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#### Abstract

We classify all links whose Khovanov homology have ranks no greater than 8, and all three-component links whose Khovanov homology have ranks no greater than 12, where the coefficient ring is $\mathbb{Z} / 2$. The classification is based on the previous results of Kronheimer-Mrowka [8, Batson-Seed [3, Baldwin-Sivek [1], and the authors (19.


## 1. Introduction

Khovanov homology [7] is a combinatorially defined invariant for oriented links in $S^{3}$. For a commutative ring $R$ and an oriented link $L$, the Khovanov homology assigns a bi-graded $R$-module $\mathrm{Kh}(L ; R)$.

The detection properties of Khovanov homology have been studied intensively in the past decade. In 2011, Kronheimer and Mrowka [8] proved that Khovanov homology detects the unknot. Since then, many other detection results of Khovanov homology have been obtained. It is now known that Khovanov homology detects the unlink [3, 6], the trefoil [1], the Hopf link [2], the forest of unknots [19], the splitting of links [9], and the torus link $T(2,6)$ [10].

In this paper, we classify all the links $L$ such that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \leq$ 8 , and all the 3 -component links $L$ such that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \leq 12$. Since the rank of the Khovanov homology does not depend on the orientation, it makes sense to refer to $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$ without orienting $L$.

Let $\operatorname{Khr}(L ; R)$ be the reduced Khovanov homology of $L$ with coefficient ring $R$. If $R$ is a PID, then the graded Euler characteristics of $\operatorname{Khr}(L ; R)$ recover the Jones polynomial $J_{L}(t)$ of $L$. Therefore, the parity of $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$ is the same as the parity of $J_{L}(1)$, which is odd if $L$ is a

[^0]knot and is even if $L$ has at least two components. By [16, Corollary 3.2.C], we have
$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=2 \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$ has the form $4 k+2(k \in \mathbb{Z})$ if $L$ is a knot, and is a multiple of 4 if $L$ has at least two components.

On the other hand, it is well-known that if $L$ is a link with $n$ components, then $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \geq 2^{n}$ (see, for example, [19, Equation (1)]). As a consequence, if $L$ is a knot such that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \leq 8$, then

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=2 \text { or } 6
$$

and hence $L$ is an unknot or a trefoil by [1, 8]. If $L$ is a 2 -component link such that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \leq 8$, then

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=4 \text { or } 8 .
$$

If $L$ is a 3 -component link such that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \leq 12$, then

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=8 \text { or } 12
$$

If $L$ has at least 4 components, then $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \geq 16$. In [19], the authors have classified all the $n$-component links $L$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=$ $2^{n}$. Therefore, the essential content of this paper is given by the following two results:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $L$ is a 2-component link in $S^{3}$, then

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=8
$$

if and only if $L$ is isotopic to the link L4a1 in the Thistlethwaite link table, which is the link given by Figure 1, or its mirror image.

Remark 1.2. In [19, Corollary 1.4], the authors proved that Khovanov homology (together with the bi-grading) distinguishes an oriented link whose underlying un-oriented link is isotopic to L4a1. Theorem 1.1 is a stronger version of that result.


Figure 1. The link L4a1.


Figure 2. The link L6n1.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $L$ is a three-component link in $S^{3}$, then

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=12
$$

if and only if $L$ is isotopic to the link L6n1 in the Thistlethwaite link table, which is the link given by Figure 2, or its mirror image.

Combining Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.3, and the results in [1, 8, 19], we have the following two corollaries.

Corollary 1.4. Suppose $L \subset S^{3}$ is a link such that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \leq 8$, then $L$ is isotopic to one of the following:

- the unlink with at most 3 components;
- the left-handed or right-handed trefoil;
- the Hopf link;
- the connected sum of two Hopf links;
- the disjoint union of a Hopf link and an unknot;
- the link L4a1 or its mirror image.

Corollary 1.5. Suppose $L \subset S^{3}$ is a link with three components such that

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \leq 12
$$

then $L$ is isotopic to one of the following:

- the unlink with 3 components;
- the connected sum of two Hopf links;
- the disjoint union of a Hopf link and an unknot;
- the link L6n1 or its mirror image.


## 2. Preliminaries

Let $L$ be a link in the (framed) solid torus $S^{1} \times D^{2}$, its annular instanton Floer homology $\operatorname{AHI}(L)$ is defined in [18], and the theory is further developed by [19, 20]. This section reviews several results from [18-20] that will be used later.

