# Vanishing theorems for parabolic Higgs bundles

Donu Arapura\*, Feng Hao, and Hongshan Li

The main result is a Kodaira vanishing theorem for semistable parabolic Higgs bundles with trivial parabolic Chern classes. This implies a general semipositivity theorem. This also implies a Kodaira-Saito vanishing theorem for complex variations of Hodge structure.

#### Introduction

Let X be a complex smooth projective variety and  $D \subset X$  a reduced divisor with simple normal crossings. In an earlier paper [A], the first author reproved (and slightly extended) Saito's Kodaira vanishing theorem for (complex) polarized variations of Hodge structures on X-D with unipotent monodromy around D, by deducing it from a more general vanishing theorem for Higgs bundles. In this paper, our goal is to extend this further to complex polarized variations of Hodge structure without any unipotency condition. By work of Mochizuki and Simpson, such a variation determines a parabolic Higgs bundle, which consists of a vector bundle E on E0 and E1 are E2 and E3 are E3 satisfying appropriate conditions. The first condition tells us that we can form a "de Rham" complex

$$DR(E,\theta) := E \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes E \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_X^2(\log D) \otimes E \xrightarrow{\theta} \cdots$$

For parabolic Higgs bundles coming from complex variations, this is just the Kodaira-Spencer complex. Also in this case, sections of  $E|_{X-D}$  lie in  $E^{\alpha}$  if their norms, with respect to the Hodge metric, are  $O(|f(x)|^{\alpha-\epsilon})$ , where f is a local equation for D. The filtration is also related to the monodromy about D; in particular, it would be trivial in the unipotent case, but not otherwise. One reason for keeping track of this filtration is that it enters into natural

<sup>\*</sup>D. Arapura partially supported by a grant from the Simon's Foundation.

modifications of Chern classes et cetera, where the usual formulas need to be corrected along D. These notions will be reviewed in the paper.

Our main result (corollary 7.3) is as follows: Given a slope semistable parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E, E^*, \theta)$  with trivial parabolic Chern classes, and an ample line bundle L,

$$\mathbb{H}^i(X, \mathrm{DR}(E, \theta) \otimes L) = 0$$

for  $i > \dim X$ . More generally, Theorem 7.2 gives an extension to this in the spirit of the Kawamata-Viehweg and Le Potier vanishing theorems. In Theorem 8.4, we show that if there is a decomposition  $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$  such that  $\theta(E) \subseteq \Omega^1_X(\log D) \otimes E_-$ , then  $E_+$  is nef. This is deduced using the vanishing theorem. The assumptions of these results, and therefore their conclusions, hold for Higgs bundles arising from complex variations of Hodge structure. In particular, we recover the well known semipositivity results of Fujita, Kawamata and many others.

A special case of the main theorem where the filtration is trivial and  $\theta$  is nilpotent was proved in [A]. (This was proved using characteristic p methods, but a trick used here leads in principle to a characteristic 0 proof, when L is an ample line bundle. See remark 7.4.) The proof of the more general theorem is by reducing it to this special case. The reduction is done in stages, and the sketch is probably clearer if we describe the process in reverse from general to special. Using an approximation argument, we reduce to the case where the weights, which are the numbers where the filtration  $E^*$  jumps, are rational. Yokogawa [Y] shows that there is a moduli space of parabolic Higgs bundles with fixed rational weights and vanishing parabolic Chern classes which are semistable in the appropriate sense. Then using the natural  $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on this space and upper semicontinuity of cohomology, we reduce to the case where  $\theta$  is nilpotent. Again using the rationality of the weights, a result of Biswas [B1] shows there exists a branched covering  $\pi: Y \to X$  and a nilpotent semistable Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)$  on Y, with trivial filtration, such that  $\mathbb{H}^i(X, \mathrm{DR}(E, \theta) \otimes L)$  is a summand of  $\mathbb{H}^i(Y, \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{E}, \theta) \otimes \pi^*L)$ . This is zero by the special case.

#### 1. Parabolic bundles

Let X be a complex smooth projective variety with a reduced simple normal crossing divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i$ . Let  $j : U = X - D \to X$  denote the inclusion of the complement. We fix this notation throughout the paper. We use the

following definition, which is equivalent to the one given by Maruyama and Yokogawa [MY], although different notationally.

**Definition 1.1.** A parabolic sheaf on (X, D) is a torsion free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module E, together with a decreasing  $\mathbb{R}$ -indexed filtration by coherent subsheaves such that

P1. 
$$E^0 = E$$
.

P2. 
$$E^{\alpha+1} = E^{\alpha}(-D)$$
.

P3. 
$$E^{\alpha-c} = E^{\alpha}$$
 for any  $0 < c \ll 1$ .

P4. The subset of  $\alpha$  such that  $Gr^{\alpha}E \neq 0$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Here  $Gr^{\alpha}E := E^{\alpha}/E^{\alpha+\epsilon}$  for  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ .

We refer to the filtration as a parabolic structure. The numbers  $\alpha$  such that  $Gr^{\alpha}E \neq 0$  are called weights. A weight is normalized if it lies in [0,1). The axioms imply that the ordered set of positive normalized weights  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_\ell < 1$  together with the reindexed filtration

$$E = F^{0}(E) \supseteq F^{1}(E) = E^{\alpha_{1}} \supseteq F^{2}(E) = E^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots \supseteq F^{\ell+1}(E) = E(-D)$$

determines the whole parabolic structure. We refer to the last filtration as a *quasi-parabolic structure*. Thus a parabolic structure consists of a quasi-parabolic structure together with a choice of normalized weights. In certain situations, we will need to perturb the weights.

**Definition 1.2.** Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we say that two parabolic sheaves  $E^*$  and  $E'^*$  are  $\epsilon$ -close if the underlying sheaves with quasi-parabolic structures are isomorphic, and the normalized weights satisfy  $|\alpha_i - \alpha_i'| < \epsilon$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A parabolic bundle on (X, D) consists of a vector bundle E on X with a parabolic structure, such that as a filtered bundle, E is Zariski locally a sum of rank one bundles. (See the discussion after example 1.5 for further explanation).

Many authors use a weaker definition. However, we have followed Iyer and Simpson [IS] in adopting what they call a locally abelian parabolic bundle as our definition. Certain notions and constructions given later (weight vectors, Biswas' correspondence) become more straightforward with this definition. We have, however, retained the original scalar indexing from [MY], which is more convenient for our purposes.

We describe a few basic examples.

**Example 1.4.** Any vector bundle E can be given a parabolic structure with a single weight 0 and  $E^i = E(-iD)$ . We refer to this as the trivial parabolic structure.

**Example 1.5.** Given any line bundle L and coefficients  $\beta_i \in [0, 1)$  for each component  $D_i$  of D, we have the following parabolic line bundle

(1) 
$$L^{\alpha} := L\left(\sum -\lfloor 1 + \alpha - \beta_i \rfloor D_i\right)$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is the floor function.

We will see shortly that the weights are exactly the  $\beta_i$ . We can assemble these into a vector  $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  that we call the normalized weight vector for  $L^*$ . We can make this independent of the labeling by viewing it as an element of  $\text{Hom}_{Set}(\text{Comp}(D), \mathbb{R})$ , where Comp(D) is the set of irreducible components of D. We can recover the normalized weight vector from the parabolic structure alone: the *i*th component of the weight vector is  $\beta_i$  if and only if  $Gr^{\beta_i}L$  is nonzero at the generic point of  $D_i$ . It follows easily that any parabolic line bundle is isomorphic to the one above for some unique normalized weight vector. Our definition says that Zariski locally a parabolic bundle is a direct sum of parabolic line bundles. It would equivalent to formulate this in the analytic topology. The proof is implicit in the argument given the first paragraph of [IS, p 361].

We will determine the weights and quasi-parabolic structure for the above example. To simplify the notation, reindex the  $D_i$ , so that  $0 \le \beta_1 \le \cdots \le \beta_n < 1$ . The following is straightforward.

