

Arithmetic surjectivity for zero-cycles

DAMIÁN GVIRTZ

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper, dominant morphism of smooth varieties over a number field k . When is it true that for almost all places v of k , the fibre X_P over any point $P \in Y(k_v)$ contains a zero-cycle of degree 1? We develop a necessary and sufficient condition to answer this question.

The proof extends logarithmic geometry tools that have recently been developed by Denef and Loughran-Skorobogatov-Smeets to deal with analogous Ax-Kochen type statements for rational points.

1. Introduction

In [20], Loughran-Skorobogatov-Smeets develop, building upon work of Denef [9], a necessary and sufficient criterion to say when a morphism of varieties over a number field k is surjective on k_v -points for almost all finite places v . This property is called *arithmetic surjectivity* by Colliot-Thélène [6, §13]. More precisely, Loughran et. al. define that a variety X over a perfect field is *pseudo-split* if every Galois automorphism over the ground field fixes some geometric irreducible component of X of multiplicity 1. They then prove:

Theorem 1.1. [20, Theorem 1.4] *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominant morphism between proper, smooth, geometrically integral varieties over a number field k with geometrically integral generic fibre.*

Then f is arithmetically surjective if and only if for each modification $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ of f and for each codimension 1 point ϑ' in Y' , the fibre $f'^{-1}(\vartheta')$ is pseudo-split.

By a *modification* of f , we mean a morphism $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ of proper, smooth, geometrically integral varieties over k such that there exist proper, birational morphisms $\alpha_X : X' \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha_Y : Y' \rightarrow Y$ with $f' \circ \alpha_X = \alpha_Y \circ f$.

In this paper, we closely follow and extend the methods from [20] to deal with the analogous question for zero-cycles. We introduce the notion of *combinatorial cycle-splitness* and prove:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominant morphism between proper, smooth, geometrically integral varieties over a number field k with geometrically integral generic fibre.*

The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) *For almost all places v , f has a v -adic zero-cycle of degree 1 in all fibres over k_v -points.*
- (ii) *For each modification $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ and for each codimension 1 point ϑ' in Y' , the fibre $f'^{-1}(\vartheta')$ is combinatorially cycle-split.*

A situation where Theorem 1.2 applies but not Theorem 1.1 is given at the end of this article in Example 5.14.

Note that we do not naively ask for surjectivity on zero-cycles but only for zero-cycles that are each entirely contained in a fibre. This has three reasons. First, if we allowed for zero-cycles whose summands lie in several distinct fibres, the question would not be fibre-wise anymore and our tools would not suffice to provide an answer for $\dim Y > 1$. Secondly, the naive version is not very well-behaved even in dimensions 0 and 1, which we can handle, where it already leads to rather complicated criteria.

Thirdly, it can be argued that the problem as posed above arises more naturally, for example when considering Artin's conjecture on p -adic forms in its variant for zero-cycles of degree 1.

Conjecture 1.3 (e.g. [17, Problem 3]). *If p is an arbitrary prime and if n and d are positive integers such that $n \geq d^2$, then a degree d hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^n$ has a zero-cycle of degree 1.*

In other words, this open conjecture posits that the famous Ax-Kochen theorem, a special application of Theorem 1.1, holds without the need to exclude any primes when restated for zero-cycles of degree 1. In moduli terms, this asks for fibre-wise p -adic zero-cycles of degree 1 in the universal family of such hypersurfaces for every prime p .

1.1. Notation and conventions

By a variety, we mean a separated scheme of finite type over a field K . We denote by \bar{X} the base change of a variety X along an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K . For a field $K' \supset K$, we write $X_{K'}$ for $X \times_K K'$. If k is a number field and S a finite set of finite places in k , we write \mathcal{O}_k for the ring of integers of k and $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ for the S -integers of k . Furthermore, for a finite place v of k , k_v

shall denote the completion at v with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_{k_v} and residue field $k(v)$ of size $N(v)$.

By a model of a variety X over k (respectively k_v), we mean a scheme \mathcal{X} which is flat and of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ for some finite set of places S (respectively \mathcal{O}_{k_v}) together with an isomorphism of its generic fibre to X . If X is proper, \mathcal{X} a fixed model of X and $x \in X$ is a closed point, we write \tilde{x} for the closure of x in \mathcal{X} . By a model of a morphism of varieties $f : X \rightarrow Y$ over k (respectively k_v), we mean a morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ (respectively \mathcal{O}_{k_v}) such that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are models of X and Y compatible with f in the obvious way.

2. Preliminary definitions

To start, we introduce some terminology related to zero-cycles and our question.

Definition 2.1. A variety over a field K is *r-cycle-split*, if it contains a zero-cycle of degree r which is the sum of smooth points.

A variety over a number field k is *locally r-cycle-split* outside a finite set of places S , if for all finite places $v \notin S$ of k , the base change X_{k_v} is *r-cycle-split*.

Definition 2.2. A morphism of varieties over a field K is *r-cycle-surjective*, if the fibre over any rational point contains a zero-cycle of degree r .

A morphism of varieties over a number field k is *arithmetically r-cycle-surjective* outside a finite set of places S , if for all finite places $v \notin S$ of k , the base change $f \times_k k_v$ is *r-cycle-surjective*.

In the case $r = 1$, we propose the easier terminology *cycle-split*, *locally cycle-split*, *cycle-surjective* and *arithmetically cycle-surjective*. Although Theorem 1.2 is only concerned with arithmetic cycle-surjectivity, dealing with the case of general r does not add further complications. The terms are chosen in relation to [20].

It turns out to be important to bound the degree of points appearing in zero-cycles.

Definition 2.3. For a zero-cycle $Z = \sum n_i x_i$ on a variety over a field K , define the *maximum degree* of Z

$$\max\deg Z = \max[K(x_i) : K],$$

where $K(x_i)$ is the residue field of the point x_i .

We will make crucial use of a uniform version of the Lang-Weil estimates [19].

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a function $\bar{\Phi} : \mathbb{N}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. Let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^\nu$ be a geometrically irreducible, quasi-projective variety over a finite field, \bar{U} its closure in \mathbb{P}^ν and $\partial U = \bar{U} \setminus U$.*

Then there exists a zero-cycle Z of degree 1 on U with

$$\max \deg Z \leq \bar{\Phi}(N, \deg \bar{U}, \deg \partial U).$$

If X is proper and $\iota : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^\nu$ a rational embedding defined on an open $U \subset X$, then we will write $\bar{\Phi}(\iota)$ for $\bar{\Phi}(N, \deg \bar{\iota(U)}, \deg \partial(\iota(U)))$.

3. Combinatorial cycle-splitness

We define the notion of combinatorial cycle-splitness, first for algebras and then for varieties.

3.1. In dimension 0

Let X be a finite étale scheme over a field K . It can be written as $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ for some finite K -algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_i$ (where K_i/K are finite field extensions but not necessarily normal). Let the Galois extension L/K be the compositum of the Galois closures of the K_i and denote $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$.