The annular instanton Floer homology is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded complex vector space, and the grading is called the f-grading. We use $\mathrm{AHI}(L, i)$ to denote the component of $\operatorname{AHI}(L)$ with f-degree $i$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{AHI}(L, i) \cong \operatorname{AHI}(L,-i) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the following definition from [20, Definition 1.5].
Definition 2.1. A properly embedded, connected surface $S \subset S^{1} \times D^{2}$ is called a meridional surface if $\partial S$ is a meridian of $S^{1} \times D^{2}$.

We recall the following two results from [20].
Theorem 2.2 ([20, Theorem 8.2]). Given a link $L$ in $S^{1} \times D^{2}$, let $S$ be a meridional surface that intersects $L$ transversely. Let $g$ be the genus of $S$, and let $n=|S \cap L|$. Suppose $S$ minimizes the value of $(2 g+n)$ among meridional surfaces that intersect $L$ transversely, then we have

$$
\operatorname{AHI}(L, \pm(2 g+n)) \neq 0
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{AHI}(L, i)=0
$$

for all $|i|>2 g+n$.
Proposition 2.3 ([20, Corollary 8.4]). Let L be a link in $S^{1} \times D^{2}$, let $n$ be a positive integer. Then $L$ is isotopic to the closure of a braid with $n$ strands if and only if the top $f$-grading of $\operatorname{AHI}(L)$ is $n$ and $\operatorname{AHI}(L, n) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

If $K \subset S^{3}$ is a knot, we will use $N(K)$ to denote the open tubular neighborhood of $K$. Suppose $K$ is an unknot, then $S^{3}-N(K)$ is a solid torus. Choose the framing of $S^{3}-N(K)$ such that the preferred longitude
of $S^{3}-N(K)$ is a meridian of $K$. Then for every link $L$ that is disjoint from $N(K)$, we can take the annular instanton Floer homology $\operatorname{AHI}(L)$ by viewing $L$ as a link in $S^{3}-N(K)$. Notice that in this case, a meridional surface of $S^{3}-N(K)$ induces a Seifert surface of $K$ and vice versa.

The following proposition establishes a relation between the annular instanton Floer homology and the reduced Khovanov homology.

Proposition 2.4. Suppose $L \subset S^{3}$ is a link, $U \subset L$ is a component of $L$ that is an unknot, and let $p \in U$ be a base point on $U$. Let $L_{0}=L-U$, then $L_{0}$ is a link in the solid torus $S^{3}-N(U)$. We have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(L_{0}\right)
$$

Proof. By Kronheimer-Mrowka's spectral sequence [8, Theorem 8.2], we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Khr}(\bar{L}, \bar{p} ; \mathbb{C}) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{I}^{\natural}(L, p ; \mathbb{C}),
$$

where $(\bar{L}, \bar{p})$ is the mirror image of $(L, p)$, and $I^{\natural}$ is the reduced singular instanton Floer homology introduced in [8]. By [7, Corollary 11], we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Khr}(\bar{L}, \bar{p} ; \mathbb{C})=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Khr}(L, p ; \mathbb{C})
$$

By the universal coefficient theorem,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Khr}(L ; \mathbb{C}) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)
$$

By [19, Proposition 2.6], $\mathrm{I}^{\natural}(L, p ; \mathbb{C}) \cong \operatorname{AHI}\left(L_{0}\right)$. Therefore the proposition is proved.

Let $\mathcal{U}_{k} \subset S^{1} \times D^{2}$ be the unlink with $k$ components, and let $\mathcal{K}_{l} \subset S^{1} \times$ $D^{2}$ be the link given by $S^{1} \times\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{l}\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{k} \sqcup \mathcal{K}_{l}$ be the disjoint union of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{l}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ is included in a 3 -ball disjoint from $\mathcal{K}_{l}$. By [18, Example 4.2, Proposition 4.3],

$$
\operatorname{AHI}\left(\mathcal{U}_{k} \sqcup \mathcal{K}_{l}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}_{(0)}^{2^{k}} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}_{(1)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{(-1)}\right)^{\otimes l}
$$

where the subscripts represent the f-gradings.
Proposition 2.5 ([19, Proposition 4.3]). Let $L \subset S^{1} \times D^{2}$ be an oriented link such that every component of $L$ has winding number 0 or $\pm 1$.

