

# Saddle hyperbolicity implies hyperbolicity for polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$

ROMAIN DUJARDIN

We prove that for a polynomial diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , uniform hyperbolicity on the set of saddle periodic points implies that saddle points are dense in the Julia set. In particular  $f$  satisfies Smale's Axiom A on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $f$  be a polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with non-trivial dynamics. For such a dynamical system there are two natural definitions for the Julia set. The first one is in terms of normal families:  $J = J^+ \cap J^-$  is the set of points at which neither  $(f^n)_{n \geq 0}$  nor  $(f^{-n})_{n \geq 0}$  is locally equicontinuous. The second one is the closure  $J^*$  of the set of saddle periodic orbits. The inclusion  $J^* \subset J$  is obvious, and whether the reverse inclusion holds is one of the major open questions in higher dimensional holomorphic dynamics.

Following Bedford and Smillie [BS1], we say that  $f$  is *hyperbolic* if  $J$  is a hyperbolic set for  $f$ . Under this assumption we have a rather satisfactory understanding of the global dynamics of  $f$ . Indeed it was shown in [BS1] that under this assumption the forward and backward Julia sets  $J^+$  and  $J^-$  (see §2.1 below for precise definitions) are laminated by stable and unstable manifolds, that the Fatou set is the union of finitely many cycles of attracting basins, that  $f$  satisfies Smale's Axiom A on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and finally that  $J = J^*$ . It was shown by Buzzard and Jenkins [BJ] that  $f$  is structurally stable on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . There are also tentative models for a description of the topological dynamics on  $J$  (see Ishii [I] for a survey).

On the other hand it is sometimes more natural to postulate that  $f$  is uniformly hyperbolic on  $J^*$ . One reason is that this information can be read off from the periodic points of  $f$ . This happens for instance in the study of the stability/bifurcation dichotomy for families of polynomial automorphisms [DL, BD]. The global consequences of hyperbolicity on  $J^*$  are then less easy to analyze, in particular it does not *a priori* imply a uniform laminar structure on  $J^\pm$ .

The main result of this paper is that these two notions actually coincide.

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $f$  be a polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with non-trivial dynamics. If  $f$  is hyperbolic on  $J^*$ , then  $J = J^*$ .*

In particular, if  $f$  is hyperbolic on  $J^*$ , then it is hyperbolic in the sense of [BS1].

Recall that the Jacobian  $\text{Jac}(f)$  of a polynomial automorphism is a non-zero constant: thus  $f$  is dissipative when  $|\text{Jac}(f)| < 1$  and conservative when  $|\text{Jac}(f)| = 1$ .

This result was first announced in the dissipative case in [F], but the published proof is not correct<sup>1</sup>, and it has remained an intriguing open problem since then. Recently, Guerini and Peters [GP] managed to establish the result under the more stringent assumption that  $f$  is *substantially dissipative*, that is  $|\text{Jac}(f)| < d^{-2}$ , where  $d$  is the dynamical degree (see §2.1 for this notion). Observe that only *quasi-hyperbolicity* on  $J^*$  is assumed in [GP] while our approach seems to require the full strength of hyperbolicity.

The proof of the main theorem starts with the dissipative case (Section 3). We assume by contradiction that  $f$  is dissipative, hyperbolic on  $J^*$  and that  $J \neq J^*$ . In a first stage we show that for some  $p \in J^*$ ,  $J^-$  intersects  $W^s(p)$  along a non-trivial relatively open subset, which is an unexpected property in the dissipative setting (for instance in the substantially dissipative case, the main point of [GP] is to show that  $J^- \cap W^s(p)$  is totally disconnected). The main input here is the ergodic closing lemma that we obtained in a previous work [Du2]. In a second stage we use the results of [BS6] on the properties of stable slices of  $J^-$  together with some potential-theoretic ideas to actually derive a contradiction.

The conservative case is treated in Section 4 by a perturbative argument. If  $f$  is conservative and hyperbolic on  $J^*$ , we can find a holomorphic family  $(f_\lambda)$  with  $f_0 = f$  containing dissipative parameters, on which  $J^*$  moves under a holomorphic motion. Again we assume that  $J^*(f) \neq J(f)$ , and use the extension properties of the holomorphic motion of  $J^*$  obtained in [DL] to derive a contradiction from the previously established dissipative case.

---

<sup>1</sup>A first problem happens in the proof of [F, Thm. 2], which corresponds to Step 1 in our proof. Indeed in the construction of the “queer” disk  $V$ , the sequence  $(y_n)$  is contained in  $W^s(J^*)$  but not a priori in  $W_{\text{loc}}^s(J^*)$ , hence one cannot directly deduce that  $G^+(y_n) \geq c$ . Also, Lemma 6 is not correct: local product structure does not allow to transport whole components of  $W^s(x) \cap J$  to components of  $W^s(y) \cap J$  when  $x$  and  $y$  belong to the same global unstable manifold; in particular the boundedness of such a component is *not* an invariant property.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic facts on the dynamics of polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and hyperbolic dynamics, and establish a few preliminary results.

### 2.1. Vocabulary and basic facts

Let  $f$  be a polynomial diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with non-trivial dynamics. This is the case exactly when the *dynamical degree*

$$d = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\deg(f^n))^{1/n}$$

is larger than 1. By [FM] there exists a polynomial change of coordinates in which  $f$  is expressed as a composition of Hénon mappings  $(z, w) \mapsto (p_i(z) + a_i w, a_i z)$ . We fix such coordinates from now on. The degree of  $f$  is  $d = \prod \deg(p_i) \geq 2$  and the relation  $\deg(f^n) = d^n$  holds so that  $d$  coincides with the dynamical degree of the original map.