**Lemma 1.6.** The set of normalized weights is exactly the set  $\{\beta_i\}$ . If we list the weights union 0 in increasing order  $0 = \beta_{r_0} < \beta_{r_1} \cdots < \beta_{r_n}$ , then

$$F^{i}(L) = L(-D_1 - \dots - D_{r_i})$$

We want to extend the notion of normalized weight vectors to parabolic bundles. Given a Zariski open  $U \subseteq X$ , we have a restriction

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Comp}(D),\mathbb{R}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Comp}(U \cap D),\mathbb{R}).$$

Suppose that we are given a Zariski open cover  $\{U_i\}$  of X such that each  $E|_{U_i}$  is a sum of parabolic line bundles. We say that  $\beta$  is a normalized weight

vector of E if for each i,  $\beta|_{U_i}$  is a normalized weight vector of a line bundle summand of  $E|_{U_i}$ . This notion is easily seen to be independent of the cover.

**Example 1.7.** Suppose that  $(V_o, \nabla_o)$  is a vector bundle with an integrable connection with regular singularities over U. By Deligne [D], for each  $V^{\alpha}$  there exists a unique extension

$$\nabla^{\alpha}: V^{\alpha} \to \Omega^{1}_{X}(\log D) \otimes V^{\alpha}$$

with the eigenvalues of the residue  $Res_{D_i}(\nabla^{\alpha}) \in \operatorname{End}(V^{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_i})$  having real parts in  $[\alpha, 1 + \alpha)$ , for each irreducible component  $D_i$  of D. This again forms a parabolic bundle, that we refer to as the Deligne parabolic bundle. If the monodromy of  $\nabla_o$  around each component of D is unipotent, then  $V^*$  is trivial.

**Definition 1.8.** A parabolic Higgs sheaf or bundle on (X, D) is a parabolic sheaf or bundle  $E^*$  together with a holomorphic map

$$\theta: E \to \Omega^1_X(\log D) \otimes E$$

such that

$$\theta \wedge \theta = 0$$

and

$$\theta(E^{\alpha}) \subseteq \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes E^{\alpha}.$$

Natural examples come from variations of Hodge structure. These will be discussed in more detail in Section 5.

# 2. Biswas' correspondence

We will assume in this section that the weights are rational with denominator dividing a fixed positive integer N. Recall that Kawamata [K, Theorem 17] has constructed a smooth projective variety Y, and a finite map  $\pi: Y \to X$ , such that  $\tilde{D} := (\pi^*D)_{red}$  is a simple normal crossing divisor, and  $\pi^*D_i = k_i N(\tilde{D}_i)$  for some integer  $k_i > 0$ , where  $\tilde{D}_i = (\pi^*D_i)_{red}$ . By construction  $\pi: Y \to X$  is given by a tower of cyclic covers, so it is Galois. Let G denote the Galois group. A G-equivariant vector bundle on Y, is a bundle  $p: V \to Y$  (viewed geometrically rather than as a sheaf) on which G acts compatibly with p.

We list some basic classes of examples.

**Example 2.1.** For the above Galois covering  $\pi: Y \to X$  with Galois group G and any vector bundle V over X,  $\pi^*V$  can be made into a G-equivariant bundle, so that the projections p

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi^*V & \longrightarrow V \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\
Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} X
\end{array}$$

are compatible with the G-action. Fix any point  $y \in Y$ , then the action of isotropy subgroup of the point y on the fiber  $(\pi^*V)_y$  is trivial.

**Example 2.2.** With the same notation as the above, the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\tilde{D}_i)$  has an equivariant structure compatible with the one on  $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(D_i)$  under the isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\tilde{D}_i)^{\otimes k_i N} \cong \pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(D_i)$ .

There is a Higgs version of a G-equivariant bundle given by Biswas [B2]. A G-equivariant  $Higgs\ bundle$  is a pair  $(V, \theta)$ , with a G-equivariant bundle V on Y and an equivariant morphism  $\theta: V \to \Omega^1_Y(\log D) \otimes V$ , such that  $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ . Then we have the following results given by Biswas.

**Theorem 2.3 (Biswas [B1] [B2, Theorem 5.5]).** With the notation as above, we have the following two equivalences of categories:

- 1) An equivalence  $E^* \mapsto \mathcal{E}$  between the category of parabolic bundles on X with weights in  $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$  and G-equivariant bundles on Y.
- 2) An equivalence  $(E^*, \theta) \mapsto (\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)$  between the category of parabolic Higgs bundles on X with weights in  $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$  and G-equivariant Higgs bundles on Y.

We recall the construction in one direction for (1). Given an G-equivariant bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on Y, we obtain a parabolic bundle

(2) 
$$E^{\alpha} = (\pi_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(|-\alpha \cdot \pi^*D|))^G$$

where  $\lfloor -\alpha \cdot \pi^* D \rfloor = \sum_i \lfloor -\alpha_i k_i N \rfloor \tilde{D}_i$ .

Suppose that  $(V_o, \nabla_o)$  is a vector bundle with connection satisfying the assumptions of example 1.7. In addition, suppose that the eigenvalues of the monodromy around D are Nth roots of unity. Then the weights of the Deligne parabolic bundle lie in  $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore  $(\tilde{V}_o, \Box_o) := (\pi^*V_o, \pi^*\nabla_o)$ 

has unipotent local monodromies. Let  $(V, \nabla)$  and  $(\tilde{V}, \square)$  denote Deligne's extensions of  $V_o$  and  $\tilde{V}_o$ . The functoriallity of this construction [D, proposition 5.4], shows that  $\tilde{V}$  is equivariant.

**Lemma 2.4.** Biswas' construction applied to  $\tilde{V}$  yields  $V^*$ .

*Proof.* Since we will not need this result, we will merely outline the proof when dim X=1. Working locally, we may assume that  $V^*$  is a line bundle on X. Suppose the residue of  $\nabla$  at D is given by  $\beta$ . Let

$$\pi: Y \to X$$

by the cyclic cover of degree N branched over D such that  $\beta \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $\pi$  is locally given by  $y^N = x$ , where x and y are local coordinates defined on coordinate neighborhoods  $U \subset X$  and  $W \subset Y$ . We assume that D and  $\tilde{D} = (\pi^*D)_{\mathrm{red}}$  are defined by x = 0 and y = 0. Let  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  be the Galois group of  $\pi$ , and let  $\mu$  denote a generator.

Let e be a local frame of  $V^0$  such that  $\nabla^0$  is given by the connection matrix

$$\beta \frac{dx}{x}$$

Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  be an integer such that

$$\frac{j}{N} = \beta$$

Then, the connection matrix of  $\pi^*V^0$  locally on W will be given by

$$j\frac{dy}{u}$$

with respect to the frame  $s = \pi^* e$ .

Let  $W^* = W - \tilde{D}$ . We have an inclusion of bundles on  $Y - \tilde{D}$ 

$$\phi: \pi^* V_o \hookrightarrow \tilde{V}|_{Y-\tilde{D}}$$

which extends to an isomorphism

$$\phi: \pi^* V^0(j\tilde{D}) \to \tilde{V}$$

Locally on W, it is given by

$$\phi: O_W \cdot s \to O_W \cdot s$$
$$y^{-j}s \mapsto s$$

Now,  $\pi^*V^*(j\tilde{D})$  has a natural G-action, and the above isomorphism respect this action. Locally on W, the action of G on  $\tilde{V}$  can be described as

$$\mu \cdot s = \mu^{-j} \times s$$

We claim that

$$(\pi_* \tilde{V} \otimes O_Y(|-\alpha N|\tilde{D}))^G$$

is the extension of  $V_o$  whose residue lies in  $[\alpha, 1+\alpha)$ . Since  $(\pi_*\pi^*V_o)^G = V_o$ , We have the natural inclusion

$$V_o \hookrightarrow (\pi_* \tilde{V})^G$$

on X - D.

Next, let  $\nabla^{\alpha}$  be the connection on  $(\pi_* \tilde{V} \otimes O_Y(\lfloor -\alpha N \rfloor \tilde{D}))^G$ , we compute  $\operatorname{Res}^D \nabla^{\alpha}$ . Locally on  $U, y^{j-\lfloor -\alpha N \rfloor} s$  is a basis for  $(\pi_* \tilde{V})^G$ . So

$$\operatorname{Res}_D \nabla^\alpha = \frac{j - \lfloor -\alpha N \rfloor}{N}$$

which lies in  $[\alpha, 1+\alpha)$ , as claimed. This proves the lemma.