Suppose $L$ has $k$ components with winding number 0 , and $l$ components with winding number $\pm 1$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}(L, i) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(\mathcal{U}_{k} \sqcup \mathcal{K}_{l}, i\right)
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proposition 2.6 ([18, Section 4.4]). Suppose $L_{1}, L_{2}$ are two links in $S^{1} \times D^{2}$. If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are homotopic to each other in $S^{1} \times D^{2}$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(L_{1}, i\right) \equiv \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(L_{2}, i\right) \quad \bmod 2
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## 3. The Multi-variable ALexander polynomial

In this section, we prove several results on the multi-variable Alexander polynomial, which will be used later in the proof of Theorem 1.1 .

Let $l \geq 2$ be an integer, recall that the $l$-strand braid group $B_{l}$ has the following presentation:

$$
B_{l}=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{l-1} \mid \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}(j-i \geq 2)\right\rangle
$$

The reduced Burau representation (see [4]) is a group homomorphism

$$
\rho: B_{l} \rightarrow G L\left(l-1, \mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]\right)
$$

which maps $\sigma_{i}$ to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{i-2} & & & & \\
& 1 & 0 & 0 & \\
& t & -t & 1 & \\
& 0 & 0 & 1 & \\
& & & & I_{l-i-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the matrix is truncated appropriately when $i=1$ or $l-1$. Notice that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\rho\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\right)=-t \quad \text { for all } i
$$

and hence $\operatorname{det}(\rho(\beta))= \pm t^{a}$ for all $\beta \in B_{l}$.
Definition 3.1. Suppose $\beta \in B_{l}$ is a braid and $U \subset S^{3}$ is an unknot, let $\hat{\beta} \subset S^{1} \times D^{2} \cong S^{3}-N(U)$ be the braid closure of $\beta$. Define $U \cup \hat{\beta}$ to be the union of $U$ and $\hat{\beta}$ under the standard framing of $S^{3}-N(U)$.

Remark 3.2. The link L4a1 is isotopic to $U \cup \hat{\sigma}_{1}$, where $\sigma_{1}$ is a generator of $B_{2}$.

Theorem 3.3 ([11, Theorem 3]). Let $\beta \in B_{l}$, and let $L=U \cup \widehat{\beta}$. Suppose $\hat{\beta}$ is connected, then the multi-variable Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{L}(x, t)$ of $L$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x, t) \doteq \operatorname{det}(x I-\rho(\beta)(t)) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ and $t$ are the variables corresponding to $U$ and $\hat{\beta}$ respectively, and the sign ":" means that the two sides are equal up to a multiplication by $\pm x^{a} t^{b}$.

Remark 3.4. The ambiguity in the notation "三" is necessary because the multi-variable Alexander polynomial (before normalization) is only welldefined up to a multiplication by $\pm x^{a} t^{b}$.

We also need the following result:
Theorem 3.5 ([17]). Suppose $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is a 2-component link, and let $\Delta_{L}(x, y)$ be the multi-variable Alexander polynomial of $L$ where $x$ and $y$ are the variables corresponding to $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ respectively. Then we have

$$
\Delta_{L}(x, 1) \doteq \frac{1-x^{l}}{1-x} \Delta_{K_{1}}(x)
$$

where $\Delta_{K_{1}}(x)$ is the Alexander polynomial of $K_{1}$, and $l=\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)\right|$ is the absolute value of the linking number of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$.

The next lemma is an immediate corollary of the results in [5, 13], and is essentially contained in the proof of [19, Lemma 6.1]. We state it here as a separate lemma for future reference.

Lemma 3.6. Suppose $L$ is a link with $n$ components, let $\Delta_{L}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ be the (multi-variable) Alexander polynomial of L. Let $p \in L$ be a base point.