In these adapted coordinates, let

$$V_R^- = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |w| \geq R, |z| < |w|\}$$

and  $V_R^+ = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |z| \geq R, |w| < |z|\}$

and fix  $R_0 > 0$  so large that for  $R \geq R_0$   $f(V_R^+) \subset V_{2R}^+$  and  $f^{-1}(V_R^-) \subset V_{2R}^-$ . Hence the points of  $V_R^+$  (resp.  $V_R^-$ ) escape under forward (resp. backward) iteration. We denote by  $\mathbb{B}$  the bidisk  $D(0, R_0)^2$ . The non-wandering set of  $f$  is contained in  $\mathbb{B}$ .

An object (subset, current, or subvariety) in  $\mathbb{B}$  is said to be *vertical* (resp. *horizontal*) if its closure in  $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$  is disjoint from  $\{|z| = R_0\}$  (resp.  $\{|w| = R_0\}$ ). A vertical subvariety has a *degree*, which is the number of intersection points with a generic horizontal line.

Here are some standard facts and notation (see e.g. [BS1, BS2, BLS]):

- $K^\pm$  is the set of points with bounded forward orbits under  $f^{\pm 1}$  and  $K = K^+ \cap K^-$ . Note that  $K^+$  is vertical in  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $f(\mathbb{B} \cap K^+) \subset K^+$ . Similarly,  $K^-$  is horizontal and  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{B} \cap K^-) \subset K^-$ .
- The complement of  $K^+$  is denoted by  $U^+$  and the complement of  $K^-$  is  $U^-$ .
- $J^\pm = \partial K^\pm$  are the forward and backward Julia sets. If  $f$  is dissipative then  $K^- = J^-$ .

- $J = J^+ \cap J^-$  is the Julia set.
- $J^* \subset J$  is the closure of the set of saddle periodic points. It is also the support of the unique measure of maximal entropy  $d$ .

The *dynamical Green functions*  $G^\pm$  are defined by

$$G^\pm(z, w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d^{-n} \log^+ \|f^{\pm n}(z, w)\|$$

(where  $\log^+(x) = \max(\log(x), 0)$ ). These are non-negative continuous plurisubharmonic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , such that  $K^\pm = \{G^\pm = 0\}$  and  $G^\pm$  is pluriharmonic on  $U^{+/-} := \{G^{+/-} > 0\}$ . We let  $T^\pm = dd^c G^\pm$ . The maximum principle implies that  $\text{Supp}(T^\pm) = J^\pm$ .

The *restriction*  $T^+|_D$  of  $T^+$  to a complex submanifold  $D$  is a positive measure on  $D$  locally defined by  $\Delta(G^+|_D)$  and since  $G^+$  is continuous this measure coincides<sup>2</sup> with the wedge product  $T^+ \wedge [D]$ . A useful remark is that if  $x$  belongs to  $K^+$  and  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a holomorphic disk through  $x$  along which  $G^+$  is harmonic, then  $D \subset K^+$  and  $(f^n|_D)_{n \geq 1}$  is a normal family.

If  $p$  is a saddle periodic point or more generally if it belongs to a hyperbolic saddle set, it admits stable and unstable manifolds  $W^{s/u}(p)$ . Each of them is an immersed Riemann surface biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  and by [BS2, FS]  $W^s(p)$  (resp.  $W^u(p)$ ) is dense in  $J^+$  (resp.  $J^-$ ). A key point in the present paper is to analyse the topological properties of sets of the form  $K^- \cap W^s(p)$  or  $K^+ \cap W^u(p)$ . Following [DL], we define the *intrinsic topology* to be the topology induced on a stable (resp. unstable) manifold by the biholomorphism  $W^s \simeq \mathbb{C}$ , and the corresponding concepts of boundary, interior, etc. will be labelled with the subscript  $i$ :  $\partial_i$ ,  $\text{Int}_i$ , etc.

The following basic lemma will be used several times.

**Lemma 2.1 ([DL, Lemma 5.1]).** *Let  $p$  be a saddle periodic point. Then the boundary of  $W^s(p) \cap J^-$  relative to the intrinsic topology in  $W^s(p)$  is contained in  $J^*$ .*

We denote by  $W_{\mathbb{B}}^s(p)$  the connected component of  $W^s(p) \cap \mathbb{B}$  containing  $p$  (and accordingly for  $W^u$ ). Likewise,  $W_\delta^s(p)$  is the connected component of  $W^s(p) \cap B(p, \delta)$  containing  $p$ , and  $W_{\text{loc}}^s(p)$  denotes an unspecified open neighborhood of  $p$  in  $W^s(p)$ .

By [BLS], the currents  $T^\pm$  have geometric structure, related to the decomposition of  $J^\pm$  into stable and unstable manifolds. By *lamination* by

---

<sup>2</sup>It is standard to define  $d^c = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ . Accordingly,  $\Delta$  here is  $1/2\pi$  times the ordinary Laplacian.

Riemann surfaces we mean a closed subset  $\mathcal{L}$  of some open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  such that every  $p \in \mathcal{L}$  admits a neighborhood  $B$  biholomorphic to a bidisk, such that in the corresponding coordinates, a neighborhood of  $p$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is a union of disjoint graphs (that is, a holomorphic motion) over the first coordinate in  $B$ . A positive current  $S$  is *uniformly laminar* if there is a lamination of  $\text{Supp}(S)$  by Riemann surfaces and in the corresponding local coordinates  $S$  is locally expressed as  $\int [\Delta_a] d\nu(a)$ . These disks will be said *subordinate* to  $S$ .

A holomorphic disk  $D$  is *subordinate* to  $T^+$  if there exists a non-zero uniformly laminar current  $S \leq T^+$  such that  $D$  is subordinate to  $S$ . By [Du1, Prop. 2.3], if  $p$  is any saddle point, then any relatively compact disk  $D \subset W^s(p)$  is subordinate to  $T^+$ .