#### 3. Parabolic Chern classes

We give a quick definition of parabolic Chern classes. Given the polynomial

$$\prod_{1}^{r} (1 + t(x_i + y_i))$$

we may write the coefficient of  $t^k$  as a polynomial  $P_k(s_1, \ldots, s_r, y_1, \ldots, y_r)$  in the elementary symmetric polynomials  $s_i = s_i(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$  and the remaining variables  $y_j$ . Given a rank r parabolic bundle  $E^*$  with normalized weight vectors  $\alpha^{(1)} = (\alpha_i^{(1)}), \ldots, \alpha^{(r)} = (\alpha_i^{(r)})$ , we define the parabolic Chern class, in real cohomology, by

$$\operatorname{par-c}_{k}(E^{*}) = P_{k}\left(c_{1}(E), \dots, c_{r}(E), \sum \alpha_{i}^{(1)}[D_{i}], \dots, \sum \alpha_{i}^{(r)}[D_{i}]\right)$$

We can unpack this formula with the help of the splitting principle. For a parabolic line bundle  $L^*$  with notation as in example 1.5, the weights of  $L^*$ 

in the interval [0,1) are  $(\beta_i)$ . Then we see that the parabolic first Chern class of  $L^*$  is

(3) 
$$\operatorname{par-c}_{1}(L^{*}) = c_{1}(L) + \sum_{i} \beta_{i}[D_{i}].$$

Given a parabolic bundle  $E^*$  of rank r, let  $p: Fl(E) \to X$  denote the full flag bundle of E. The pullback  $p^*E$  carries a filtration  $F^i \subset E$  by subbundles such that associated graded  $G_i = F^i/F^{i+1}$  are line bundles. The parabolic structure on E can be pulled back to a parabolic structure on  $p^*E$  along  $p^*D$ , and each  $G_i$  carries the induced parabolic structure. One sees from above that:

**Lemma 3.1.** The parabolic Chern classes satisfy

$$1 + \sum p^* \text{par-}c_i(E^*) = \prod_i (1 + \text{par-}c_1(G_i^*))$$

**Lemma 3.2 (Biswas).** Given any parabolic vector bundle  $E^*$  with weights in  $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\pi: Y \to X$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  be the G-equivariant bundle corresponding to  $E^*$  as in Theorem 2.3. Then

$$\pi^* \operatorname{par-c}_i(E^*) = c_i(\mathcal{E})$$

*Proof.* We can use Lemma 3.1 and the injectivity of the map  $H^*(X, \mathbb{R}) \to H^*(Fl(E), \mathbb{R})$  to reduce this to the case where i = 1 and  $E^* = L^*$  is a line bundle. Let us use  $\mathcal{L}$  instead of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then

$$\pi^* \text{par-c}_1(L^*) = c_1(\pi^* L) + \sum_i \beta_i [\pi^* D_i] = c_1(\pi^* L) + \sum_i k_i \beta_i N[\tilde{D}_i].$$

By Biswas [B1, (3.11)],

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) = c_1(\pi^* L) + \sum_i \beta_i k_i N[\tilde{D}_i] = \pi^* \text{par-} c_1(L^*).$$

**Lemma 3.3.** Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and a parabolic bundle  $E^*$  with trivial parabolic Chern classes, there exists a parabolic bundle  $E'^*$  with trivial parabolic Chern classes and rational weights which is  $\epsilon$ -close to  $E^*$ .

*Proof.* We first treat the case where  $E^*$  is a line bundle with normalized weight vector  $\alpha = (\alpha_i)$ . By the assumption, we have

$$par-c_1(E^*) = c_1(E) + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \cdot [D_j] = 0 \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$$

Since  $c_1(E)$  and  $[D_j]$  are in  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$ , the above equation defines a rational affine subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The rational vectors are dense in this subspace. Therefore we can choose a rational vector  $\alpha' = (\alpha'_i)$  with  $|\alpha'_j - \alpha_j| < \epsilon$  for all i and

$$c_1(E) + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_j \cdot [D_j] = 0 \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Now we do the general case. By the assumption that  $\operatorname{par-c}_i(E^*)=0$  in  $H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $p^*\operatorname{par-c}_i(E^*)=0$  in  $H^{2i}(Fl(E),\mathbb{R})$ . By Lemma 3.1, we know that  $\operatorname{par-c}_1(G_k^*)=0$  in  $H^2(Fl(E),\mathbb{R})$  for all k. After identifying  $\operatorname{Comp}(D)=\operatorname{Comp}(p^*D)$ , we may identify weight vectors of  $E^*$  and  $p^*E^*$ . It is easy to see that the normalized weight vectors of  $E^*$  are precisely the weight vectors of the various  $G_k^*$ . By the first paragraph, we can find  $G_k'^*$ ,  $\epsilon$ -close to  $G_k^*$ , having rational normalized weights, and  $\operatorname{par-c}_1(G_k'^*)=0$ . Let  $E'^*$  be  $E^*$  as a quasi-parabolic bundle, but with the normalized weight vectors of  $G_k'^*$ .

# 4. Stability and semistability

In this section, we will recall the definitions of (semi)stability for parabolic and equivariant Higgs sheaves. There are in fact two different notions:  $\mu$ , or slope, (semi)stability and p-, or Hilbert polynomial, (semi)stability. The  $\mu$ -(semi)stability condition behaves well with respect to Biswas' correspondence, while p-(semi)stability is more convenient for the construction of moduli spaces.

We fix a very ample line bundle H on X. For a parabolic sheaf  $E^*$ , we have the following numerical invariants defined by Maruyama and Yokogawa [MY]. The parabolic Hilbert polynomial of  $E^*$  is

(4) 
$$\operatorname{par-}P_{E^*}(m) := \int_0^1 P_{E^t}(m)dt$$

where  $P_{E^t}(m)$  is the Hilbert polynomial of  $E^t$  with respect to H. The normalized parabolic Hilbert polynomial of  $E^*$  is

$$par-p_{E^*}(m) := par-P_{E^*}(m) / rank(E).$$

The parabolic degree of  $E^*$  is defined to be

(5) 
$$\operatorname{par-deg}(E^*) := \int_0^1 \operatorname{deg}(E^t) dt + \operatorname{rank}(E) \cdot \operatorname{deg}(D)$$

where  $deg(E^t)$  is the usual degree of  $E^t$ , with respect to H. The parabolic H-slope of  $E^*$  is  $par-\mu_H(E^*) := par-deg(E^*)/rank(E)$ .

For a parabolic Higgs sheaf  $(E^*, \theta)$ , the above invariants are defined to be that of its underlying parabolic sheaf  $E^*$ . We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** For any parabolic bundle  $E^*$ , we have

$$\operatorname{par-deg}(E^*) = \operatorname{par-}c_1(E^*) \cdot H^{d-1}$$

(We recall that  $d = \dim X$ .)

*Proof.* By taking top exterior powers, we may reduce to the case where  $E^* = L^*$  is a line bundle. We may assume that  $L^*$  is as described in example 1.5 with  $0 \le \beta_1 \le \cdots \le \beta_n < \beta_{n+1} = 1$ . In fact, we may assume that the  $\beta_i$  form a strictly increasing sequence, because both sides of the expected formula depend continuously on these parameters. Then by Lemma 1.6, the normalized weights are given by  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$  and the filtration by  $F^i(L) = L(-D_1 - \cdots - D_i)$ .

By (5), the left hand side of the purported equation is

$$par-deg(L^*) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} deg(F^i(L)) \cdot (\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i) + deg(D)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left( c_1(L) - \sum_{j=1}^{i} c_1(D_j) \right) \cdot H^{d-1} \cdot (\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i) + deg(D)$$

$$= deg(L) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \beta_i deg(D_i)$$

By (3), the right hand side is

$$\operatorname{par-}c_1(L^*) \cdot H^{d-1} = \left(c_1(L) + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \cdot c_1(D_j)\right) \cdot H^{d-1}$$
$$= \operatorname{deg}(L) + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \operatorname{deg}(D_j)$$

We can define (semi)stability of parabolic and G-equivariant Higgs bundles using the numerical invariants defined above.

