains valid for the path metric associated to  $d_G$ . This modified version of Theorem 1 makes sense (and remains true) for compact Lie groups  $G$  with finite center.

We can now proceed to the main result of this section. Let  $\alpha$  denote the smallest positive real number satisfying  $\cos(\alpha) = \frac{7}{8}$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $H \subset G$  be a discrete subgroup. Let  $h, k \in H$  and suppose  $|h|_G < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $|k|_G < \alpha$ . Then  $[h, k] = 1$ .*

*Proof.* We define a sequence of elements of  $G$  by recursion as follows:  $h_0 = h$ ,  $h_{n+1} = [h_n, k]$ . Let  $C$  satisfy the equation  $\frac{C^2}{4} = 2 - 2 \cos |k|_G$ . Then the assumption on  $k$  ensures that  $C < 1$ . Our first goal is to prove that the operator norm of the sequence  $\{h_n\}$  obeys the estimate  $|h_n|_G < C^n \frac{\pi}{2}$ . For  $n = 0$ , this is part of our hypothesis. Assuming that the estimate  $|h_n|_G < C^n \frac{\pi}{2}$  is valid, we can use Lemma 3 to write  $h_n = \exp(x)$ ,  $|x|_{\mathfrak{g}} < C^n \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Now define  $p(t) = [\exp(tx), k]$ , so that  $p(0) = 1$  and  $p(t) = h_{n+1}$ . Using Lemma 4, we deduce that  $|h_{n+1}|_G \leq \int_0^1 |p'(t)|_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq \sup_t |p'(t)|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . On the other hand, the vector  $p'(t)$  can be written as a difference

$$R_{p(t)}x - L_{\exp(tx)k \exp(-tx)}R_{k^{-1}x}$$

where  $R_g$  and  $L_g$  denote left and right translation by  $g$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |p'(t)|_{\mathfrak{g}} &= |x - \text{Ad}_{\exp(tx)k \exp(-tx)} x|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= |\text{Ad}_{\exp(-tx)} x - \text{Ad}_{k \exp(-tx)} x|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= |x - \text{Ad}_k x|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= \sup_{\|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}}=1} \|[x - \text{Ad}_k x, y]\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}}=1} (\|[x, y] - \text{Ad}_k[x, y]\|_{\mathfrak{g}} + \|\text{Ad}_k[x, y] - [\text{Ad}_k x, y]\|_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &\leq \sup_{\|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}}=1} \|[x, y] - \text{Ad}_k[x, y]\|_{\mathfrak{g}} + \sup_{\|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}}=1} \|[x, y - \text{Ad}_k^{-1} y]\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2 - 2 \cos |k|_G} \sup_{\|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}}=1} \|[x, y]\|_{\mathfrak{g}} + |x|_{\mathfrak{g}} \sup_{\|y\|_{\mathfrak{g}}=1} \|y - \text{Ad}_k^{-1} y\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2 - \cos |k|_G} |x|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= C |x|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &< C^{n+1} \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

It follows that the operator norms of the sequence  $\{h_n\}$  converge to zero. Therefore the sequence  $\{h_n\}$  converges to the identity of  $G$ . Since  $H$  is a discrete subgroup, it follows that  $h_n$  is equal to the identity if  $n$  is sufficiently large. We will next show that  $h_n = 1$  for all  $n > 0$ , using an argument of Frobenius which proceeds by a descending induction on  $n$ . Once we know that  $h_1 = 1$ , the proof will be complete. Assume that  $h_{n+1} = 1$ . Then  $k$  commutes with  $h_n$ , and therefore also with  $h_n k = h_{n-1} k h_n^{-1}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  admits a basis

whose elements are eigenvectors for both  $k$  and  $h_{n-1}kh_{n-1}^{-1}$ . If the eigenvalues are the same in both cases, then we deduce that  $k = h_{n-1}kh_{n-1}^{-1}$ , so that  $h_n$  is the identity and we are done. Otherwise, there exists  $v \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  which is an eigenvector for both  $k$  and  $h_{n-1}kh_{n-1}^{-1}$ , with different eigenvalues. Equivalently, both  $v$  and  $h_{n-1}v$  are eigenvectors for  $k$ , with different eigenvalues. Thus  $v$  and  $h_{n-1}v$  are orthogonal, which implies  $|h_{n-1}|_G \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3. The Proof when $H$ is Discrete