### 2.2. Stable (dis)connectivity

It was shown in [BS6] that the connectivity properties of sets of the form  $K^+ \cap W^u(p)$  (resp.  $K^- \cap W^s(p)$ ) carry deep information on the geometry of the Julia set. We say that  $f$  is *stably connected* if  $U^+ \cap W^s(p)$  is simply connected for some (and then any) saddle point  $p$ , and *stably disconnected* otherwise. Equivalently,  $f$  is stably disconnected if for some saddle point  $p$ ,  $W^s(p) \cap K^-$  admits a compact component relative to the intrinsic topology. This actually implies the stronger property that most components of  $W^s(p) \cap K^-$  are points (see the proof of Lemma 3.2 below for more details).

By [BS6, Cor. 7.4], a dissipative polynomial automorphism is always stably disconnected. It was observed in [Du1] that this implies a strong non-extremality property for the current  $T^+|_{\mathbb{B}}$ : there exists a decomposition  $T^+|_{\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k^+$  where  $T_k^+$  is an average of integration currents over a family of disjoint vertical disks of degree  $k$  (see [Du1, Thm. 2.4]).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be dissipative and hyperbolic on  $J^*$  and let  $q \in J^*$ . Then  $q$  belongs to the support of  $T^+|_{W^u(q)}$  and for  $(T^+|_{W^u(q)})$ -a.e.  $q'$  near  $q$ ,  $W_{\mathbb{B}}^s(q')$  is a vertical manifold of finite degree in  $\mathbb{B}$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion easily follows from the fact that  $(f^n)_{n \geq 0}$  cannot be a normal family on  $W_{\text{loc}}^u(q)$  (see [BLS, Lemma 2.8]). The second one is a consequence of [Du1, Thm. 2.4]. Indeed as observed above  $T^+|_{\mathbb{B}}$  admits a decomposition  $T^+|_{\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k^+$  where  $T_k^+$  is made of vertical disks of degree  $k$ . Thus  $T^+|_{W_{\text{loc}}^u(q)} = T^+ \wedge [W_{\text{loc}}^u(q)] = \sum_k T_k^+ \wedge [W_{\text{loc}}^u(q)]$ . Now if  $\Gamma$  is a leaf of some  $T_k^+$  intersecting  $W_{\text{loc}}^u(q)$  at  $q'$ , then since  $W_{\text{loc}}^u(q)$  is subordinate to  $T^-$ ,  $q'$  belongs to  $J^*$  and  $\Gamma$  is a manifold through  $q'$  along which forward iterates are bounded, hence  $\Gamma = W_{\mathbb{B}}^s(q')$ . □

### 2.3. Hyperbolicity and local product structure

Let us recall some generalities from hyperbolic dynamics, specialized to our situation. A *(saddle) hyperbolic set* for  $f$  is a compact invariant set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $T\mathbb{C}^2|_\Lambda$  admits a hyperbolic splitting, i.e.  $T\mathbb{C}^2|_\Lambda = E^s \oplus E^u$ , where  $E^s$  and  $E^u$  are continuous line bundles such that  $E^s$  (resp.  $E^u$ ) is uniformly contracted (resp. expanded) by  $df$ . Then there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $W_{\delta_1}^s(\Lambda) := \bigcup_{p \in \Lambda} W_{\delta_1}^s(p)$  and  $W_{\delta_1}^u(\Lambda) := \bigcup_{p \in \Lambda} W_{\delta_1}^u(p)$  form laminations in the  $\delta_1$ -neighborhood of  $\Lambda$ .

A hyperbolic set is *locally maximal* if there exists an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{-n}(\mathcal{N})$ . It has *local product structure* if there exists  $0 < \delta_2 \leq \delta_1$  such that if  $p, q \in \Lambda$  are such that  $d(p, q) < \delta_2$  then  $W_{\delta_1}^s(p) \cap W_{\delta_1}^u(q)$  consists of exactly one point belonging to  $\Lambda$ . It turns out that these two properties are equivalent (see [Y, §4.1]).

We will use the following consequence of the shadowing lemma.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a compact locally maximal hyperbolic set for a polynomial diffeomorphism  $f$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then there exist positive constants  $\eta, \alpha$  and  $A$  such that for every  $n \geq 0$ ; if  $x$  is such that  $\{x, \dots, f^n(x)\} \subset \Lambda_\eta$  then there exists  $y \in \Lambda$  such that  $x$  is  $Ae^{-\alpha n}$ -close to the local stable manifold of  $y$ .*

*A similar result holds for negative iterates: if  $\{f^{-n}(x), \dots, x\} \subset \Lambda_\eta$  then there exists  $z \in \Lambda$  such that  $x$  is  $Ae^{-\alpha n}$ -close to the local unstable manifold of  $z$ .*

The following corollary is well-known.

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $\Lambda$  is a compact locally maximal hyperbolic set, then*

$$W^s(\Lambda) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}^2, f^n(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda \right\} = \bigcup_{p \in \Lambda} W^s(p)$$

and similarly

$$W^u(\Lambda) = \bigcup_{p \in \Lambda} W^u(p).$$

Note however that  $W^s(\Lambda)$ , being an increasing union of laminations, doesn't need to have a lamination structure (this is already false when  $\Lambda$  is a hyperbolic fixed point).

*Proof of Proposition 2.3 (sketch).* This is very classical. Given an orbit segment  $\{x, \dots, f^n(x)\}$  as in the statement of the proposition, let  $y^{(0)}$  (resp.

$y^{(n)}$  be a point in  $\Lambda$  such that  $d(x, y^{(0)}) < \eta$  (resp.  $d(x, y^{(n)}) < \eta$ ). Then define a  $\eta$ -pseudo-orbit  $(y^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  as follows

$$y^{(k)} = \begin{cases} f^k(y^{(0)}) & \text{for } k < 0; \\ f^k(x) & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n; \\ f^{k-n}(y^{(n)}) & \text{for } k > n. \end{cases}$$

Then if  $\eta$  is small enough by local maximality and the shadowing lemma there exists a unique  $y \in \Lambda$  such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d(f^k(y), y^{(k)}) < C\eta$  (where  $C$  is some constant depending on  $(f, \Lambda)$ , see [Y, §4.1]). In particular for  $0 \leq k \leq n$  we have  $d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) < C\eta$  and it follows from standard graph transform estimates that  $d(x, W_\delta^s(y)) \leq Ae^{-\alpha n}$ .  $\square$

The next result is a simple application of the techniques of [BLS].