**Definition 4.2** ([B2][MY]). 1) A parabolic Higgs sheaf  $(E^*, \theta)$  on X is called  $\mu_H$ -stable (resp.  $\mu_H$ -semistable), or simply slope stable (resp. semistable), if for any coherent saturated subsheaf V of E, with  $0 < \operatorname{rank} V < \operatorname{rank} E$  and  $\theta(V) \subseteq V \otimes \Omega^1_X(\log D)$ , the condition

$$par-\mu_H(V^*) < par-\mu_H(E^*) \ (resp. \ par-\mu_H(V^*) \le par-\mu_H(E^*))$$

is satisfied, where  $V^*$  carries the induced the parabolic structure from  $E^*$ , i.e.  $V_{\alpha} := V \cap E^{\alpha}$ . Slope stability or semistability, with respect to  $\pi^*H$ , for a G-equivariant Higgs sheaf  $(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)$  on Y is defined similarly, where in addition  $\mathcal{V}$  is required to be a G-equivariant subsheaf.

2) A parabolic Higgs sheaf  $(E^*, \theta)$  on X is called p-stable (resp. p-semistable) if for any coherent saturated subsheaf V of E, with  $0 < \operatorname{rank} V < \operatorname{rank} E$ , and  $\theta(V) \subseteq V \otimes \Omega^1_X(\log D)$ , the condition

$$\operatorname{par-}p_{V^*}(m) < \operatorname{par-}p_{E^*}(m) \ (\operatorname{resp.} \ \operatorname{par-}p_{V^*}(m) \leq \operatorname{par-}p_{E^*}(m))$$

is satisfied for all sufficiently large integers m, where  $V^*$  carries the induced parabolic structure.

**Lemma 4.3.** A  $\mu_H$ -stable parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E^*, \theta)$  on (X, D) is p-stable.

*Proof.* Denote H by  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ . For any torsion free sheaf E, the Hilbert polynomial  $P_E(m) = \dim H^0(X, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m))$ , for  $m \gg 0$ . In particular,  $P_E$  can

be uniquely written in the form

$$P_E(m) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i(E) \frac{m^i}{i!}.$$

The rank of E is  $\operatorname{rank}(E) = \frac{a_d(E)}{a_d(\mathcal{O}_X)}$ . By the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula, we have  $\deg(E) = a_{d-1}(E) - \operatorname{rank}(E) \cdot a_{d-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ .

Now we consider the parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E^*, \theta)$ . For the parabolic Hilbert polynomial, we have

$$par-P_{E^*}(m) = \sum_{i=0}^d \left( \sum_{j=0}^l a_i(F^j(E))(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j) \right) \frac{m^i}{i!},$$

where  $\alpha_0 = 0$  and  $\alpha_{l+1} = 1$ . Then the normalized parabolic Hilbert polynomial of  $(E^*, \theta)$  is

(6) 
$$\operatorname{par-}p_{E^*}(m) = \frac{a_d(\mathcal{O}_X)}{a_d(E)} \sum_{i=0}^d \left( \sum_{j=0}^l a_i(F^j(E))(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j) \right) \frac{m^i}{i!}.$$

For the parabolic degree, we have

$$\operatorname{par-deg}(E^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{d-1}(F^j(E))(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j) + \operatorname{rank}(E) \cdot (\operatorname{deg}(D) - a_{d-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)).$$

Then the parabolic H-slope is

(7) 
$$\operatorname{par-}\mu_{H}(E^{*}) = \frac{a_{d}(\mathcal{O}_{X})}{a_{d}(E)} \sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{d-1}(F^{j}(E))(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_{j}) + \operatorname{deg}(D) - a_{d-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X}).$$

Since the parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E^*, \theta)$  is  $\mu_H$ -stable, for any coherent subsheaf V of E, satisfying the conditions of definition 4.2, we have

 $par-\mu_H(V^*) < par-\mu_H(E^*)$ , i.e.,

(8) 
$$\frac{a_d(\mathcal{O}_X)}{a_d(V)} \sum_{j=1}^l a_{d-1}(F^j(V))(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j) < \frac{a_d(\mathcal{O}_X)}{a_d(E)} \sum_{j=1}^l a_{d-1}(F^j(E))(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j).$$

In general, for any parabolic Higgs sheaf  $(G^*, \theta)$ , by the above equation (6), the leading term of par- $p_{G^*}(m)$  is always  $\frac{m^d}{d!}$ , and the d-1 degree term is

$$\frac{a_d(\mathcal{O}_X)}{a_d(G)} \sum_{j=1}^l a_{d-1}(F^j(G))(\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j) \frac{m^{d-1}}{(d-1)!}.$$

Hence we get

$$par-p_{V^*}(m) < par-p_{E^*}(m),$$

for all sufficiently large integers m, by the previous inequality (8).

The  $\mu$ -(semi)stability condition behaves well in Biswas's correspondence. In fact, we have the following result by Biswas.

Lemma 4.4 (Biswas [B2, Theorem 5.5]). Under Biswas's correspondence in Theorem 2.3,  $\mu_H$ -semistable (Higgs) bundles with weights in  $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$  correspond to  $\mu_{\pi^*H}$ -semistable G-equivariant (Higgs) bundles.

Finally, we note that a priori a  $\mu_{\pi^*H}$ -semistable G-equivariant Higgs bundle need not be  $\mu_{\pi^*H}$ -semistable in the usual sense. Fortunately, this is the case, and was observed by Biswas [B1, Lemma 2.7] in the vector bundle setting. The same reasoning applies here.

**Lemma 4.5.** For a G-equivariant Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)$ , if it is  $\mu_{\pi^*H}$ -semistable as a G-equivariant Higgs bundle, then the underlying Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)$  is  $\mu_{\pi^*H}$ -semistable in the usual sense.

*Proof.* We fix the polarization  $\mathcal{H} = \pi^* H$  on Y, which is a G-equivariant line bundle. By Simpson [S2, Lemma 3.1] (and the paragraph following the

lemma), there exists a canonical Harder-Narasimhan filtration by Higgs subsheaves

$$0 \subsetneq (\mathcal{E}_1, \vartheta|_{\mathcal{E}_1}) \subsetneq (\mathcal{E}_2, \vartheta|_{\mathcal{E}_2}) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq (\mathcal{E}_k, \vartheta|_{\mathcal{E}_k}) = (\mathcal{E}, \vartheta),$$

with strictly decreasing slope with respect to  $\mathcal{H}$ . This must be stable under G. So if  $(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)$  were not semistable in the usual sense, we would have

$$\mu_{\mathcal{H}}((\mathcal{E}_1, \vartheta|_{\mathcal{E}_1})) > \mu_{\mathcal{H}}((\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)),$$

contradicting semistability in the equivariant sense.

### 5. Review of nonabelian Hodge theory

Natural examples of parabolic Higgs bundles come from variations of Hodge structures. Suppose that  $(V_o, \nabla_o)$  is a flat bundle underlying a polarized variation of Hodge structure on X - D [G, SW] with unipotent monodromy around components of D. Then we can form the Deligne canonical extension V to  $V_o$ . The bundle  $V_o$  also carries a Hodge filtration  $F_o^{\bullet}$  satisfying Griffiths' transversality. By a theorem of Schmid [SW], the Hodge filtration extends to a filtration  $F^{\bullet}$  of V. Let  $E = \operatorname{Gr}_F V$ , and  $\theta = \operatorname{Gr}_F \nabla$ . Then, as observed already in [A],  $(E,\theta)$  is a Higgs bundle with trivial parabolic structure and trivial Chern classes. If the monodromies are quasi-unipotent, as in geometric examples, then we may use a Galois G-cover  $\pi: Y \to X$ , as in Section 2, such that  $\pi^* \nabla^o$  is unipotent. The Higgs bundle associated to  $\pi^* \nabla^o$  is naturally G-equivariant, and thus via Theorem 2.3, we get a parabolic Higgs bundle on X with rational weights and trivial parabolic Chern classes. To more general complex variations of Hodge structures, we can also associate a parabolic Higgs bundles with vanishing parabolic Chern classes (but real weights), but this relies on nonabelian Hodge theory. We review these ideas now, since they will be needed later. Let us start with a definition of a complex polarized variation of Hodge structures or a C-PVHS from the  $C^{\infty}$ point of view. Let  $A^{i,j}(H)$  denote the space of  $C^{\infty}(i,j)$ -forms with values in a bundle H.