Let $H_i := \text{Gal}(L/K_i)$, i.e. $L^{H_i} = K_i$. We note that X has a global zero-cycle of degree r , if and only if $\gcd_i(\#G/\#H_i) \mid r$. An element $g \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ acts on the set G/H_i of right cosets from the right and partitions it into r_i orbits of sizes which we denote by $m_{i1}^g, \dots, m_{ir_i}^g$.

Definition 3.1. Define the *combinatorial index of X at $g \in G$* as

$$I_X(g) := \gcd_{i,j} (m_{ij}^g).$$

We call X *combinatorially r -cycle-split* if and only if $I_X(g) \mid r$ for all $g \in G$. If $r = 1$, we say X is *combinatorially cycle-split*.

For the rest of this section, we take K to be a number field k . With notation as above, the extension L/k is unramified outside a finite set of

places S . A finite place of k that is unramified in all K_i is also unramified in L . For a finite place $v \notin S$, let $\text{Frob}_v \in G$ be the Frobenius automorphism at v .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $v \notin S$ be a finite place of k . Then*

$$A \otimes k_v = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} k_{ij}$$

where k_{ij}/k_v is a finite extension of degree $m_{ij}^{\text{Frob}_v}$.

Proof. This is [22, Theorem 33]. □

Note that the list of orbit sizes really only depends on the conjugacy class of g : the size of the orbit of $H_i t$ under g is the smallest integer j such that $tg^j \in H_i t$, or equivalently $g^j \in t^{-1}H_i t$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a finite étale scheme over a number field k and S a finite set of places such that L/k is unramified outside S . For a finite place $v \notin S$, X_{k_v} is r -cycle-split, if and only if $\gcd_{i,j}(m_{ij}^{\text{Frob}_v}) \mid r$.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a finite étale scheme over a number field k and S a finite set of places such that L/k is unramified outside S . Then X is locally r -cycle-split outside S , if and only if X is combinatorially r -cycle-split.*

Proof. One direction directly follows from the previous corollary. The other direction follows because by Chebotarev density, for every conjugacy class $C \subseteq G$, there exist infinitely many places v with $\text{Frob}_v \in C$. Hence, if X is not combinatorially r -cycle split there exists a $v \notin S$ such that $\gcd_{i,j}(m_{ij}^{\text{Frob}_v}) \nmid r$. □

Example 3.5. The preceding corollary gives a very explicit condition that can be explicitly checked for a finite group G . One example of an everywhere locally cycle-split scheme that is not cycle-split is

$$\text{Spec}(k[t]/(t^2 - a)(t^2 - b)(t^6 - ab))$$

with $a, b, a/b \notin k^2$. In the case where a or b is a square in k_v , the scheme has a rational point. If v does not lie over 2, $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{k_v}^\times$ and neither a nor b are squares in k_v , then ab is a square in k_v and we get k_v -points of degree 2 and 3, hence a zero-cycle of degree 1.

In fact, this is a “modification” of an example by Colliot-Thélène for non-split pseudo-splitness where the exponent 6 is replaced by 2 [7, 4.1].

Example 3.6. Take

$$X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[t]/(t^2 + 1)(t^6 - 3t^2 - 1))$$

which has a local zero-cycle of degree 1 everywhere. The second factor $(t^6 - 3t^2 - 1)$ is an irreducible polynomial that is everywhere reducible. This is because its non-cyclic Galois group is A_4 , of which a subgroup of order 2 leaves $\mathbb{Q}[t]/(t^6 - 3t^2 - 1)$ fixed. Moreover, due to the absence of subgroups of order 6 in A_4 , locally there always is a factor of order dividing 3 which together with $(t^2 + 1)$ yields a zero-cycle of degree 1.

Moreover, X is not a finite cover of a non-split pseudo-split scheme X' over \mathbb{Q} as in Example 3.5. This is because A_4 is the smallest counterexample to the converse Lagrange’s theorem and thus one sees that any proper quotient of $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times A_4$ fails to satisfy even the group theoretic condition for combinatorial cycle-splitness.

It is a curious result that there is no connected example ($n = 1$) as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 3.7. *The Hasse principle for zero-cycles of degree 1 holds for connected, reduced zero-dimensional schemes over a number field k .*

Proof. As before, let L/k be a finite non-trivial Galois extension with Galois group G and $H \subsetneq G$ a proper subgroup. We want to show that $\text{Spec } L^H$ is not locally cycle-split at infinitely many places. Equivalently, we want to find an element g such that

$$\gcd_{t \in G} \min\{k|g^k \in t^{-1}Ht\} > 1.$$

To do this we use the following fact proven “outrageous[ly]” in [10, Theorem 1] via the classification of finite simple groups: for a finite group G , there exists a prime number p and an element $g \notin \bigcup_{t \in G} t^{-1}Ht$ of order a power of p . This is sufficient since then $p | \min\{k|g^k \in t^{-1}Ht\}$ for all $t \in G$. \square

In more down-to-earth language, there is no irreducible polynomial over k that factors into coprime degrees modulo almost all primes.

3.2. In higher dimensions

For the beginning of this section, let us again allow K to be any field. Let X be a proper variety over K . For X' a reduced, irreducible component of X , we define the (*apparent*) *multiplicity* of X' in X as the length of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta'}$ where η' is the generic point of X' . We define the *geometric multiplicity* of X' in X as the length of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},\overline{\eta'}}$ where $\overline{\eta'}$ is a point of \overline{X} lying over η' . If X' is geometrically reduced, for example when K is perfect, then multiplicity and geometric multiplicity coincide.

Let X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m be the reduced, irreducible components of geometric multiplicity m in X . Let K_i be the separable closure of K in the function field of X_i^m .

Definition 3.8. Define the *algebra of irreducible components of geometric multiplicity m* as $Z_X^m := \text{Spec}(\oplus_{i=1}^n K_i)$. (If there are no such components, then Z_X^m is empty.)

The reason for this definition is of course that the embedding of the ground field into the function field of a scheme controls, to some extent, its geometric properties and thus we can reduce to the previous section. A scheme T of finite type over K is geometrically irreducible if and only if T is irreducible and K is separably closed in the function field of T (see [12, 4.5.9]).

However, using the functor of open irreducible components defined by Romagny we can obtain finer results. For a finite type morphism of schemes $T \rightarrow R$ with R integral, let $\text{Irr}_{T/R}^m$ be the subfunctor of $\text{Irr}_{T/R}$ defined in [23, Def. 2.1.1] of open irreducible components of geometric multiplicity m . We recall that $\text{Irr}_{T/R}$ parametrises open subschemes U of an R -scheme R' such that the geometric fibres of $U \times_R R' \rightarrow R'$ are interiors of irreducible components in the geometric fibres of $T \times_R R' \rightarrow R'$. Note that this is stable under base change and thus functorial because we use the geometric instead of the apparent multiplicity.