**Proposition 2.5.** *If  $J^*$  is hyperbolic then it has local product structure. Furthermore global stable and unstable manifolds intersect only in  $J^*$ :*

$$W^s(J^*) \cap W^u(J^*) = J^*.$$

*Proof.* Hyperbolicity implies that for some  $\delta > 0$ , if  $p$  and  $q$  are close enough,  $W_\delta^s(p) \cap W_\delta^u(q)$  consists of a single point  $r$ . We have to show that  $r \in J^*$ . Indeed,  $W_\delta^s(p)$  (resp.  $W_\delta^u(q)$ ) is a disk subordinate to  $T^+$  (resp.  $T^-$ ) so there exists a non-trivial uniformly laminar current  $S^+ \leq T^+$  (resp.  $S^- \leq T^-$ ) with  $W_\delta^s(p)$  (resp  $W_\delta^u(q)$ ) as a leaf. By [BLS, Lem. 8.2],  $S^+$  and  $S^-$  have continuous potentials, so the wedge product  $S^+ \wedge S^-$  is well defined, and geometric intersection theory of uniformly laminar currents [BLS, Lem. 8.3] implies that  $r \in \text{Supp}(S^+ \wedge S^-)$ . Since  $S^+ \wedge S^- \leq T^+ \wedge T^-$  we conclude that  $r \in J^*$ .

The proof of the second assertion is similar. By local product structure,

$$W^s(J^*) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(W_\delta^s(J^*)),$$

hence if  $r \in W^s(J^*)$ , there exists  $p \in J^*$  such that  $r \in W^s(p)$  so  $r$  belongs to a disk subordinate to  $T^+$ , and likewise  $r \in W^u(q)$  so it belongs to a disk subordinate to  $T^+$ . Observe that these two disks are distinct: indeed otherwise we would have  $W^s(p) = W^u(q)$  which is impossible because  $W^s(p) \cap W^u(q)$  is contained in  $K$  which is bounded in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . So  $r$  is an isolated intersection between  $W^s(p)$  and  $W^u(q)$  for the leafwise topology. If this intersection is transverse, we argue as above to conclude that  $r$  belongs to  $J^*$ . If it is a

tangency, then by [BLS, Lem. 6.4] for  $q' \in J^*$  close to  $q$ , we get transverse intersections between  $W^s(p)$  and  $W^u(q')$  close to  $r$  and conclude as in the transverse case.  $\square$

### 2.4. Stability

A theory of stability and bifurcations for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  was developed in [DL], centered on the notion of *weak  $J^*$ -stability*.

A *branched holomorphic motion* over a complex manifold  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is a family of holomorphic graphs over  $\Lambda$  in  $\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2$ . It is a holomorphic motion (i.e. an unbranched branched holomorphic motion!) when these graphs are disjoint. A holomorphic family  $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of polynomial automorphisms of dynamical degree  $d$  is *weakly  $J^*$ -stable* if the sets  $J^*(f_\lambda)$  move under a branched holomorphic motion, and  *$J^*$ -stable* if this motion is unbranched. Note that if  $f_{\lambda_0}$  is uniformly hyperbolic on  $J^*(f_{\lambda_0})$ , then it is  $J^*$ -stable near  $\lambda_0$  in any holomorphic family containing  $f_{\lambda_0}$ .

A number of properties of weakly  $J^*$ -stable families are established in [DL], including extension properties of the branched holomorphic motion of  $J^*$  to  $K$  (and more generally to  $J^+ \cup J^-$ ), that will be used in Section 4. These properties hold under the standing assumption that the family  $(f_\lambda)$  is *substantial*<sup>3</sup>: this means that either all members of the family are dissipative, or that no relation of a certain form between multipliers of periodic points persistently holds in the parameter space  $\Lambda$ . Without entering into the details, let us just note that by [BHI, Thm. 1.4] any open subset of the family of all polynomial automorphisms of dynamical degree  $d$  is substantial.

### 3. Proof of the main theorem: the dissipative case

The proof is by contradiction so assume that  $f$  is a dissipative polynomial diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , that is uniformly hyperbolic on  $J^*$ , and that  $J^* \subsetneq J$ .

**Step 1.** *There exists  $p \in J^*$  and a holomorphic disk  $\Delta \subset W^s(p)$  such that  $G^-|_\Delta \equiv 0$ .*

The purpose of the remaining steps 2 and 3 will be to show that such a “queer” component of  $W^s(p) \cap J^-$  actually does not exist.

---

<sup>3</sup>There is an unfortunate terminological conflict here: this should not be confused with the notion of substantial dissipativity mentioned in the introduction.



*Proof.* We first claim that it is enough to show that there exists  $p \in J^*$  and  $q \in W^s(p)$  such that  $q \in J \setminus J^*$ . Indeed observe that  $W^s(p) \cap J = W^s(p) \cap J^- = W^s(p) \cap K^-$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have that  $\partial_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-) \subset J^*$ . Hence if  $q$  belongs to  $W^s(p) \cap (J \setminus J^*)$ , it belongs to the intrinsic interior  $\text{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$ , hence  $G^- \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of  $q$  in  $W^s(p)$ .

Let now  $x \in J \setminus J^*$ . By Corollary 2.4, if  $\omega(x) \subset J^*$  then  $x \in W^s(J^*)$  and if  $\alpha(x) \subset J^*$  then  $x \in W^u(J^*)$ . Since  $W^u(J^*) \cap W^s(J^*) = J^*$ , we infer that either  $\omega(x) \not\subset J^*$  or  $\alpha(x) \not\subset J^*$ . In either case we will show that both  $W^s(J^*) \cap (J \setminus J^*)$  and  $W^u(J^*) \cap (J \setminus J^*)$  are non-empty. Thus by symmetry it is enough to deal with the case where  $\omega(x) \not\subset J^*$ .