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1 in the case where  $H$  is a discrete subgroup. The idea is to show that if  $G/H$  is too small, then  $H$  contains noncommuting elements which are close to the identity, contradicting Theorem 6. In the statements that follow, we let  $\alpha$  denote the smallest positive real solution to  $\cos(\alpha) = \frac{7}{8}$  and  $\beta$  the smallest positive real solution to the transcendental equation  $\cos^2(\alpha - \beta) + \sin^2(\alpha - \beta) \cos(\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta) = \cos(4\beta)$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $G$  be a compact, connected Lie group with trivial center. Then there exist elements  $h, k \in G$  having the property that for any  $h', k' \in G$  with  $d_G(h, h'), d_G(k, k') < \beta$ , we have  $|h'|_G < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $|k'|_G < \alpha$ , and  $[h', k'] \neq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a (local) embedding  $p : SU(2) \rightarrow G$  corresponding to a root of some simple component of  $G$ . We will assume that if the relevant component has roots of two different lengths, then the embedding  $p$  corresponds to a long root. This ensures that the weights of  $SU(2)$  acting on  $\mathfrak{g}$  are no larger than the weights of the adjoint representation. In the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$  of  $SU(2)$ , we let  $x$  and  $y$  denote infinitesimal rotations of angles  $\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta$  and  $\alpha - \beta$  about orthogonal axes. Then, by the above condition on weights, we deduce that  $h = p(\exp(x))$  and  $k = p(\exp(y))$  satisfy the conditions  $|h|_G = \frac{\pi}{2} - \beta$ ,  $|k|_G = \alpha - \beta$ . We claim that the pair  $h, k \in G$  satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. To see this, choose any pair  $h', k' \in G$  with  $d(h, h'), d(k, k') < \beta$ . Then we deduce  $|h'|_G < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $|k'|_G < \alpha$  from the triangle inequality. To complete the proof, we must show that  $h'$  and  $k'$  do not commute. To see this, we let  $v$  denote the image in  $\mathfrak{g}$  of a vector in  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$  about which  $x$  is an infinitesimal rotation. Then  $hv = v$ , while  $\angle(v, kv) = \alpha - \beta$ . Elementary trigonometry now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \angle(hkv, khv) &= \angle(hkv, kv) \\ &= \cos^{-1}(\cos^2(\alpha - \beta) + \sin^2(\alpha - \beta) \cos(\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta)) \\ &= \cos^{-1}(\cos(4\beta)) = 4\beta. \end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4\beta &= \angle(hkv, khv) \\ &\leq \angle(hkv, h'kv) + \angle(h'kv, h'k'v) + \angle(h'k'v, k'h'v) \\ &\quad + \angle(k'h'v, k'hv) + \angle(k'hv, khv) \\ &< 4\beta + \angle(h'k'v, k'h'v), \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\angle(h'k'v, k'h'v) > 0$  so that  $[h', k'] \neq 1$ .  $\square$

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1 in the case where  $H$  is discrete:

*Proof.* Choose  $h, k \in G$  satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 7. Since  $G/H$  has diameter less than  $\beta$ , the cosets  $hH$  and  $kH$  are within  $\beta$  of the identity coset in  $G/H$ , which implies that there exist  $h', k' \in H$  with  $d(h, h'), d(k, k') < \beta$ . Lemma 7 ensures that  $h'$  and  $k'$  do not commute, which contradicts Theorem 6.  $\square$

#### 4. The Proof when $G$ is Simple

In this section, we give the proof of the main theorem in the case where  $H$  is nondiscrete and  $G$  is simple. The idea in this case is to show that because the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $H$  cannot be a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the action of  $G$  automatically moves it quite a bit: this is made precise by Theorem 10. Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is invariant under the action of  $H$ , this will force  $G/H$  to have large diameter in the operator norm. We begin with some general remarks about angles between subspaces of a Hilbert space. Let  $V$  be a real Hilbert space, and let  $U, W \subseteq V$  be linear subspaces. The angle  $\angle(U, W)$  between  $U$  and  $W$  is defined to be

$$\max\left(\sup_{u \in U - \{0\}} \inf_{w \in W - \{0\}} |\angle(u, w)|, \sup_{w \in W - \{0\}} \inf_{u \in U - \{0\}} |\angle(u, w)|\right).$$