Lemma 3.9. *The functor $\text{Irr}_{T/R}^m$ is representable over a dense open of R by a finite étale cover.*

Proof. Let η be the generic point of R and $T' \hookrightarrow T \rightarrow R$ be the reduced closure of the irreducible components of geometric multiplicity m in the fibre over η . Then after replacing R with a dense open subscheme, we have that $\text{Irr}_{T/R}^m = \text{Irr}_{T'/R}$ because the geometric multiplicity of the fibre over η spreads out to a dense open neighbourhood by [13, Proposition 9.8.6].

After further replacement of R with a dense open subscheme, the functor $\text{Irr}_{T'/R}$ is representable by a separated algebraic space which is finite étale over R by [23, 2.1.2, 2.1.3]. However, by Knutson’s representability criterion, this algebraic space over R must in fact be a scheme (cf. [21, Proof of Proposition 3.7] for this last step). \square

Lemma 3.10. *The functor $\text{Irr}_{X/K}^m$ is represented by Z_X^m .*

Proof. This follows from [23, 2.1.4]. \square

Definition 3.11. Let G be the Galois group defined in subsection 3.2 for the finite étale K -scheme Z_X^m . Define the *combinatorial index* of X at $g \in G$ as

$$I_X(g) := \gcd_m(mI_{Z_X^m}(g)).$$

We call X *combinatorially r -cycle-split* if and only if $I_X(g)|r$ for all $g \in G$. If $r = 1$, we say X is *combinatorially cycle-split*.

This is compatible with the previous definition of combinatorial index in dimension 0 and only depends on the conjugacy class of g in G .

Let us return to the case of k a number field and assume X is smooth and proper over k . Let v be a finite place of k . To tackle the question of zero-cycles on X_{k_v} , we need to relate closed points in the special and generic fibres of a model. This seems to be folkloric knowledge partly written down in [4, §9, Cor. 9.1] but the author could not find a complete reference before [3] (see also [27, 4.6] and [18, 2.4]).

Lemma 3.12. *Let \mathcal{X} be a proper, flat model over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} of X_{k_v} . Let $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{X}(k(v))$ be a point which is regular in \mathcal{X} and regular in the reduction $\mathcal{X}_{k(v)}$ and lies on a geometrically irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}_{k(v)}$ of multiplicity m . Then there exists a closed point $x \in X_{k_v}$ of degree m with reduction \bar{x} .*

Proof. See [8, 2.3]. \square

Conversely, the following result applies.

Lemma 3.13. *Let \mathcal{X} be a proper, regular, flat model over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} of X_{k_v} and x a closed in point in X_{k_v} of degree d with reduction \tilde{x} .*

Let $D_j, j \in J$, be the irreducible components of $X_{k(v)}$ on which \tilde{x} lies. Denote by m_j the multiplicity of D_j and by d_j the minimal degree of an extension of $k(v)$ over which D_j splits into geometrically irreducible components. Then $\gcd_{j \in J} m_j d_j$ divides d .

Proof. See [3, 1.6]. □

Lemma 3.14. *Let \mathcal{X} be a proper, normal, flat model over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} of X_{k_v} . Let Frob_v be the Frobenius element in the absolute Galois group of $k(v)$.*

If $I_{\mathcal{X}_{k(v)}}(\text{Frob}_v) | r$, then X_{k_v} is r -cycle-split. If \mathcal{X} is regular, then the converse holds.

There exists a function $\Phi : \mathbb{N}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ not depending on X with the following property. Let $\iota : \mathcal{X}_{k(v)} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k(v)}^\nu$ be a rational embedding. If

$$I_{\mathcal{X}_{k(v)}}(\text{Frob}_v) | r,$$

then there exists a zero-cycle Z of degree r on X_{k_v} with $\max \deg Z \leq \Phi(\iota)$ (where $\Phi(\iota)$ is defined as after Lemma 2.4).

Proof. Assume $I_{\mathcal{X}_{k(v)}}(\text{Frob}_v) | r$. Then there exist geometrically irreducible components D_j , $j \in J$, of the special fibre of multiplicities m_j defined over extensions of $k(v)$ of degrees d_j s.t.

$$\gcd_{j \in J} d_j m_j = I_{\mathcal{X}_{k(v)}}(\text{Frob}_v).$$

By the Lang-Weil estimates as formulated in Section 2, each D_j has a zero-cycle Z of degree d_j .

Let Z_j be the union of the non-regular locus of \mathcal{X} and the non-regular locus of the reduction of D_j . Because \mathcal{X} is assumed normal, hence regular in codimension 1, Z_j does not contain all of D_j . Then $\deg Z_j$ has an upper bound only depending on ν and the degree of the image of ι . By the Lang-Weil estimates as described in Section 2, one can arrange for the summands of Z to avoid all Z_j and satisfy $\max \deg Z \leq \Phi(\iota)$ for a suitable function Φ .

Applying Lemma 3.12 to each of the summands, the existence of points of orders $m_j d_j$ and thus a zero-cycle of degree r in X_{k_v} follows.

The converse in the case of regular \mathcal{X} follows from Lemma 3.13. □

Remark 3.15. We remark that to examine r -cycle-splitness of the special fibre itself, all components of multiplicity greater than 1 would have to be discarded. Thus, there are two notions, r -cycle-split and combinatorially r -cycle-split. This is a difference to the case of rational points with only one notion of pseudo-split.

Lemma 3.16. *Let X be a smooth, proper variety over a number field k . Let $\iota : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^\nu$ be a rational embedding of X . Then X is almost everywhere*

locally r -cycle-split if and only if X is combinatorially r -cycle-split. In this case, X_{k_v} has a zero-cycle Z of degree r with $\max \deg Z \leq \Phi(\iota)$ for all $v \notin S$.

Proof. Let $U \subseteq X$ be a dense open subvariety on which ι is defined. We can find a finite set S of places such that $U \hookrightarrow X$ and $\iota : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^\nu$ spread out to models $\mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $\iota_S : \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_{k,S}}^\nu$ over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{X} are smooth over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$.

By Lemma 3.10 and Lemma 3.9, after possibly enlarging S , $\text{Irr}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_{k,S}}^m$ is represented by $\text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{K_i,S})$. The result now follows from Lemma 3.14. □

4. s^0 -invariants

In analogy to the s -invariants in [20], we construct s^0 -invariants that measure failure of combinatorial cycle-splitness in families. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of varieties over a number field k .

For any (possibly non-closed) point $y \in Y$, set $K := k(y)$. We get finite étale (possibly empty) K -schemes $Z_{f^{-1}(y)}^m = \text{Irr}_{f^{-1}(y)/K}^m$ for all multiplicities m . We may pick L/K a minimal Galois extension which splits all $Z_{f^{-1}(y)}^m$ with Galois group G . Denote by k_K and k_L the algebraic closures of k in K and L . By replacing k_L with its Galois closure and extending L , we can assume that k_L/k is Galois. Let N be the subgroup of G acting trivially on k_L . Denote by Ω_{k_K} the set of finite places of k_K .