Choose  $\eta$  so small that Proposition 2.3 holds for  $J^*$  and  $\omega(x)$  is not contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ , where  $\mathcal{N} := (J^*)_\eta$  is the  $\eta$ -neighborhood of  $J^*$ .

Consider the sequence of Cesarò averages  $\nu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^k(x)}$ . By the ergodic closing lemma of [Du2], every cluster value of the sequence  $(\nu_n)$  is supported on  $J^*$ . It follows that the asymptotic proportion of iterates of  $x$  belonging to  $\mathcal{N}$  tends to 1, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ 0 \leq k \leq n - 1, f^k(x) \in \mathcal{N} \right\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1.$$

Indeed if a positive proportion of iterates stayed outside  $\mathcal{N}$ , any cluster limit of  $\nu_n$  would have to give positive mass to  $\mathcal{N}^c$ .

We thus infer that there are arbitrary long strings  $\{x_i, \dots, x_{i+n}\}$  in the orbit of  $x$  that are entirely contained in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Indeed, if on the contrary the length of such a string were uniformly bounded by some  $n_0$ , then the density of iterates outside  $\mathcal{N}$  would be bounded below by  $1/(n_0 + 1)$ . Therefore for every  $n$  there exists  $i_n$  such that  $\{x_{i_n}, \dots, x_{i_n+n}\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ . Choose  $i_n$  to be minimal with this property. Since  $\omega(x) \not\subset \mathcal{N}$ , there exists  $j > i$  such that  $x_j \notin \mathcal{N}$ . So finally for every  $n$  we can find  $i_n < j_n$  such that  $j_n - i_n \geq n$ ,  $\{x_{i_n}, \dots, x_{j_n}\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ ,  $x_{i_n-1} \notin \mathcal{N}$  and  $x_{j_n+1} \notin \mathcal{N}$ .

Let  $p$  (resp.  $p'$ ) be a cluster value of  $(x_{i_n-1})$  (resp.  $(x_{j_n+1})$ ). The points  $p$  and  $p'$  belong to  $J$  because  $x$  does, but not to  $J^*$  because they lie outside  $\mathcal{N}$ . It follows from Proposition 2.3 that  $p \in W^s(q)$  for some  $q \in J^*$  and  $p' \in W^u(q')$  for some  $q' \in J^*$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** If  $f$  is substantially dissipative i.e.  $|\text{Jac}(f)| < \text{deg}(f)^{-2}$ , then the contradiction readily follows from this first step. Indeed Wiman's theorem together with uniform hyperbolicity imply that the vertical degree of components of stable manifolds in some large bidisk  $\mathbb{B}$  is uniformly bounded (see [GP, Prop. 4.2] or [LP, Lem. 5.1]), and it follows that  $J^- \cap W^s(x)$  is

totally disconnected for every  $x$  (see [Du1, Thm. 2.10] or [GP, Thm. 4.3]), which contradicts the conclusion of Step 1.

In the second and third steps we do not use the assumption that  $J \setminus J^* \neq \emptyset$ .

**Step 2.** *For every  $p \in J^*$ , if  $\Omega$  is a component of  $\text{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$ , then  $\Omega$  is unbounded for the leafwise topology.*

*Proof.* Note first that by the maximum principle, any component of  $\text{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-) = \text{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap K^-)$  is simply connected, so  $\Omega$  is a topological disk. Assume by contradiction that  $\Omega$  is bounded for the leafwise topology. Then iterating forward a few times if needed, we can suppose that  $\Omega$  is entirely contained in a local product structure box.

More precisely for small  $\delta > 0$ , we can fix holomorphic local coordinates  $(z, w)$  near  $p$  in which  $p = (0, 0)$ ,  $W_{2\delta}^s(p) = \{z = 0\}$  and  $W_{2\delta}^u(p) = \{w = 0\}$ , and assume  $\bar{\Omega}$  is contained in  $W_\delta^s(p)$ . Note that by Lemma 2.1,  $\partial_i \Omega \subset J^*$ . We can assume that for every  $q \in W_\delta^s(p) \cap J^*$ ,  $W_{2\delta}^u(q)$  contains a graph over the disk  $D(0, \delta)$  in the first coordinate, with slope bounded by  $1/2$ . Then if  $|z_0| \leq \delta$ , the holonomy  $h_{0,z_0}^u$  along local unstable leaves is well defined on  $W_\delta^s(p) \cap J^*$  and maps  $W_\delta^s(p) \cap J^*$  into  $\{z = z_0\} \cap J^-$ . This holonomy is a holomorphic motion so by Slodkowski's theorem [S] it extends to a holomorphic motion of  $W_\delta^s(p)$ . In particular the motion of  $\partial_i \Omega$  extends to a motion of  $\Omega$  and it makes sense to speak about  $h_{0,z_0}^u(\Omega)$ . This is an open subset of  $\{z = z_0\}$ , which is topologically a disk and whose boundary is contained in  $J^-$ . Thus for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $f^{-n}(\partial(h_{0,z_0}^u(\Omega)))$  is contained in  $\mathbb{B}$  and by the maximum principle, the same holds for  $f^{-n}(h_{0,z_0}^u(\Omega))$ .