Note that for a fixed unit vector  $u \in U$ , the cosine of the minimal angle  $\angle(u, w)$  with  $w \in W$  is equal to the length of the orthogonal projection of  $u$  onto  $W^\perp$ . Thus, the sine of the minimal (positive) angle is equal to the length of the orthogonal projection of  $u$  onto  $W^\perp$ . Consequently we have

$$\sin\left(\sup_{u \in U - \{0\}} \inf_{w \in W - \{0\}} |\angle(u, w)|\right) = \sup_{\|u\|=1, \|w^\perp\|=1} \langle u, w^\perp \rangle$$

which is symmetric in  $U$  and  $W^\perp$ . From this symmetry we can deduce:

**Lemma 8.** *For any pair of subspaces  $U, W \subseteq V$ , the angle  $\angle(U, W)$  is equal to the angle  $\angle(U^\perp, W^\perp)$ .*

We will also need the following elementary fact:

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let  $A$  be an endomorphism of  $V$  having rank  $k$ . Then  $|\operatorname{Tr}(A)| \leq k|A|$ .*

*Proof.* Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{v_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  for  $V$  having the property that  $Av_i = 0$  for  $i > k$ . Then

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(A)| = \left| \sum_i \langle v_i, Av_i \rangle \right| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\langle v_i, Av_i \rangle| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |A| = k|A|$$

$\square$

We now proceed to the main point.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group acting irreducibly on a (necessarily finite dimensional) complex Hilbert space  $V$ . Let  $W \neq 0, V$  be a nontrivial subspace. Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\angle(W, gW) \geq \frac{\pi}{4}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\angle(W, gW) < \frac{\pi}{4}$  for all  $g \in G$ . Let  $V$  have dimension  $n$ . Replacing  $W$  by  $W^\perp$  if necessary, we may assume that the dimension  $k$  of  $W$  satisfies  $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ . For any subspace  $U \subseteq V$ , we let  $\Pi_U$  denote the orthogonal projection onto  $U$ . For each  $g \in G$ , projection from  $gW$  onto  $W^\perp$  or from  $W^\perp$  to  $gW$  shrinks lengths by a factor of  $\sin \angle(W, gW) \leq \sin \frac{\pi}{4}$  at least. It follows that

$$|\Pi_{W^\perp} \Pi_{gW} \Pi_{W^\perp}| \leq |\Pi_{W^\perp} \Pi_{gW}| |\Pi_{gW} \Pi_{W^\perp}| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Using the identity  $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\Pi_{gW} \Pi_{W^\perp}) &= \text{Tr}(\Pi_{gW} \Pi_{W^\perp} \Pi_{W^\perp}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\Pi_{W^\perp} \Pi_{gW} \Pi_{W^\perp}) \leq k |\Pi_{W^\perp} \Pi_{gW} \Pi_{W^\perp}| \\ &< \frac{k}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this result over  $G$  (with respect to a Haar measure which is normalized so that  $\int_G 1 = 1$ ), we deduce

$$\text{Tr}\left(\int_G \Pi_{gW} \Pi_{W^\perp}\right) = \int_G \text{Tr}(\Pi_{gW} \Pi_{W^\perp}) < \frac{n}{2}.$$

On the other hand,  $\int_G \Pi_{gW}$  is a  $G$ -invariant element of  $\text{End}(V)$ . Since  $V$  is irreducible, Schur's lemma implies that  $\int_G \Pi_{gW} = \lambda 1_V$  for some scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . We can compute  $\lambda$  by taking traces:

$$\begin{aligned} n\lambda &= \text{Tr}(\lambda 1_V) \\ &= \text{Tr}\left(\int_G \Pi_{gW}\right) \\ &= \int_G \text{Tr}(\Pi_{gW}) = k, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\lambda = \frac{k}{n}$ . Thus  $\frac{k(n-k)}{n} = \text{Tr}\left(\frac{k}{n} \Pi_{W^\perp}\right) < \frac{k}{2}$ , so that  $2(n-k) < n$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

From Theorem 10, one can easily deduce the analogous result in the case when  $V$  is a real Hilbert space, provided that  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  remains an irreducible representation of  $G$ . Using this, we can easily complete the proof of Theorem 1 in the case where  $G$  is simple and  $H$  is nondiscrete (with an even better constant).