Definition 4.1. For a finite place v of k , define $s_{f,y}^{0,r}(v)$ in the following way:

- (i) as 1, if v ramifies in k_L or there is no place in k_K of degree 1 over v
- (ii) otherwise, as

$$\frac{\sum_{\substack{w \in \Omega_{k_K} \\ N(w)=N(v) \\ w|v}} \#\{g \in G : \text{Frob}_w \equiv g \pmod N, I_{f^{-1}(y)}(g)|r\}}{\#N \#\{w \in \Omega_{k_K} \mid N(w) = N(v), w|v\}}.$$

One can see that $s_{f,y}^{0,r}(v)$ is constant on the conjugacy class of Frob_v , i.e. that this function is Frobenian in the sense of Serre [24, §3.3.3.5] but this fact will not be directly needed.

Over finite fields, the s^0 -invariants asymptotically quantify the failure of combinatorial r -cycle-splitness.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume Y is integral of dimension n with generic point η . Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a model of f over \mathcal{O}_k . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{y \in \mathcal{Y}(k(v)) \mid f^{-1}(y) \text{ is combinatorially } r\text{-cycle-split}\} \\ &= s_{f,\eta}^{0,r}(v) \#\mathcal{Y}(k(v)) + O(N(v)^{n-1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

as $N(v) \rightarrow \infty$, where the asymptotic constant of the O -notation only depends on the chosen model.

Proof. The main idea after [20, Proposition 3.13] is to count and then compare both sides using Lang-Weil estimates and the Chebotarev density theorem for schemes. We divide the proof into several parts.

Set-up. By the Lang-Weil estimates we can remove strict closed subsets of \mathcal{Y} since for dimension reasons, their rational points only contribute to the error term. Hence, with the help of Lemma 3.9, we assume that $\text{Irr}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ finite étale.

In the same way, we ensure that \mathcal{Y} and its special fibres $\mathcal{Y}_{k(v)}$ are normal for all v not contained in some finite set S . Set $y := \eta$ and from there on K, L, k_K and k_L, G and N as before. Enlarging S further, we may spread out and assume that L is the generic fibre of a Galois closure \mathcal{L} of $\text{Irr}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. From now on, let $v \notin S$

Counting points of \mathcal{Y}_{k_v} with Lang-Weil. The functor $\text{Irr}_{\mathcal{Y}_{k(v)}/k(v)}$ is represented by

$$\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k_K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} k(v) = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in \Omega_{k_K} \\ w|v}} k(w).$$

Therefore, geometrically irreducible components of $\mathcal{Y}_{k(v)}$ correspond to places w with $N(w) = N(v)$. We write \mathcal{Y}_w for such a component. By the normality assumption, the irreducible components of $\mathcal{Y}_{k(v)}$ are all disjoint, so if there is none which is geometrically irreducible, $\mathcal{Y}_{k(v)}$ has no rational point. This is the trivial case of the proposition. In the non-trivial case, we can count points by Lang-Weil:

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{Y}(k(v)) &= \sum_{\substack{w \in \Omega_{k_K} \\ N(w)=N(v) \\ w|v}} \#\mathcal{Y}_w(k(w)) = \sum_{\substack{w \in \Omega_{k_K} \\ N(w)=N(v) \\ w|v}} N(v)^n + O(N(v)^{n-1/2}) \\ &= \#\{w \in \Omega_{k_K} \mid N(w) = N(v), w|v\} N(v)^n + O(N(v)^{n-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Counting combinatorially r -cycle-split fibres with Cebotarev. For a rational point $y \in \mathcal{Y}(k(v))$, we can view the Frobenius Frob_y as an element of G up to conjugacy. The fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ is combinatorially r -cycle-split if and only if $I_{f^{-1}(\eta)}(\text{Frob}_y) = I_{f^{-1}(y)}(\text{Frob}_y)|r$. Let $\delta_f(g) \in \{0, 1\}$ be the indicator function of the set of elements $g \in G$ for which $I_{f^{-1}(y)}(g)|r$. This function only depends on the conjugacy class of g . Applying the Cebotarev density theorem for étale morphisms as in [24, 9.15] to δ_f one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{y \in \mathcal{Y}(k(v)) \mid f^{-1}(y) \text{ is combinatorially } r\text{-cycle-split}\} &= \frac{N(v)^n}{\#N} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{w \in \Omega_{k_K} \\ N(w)=N(v) \\ w|v}} \#\{g \in G : \text{Frob}_w \equiv g \pmod{N}, I_{f^{-1}(\eta)}(g)|r\} &+ O(N(v)^{n-1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing both counts with the definition of $s_{f,\eta}^{0,r}(v)$, the result follows. □

The asymptotic formula gives a necessary condition for combinatorial cycle-splitness of all fibres.

Corollary 4.3. *With the same notation, if $s_{f,\eta}^{0,r}(v) < 1$ for some $v \notin S$, then there exists $y \in \mathcal{Y}(k(v))$ such that $f^{-1}(y)$ is not combinatorially r -cycle-split.*

Proof. For v large enough, there will be rational points on $\mathcal{Y}_{k(v)}$ but by Proposition 4.2, not all fibres over them can be combinatorially r -cycle-split. □

The asymptotics also give the other direction.

Corollary 4.4. *With the same notation, assume \mathcal{Y} is integral normal and Irr_f^m finite étale over \mathcal{Y} for all m . Then there exists a finite set of places S such that for all $v \notin S$, $s_{f,\eta}^{0,r}(v) = 1$ if and only if the fibre of f over every $y \in \mathcal{Y}(k(v))$ is combinatorially r -cycle-split.*

Proof. One direction has just been proven. For the other direction, we use the same notation as in Proposition 4.2.

A point $y \in \mathcal{Y}(k(v))$ must lie on a geometrically irreducible component corresponding to the degree 1 place w of k_K . Let $l \in \mathcal{L}$ be a closed point

over y and u be the corresponding place of its irreducible component. Then

$$k(y) = k(w) \subset k(u) \subset k(l)$$

and there exist natural embeddings

$$\text{Gal}(k(l)/k(y)) \hookrightarrow G$$

and

$$\text{Gal}(k(u)/k(y)) \hookrightarrow G/N.$$

By functoriality of Frobenius, we have

$$\text{Frob}_{l/y} \bmod N = \text{Frob}_{u/w}.$$

Because of the assumption that $s_{f,\eta}^{0,r}(v) = 1$, we deduce that $\text{Frob}_{l/y}$ acts on $\text{Irr}_{f^{-1}(y)/y}^m$ such that $I_{f^{-1}(y)}(\text{Frob}_{l/y})|r$. Hence $f^{-1}(y)$ is combinatorially r -cycle-split. \square

Corollary 4.5. *The fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ is combinatorially r -cycle-split if and only if $s_{f,y}^{0,r}(v) = 1$ for almost all v .*

Proof. This is Corollary 4.4 in the case of a zero-dimensional base. \square

5. Arithmetic cycle-surjectivity

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominant morphism between proper, smooth, geometrically integral varieties with geometrically integral generic fibre over a number field k .