1) If $n=1$, then the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of $\Delta_{L}\left(x_{1}\right)$ is less than or equal to $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Khr}(L, p ; \mathbb{Q})$.
2) If $n \geq 2$, then the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of

$$
\left(x_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(x_{n}-1\right) \Delta_{L}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)
$$

is less than or equal to $2^{n-1} \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Khr}(L, p ; \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. We use $\widehat{\mathrm{HFK}}$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{HFL}}$ to denote the Heegaard knot Floer homology [12, 14] and the link Floer homology [13] respectively. The link Floer homology was originally defined for $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-coefficients, and was generalized to $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients in [15]. It is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\operatorname{HFK}}(L ; \mathbb{Q})=\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\operatorname{HFL}}(L ; \mathbb{Q}), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

but $\widehat{\mathrm{HFL}}(L ; \mathbb{Q})$ carries more refined gradings than $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\operatorname{HFK}}(L ; \mathbb{Q})$.
By [5, Corollary 1.7], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\operatorname{HFK}}(L ; \mathbb{Q}) \leq 2^{n-1} \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Khr}(L ; \mathbb{Q}) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [13, Equation (1)], the multi-graded Euler characteristics of $\widehat{\mathrm{HFL}}(L ; \mathbb{Q})$ satisfy

$$
\chi(\widehat{\operatorname{HFL}}(L ; \mathbb{Q})) \doteq \begin{cases}\Delta_{L}\left(x_{1}\right) & \text { if } n=1  \tag{3.4}\\ \left(x_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(x_{n}-1\right) \Delta_{L}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) & \text { if } n \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

therefore the result is proved.
Now let $l \geq 2$ be an integer, let $\beta \in B_{l}, L=U \cup \hat{\beta}$, and let $\Delta_{L}(x, y)$ be the multi-variable Alexander polynomial of $L$ such that $x$ and $y$ are the variables corresponding to $U$ and $\hat{\beta}$ respectively. By (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{L}(x, y) & \doteq(-1)^{l-1} \operatorname{det}\left(\rho\left(\beta_{2}\right)(y)\right)+f_{1}(y) x+\cdots+f_{l-2}(y) x^{l-2}+x^{l-1} \\
5) & = \pm y^{a}+f_{1}(y) x+\cdots+f_{l-2}(y) x^{l-2}+x^{l-1} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for $a \in \mathbb{Z}, f_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]$. By Theorem 3.5,

$$
\Delta_{L}(x, 1) \doteq\left(1+x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{l-1}\right) \Delta_{U}(x)=1+x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{l-1}
$$

Therefore in Equation (3.5), we must have $f_{i}(1)=1$ for all $i$, and the sign in front of the term $y^{a}$ is positive.

A 2-component link $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is called exchangeably braided, if both $K_{1}, K_{2}$ are unknots and for each $(i, j) \in\{(1,2),(2,1)\}$ the knot $K_{i}$ is a braid closure with axis $K_{j}$. The concept of exchangeably braided links was introduced and studied by Morton in [11. If we further assume that $L$ is exchangeably braided, then by symmetry and (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq x^{b}+g_{1}(x) y+\cdots+g_{l-2}(x) y^{l-2}+y^{l-1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $g_{i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$ with $g_{i}(1)=1$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $L$ be a mutually braided link with linking number $l \geq 3$, let $\Delta_{L}(x, y)$ be the multi-variable Alexander polynomial of $L$. Then the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of $(x-1)(y-1) \Delta_{L}(x, y)$ is at least 12 .

Proof. Let $f_{i}(y)$ be as in (3.5) for $i=1, \cdots, l-2$, and set

$$
f_{0}(y)=y^{a}, \quad f_{l-1}(y)=1
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x-1)(y-1) \Delta_{L}(x, y) \\
\doteq & (y-1)\left(-y^{a}+\left(y^{a}-f_{1}(y)\right) x+\cdots+\left(f_{l-3}(y)-f_{l-2}(y)\right) x^{l-2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(f_{l-2}(y)-1\right) x^{l-1}+x^{l}\right) \\
= & -(y-1) y^{a}+(y-1) x^{l}+\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}(y-1)\left(f_{i-1}(y)-f_{i}(y)\right) x^{i} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We discuss three cases depending on how many values of $i$ have $f_{i} \neq f_{i-1}$. If $f_{i-1}=f_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1, \cdots, l-1\}$, then $a=0$, and (3.5) gives

$$
\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq 1+x+\cdots+x^{l-1}
$$

which contradicts (3.6) and the assumption that $l \geq 3$.
If there is exactly one element $i \in\{1, \cdots, l-1\}$ such that $f_{i-1}(y) \neq$ $f_{i}(y)$, then by (3.5), we have

$$
\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq y^{a}+y^{a} x+\cdots+y^{a} x^{i-1}+x^{i}+\cdots+x^{l-1}
$$

which also contradicts (3.6) and the assumption that $l \geq 3$.
If there exist at least two elements $i \in\{1, \cdots, l-1\}$ such that $f_{i-1}(y) \neq$ $f_{i}(y)$, then

$$
f_{i-1}(1)-f_{i}(1)=1-1=0
$$

implies that $y-1$ is a factor of $f_{i-1}(y)-f_{i}(y)$. Therefore for every $i$ such that $f_{i-1}(y) \neq f_{i}(y)$, the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of

$$
(y-1)\left(f_{i-1}(y)-f_{i}(y)\right)
$$

is even and strictly greater than 2 , therefore it is at least 4 . The desired result then follows from (3.7).