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  denote the Lie algebra of  $H$ . Since  $H \neq G$  and  $G$  is connected,  $\mathfrak{h} \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $H$  is nondiscrete,  $\mathfrak{h} \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$ , we deduce that there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\angle(g\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) \geq \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Now one deduces that for any  $h \in H$ ,  $gh' \in gH$ , the distance

$$d(gh', h) = |gh'h^{-1}|_G \geq \angle(gh'h^{-1}\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) = \angle(g\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) \geq \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

It follows that the distance between the cosets  $gH$  and  $H$  in  $G/H$  is at least  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ .  $\square$



## 5. The General Case

We now know that Theorem 1 is valid under the additional assumption that the group  $G$  is simple. We will complete the proof by showing how to reduce to this case. The main tool is the following observation:

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$  be a surjection of compact connected Lie groups with trivial center, let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  and  $H' = \pi(H)$  its image in  $G'$ . Then  $\text{diam}(G'/H') \leq \text{diam}(G/H)$ .*

*Proof.* For any points  $x', y' \in G'/H'$ , we can lift them to a pair of points  $x, y \in G/H$ . It will suffice to show  $d_{G/H}(x, y) \geq d_{G'/H'}(x', y')$ . The left hand side is equal to

$$\inf_{gx=y} |g|_G$$

and the right hand side to

$$\inf_{g'x'=y'} |g'|_{G'}.$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that  $|g|_G \geq |\pi(g)|_{G'}$ . This follows immediately since we may identify the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}'$  of  $G'$  with a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

Now assume that  $G$  is a compact, connected Lie group with trivial center. Then it is a product of simple factors  $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ . Let  $\pi_\alpha : G \rightarrow G_\alpha$  denote the projection. Let  $H \subsetneq G$  be a closed subgroup. If  $\pi_\alpha H \neq G_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , then  $\text{diam}(G/H) \geq \text{diam}(G_\alpha/\pi_\alpha H) \geq \beta$  and we are done. Otherwise,  $\pi_\alpha$  induces a surjection of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha$ . By the structure theory of reductive Lie algebras, we deduce that  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{k}_\alpha$ , where  $\pi_\alpha$  is zero on  $\mathfrak{k}_\alpha$  and induces an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha \simeq \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha$  is therefore simple,  $\mathfrak{k}_\alpha$  may be characterized as the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Since  $H \neq G$  and  $G$  is connected,  $H$  must have smaller dimension than  $G$ . It follows that the subalgebras  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$  cannot all be distinct. Choose  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Lambda$  with  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha = \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha'}$ . The map  $H \rightarrow G_\alpha \times G_{\alpha'}$  is not surjective on Lie algebras. Without loss of generality, we may replace  $G$  by  $G_\alpha \times G_{\alpha'}$  and  $H$  by its image in  $G_\alpha \times G_{\alpha'}$ . Since the Lie algebra of  $H$  now maps isomorphically onto the Lie algebras of the factors  $G_\alpha$  and  $G_{\alpha'}$ , it follows that the connected component  $H_0$  of the identity in  $H$  is isomorphic to  $G_\alpha$ , which is included diagonally in  $G_\alpha \times G_{\alpha'}$ . Then  $H = H_0(H \cap (G_\alpha \times 1))$ . The intersection  $K = H \cap (G_\alpha \times 1)$  is normalized by  $H_0 = \{(g, g) : g \in G_\alpha\}$ , hence it is normalized by  $G_\alpha \times \{e\}$ . Since  $G_{\alpha'}$  is simple, we deduce that  $K = \{e\}$ . Thus  $H = H_0$  is embedded diagonally in  $G_\alpha \times G_{\alpha'}$ . We have already considered this case in Example 2, where we saw that the diameter of  $G'/H'$  is at least  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

**Remark 12.** If we restrict our attention to the case where  $H$  is a *connected* subgroup of  $G$ , then our proof gives a better lower bound of  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ .

## References

[NC] M. A. Nielsen, I. L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

MICROSOFT RESEARCH, ONE MICROSOFT WAY, REDMOND, WA 98052, U.S.A.  
*E-mail address:* `michaelf@microsoft.com`

CALTECH, 1200 EAST CALIFORNIA BOULEVARD, PASADENA, CA 91125, U.S.A.  
*E-mail address:* `kitaev@iqi.caltech.edu`

MIT, 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307, U.S.A.  
*E-mail address:* `lurie@math.mit.edu`