5.1. Birational invariance

We want to prove that arithmetic r -cycle-surjectivity is a property invariant under modifications. The argument here is more subtle than in the case of rational points.

Definition 5.1. Let v be a place of k . If a fibre over a k_v -point y of Y contains a zero-cycle of degree r we call this cycle a *witness for r -cycle-surjectivity over y at v* .

Lemma 5.2. *Let v be a place of k . Let V be a dense open subset of Y . Assume that there exists $B \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is r -cycle-surjective*

at v and there exist witnesses Z_v for r -cycle-surjectivity over y at v for all $y \in V(k_v)$ with $\max\deg Z_v \leq B$. Then f is r -cycle-surjective at v .

Proof. Assume cycle-surjectivity on an open V with a uniform bound B as described above. Let $k_v(i)$ denote the compositum of all degree i extensions of k_v . Then $X(k_v(i)) \subseteq X(\overline{k_v})$ is the set of $\overline{k_v}$ -points fixed by all elements in $\text{Gal}(\overline{k_v}/k_v(i))$ and this a closed subset. Hence

$$Y_B(f) := \bigcup_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, B\} \\ \gcd(I)|r}} \bigcap_{i \in I} f(X(k_v(i)))$$

is a finite union of closed subsets of $Y(\overline{k_v})$.

Let y be a k_v -rational point in V for which the fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ contains a zero-cycle Z of degree r with $\max\deg Z \leq B$. There exists $I \subset \{1, \dots, B\}$ such that

$$y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} f(X(k_v(i))) \subset Y_B(f).$$

On the other hand, a point $y \in Y_B(f)$ lies in $\bigcap_{i \in I} f(X(k_v(i)))$ for some $I \subset \{1, \dots, B\}$ with $\gcd(I)|r$, so its fibre has a closed $k_v(i)$ -point for all $i \in I$. Let j_i be the degree of this point. It follows that the prime factors of j_i are contained in the prime factors of i . In particular, the fibre has a zero-cycle of degree $\gcd_{i \in I} j_i = \gcd I|r$.

Now $Y_B(f)$ is closed and contains $V(k_v)$ which is dense and open in $Y(k_v)$, hence $Y(k_v) \subseteq Y_B(f)$. □

Remark 5.3. The above proof generalises to k_v any Henselian (non-trivially) valued field.

Lemma 5.4. *To show arithmetic r -cycle-surjectivity of f , it is enough to show arithmetic r -cycle-surjectivity of $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ for a dense open V in Y .*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 all we have to show is that for v large enough, if f is arithmetically r -cycle-surjective over V , there is a uniform bound on the maximum degree of witnesses. By generic smoothness [14, Corollary 10.7], after shrinking V , we may assume that all fibres over V are smooth.

Let $\iota : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_Y^r$ be a rational embedding. But now by Lemma 3.16 (which has a smoothness assumption), a fibre over a point in V is almost everywhere locally r -cycle-split if and only if it is almost everywhere locally r -cycle-split with zero-cycles as witnesses that have maximum degree less than $\Phi(\iota)$. □

5.2. Necessary condition

From the results over finite fields, we can deduce a necessary condition for arithmetic r -cycle-surjectivity.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a proper model of f over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ for a finite set of places S of k with regular source and target. Let $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ be a reduced divisor such that f is smooth away from \mathcal{T} . Then after possibly enlarging S , we can find a subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{k,S}}$ of codimension at least 2 in $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_{k,S}}$ such that for all $v \notin S$ the following holds.*

Choose $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$; denote its generic point by $y \in Y(k_v)$. If \tilde{y} intersects $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{k,S}}$ transversally outside $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}_{k,S}}$ and the fibre at $(\tilde{y} \bmod \pi_v)$ is not combinatorially r -cycle-split, then $f^{-1}(y)$ is not r -cycle-split.

Proof. This is a variant of [21, Theorem 2.8]: After possibly enlarging S , \mathcal{R} can be chosen of codimension 2 in a way such that

- 1) by generic flatness for regular schemes, f is flat on the complement $\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{R}$, and
- 2) by generic submersivity [21, Theorem 2.4] in characteristic 0, f is submersive (i.e. surjective on tangent spaces) over $\mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{R}$.

Then $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \tilde{y}$ is regular and its special fibre is not combinatorially r -cycle-split. The rest follows by Lemma 3.14. □

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\vartheta \in Y^{(1)}$ be a codimension 1 point of Y . There exists a finite set of places S such that for all $v \notin S$ the following holds: if $s_{f,\vartheta}^{0,r}(v) < 1$, then f is not arithmetically r -cycle-surjective.*

Proof. If $s_{f,\vartheta}^{0,r}(v) < 1$, let \mathcal{E} be the closure of ϑ in \mathcal{Y} . By Corollary 4.3, for suitable S we can find a point y in the special fibre of \mathcal{E} above which the fibre is not combinatorially r -cycle-split. By Proposition 5.5, it therefore suffices to lift y to an integral point intersecting \mathcal{E} transversally. The argument for this is well-known and literally the same as in [20, Theorem 4.2] via blowing-up \mathcal{Y} in y and choosing a point on the exceptional divisor. □

5.3. Sufficient condition and proof of main theorem

Finally, using tools from logarithmic geometry, we can give a necessary and sufficient criterion for arithmetic r -cycle-surjectivity. We refrain from giving

yet another exposition of logarithmic geometry and refer the reader to [1]. All log schemes in this section will be fs Zariski log schemes.

For this section assume that we have a log smooth, proper model $f : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E})$ of f where $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E})$ are Zariski log regular schemes (with divisorial log structure induced by \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E}) that are log smooth and proper over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ equipped with the trivial log structure for some finite set of places S . This can be achieved after a modification of f by using Abramovich-Denef-Karu’s toroidalisation theorem in [2] and spreading out. Denote by D and E the generic fibres of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} . Set $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{D}$, $U := X \setminus D$, $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{E}$, and $V := Y \setminus E$. On these open sets, the log structures are trivial.

By possibly enlarging S in the spreading-out procedure above, we may assume that all irreducible components \mathcal{E}' of \mathcal{E} intersect the generic fibre non-trivially, i.e. their generic points lie in Y . This property of our chosen model is absolutely crucial for the method presented here. Namely, one can control the splitting behaviour of the fibre of f over a point in the interior of \mathcal{E}' by the behaviour of the fibre of f over the generic (characteristic 0) point ϑ' of \mathcal{E}' (see Lemma 5.7).