**Definition 5.1.** A complex polarized variation of Hodge structures over U = X - D is a  $C^{\infty}$ -vector bundle H with a decomposition  $H = \bigoplus_{p} H^{p}$ , a flat connection  $\mathcal{D}$  and a horizontal indefinite Hermitian form  $k_{H}$ . These are

required to satisfy Griffiths' transversality

$$\mathcal{D}: H^p \longrightarrow A^{0,1}(H^{p+1}) \oplus A^{1,0}(H^p) \oplus A^{0,1}(H^p) \oplus A^{1,0}(H^{p-1}),$$

the decomposition  $\bigoplus_p H^p$  is orthogonal with respect to  $k_H$ , and  $k_H$  is positive (negative) definite on  $H^p$  with p is even (odd).

To relate this to the more traditional perspective, decompose  $\mathcal{D}$  into operators of types (1,0) and (0,1)

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{1,0} + \mathcal{D}^{0,1}$$

The operator  $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$  defines a complex structure on H, and let  $V_o$  denote the corresponding holomorphic bundle. The operator  $\nabla = \mathcal{D}^{1,0}$  induces a holomorphic connection on  $V_o$ , and  $F^pV_o = H^p \oplus H^{p+1} \oplus \cdots$  forms a holomorphic subbundle such that  $\nabla(F^pV_0) \subset \Omega^1_U \otimes F^{p-1}V_o$ . The graded holomorphic bundle  $E_o = \operatorname{Gr}_F V_o$  carries a Higgs field  $\theta = \operatorname{Gr}_F \nabla$ .

The Higgs bundle  $(E_o, \theta)$  can be constructed from a different point of view which is more general. First observe that after changing signs of  $k_H$  on odd  $H^p$ , we get a positive definite Hermitian form  $K_H$ . Suppose more generally that we are given a  $C^{\infty}$  flat bundle  $(H, \mathcal{D})$  with a Hermitian metric K over U, we can decompose  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{1,0} + \mathcal{D}^{0,1}$  as above. Let  $\delta'$  and  $\delta''$  be operators of type (1,0) and (0,1) such that  $\mathcal{D}^{1,0} + \delta''$  and  $\delta' + \mathcal{D}^{0,1}$  are metric connections with respect to the metric K, i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{D}^{0,1}u, v)_K + (u, \delta' v)_K = \mathcal{D}^{0,1}(u, v)_K, (\delta''u, v)_K + (u, \mathcal{D}^{1,0}v)_K = \delta''(u, v)_K,$$

for all local sections u, v of H. Define

$$\bar{\partial} := \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D}^{0,1} + \delta'')$$
$$\theta := \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D}^{1,0} - \delta')$$

**Definition 5.2.** A triple  $(H, \mathcal{D}, K)$  on U is called a *harmonic bundle* if the pseudo-curvature  $G_K := \bar{\partial}\theta = 0$ . A harmonic bundle  $(H, \mathcal{D}, K)$  is tame if the eigenvalues of the associated Higgs field  $\theta$  (which are multivalued 1-forms) have poles of order at most 1, near the divisor D.

Given a harmonic bundle  $(H, \mathcal{D}, K)$ , H equipped with  $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$  becomes a holomorphic bundle  $V_o$  over U with a holomorphic connection  $\nabla$  induced

from  $\mathcal{D}^{1,0}$ ; H equipped with  $\bar{\partial}$  becomes a holomorphic bundle  $E_o$  over U and  $\theta$  becomes a holomorphic Higgs field  $\theta: E_o \to \Omega^1_U \otimes E_o$ . If  $(H, \mathcal{D}, K)$  is tame, then both  $V_o$  and  $E_o$  extend to parabolic bundles over X making the latter into a parabolic Higgs bundle. Roughly speaking,  $E^{\alpha} \subset j_* E_o$  is generated by sections s, with  $|s(x)|_{K_H} = O(|f(x)|^{\alpha-\epsilon})$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , where f is a local equation for D. A similar description holds for  $V^{\alpha}$ . The connection  $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$  induces a logarthmic connection on  $V^{\alpha}$ .

We have the following correspondence given by Simpson [S3, main theorem] for curves and Mochizuki [M1, Theorem 1.4] for higher dimensional quasi-projective varieties.

**Theorem 5.3 (Kobayashi-Hitchin Correspondence).** For the quasiprojective variety X-D and ample line bundle H over X, we have a one to one correspondence between tame harmonic bundles  $(H, \mathcal{D}, K)$  and  $\mu_{H}$ polystable parabolic Higgs bundles  $(E^*, \theta)$  with vanishing parabolic Chern classes, where " $\mu_{H}$ -polystable" bundle means a direct sum of  $\mu_{H}$ -stable bundles.

Mochizuki [M3, Theorem 1.1] gives a stronger statement, which will not need. A key example of a tame harmonic is given by  $\mathbb{C}$ -PVHS. This has certain extra features as well.

**Proposition 5.4.** Any  $\mathbb{C}$ -PVHS  $(H, \mathcal{D}, k_H)$  with metric  $K_H$  over U is a tame harmonic bundle. The resulting parabolic structure on V agree with the Deligne parabolic structure. The filtration

$$F^p V^\alpha = V^\alpha \cap j_* F^p V$$

gives a filtration by subbundles, and the associated graded  $Gr_FV^{\alpha}$  can be identified with  $E^{\alpha}$ .

A proof can be found in [Br, Section 7], although the result is not explicitly stated in this form. The proposition gives a grading on E by  $Gr_F^pV^0$ . Let

$$(9) F^{\max}V = Gr_F^p V^0 = F^p V^0$$

where p is the largest integer for which this is nonzero. We will refer to this as the *smallest Hodge bundle* associated to the variation.

Corollary 5.5. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -PVHS gives rise to a  $\mu_H$ -polystable parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E^*, \theta)$  with vanishing parabolic Chern classes. Furthermore  $\theta$  is nilpotent in the sense that it has zero eigenvalues.

*Proof.* The last statement follows from the fact that  $\theta$  shifts the grading by -1.

Next, we recall some facts about the moduli space of parabolic Higgs sheaves and the Hitchin fibration from Yokogawa [Y]. Let  $\Gamma$  denote the following data: a positive integer m, system of rational weights  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_l < 1$  and polynomials  $P, P_1, \ldots, P_l$ . Consider the following contravariant functor:

$$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(X,D,\Gamma): Sch/\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}et$$

which assigns to any scheme S, the set of isomorphism classes of flat families of rank m parabolic Higgs sheaves  $(E^*, \theta)$  over  $(X \times S, D \times S)$  with the following properties

- 1) For each closed point s of S,  $(E_s^*, \theta_s) := (E^*, \theta)_s$  has weights  $\alpha$  with quasi-parabolic structure  $E_s \supseteq F^1(E_s) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F^l(E_s) \supseteq E_s(-D \times \{s\})$ .
- 2)  $(E_s^*, \theta_s)$  is p-semistable.
- 3) The Hilbert polynomial of  $E_s$  with respect to polarization H is P. The Hilbert polynomials of  $E_s/F^i(E_s)$  are  $P_i$ .
- 4) The parabolic Chern classes of  $(E_s^*, \theta_s)$  vanish.

Define an equivalence relation on  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(X,D,\Gamma)(S)$  by  $(E^*,\theta) \sim (E'^*,\theta')$  if and only if there exists a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  over S, such that  $Gr_*^W(E^*,\theta) \cong Gr_*^{W'}(E'^*,\theta') \otimes \mathcal{L}$ , where W,W' are Jordan-Hölder filtrations (defined on [Y, p 457]). Define

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(X, D, \Gamma)(S) = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(X, D, \Gamma)(S) / \sim$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Gamma)$  the subfunctor of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(X, D, \Gamma)$  consisting of all flat families of p-stable parabolic Higgs bundles. Then we have the following theorem given by Yokogawa [Y].