Finally,  $\mathcal{O} := \bigcup_{|z_0| < \delta} h_{0,z_0}^u(\Omega)$  is an open set whose negative iterates remain in  $\mathbb{B}$ , hence it is contained in the Fatou set of  $f^{-1}$ . But since  $f$  is dissipative, this Fatou set is empty, which is the desired contradiction.  $\square$

**Step 3.** *The unstable holonomy preserves the decomposition*

$$W^s(p) = (W^s(p) \cap J^-) \sqcup (W^s(p) \cap U^-).$$

To make this statement precise, observe that for every  $p \in J^*$ , the components of the complement of  $\partial_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$  in  $W^s(p)$  can be divided into two types: components of  $\text{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$  and components of  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$  (note that since  $U^-$  is open in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$  is open for the intrinsic topology as well). Consider as above local coordinates  $(z, w)$  near  $p$  in which

$p = (0, 0)$ ,  $W_{2\delta}^s(p) = \{z = 0\}$  and  $W_{2\delta}^u(p) = \{w = 0\}$ . The unstable holonomy  $h_{0,z_0}^u$  is initially only defined for points of  $W_{\delta}^s(p) \cap J^* = \partial_i(W_{\delta}^s(p) \cap J^-)$ , however by Ślodkowski's theorem it can be extended to  $W_{\delta}^s(p)$ . By Step 2, components of  $\text{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$  are leafwise unbounded so they cannot be contained in  $W_{2\delta}^s(p)$ . Obviously, the same holds for components of  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$ .

If  $q$  belongs to  $J^* \cap W_{\delta}^u(p)$ , the extended holonomy  $h_{p,q}^u$  defines a homeomorphism  $W_{\delta}^s(p) \rightarrow h_{p,q}^u(W_{\delta}^s(p))$ . By local product structure this homeomorphism preserves  $J^*$  so any component of  $W_{\delta}^s(p) \setminus J^*$  is mapped onto a component of  $h_{p,q}^u(W_{\delta}^s(p)) \setminus J^*$ , which is itself contained in a component of  $W^s(q) \setminus J^*$ . The claim of Step 3 is that the extended holonomy  $h_{p,q}^u$  preserves the type of components.

Since it doesn't make sense to transport a whole leafwise unbounded component by unstable holonomy, to prove this assertion we need to find a criterion that recognizes the type of a component just from local topological properties near a point of its boundary. As already said the maximum principle implies that any component of  $\text{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$  is simply connected. Thus Step 3 follows from:

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\Omega$  is a component of  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$ , then  $\Omega$  is not simply connected near any point of  $\partial\Omega$ , more precisely: if  $q \in \partial\Omega$  and  $N$  is any neighborhood of  $q$ , there is a loop in  $N \cap \Omega$ , homotopic to a point in  $N$ , and enclosing a component of  $W^s(p) \cap J^-$*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is dissipative by [BS6, Cor. 7.4] it is stably disconnected. It follows that almost every unstable component of  $K^+$  is a point (see [BS6, Thm. 7.1] and also [Du1, Thm. 2.10]). More specifically, if  $\mu$  is the unique measure of maximal entropy, then for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x$ , the measure  $T^-|_{W^s(x)}$  (which is locally given by the wedge product  $T^- \wedge [W^s(x)]$ ) gives full mass to the point components of  $J^- \cap W^s(x)$ . Obviously by Lemma 2.1 every such point component belongs to  $J^*$  so we can transport it to nearby stable manifolds by unstable holonomy. In addition, the measure  $T^-|_{W^s(x)}$  is holonomy invariant (see [BS1, Thm. 6.5] or [BLS, Thm. 4.5]) so if  $x$  is such that  $T^- \wedge [W_{\delta}^s(x)]$  gives full mass to point components, then the same holds for nearby  $x'$ . Thus we conclude that this property holds for every  $p \in J^*$ :  $T^-|_{W^s(p)}$  gives full mass to the point components of  $J^- \cap W^s(p)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $p \in J^*$  and  $\Omega$  be a component of  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$  such that  $\Omega$  is locally simply connected near some  $q \in \partial\Omega$ . Then  $\partial\Omega$  has positive  $(T^-|_{W^s(p)})$ -measure.*

This proves Lemma 3.2. Indeed, assuming that  $\Omega$  is locally simply connected near some  $q \in \partial\Omega$ , Lemma 3.3 asserts that  $T^-|_{W^s(p)}$  carries positive mass on a non-trivial continuum so  $f$  cannot be stably disconnected. On the other hand  $f$  must be stably disconnected because it is dissipative, and we reach a contradiction.  $\square$

The idea of Lemma 3.3 is as follows: every neighborhood of  $q$  in  $\partial\Omega$  has positive harmonic measure when viewed from  $\Omega$ . But the harmonic measure viewed from  $\Omega$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $T^- \wedge [W^s(p)]$ , hence the result. The formalization of this argument requires some elementary potential theory, for which we refer the reader to Doob’s classical monograph<sup>4</sup> [Do].

In particular we shall use the formalism of *sweeping* (or *balayage*). Let  $D$  be a smoothly bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $A$  a non-polar compact subset of  $D$  and  $\nu$  a positive measure on  $D$ . The swept measure  $\rho_{\nu,D,A}$  of  $\nu$  on  $A$  is the distribution on  $A$  of the exit point of the Brownian motion in  $D \setminus A$  whose starting point is distributed according to  $\nu$ . In particular its mass is lower than that of  $\nu$  since a positive proportion of Brownian paths escape from  $\partial D$ . If  $G_{\nu,D}$  is the Green potential of  $\nu$  in  $D$ , that is the unique negative subharmonic function on  $D$  such that  $G_{\nu,D}|_{\partial D} = 0$  and  $\Delta G_{\nu,D} = \nu$ , then the swept measure of  $\nu$  on  $A$  is  $\Delta R_{\nu,D,A}$ , where

$$(1) \quad R_{\nu,D,A}(z) = \sup \{u(z), \quad u \leq 0 \text{ subharmonic on } D \text{ and } u \leq G_{\nu,D} \text{ on } A\}$$

(see Sections 1.III.4, 1.X and 2.IX.14 in [Do]). If  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  have their supports disjoint from  $A$ , then the corresponding swept measures are mutually absolutely continuous (as follows from instance from Theorem 1.X.2 in [Do]).