Let v be a finite place of k . Let k'/k be a finite extension and w an extension of v to k' . By the valuative criterion of properness, any closed point

$$y : \text{Spec } k'_w \rightarrow Y$$

extends to a morphism

$$\tilde{y} : (\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k'_w})^\dagger \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}),$$

where $(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k'_w})^\dagger$ is the log scheme equipped with the standard divisorial log structure defined by a uniformiser π_w (i.e. with monoid given by $\mathcal{O}_{k'_w} \setminus 0$).

In [16], Kato defines the fan (F_T, \mathcal{M}_{F_T}) , a locally monoidal space associated to a log regular scheme T , and a morphism $c_T : T \rightarrow F_T$. The preimage $U(t)$ of a point $t \in F_T$ under c_T is called a *logarithmic stratum* and is a locally closed subset of T . Then the points of F_T can be identified with the generic points of the logarithmic strata. (In the older language of toroidal embeddings, these strata are connected components of repeated intersections of the boundary divisor.) To each $t \in F_T$, there corresponds a Kato subcone F_T^t of F_T which is the unique subcone with closed point t .

There is an attached *logarithmic height* function $h_T : F_T(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which is defined in [20, §5] as follows. Under the \mathbb{N} -valued point $t \in F_T(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N})$, the closed point of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{N}$ is sent to the closed point of a Kato

subcone $\text{Spec } \mathbb{N}^j$. The height $h_T(t)$ is defined as the sum of the images of the generators of \mathbb{N}^j under the map $\mathbb{N}^j \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ induced by t .

Furthermore, a morphism g of log regular schemes induces a morphism $F(g)$ of Kato fans. Because $F_{(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k'_w})^\dagger} \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{N}$, this defines a logarithmic height $h_Y(y)$ for any $y \in Y(k'_w)$. Morally, the height of y quantifies how often \tilde{y} intersects the special fibre.

Lemma 5.7. *For any $t \in F_Y$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the functor $\text{Irr}_{f^{-1}(U(t))/U(t)}^m$ is representable by a finite étale scheme over $U(t)$.*

Proof. It is shown in [20, Proposition 5.18] that $\text{Irr}_{f^{-1}(U(t))/U(t)}$ is representable by a finite étale scheme over $U(t)$. By [20, Proposition 5.16], apparent multiplicity is constant along logarithmic strata for proper, log smooth morphisms of log regular schemes, and because log smoothness is stable under base change, the same is true for geometric multiplicity. Thus the subfunctor $\text{Irr}_{f^{-1}(U(t))/U(t)}^m$ is represented by the closure of $\text{Irr}_{f^{-1}(t)/t}^m$ in $\text{Irr}_{f^{-1}(U(t))/U(t)}$. \square

The following two propositions bound the intersection behaviour of points in Y the fibres above which we have to consider.

Proposition 5.8. *There is a positive integer N with the following property. Let $B \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and $v \notin S$ a place of k . If the fibre over each point $y \in V(k_v)$ with $h_Y(y) \leq N$ has a zero-cycle Z of degree r with $\max \deg Z \leq B$, then $f \times_k k_v$ is r -cycle-surjective.*

Proof. The proof is very similar to the one in [20, Proposition 6.1], which itself is an adaptation of [9, 4.2], and we only sketch the steps and highlight the necessary changes.

Let $F(f)_* : F_X(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow F_Y(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N})$ be the morphism induced by f . Then define for all $s \in F_X$ and $t = F(f)_*(s) \in F_Y$:

$$N_t = \min\{h_Y(t') \mid t' \in F_Y^t(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N}), t' \notin F(f)_*(F_X(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N}))\},$$

$$N_{s,t} = \min\{h_Y(t') \mid t' \in F(f)_*(F_X^s(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N})) \subset F_Y^t(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N})\}$$

and $N = \max\{N_t, N_{s,t}\}$.

We have thus a finite partition

$$F_Y(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N}) = \bigsqcup_{t \in F_Y} F_Y^t(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N}) \setminus F(f)_*(F_X(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N})) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{s \in F_X} F(f)_*(F_X^s(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N})),$$

where each partition subset contains at least one element with height less than N .

Given some arbitrary $y \in V(k_v)$, we have to show that its fibre is r -cycle-split with a uniform bound B on the maximum degree of witnesses so that we can conclude by Lemma 5.2. The proof works by twice applying the logarithmic analogue of Hensel’s lemma for log smooth morphisms from [5] (see also [20, §5.2]).

By the above, we may find $b \in F_Y(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N})$ in the same partition subset as $F(\tilde{y})$ with $h_Y(b) \leq N$. Write $b = \sum_{i \in I} b_i v_i$, where $(v_i)_{i \in I}$ are the cones in F_Y corresponding to the irreducible components $(\mathcal{E}_i)_{i \in I}$ of \mathcal{E} .

Let $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$ be local equations for $(\mathcal{E}_i)_{i \in I}$ in an affine neighbourhood $\text{Spec } A$ of $(\tilde{y} \bmod \pi_v)$ in \mathcal{Y} .

Let $\bar{\varphi}$ be the canonical morphism

$$\bar{\varphi} : \mathcal{O}_{k_v} \setminus 0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{k_v} \setminus 0)/(1 + \pi_v \mathcal{O}_{k_v}) \cong k(v)^* \oplus \mathbb{N} \rightarrow k(v)^*.$$

The first application of logarithmic Hensel’s lemma is to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(k(v))^\dagger & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})^\dagger & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})^{\text{tr}} \end{array} .$$

Here, $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})^{\text{tr}}$ denotes the trivial log structure with monoid $\mathcal{O}_{k_v}^*$ and $\text{Spec}(k(v))^\dagger$ denotes the standard log point with log structure $k(v)^* \oplus \mathbb{N}$, the restriction of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})^\dagger$.

On the level of monoids, the upper horizontal arrow is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A^* \times \mathbb{N}^I &\rightarrow k(v)^* \oplus \mathbb{N}, \\ \alpha \in A^* &\mapsto (\alpha(\tilde{y} \bmod \pi_v), 0), \\ 1_i \in \mathbb{N}^I &\mapsto (\bar{\varphi}(\pi_i(\tilde{y})), b_i), \end{aligned}$$

where 1_i is the generator of the i -th factor. All other morphisms are the obvious ones.

The point $y' \in Y(k_v)$ yielded by logarithmic Hensel’s lemma has the same reduction as y but satisfies

$$F(\widetilde{y}') = b$$

and

$$\overline{\varphi}(\pi_i(\widetilde{y})) = \overline{\varphi}(\pi_i(\widetilde{y}')).$$

This is the first half of the proof and works verbatim as in [20, Proposition 6.1].

For the second half, the assumption of our proposition now states that $f^{-1}(y')$ contains a zero-cycle of degree r which we write as $\sum_h n_h x'_h$. Here, x'_h is a closed point defined over a finite extension l_{w_h}/k_v with $[l_{w_h} : k_v] \leq B$. We are done with the proof, if we can lift each $(x'_h \bmod \pi_{w_h})$ to an l_{w_h} -point $x_h \in f^{-1}(y)$.