**Theorem 5.6 (Yokogawa).** There exist quasiprojective moduli spaces  $M(X, D, \Gamma) \subset \overline{M}(X, D, \Gamma)$  coarsely representing the functors  $\mathcal{M}(X, D, \Gamma)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(X, D, \Gamma)$  respectively. The closed points of  $M(X, D, \Gamma)$  are in one to one correspondence to the isomorphic classes of p-stable parabolic Higgs

bundles  $(E^*, \theta)$  of rank m over (X, D) with weights  $\alpha$ , Hilbert polynomials  $\mathcal{P}$ , and vanishing Chern classes.

**Remark 5.7.** Yokogawa states the second result for a space of stable sheaves slightly larger than our  $M(X, D, \Gamma)$ . Points of  $\overline{M}(X, D, \Gamma)$  are  $\sim$ -equivalence classes of p-semistable sheaves on X.

We will need to recall a few details of the construction. Yokogawa [Y,  $\S 2$ ] shows there is a scheme  $R^{ss}$  on which a special linear group G acts, such that there are inclusions

$$M(X, D, \Gamma) \subset \overline{M}(X, D, \Gamma) \subset R^{ss} /\!\!/ G$$

where the last space is the GIT quotient. Let  $R^0 = R^0(X, D, \Gamma)$  denote the preimage of  $\overline{M}(X, D, \Gamma)$  in  $R^{ss}$ . Then also by construction,  $X \times R^0$  comes with a family of parabolic sheaves inducing the quotient map  $R^0 \to \overline{M}(X, D, \Gamma)$ . We will refer to this as the semi-universal sheaf.

Yokogawa has also generalized the construction and properties of the Hitchin map of Simpson [S1, S2] in the non-log case.

Theorem 5.8 (Yokogawa). There is a Hitchin map

$$\mathfrak{h}: \overline{M}(X,D,\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{V}(X,m) := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} H^0(X,S^i\Omega^1_X(\log D)).$$

given by sending  $(E^*, \theta)$  to its characteristic polynomial. This map is projective.

**Remark 5.9.** Note that  $\mathfrak{h}(E^*, \theta) = 0$  if and only if  $\theta$  is nilpotent.

# 6. Vanishing theorem: nilpotent case

In this section, we prove a vanishing theorem for the de Rham complex of any  $\mu_H$ -semistable parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E^*, \theta)$ , with vanishing parabolic Chern classes and nilpotent Higgs field  $\theta$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** Let  $(E^*, \theta)$  be a  $\mu_H$ -semistable parabolic Higgs bundle. Then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  such that any parabolic Higgs bundle  $\epsilon$ -close to  $(E^*, \theta)$  is  $\mu_H$ -semistable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(E^*, \theta)$  is stable. Let us denote the normalized weights by  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ , and the quasiparabolic structure by  $E = F^0(E) \supsetneq F^1(E) \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq F^r(E) \supsetneq E(-D)$ . Denote the degree of each bundle  $F^i(E)$  by  $d_i(E)$  and  $d_i(V) = \deg V \cap F^i(E)$  for any subsheaf  $V \subseteq E$ . Suppose V satisfies the conditions in definition 4.2, then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{r} d_i(V)(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)}{\operatorname{rank} V} < \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{r} d_i(E)(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)}{\operatorname{rank} E}$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} (\operatorname{rank} V \cdot d_i(E) - \operatorname{rank} E \cdot d_i(V))(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i) > 0.$$

Since for any V, rank  $V \cdot d_i(E)$  – rank  $E \cdot d_i(V)$  are integers, we can find  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} (\operatorname{rank} V \cdot d_i(E) - \operatorname{rank} E \cdot d_i(V))(\alpha'_{i+1} - \alpha'_i) > 0$$

for  $|\alpha_i' - \alpha_i| < \epsilon$ .

If  $(E^*, \theta)$  is semistable, we have the Jordan-Hölder filtration of  $(E^*, \theta)$ 

$$0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset (E^*, \theta)$$

such that the quotients  $W_i/W_{i-1}$  are stable. We apply the above argument to these subquotients.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let  $(E^*, \theta)$  be a  $\mu_H$ -semistable parabolic Higgs bundle with zero parabolic Chern classes. There exists a  $\mu_H$ -semistable parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E'^*, \theta')$  with the same properties and rational weights such that  $(E, \theta) = (E', \theta')$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 6.1.  $\Box$ 

We discuss the penultimate forms of the main result. Given any parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E^*, \theta)$ , we have the associated de Rham complex

$$DR(E, \theta) = E \xrightarrow{\theta} \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes E \to \cdots$$

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $(E^*, \theta)$  be a  $\mu_H$ -semistable parabolic Higgs bundle on (X, D) with vanishing Chern classes and with  $\theta$  nilpotent. Let L be an ample line bundle on X. Then

$$\mathbb{H}^i(X, \mathrm{DR}(E, \theta) \otimes L) = 0$$

for i > d, where  $d = \dim X$ .

*Proof.* For the  $\mu_H$ -semistable parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E^*, \theta)$  on X, with trivial parabolic Chern classes, and  $\theta$  nilpotent, by proposition 6.2, we can find a new  $\mu_H$ -semistable parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E'^*, \theta)$  on X, with trivial parabolic Chern classes,  $\theta$  nilpotent, and rational weights, such that  $(E', \theta) = (E, \theta)$ . Thus we can assume that the weights of  $(E^*, \theta)$  are in  $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^n$ , for some integer N.

Consider the Galois covering  $\pi: Y \to X$  as described in Section 2. By Theorem 2.3, Lemma 3.2, and Lemma 4.4, we can find a  $\mu_{\pi^*H}$ -semistable G-equivariant Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)$  on Y, such that  $c_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  and  $\vartheta$  is nilpotent. The nilpotency of  $\vartheta$  is coming from Biswas's construction of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Actually by Biswas [B1, (3.3)],  $\mathcal{E} \subset \pi^*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ , over which  $\theta$  acts nilpotently. Also, by Lemma 4.5, we know that  $(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)$  is  $\mu_{\pi^*H}$ -semistable as a Higgs bundle. Now we can apply the first main theorem of [A] to conclude

$$\mathbb{H}^{i}(Y, \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta) \otimes \pi^{*}L) = 0$$

Note that  $Y \to X$  is a tower of cyclic covers, a standard calculation yields

(10) 
$$\Omega_Y^i(\log \tilde{D}) \cong \pi^* \Omega_X^i(\log D)$$

So that by the projection formula

(11) 
$$\pi_*(\Omega_Y^i(\log \tilde{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi^*L)^G \cong \Omega_X^i(\log D) \otimes (\pi_*\mathcal{E})^G \otimes L$$
$$\cong \Omega_X^i(\log D) \otimes E \otimes L$$

This can be seen to induce an isomorphism of complexes

$$\pi_*(\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta) \otimes \pi^*L)^G \cong \mathrm{DR}(E, \theta) \otimes L$$

The next lemma shows that the natural map

$$\mathbb{H}^{i}(X, \mathrm{DR}(E, \theta) \otimes L) \to \mathbb{H}^{i}(Y, \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{E}, \theta) \otimes \pi^{*}L)^{G}$$

is an isomorphism.

**Lemma 6.4.** Given any cochain complex of complex vector spaces  $(C^{\bullet}, d)$  with a finite group G action, there is a natural isomorphism  $H^{i}((C^{\bullet})^{G}) \cong H^{i}(C^{\bullet})^{G}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the exactness of the functor  $(-)^G$  (Maschke's theorem).

Corollary 6.5. For a Higgs bundle  $(E^*, \theta)$  coming from a  $\mathbb{C}$ -PVHS we have

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}(E,\theta)\otimes L)=0$$

for i > d.

By the similar argument, we get a stronger result. We refer to [L] for definitions of nef and ample vector bundles.