*Proof.* We first claim that we can shift  $q$  slightly so that the assumptions of the lemma hold and in addition  $W_{\mathbb{B}}^s(q')$  is of bounded vertical degree. Indeed  $q$  belongs to  $J^*$  and for  $q' \in W_{\delta}^u(q) \cap J^*$ , there is a component of  $W_{\delta}^s(q') \setminus J^*$  corresponding to  $\Omega$  under unstable holonomy, which is locally simply connected near  $q'$ . Since  $G^-$  is continuous, if  $q'$  is close enough to  $q$ , it takes positive values on that component, so we infer that the property that  $\Omega$  is a component of  $U^-$  is open. Now by Lemma 2.2, for  $(T^+|_{W_{\delta}^u})$ -a.e.  $q'$ ,  $W_{\mathbb{B}}^s(q')$  is a vertical manifold in  $\mathbb{B}$  of finite degree which establishes our claim. Without loss of generality rename  $q'$  into  $q$ . For every  $g_0 < \min_{\partial\mathbb{B}} G^-$ , the component of  $\{G^- < g_0\}$  containing  $q$  in  $W^s(q)$  is relatively compact for the intrinsic topology. We fix such a  $g_0$  which is not a critical value of  $G^-$

---

<sup>4</sup>Note that Doob works with superharmonic functions so all inequalities have to be reversed.

and let  $D$  be the corresponding component, which is a smoothly bounded topological disk. From now on we work exclusively in  $D$ .

By assumption there is a neighborhood  $N$  of  $q$  in  $D$  and a component  $U$  of  $\{G^- > 0\} \cap N$  that is simply connected. We have to show that  $\partial U \cap N$  has positive mass relative to  $\Delta G^-$ . We choose  $N$  to be closed so that  $\partial U \cap N$  is compact. First, observe that for every  $z_0 \in U \cap N$ , the probability that the Brownian motion issued from  $z_0$  hits  $\partial U \cap N$  before leaving  $U$  is positive. Therefore the swept measure  $\rho_{\delta_{z_0}, D, \partial U \cap N}$  has positive mass and to prove the lemma it is enough to show that is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\Delta G^-$ . Recall that the measure class of the swept measure does not depend on the starting point so we can replace  $\delta_{z_0}$  by an arbitrary positive measure on  $D \setminus (D \cap K^-)$ . Let  $0 < g_1 < g_0$  and  $\mu_{g_1} := \Delta(\max(G^-, g_1))$  be the natural measure induced by  $G^-$  on the level set  $\{G^- = g_1\}$ . We choose  $\mu_{g_1}$  for the initial distribution of Brownian motion. Since  $G^- \equiv g_0$  on  $\partial D$ , the Green function  $G_{\Delta G^-, D}$  of the restriction of  $\Delta G^-$  to  $D$  is equal to  $G^- - g_0$ , and likewise

$$G_{\mu_{g_1}, D} = \max(G^-, g_1) - g_0.$$

Thus from (1) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu_{g_1}, D, K^- \cap D} &= \sup \{u(z), u \text{ s.h. } \leq 0 \text{ on } D \text{ and } u \leq G_{\mu_{g_1}, D} \text{ on } K^- \cap D\} \\ &= \sup \{u(z), u \text{ s.h. } \leq 0 \text{ on } D \text{ and } u \leq g_1 - g_0 \text{ on } K^- \cap D\} \\ &= |g_1 - g_0| \frac{G^- - g_0}{g_0} \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\rho_{\mu_{g_1}, D, K^- \cap D} = \frac{g_0 - g_1}{g_0} \Delta G^-.$$

The proof is complete. □

**Step 4. Conclusion.**

We just have to assemble the three previous steps. Assume as before by contradiction that  $f$  is dissipative, uniformly hyperbolic on  $J^*$  and  $J^* \subsetneq J$ . Then by Step 1 there exists  $p \in J^*$  and a “queer” component  $\Omega$  of  $W^s(p) \setminus J^*$  along which  $G^- \equiv 0$ . Pick  $q \in \partial\Omega$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $q \in J^*$  so we can follow  $\Omega \cap W_\delta^s(q)$  using the holonomy along local unstable manifolds. Then for  $q' \in W_{\text{loc}}^u(q)$  near  $q$ , the holonomy image  $h_{q, q'}(\Omega \cap W_\delta^s(q))$  is contained in a queer component of  $W^s(q') \setminus J^*$ , which must be leafwise unbounded by Step 2. On the other hand by Lemma 2.2, for generic  $q' \in W_{\text{loc}}^u(q)$  (relative to the

transverse measure  $T^+|_{W_{loc}^u(q)} W_{\mathbb{B}}^s(q')$  is of bounded degree, in particular any component of  $K^- \cap W_{\mathbb{B}}^s(q')$  is leafwise bounded. This contradiction finishes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of the main theorem: the conservative case

Again the proof is by contradiction, so assume that  $f$  is a conservative polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $J^*$  is a hyperbolic set and  $J^* \subsetneq J$ . We will use a perturbative argument and the dissipative case of the theorem to reach a contradiction.

Assume that  $f$  is written as a product of Hénon mappings  $f = h_1 \circ \dots \circ h_k$  and let  $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in B}$  be a parameterization of a neighborhood of  $f$  in the space of such products, that is, the space of coefficients of the  $h_i$ , and such that  $f_0 = f$ . We can assume that  $B$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  for some  $N$ . Since  $\lambda \mapsto \text{Jac}(f_\lambda)$  is an open map, there exist parameters arbitrary close to 0 for which  $f_\lambda$  is dissipative. As already said, by [BHI, Thm. 1.4] there is no persistent relation between multipliers of periodic orbits so the family is substantial in the sense of [DL].