To do so, we only have to slightly alter diagram (6.3) from the original proof in [20] and apply (for the second time) logarithmic Hensel, namely to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(k(w_h))^\dagger & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{l_{w_h}})^\dagger & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}) \end{array} .$$

On schemes, the upper horizontal morphism is given by $(x'_h \bmod \pi_{w_h})$ and the lower horizontal morphism is defined by \widetilde{y} composed with $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{l_{w_h}})^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})^\dagger$.

Let e_h be the ramification index of l_{w_h}/k_v . Then on fans

$$\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{l_{w_h}})^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})^\dagger$$

is just $\text{Spec } \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{N}$ induced by multiplication with e_h and hence

$$F(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{l_{w_h}})^\dagger \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E})) = e_h F(\widetilde{y}).$$

In an affine neighbourhood $\text{Spec}(B)$ of $(x'_h \bmod \pi_{w_h})$ in \mathcal{X} , $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ has a chart $\mathbb{N}^J \rightarrow B$ given by sending the generator 1_j to a local equation ω_j of the irreducible component \mathcal{D}_j . Let u_j be the Kato subcone corresponding to

\mathcal{D}_j . Since $F(\tilde{y})$ and b were chosen in the same partition subset and

$$F(f)_*(\tilde{x}'_h) = F(\tilde{y}') = b,$$

there exists $a = \sum_j a_j u_j \in F_X^s(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N})$ such that $F(\tilde{x}'_h) \in F_X^s(\text{Spec } \mathbb{N})$ and $F(f)_*(a) = F(\tilde{y}')$, so

$$F(f)_*(e_h a) = F(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{l_{w_h}})^\dagger \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E})).$$

Then the log structure of $\text{Spec}(k(w_h))^\dagger \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ should be defined by the morphism of monoids

$$\mathbb{N}^J \rightarrow k(w_h)^* \oplus \mathbb{N}, 1_j \mapsto (\overline{\varphi}(\omega_j(\tilde{x}'_h)), e_h a_j).$$

The proof that this defines a commuting diagram of log schemes works as in [20, Proposition 6.1]. □

The next proposition [20, Proposition 5.10 and Proposition 6.2] gives us a modification of f (obtained by pulling back along $N - 1$ barycentric log blow-ups of the target) which will turn out to be optimal in the sense that it is all we need to check arithmetic r -cycle-surjectivity.

Proposition 5.9. *Let N be a positive integer. There is a log smooth modification $f' : (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{E}')$ of f with \mathcal{X}' and \mathcal{Y}' smooth, proper over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ and geometrically integral with the following property:*

Let Y' be the generic fibre of \mathcal{Y}' and E' be the generic fibre of \mathcal{E}' . For any $v \notin S$ and each point $y \in (Y \setminus E)(k_v) = (Y' \setminus E')(k_v)$ with $1 \leq h_{\mathcal{Y}'}(y) \leq N$, $h_{\mathcal{Y}'}(y) = 1$ and its reduction in \mathcal{Y}' is a smooth point of the reduction of \mathcal{E}' .

Now we can prove a sufficient criterion:

Proposition 5.10. *Let $v \notin S$ and $f' : (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{E}')$ a log smooth modification of f as in Proposition 5.9. If $s_{f', \vartheta'}^{0,r}(v) = 1$ for each generic point ϑ' of \mathcal{D}' (the generic fibre of \mathcal{D}'), then $f \times_k k_v$ is r -cycle-surjective.*

Proof. Pick a rational embedding $\iota : \mathcal{X}'_{k(v)} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\nu}_{\mathcal{Y}'_{k(v)}}$ and let $B = \Phi(\iota)$. Let $\mathcal{V}' := \mathcal{X}' \setminus \mathcal{E}'$. It is enough to prove that the fibre over a point $y \in V'(k_v) = V(k_v)$ has a zero-cycle Z of degree r with $\max \deg Z \leq B$. If the reduction of y in \mathcal{Y} is in \mathcal{V} , we know that $f'^{-1}(\tilde{y} \bmod \pi_v) \cap \mathcal{U}$ is non-empty smooth and geometrically integral (by assumption on the generic fibre), so $f^{-1}(y)$ has a zero-cycle of degree 1 with maximum degree less than B by the Lang-Weil estimates.

Otherwise, assume that \tilde{y} intersects \mathcal{E}' . By Proposition 5.8, we can restrict ourselves to y with $h_{\mathcal{Y}}(y) \leq N$.

Because of Proposition 5.9, \tilde{y} intersects transversally a codimension 1 logarithmic stratum \mathcal{Z} of $(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{E}')$. By Lemma 5.7 Irr_f^m is representable by a finite étale cover over logarithmic strata. Hence by assumption of $s_{f, \eta_{\mathcal{Z}}}^{0,r}(v) = 1$ and Corollary 4.4, the fibre $f'^{-1}(\tilde{y} \bmod \pi_v)$ is combinatorially r -cycle-split.

The closure \tilde{y} of y in \mathcal{Y}' lies outside the Zariski closure of E'_{sing} (the singular locus of E'). Therefore f' is integral outside the closure of E'_{sing} by [15, Cor. 4.4(ii)]. Hence, the fibre product $\mathcal{X}'_y := (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \times_{f', (\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{E}'), \tilde{y}} (\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k_v})^\dagger$, taken in the category of Zariski log schemes, is fine. Its underlying scheme agrees with the fibre product in schemes [15, (1.6)]. Since \tilde{y} intersects \mathcal{E}' transversally, it follows that $\tilde{y} : (\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k_v})^\dagger \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{E}')$ is a saturated morphism as in [26]. Hence by [26, I.3.14], $\mathcal{X}'_y \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}')$ is saturated and so is \mathcal{X}'_y [26, II.2.12]. Thus \mathcal{X}'_y coincides with the fibre product taken in the category of fs log schemes.

Log smoothness is stable under fs base change [11, Proposition 12.3.24], so \mathcal{X}'_y is log regular, being log smooth over the log regular base $(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k_v})^\dagger$ [16, Theorem 8.2]. It follows that \mathcal{X}'_y is Cohen-Macaulay and in particular normal [16, Theorem 4.1].

That $f'^{-1}(y) = f^{-1}(y)$ is r -cycle-split with a witness Z of $\text{maxdeg } Z \leq B$ now follows from its reduction being combinatorially r -cycle-split and Lemma 3.14. □

The main result Theorem 1.2 reformulated for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is now an easy corollary of Proposition 5.6 and Proposition 5.10.

Theorem 5.11. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominant morphism between proper, smooth, geometrically integral varieties over a number field k with geometrically integral generic fibre.*

Then f is arithmetically r -cycle-surjective outside a finite set S , if and only if for each modification $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ and for each codimension 1 point ϑ' in Y' , the fibre $f'^{-1}(\vartheta')$ is combinatorially r -cycle-split.