**Theorem 6.6.** Let  $(E^*, \theta)$  be a parabolic Higgs bundle satisfying the same assumptions as Theorem 6.3. Let M be a nef vector bundle on X such that  $M(-\Delta)$  is ample for some  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor supported on D with coefficients in [0,1). Then

$$\mathbb{H}^{i}(X, \mathrm{DR}(E, \theta) \otimes M(-D)) = 0$$

for  $i \geq d + \operatorname{rank} M$ , where  $d = \dim X$ .

*Proof.* This is essentially the same argument, and we explain the necessary modifications. We may assume rational weights with  $\pi: Y \to X$  as above. The hypotheses of the theorem implies that for all m > 0,  $\pi^* M^{\otimes m}(-\pi^* \Delta)$ , and therefore  $S^m(\pi^* M)(-\pi^* \Delta)$  is ample for all m > 0. Thus  $\pi^* M(-\frac{1}{m}\pi^* \Delta)$  is ample for all m. For  $m \gg 0$ , the coefficients of  $\frac{1}{m}\pi^* \Delta$  lie in [0,1). So we can apply [A, Theorem 3] (in place of [A, Theorem 1] above) to conclude that

(12) 
$$\mathbb{H}^{i}(Y, \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)(-D) \otimes \pi^{*}M) = 0$$

The dual of (10) is

$$\Omega_Y^i(\log \tilde{D})(-\tilde{D}) \cong \pi^* \Omega_X^i(\log D)(-D)$$

From this, we obtain

$$\pi_*(\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{E},\vartheta)(-\tilde{D})\otimes\pi^*M)^G\cong\mathrm{DR}(E,\theta)(-D)\otimes M$$

Putting this together with (12) proves the theorem.

Corollary 6.7. For a Higgs bundle  $(E^*, \theta)$  coming from a  $\mathbb{C}$ -PVHS we have

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}(E,\theta)\otimes M(-D))=0$$

for i > d.

**Remark 6.8.** By taking M = L(D) with L an ample line bundle, we see that Theorem 6.6 implies Theorem 6.3 by choosing  $\Delta = (1 - \epsilon)D$ , with  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ . Nevertheless, it seemed clearer to state and prove them separately.

#### 7. Vanishing theorem: general case

In this section, we will use the results in previous sections to prove the vanishing theorem for semistable parabolic Higgs bundles with vanishing parabolic Chern classes.

**Lemma 7.1.** Let M be a vector bundle on X. Let  $(E_1^*, \theta_1) \sim (E_2^*, \theta_2)$  be equivalent p-semistable bundles with  $(E_1, \theta_1)$  p-polystable (a direct sum of p-stable bundles). If

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}(E_1,\theta_1)\otimes M)=0$$

then

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}(E_2,\theta_2)\otimes M)=0$$

*Proof.* The assumptions say that  $(E_1, \theta_1) \cong Gr_*^J(E_2, \theta_2)$ , where J is a Jordan-Hölder filtration on  $(E_2, \theta_2)$ , and that

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}(Gr_*^J(E_2,\theta_2))\otimes M)=0$$

The conclusion follows easily from the exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathrm{DR}(J_{i-1}(E_2, \theta_2)) \to \mathrm{DR}(J_i(E_2, \theta_2)) \to \mathrm{DR}(Gr_i^J(E_2, \theta_2)) \to 0$$

and induction.  $\Box$ 

The following is the main theorem.

**Theorem 7.2.** Let  $(E_*, \theta)$  be a  $\mu_H$ -semistable parabolic Higgs bundle on (X, D) with vanishing parabolic Chern classes. Let M be a nef vector bundle

on X such that  $M(-\Delta)$  is ample for some  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor supported on D with coefficients in [0,1). Then

$$\mathbb{H}^{i}(X, \mathrm{DR}(E, \theta) \otimes M(-D)) = 0$$

for  $i > d + \operatorname{rank} M$ .

Proof. By Lemmas 7.1 and 4.3, it suffices assume that  $(E^*,\theta)$  is  $\mu_H$ -stable. By proposition 6.2, there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $(E^*,\theta)$  has rational weights  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_l < 1$  Let  $m = \operatorname{rank} E$ , and  $E \supsetneq F^1(E) \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq F^l(E) \supsetneq E(-D)$  denote the quasi-parabolic structure. Denote the Hilbert polynomials of E with respect to E by E, and the Hilbert polynomials of  $E/F^i(E)$  by E, respectively, and let E is a closed point, which is denoted by E, in the moduli space  $E/F^i(E) = E/F^i(E)$  described earlier. Now we consider the Hitchin map E is nilpotent and we are done by Theorem 6.3. Let E is E the complex affine line passing through 0 and E and E in E and E is nilpotent and we are done by Theorem 6.3. Let E is no loss of generality in assume that E is nilpotent and we are done by Theorem 6.3. Let E is no loss of generality in assume that E is nilpotent and we are done by Theorem 6.3. Let E is no loss of generality in assuming the E is nilpotent and the E is nilpotent and the E is nilpotent and E is nilpotent and the E is nilpotent and E is

$$t: \overline{M}(X, D, \Gamma) \to \overline{M}(X, D, \Gamma)$$
  
 $(E^*, \theta) \mapsto (E^*, t\theta)$ 

we will get a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit  $C_p$  of the point p as a curve in  $\overline{M}(X,D,\Gamma)$ . By properness of the Hitchin map, i.e., Theorem 5.8, we can extend the curve  $C_p$  to  $\overline{C}_p$  by adding a point  $p_0$  in the fiber  $\overline{M}(X,D,\Gamma)_0$  over 0 of the Hitchin fibration.

Now by earlier remarks, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \widetilde{C}_p & \longrightarrow & R^0(X,D,\Gamma) & & \widetilde{p} \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow^{pr} & & \downarrow \\ \overline{C}_p & \longrightarrow & \overline{M}(X,D,\Gamma) & & p \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow^{\mathfrak{h}} & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathfrak{h}(p) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{V}(X,m) & & \mathfrak{h}(p) \end{array}$$

where  $\widetilde{C}_p$  is some curve in  $R^0(X, D, \Gamma)$  mapping finitely to  $\overline{C}_p$ . Let  $\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{p}_0 \in \widetilde{C}_p$  lie over p and  $p_0$  respectively. Now pullback the semi-universal parabolic bundle to the curve  $\widetilde{C}_p$  to obtain a parabolic bundle  $(\mathcal{E}^*, \vartheta)$  over  $X \times \widetilde{C}_p$ 

which is flat over  $\widetilde{C}_p$ . Note that for any point  $q \in \widetilde{C}_p$  not lying over  $p_0$ , the parabolic Higgs bundle  $(\mathcal{E}^*, \vartheta)_q$  is equivalent (under  $\sim$ ) to  $(E^*, t\theta)$ , for some  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , and is therefore stable. Consequently,  $(\mathcal{E}^*, \vartheta)_q \cong (E^*, t\theta)$ .

Now consider the parabolic Higgs bundle  $(E_0^*, \theta_0)$  over X corresponding to  $p_0$ . This is a fixed point for the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action, so by Mochizuki [M1, proposition 1.9] it must, in fact, come from a  $\mathbb{C}$ -PVHS. Hence by corollary 6.7, we have

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}((E_0,\theta_0))\otimes M(-D))=0, \text{ for } i>d.$$

By proposition 5.4 and Theorem 5.3, we have that  $(E_0^*, \theta_0)$  is  $\mu_H$ -polystable. Hence it is parabolic p-polystable by Lemma 4.3. Since  $(\mathcal{E}^*, \vartheta)_{\tilde{p}_0} \sim (E_0^*, \theta_0)$ , Lemma 7.1 and corollary 6.7 implies

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}((\mathcal{E},\vartheta)_{\tilde{p}_0})\otimes M(-D))=0, \text{ for } i>d.$$

Since

$$q \mapsto \dim \mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}((\mathcal{E}, \vartheta)_q) \otimes M(-D))$$

is upper semi-continuous,

$$\mathbb{H}^{i}(\mathrm{DR}((\mathcal{E},\vartheta)_{q})\otimes M(-D))=0$$

for i > d, and q in a small open nighborhood of  $\tilde{p}_0$  in  $\tilde{C}_p$ . Thus we get

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}(E,t\theta)\otimes M(-D))=0$$

for i > d and t small enough. This implies

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}(E,\theta)\otimes M(-D))=0$$

for i > d.