Since  $f_0$  is hyperbolic on  $J^*(f_0)$  the family  $(f_\lambda)$  is  $J^*$ -stable in a neighborhood of the origin, that is,  $J^*(f_\lambda)$  moves under a holomorphic motion. Reducing the parameter space we can assume that  $J^*$  is hyperbolic throughout  $B$ . Pick a point  $p = p(0) \in J(f_0) \setminus J^*(f_0)$ . It was shown in [DL, Thm. 5.12] that in a (weakly)  $J^*$ -stable family, the motion of  $J^*$  extends to a branched holomorphic motion of  $K$ . Thus there exists a holomorphic continuation  $p(\lambda)$  of  $p(0)$  such that for every  $\lambda \in B$ ,  $p(\lambda)$  belongs to  $K(f_\lambda)$ . Furthermore for every  $\lambda \in B$ ,  $p(\lambda)$  is disjoint from  $J^*(f_\lambda)$ . Indeed if for some  $\lambda_0 \in B$  we had  $p(\lambda_0) \in J^*(f_{\lambda_0})$ , then by [DL, Lem 4.10]  $p(\lambda)$  would have to coincide throughout the family  $(f_\lambda)$  with the natural continuation of  $p(\lambda_0)$  as a point of the hyperbolic set  $J^*$ , which is not the case since  $p(0) \notin J^*(f_0)$ .

Let now  $\lambda_1 \in B$  be such that  $f_{\lambda_1}$  is dissipative. Then by the first part of the proof  $J(f_{\lambda_1}) = J^*(f_{\lambda_1})$ , and  $K(f_{\lambda_1}) \setminus J(f_{\lambda_1})$  is non-empty since it contains  $p(\lambda_1)$ . For a dissipative hyperbolic map

$$K \setminus J = (K^+ \cap J^-) \setminus (J^+ \cap J^-) = \text{Int}(K^+) \cap J^-,$$

so we deduce that  $\text{Int}(K^+(f_{\lambda_1}))$  is non-empty. By [BS1],  $\text{Int}(K^+(f_{\lambda_1}))$  is a finite union of attracting basins of periodic sinks, therefore  $f_{\lambda_1}$  admits an attracting periodic point. On the other hand by [DL, Thm. 4.2], periodic points stay of constant type in a  $J^*$ -stable family (this holds even in the presence of conservative maps, provided the family is substantial), so  $f_0$

must have an attracting orbit, which is contradictory since it is conservative. The proof is complete.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

This research was partially supported by ANR project LAMBDA, ANR-13-BS01-0002 and a grant from the Institut Universitaire de France. Thanks to Eric Bedford for many interesting comments.

### References

- [BD] Pierre Berger and Romain Dujardin, *On stability and hyperbolicity for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) **50** (2017), 449–477.
- [BS1] Eric Bedford and John Smillie, *Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ : currents, equilibrium measure and hyperbolicity*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), 69–99.
- [BS2] Eric Bedford and John Smillie, *Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . II: Stable manifolds and recurrence*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 657–679.
- [BS3] Eric Bedford and John Smillie, *Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . III. Ergodicity, exponents and entropy of the equilibrium measure*, Math. Ann. **294** (1992), 395–420.
- [BLS] Eric Bedford, Mikhail Lyubich, and John Smillie, *Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . IV. The measure of maximal entropy and laminar currents*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 77–125.
- [BS6] Eric Bedford and John Smillie, *Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . VI. Connectivity of  $J$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **148** (1998), 695–735.
- [BHI] Gregory T. Buzzard Suzanne Lynch Hruska, and Yulij Ilyashenko, *Kupka-Smale theorem for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and persistence of heteroclinic intersections*, Invent. Math. **161** (2005), 45–89.
- [BJ] Gregory T. Buzzard and Adrian Jenkins, *Holomorphic motions and structural stability for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), 277–308.
- [Do] Joseph L. Doob, *Classical Potential Theory and Its Probabilistic Counterpart*, Reprint of the 1984 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2001), xxvi+846 pp.

- [Du1] Romain Dujardin, *Some remarks on the connectivity of Julia sets for 2-dimensional diffeomorphisms*, in: Complex Dynamics, pp. 63–84, Contemp. Math. **396**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2006).
- [Du2] Romain Dujardin, *A closing lemma for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , in: Quelques Aspects de la Théorie des Systèmes Dynamiques: Un Hommage à Jean-Christophe Yoccoz (volume I), Astérisque **415** (2020), 35–43.
- [DL] Romain Dujardin and Mikhail Lyubich, *Stability and bifurcations for dissipative polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Invent. Math. **200** (2015), 439–511.
- [F] John Erik Fornæss, *The Julia set of Hénon maps*, Math. Ann. **334** (2006), 457–464.
- [FS] John Erik Fornæss and Nessim Sibony, *Complex Hénon mappings in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and Fatou-Bieberbach domains*, Duke Math. J. **65** (1992), 345–380.
- [FM] Shmuel Friedland and John Milnor, *Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **9** (1989), 67–99.
- [GP] Lorenzo Guerini and Han Peters, *Julia sets of complex Hénon maps*, Internat. J. Math. **29** (2018), no. 7, 1850047, 22 pp.
- [I] Yutaka Ishii, *Dynamics of polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ : combinatorial and topological aspects*, Arnold Math. J. **3** (2017), 119–173.
- [LP] Mikhail Lyubich and Han Peters, *Structure of partially hyperbolic Hénon maps*, preprint [arXiv:1712.05823](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.05823).
- [S] Zbigniew Ślodkowski, *Holomorphic motions and polynomial hulls*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1991), 347–355.
- [Y] Jean-Christophe Yoccoz, *Introduction to hyperbolic dynamics*, Real and Complex Dynamical Systems (Hillerød, 1993), 265–291, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. **464**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, (1995).



LABORATOIRE DE PROBABILITÉS, STATISTIQUE ET MODÉLISATION  
SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉS, CASE COURRIER 158  
4 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* `romain.dujardin@upmc.fr`

RECEIVED SEPTEMBER 26, 2018

ACCEPTED APRIL 3, 2019