Remark 5.12. The above result cannot be applied directly to Conjecture 1.3, which requires to prove that the exceptional set S in Theorem 1.2 is empty. We can nevertheless say the following.

In contrast to the case of Theorem 1.1, the set S for which we prove Theorem 1.2 does not depend on Lang-Weil estimates but only on the existence of a sufficiently nice log smooth model of f as stated in Section 5.3. However, the existence of such models remains open. As far as zero-cycles

are concerned, one may try to construct log smooth models by allowing alterations of f instead of modifications and [25] contains strong results in this direction. Unfortunately, even those models do not suffice since the creation of codimension 1 logarithmic strata is not controlled.

Remark 5.13. Because the criterion of the preceding main theorem is stable under extensions of the ground field k , we could have also defined r -cycle-surjective to mean the existence of a zero-cycle of degree r on each fibre over *closed* points of Y_{k_v} (instead of fibres over k_v -rational points as in Definition 2.2). The criterion of Theorem 5.11 then shows that either definition leads to equivalent notions of arithmetic r -cycle-surjectivity (see the related observation by Liang [20, Remark 6.5]).

While using closed points is arguably the more natural definition, we prefer to keep Definition 2.2 in analogy with [20].

Example 5.14. We give an example of a morphism for which one can show that it is arithmetically cycle-surjective but not arithmetically surjective.

Let $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k_i$ be a finite étale algebra over a number field k . Assume that A is almost everywhere locally cycle-split but not pseudo-split (e.g. one of the algebras in Examples 3.5 and 3.6). Then one can define the multinorm torus $R_{A/k}^1 \mathbb{G}_m$ through

$$0 \rightarrow R_{A/k}^1 \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow R_{A/k} \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{N_{A/k}} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0$$

where the middle term maps to \mathbb{G}_m via the norm maps.

The 1-parameter family of torsors for $R_{A/k}^1 \mathbb{G}_m$ given by

$$N_{A/k}(x) = t \neq 0$$

can be compactified to a proper, smooth, geometrically integral variety X with a morphism f to \mathbb{P}_k^1 .

It is easy to see that for all $v \notin S$, all smooth fibres over k_v -points have a zero-cycle of degree 1. Hence, f is arithmetically cycle-surjective. On the other hand, since $A \otimes_k k_v$ is non-split for infinitely many v , it follows from [21, Lemma 5.4], that f is not arithmetically surjective.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks M. Bright, J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J. Nicaise, A. Skorobogatov and O. Wittenberg and the anonymous referee for comments.

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [EP/ L015234/1], the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Geometry and Number Theory (The London School of Geometry and Number Theory), University College London.

References

- [1] D. Abramovich, Q. Chen, D. Gillam, Y. Huang, M. Olsson, M. Satriano, and S. Sun, *Logarithmic geometry and moduli*, in: Handbook of moduli. Vol. I, Vol. 24 of Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), pp. 1–61, Int. Press, Somerville, MA (2013).
- [2] D. Abramovich, J. Denef, and K. Karu, *Weak toroidalization over non-closed fields*, Manuscripta Math. **142** (2013), no. 1-2, 257–271.
- [3] S. Bosch and Q. Liu, *Rational points of the group of components of a Néron model*, Manuscripta Math. **98** (1999), no. 3, 275–293.
- [4] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, Néron Models, Vol. 21 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990), ISBN 3-540-50587-3.
- [5] Y. Cao, *Lemme de Hensel logarithmique*, (2016).
<https://docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&pid=sites&srcid=ZGVmYXVsdGRvbWVpbnx5YW5nY2FvMTk4OHxneDozNzgwZmEyM2I4Njc0YjY0>.
- [6] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Variétés presque rationnelles, leurs points rationnels et leurs dégénérescences*, in: Arithmetic Geometry, Vol. 2009 of Lecture Notes in Math., pp. 1–44, Springer, Berlin (2011).
- [7] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Groupe de Brauer non ramifié d’espaces homogènes de tores*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **26** (2014), no. 1, 69–83.
- [8] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and S. Saito, *Zéro-cycles sur les variétés p -adiques et groupe de Brauer*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1996), no. 4, 151–160.
- [9] J. Denef, *Proof of a conjecture of Colliot-Thélène and a diophantine excision theorem*, to appear in Algebra & Number Theory (2019), arXiv:1108.6250.
- [10] B. Fein, W. M. Kantor, and M. Schacher, *Relative Brauer groups. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **328** (1981), 39–57.

- [11] O. Gabber and L. Ramero, *Foundations for almost ring theory – Release 7.5*, (2018).
- [12] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965), no. 24, 231.
- [13] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), no. 28, 255.
- [14] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1977), ISBN 0-387-90244-9.
- [15] K. Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*, in: *Algebraic Analysis, Geometry, and Number Theory* (Baltimore, MD, 1988), pp. 191–224, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD (1989).
- [16] K. Kato, *Toric singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **116** (1994), no. 5, 1073–1099.
- [17] K. Kato and T. Kuzumaki, *The dimension of fields and algebraic K-theory*, J. Number Theory **24** (1986), no. 2, 229–244.
- [18] L. Kesteloot and J. Nicaise, *The specialization index of a variety over a discretely valued field*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 2, 585–599.
- [19] S. Lang and A. Weil, *Number of points of varieties in finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 819–827.
- [20] D. Loughran, A. N. Skorobogatov, and A. Smeets, *Pseudo-split fibres and arithmetic surjectivity*, to appear in *Annales Scientifiques de l’Ecole Normale Supérieure* (2019), arXiv:1705.10740.
- [21] D. Loughran and A. Smeets, *Fibrations with few rational points*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 5, 1449–1482.
- [22] D. A. Marcus, *Number Fields*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1977), ISBN 0-387-90279-1.
- [23] M. Romagny, *Composantes connexes et irréductibles en familles*, Manuscripta Math. **136** (2011), no. 1-2, 1–32.
- [24] J.-P. Serre, *Lectures on $N_X(p)$* , Vol. 11 of *Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2012), ISBN 978-1-4665-0192-8.

- [25] M. Temkin, *Tame distillation and desingularization by p -alterations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **186** (2017), no. 1, 97–126.
- [26] T. Tsuji, *Saturated morphisms of logarithmic schemes*, Tunis. J. Math. **1** (2019), no. 2, 185–220.
- [27] O. Wittenberg, *Sur une conjecture de Kato et Kuzumaki concernant les hypersurfaces de Fano*, Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), no. 11, 2185–2211.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH KENSINGTON CAMPUS
IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, LONDON, SW7 2AZ, UK
E-mail address: `d.gvirtz15@imperial.ac.uk`

RECEIVED DECEMBER 10, 2018

ACCEPTED OCTOBER 14, 2019