Corollary 7.3. If L be an ample line bundle over X, then

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}(E,\theta)\otimes L)=0$$

for i > d.

*Proof.* This follows from remark 6.8.

Remark 7.4. A second proof of this corollary can be obtained by redoing the proof of the theorem with corollary 6.5 in place of corollary 6.7. Although this still ultimately hinges on [A, Theorem 1] which was proved by characteristic p methods, it is possible to give an entirely characteristic 0 proof with the above trick as follows. The deformation argument used above shows that it suffices to prove

$$\mathbb{H}^i(\mathrm{DR}(E,\theta)\otimes L)=0, \quad i>d$$

when  $(E, \theta)$  comes from a  $\mathbb{C}$ -PVHS H. Since  $H \oplus \overline{H}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -PVHS, we are reduced to proving vanishing in this case. This can be done *in principle* by adapting Schnell's proof of Saito's vanishing [SC] to the category of pure  $\mathbb{R}$ -Hodge modules introduced in [SM].

#### 8. Semipositivity

As an application of the vanishing theorem, we can obtain a semipositivity theorem in the spirit the Fujita-Kawamata theorem. In fact, it was inspired by the fairly recent semipositivity results of Brunebarbe [Br] and Popa-Schnell [P, Theorem 47].

Given two parabolic Higgs bundles  $(E^*, \theta)$  and  $(G^*, \psi)$ , their tensor product becomes a parabolic bundle with filtration

$$(E^* \otimes G^*)^{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} E^{\beta} \otimes G^{\gamma}$$

and Higgs field  $\theta \otimes I_G + I_E \otimes \psi$ . It is possible for  $(E^* \otimes G^*)^0 \supseteq E \otimes G$ . However, there is an evident criterion for equality.

**Lemma 8.1.** We have  $(E \otimes G)^0 = E \otimes G$  if the only solution to  $\beta + \gamma = 0$  is  $\beta = \gamma = 0$ , where  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are weights of E and G.

We can also define the symmetric powers of  $(E^*, \theta)$  as a quotient of the tensor power by the symmetric group.

Corollary 8.2. Suppose that the weights of  $(E^*, \theta)$  satisfy

$$\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_n} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{i_1} = \dots = \alpha_{i_n} = 0$$

Then 
$$S^n(E^*)^0 = S^n(E)$$
.

Given two tame harmonic bundles their tensor product carries a tame harmonic metric, and this is compatible with tensor products of the parabolic Higgs bundles. These facts are summarized in the proof of [M3, corollary 5.18], although the details appear in [M2]. Therefore by combining this with Theorem 5.3, we obtain:

**Proposition 8.3.** If  $(E^*, \theta)$  and  $(G^*, \psi)$  are  $\mu_H$ -polystable Higgs bundles with trivial parabolic Chern classes, then their tensor product and symmetric powers have the same properties.

**Theorem 8.4.** Suppose that  $(E,\theta)$  is a  $\mu_H$ -polystable parabolic Higgs bundle on (X,D) with vanishing parabolic Chern classes, and that there is a decomposition  $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$  such that  $\theta(E) \subseteq \Omega^1_X(\log D) \otimes E_-$ . Let L be a nef line bundle on X such that  $L(-\Delta)$  is ample for some  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor supported on D with coefficients in [0,1). Then

$$H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes E_+ \otimes L) = 0$$

for i > 0. Furthermore,  $E_+$  is nef.

*Proof.* The assumptions imply that

$$\omega_X \otimes E_+ \subseteq \mathrm{DR}(E,\theta)$$

is a direct summand. Therefore, there is an injection

$$H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes E_+ \otimes L) \to \mathbb{H}^i(X, \mathrm{DR}(E, \theta) \otimes L)$$

Therefore Theorem 7.2 implies the vanishing statement.

For some  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ , we can replace E with an  $\epsilon$ -close parabolic Higgs bundle with generic weights. Specifically, generic means that the conditions of corollary 8.2 hold for all n. This ensures that  $S^n(E^*)^0 = S^n(E)$ . Proposition 8.3 imply that this is  $\mu_H$ -polystable with trivial parabolic Chern classes. Furthermore, we get a decomposition as above with  $(S^nE)_+ = S^n(E_+)$ . Therefore

$$H^i(X, \omega_X \otimes S^n(E_+) \otimes L) = 0$$

for all n > 0 by the first part of the theorem. Now apply [A, Lemma 3.1] to conclude that  $E_+$  is nef.

We refer to Viehweg [V] for the definition and basic properties of weak positivity.

**Corollary 8.5.** Let  $V_o$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -PVHS on a smooth Zariski open subset U of a projective variety Z. Then the smallest Hodge bundle  $F^{\max}V_o$  extends to a torsion free sheaf F over Z which is weakly positive over U.

*Proof.* We can choose a resolution of singularities  $p: X \to Z$  which is an isomorphism over U and such that D = X - U has simple normal crossings. We have an extension  $F^{\max}V = Gr_F^{\max}V$  described in (9), which is nef by the above theorem. Set  $F = p_*F^{\max}V$ . This is weakly positive over U by [V, Lemma 1.4].

**Remark 8.6.** One can see that F is independent of the choice of resolution.

#### References

- [A] D. Arapura, Kodaira-Saito vanishing via Higgs bundles in characteristic p, Crelle's J. **755** (2019), 293–312.
- [B1] I. Biswas, *Parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles*, Duke Math. J. **88** (1997), no. 2, 305–325.
- [B2] I. Biswas, Chern classes of parabolic bundles, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **37** (1998), no. 4, 597–613.
- [Br] Y. Brunebarbe, Semi-positivity from Higgs bundles, arXiv:1707. 08495.
- [D] P. Deligne, Équations Différentielles À points Singuliers Réguliers, Lect. Notes Math. **163**, Springer-Verlag, (1970).
- [L] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in Algebraic Geometry. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2004).
- [K] Y. Kawamata, Characterization of abelian varieties, Compositio Math. 43 (1981), 253–276.
- [MY] M. Maruyama and K. Yokogawa, Moduli of parabolic stable sheaves, Math. Ann. 293 (1992), 77–99.
- [M1] T. Mochizuki, Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles and an application, Astérisque **309**, (2006).
- [M2] T. Mochizuki, Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor D-modules. II, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 185 (2007), no. 870, xii+565pp.
- [M3] T. Mochizuki, Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles. II, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009), no. 1, 359–455.
  - [G] P. Griffiths, Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds III, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 38 (1970).

- [IS] J. Iyer and C. Simpson, A relation between the parabolic Chern characters of the de Rham bundles, Math. Ann. 338 (2007), 347–383.
- [P] M. Popa, *Kodaira-Saito vanishing and applications*, Enseign. Math. **62** (2016), no. 1-2, 49–89.
- [SM] M. Saito, Decomposition theorem for proper Kähler morphisms, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 42 (1990), no. 2, 127–147
- [SW] W. Schmid, Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping, Invent. Math. 22 (1973), 211–319.
- [SC] C. Schnell, On Saito's vanishing theorem, Math. Res. Lett. 23 (2016), no. 2, 499–527.
- [S1] C. Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. Publications Mathématiques, **75** (1992), 5–95.
- [S2] C. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety, I, II, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. Publications Mathématiques 79/80 (1994)/(1995).
- [S3] C. Simpson. *Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 3, 713–770.
- [V] E. Viehweg, Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension of certain fiber spaces, Algebraic Varieties and Analytic Varieties (Tokyo, 1981), 329–353, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1983).
- [Y] Yokogawa, Compactification of moduli of parabolic sheaves and moduli of parabolic Higgs sheaves, J. Math. Kyoto 33 (1993), 451–504.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY

West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

E-mail address: arapura@purdue.edu

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: feng.hao.math@gmail.com} \ E ext{-}mail\ address: lihongshan8128@gmail.com}$ 

RECEIVED JANUARY 15, 2018