The following lemma refines the proof of Lemma 3.7 and obtains a necessary condition for attaining the lower bound. This result will not be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.8. Suppose $L$ is an exchangeably braided link with linking number $l \geq 3$, let $\Delta_{L}(x, y)$ be the multi-variable Alexander polynomial of $L$. If the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of $(x-1)(y-1) \Delta_{L}(x, y)$ is equal to 12 , then $l=3$.

Proof. We use the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 3.7. If the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of $(x-1)(y-1) \Delta_{L}(x, y)$ is equal to 12 , then the proof of Lemma 3.7 indicates that there are exactly two elements $i \in\{1, \cdots, l-1\}$ such that $f_{i-1}(y) \neq f_{i}(y)$. Therefore by (3.5), there exists $f(y) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]$ and $0 \leq k_{1}<k_{2} \leq l-2$, such that $f(y) \neq 1, f(y) \neq y^{a}$, and $\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq y^{a}\left(1+\cdots+x^{k_{1}}\right)+f(y)\left(x^{k_{1}+1}+\cdots+x^{k_{2}}\right)+x^{k_{2}+1}+\cdots+x^{l-1}$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L}(1, y) \doteq\left(1+k_{1}\right) y^{a}+\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right) f(y)+\left(l-1-k_{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L}(1, y) \doteq 1+y+\cdots+y^{l-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $l \geq 3$, Equation (3.9) implies that the coefficients of $\Delta_{L}(1, y)$ are non-zero for at least 3 different powers of $y$. Therefore the polynomial $f(y)$ in (3.8) must contain a non-zero term of the form $c \cdot y^{b}$, where $b \neq 0$ or $a$. As a result, $\Delta_{L}(1, y)$ has a term whose coefficient is a non-zero multiple of $k_{2}-k_{1}$. Since (3.9) shows that the coefficients of all the non-zero terms of $\Delta_{L}(1, y)$ are 1 , we must have $k_{2}-k_{1}=1$. Hence

$$
\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq y^{a}\left(1+\cdots+x^{k_{1}}\right)+f(y) x^{k_{1}+1}+x^{k_{1}+2}+\cdots+x^{l-1}
$$

Since $\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq \Delta_{L}\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)$, and recall that $f(y) \neq 1, f(y) \neq y^{a}$, we have $l=2 m+1$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq y^{a}\left(1+\cdots+x^{m-1}\right)+f(y) x^{m}+x^{m+1}+\cdots+x^{2 m} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

View $\Delta_{L}(x, y)$ as a Laurent polynomial of $x$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]$, the equation above shows that there is only one power of $x$ (namely $x^{m}$ )
that may have a coefficient which is not a monomial of $y$. Switching the roles of $x$ and $y$ and repeating the same argument, we conclude that there is at most one power of $y$ in $\Delta_{L}(x, y)$ whose coefficient is not a monomial of $x$.

Now we assume $l>3$ and deduce a contradiction. Since $l>3$, we must have $a=0$, because otherwise neither the coefficient of $y^{a}$ nor the coefficient of $y^{0}$ of $\Delta_{L}(x, y)$ are monomials in $\mathbb{Z}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$, contradicting the previous argument. Therefore by (3.10), we have

$$
\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq 1+\cdots+x^{m-1}+f(y) x^{m}+x^{m+1}+\cdots+x^{2 m}
$$

Flipping the roles of $x$ and $y$, we have

$$
\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq 1+\cdots+y^{m-1}+g(x) y^{m}+y^{m+1}+\cdots+y^{2 m}
$$

for some $g \in \mathbb{Z}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$. By (3.9), we have $f(1)=g(1)=1$. Therefore

$$
\Delta_{L}(x, y) \doteq-(2 m+1)+\sum_{i=-m}^{m} x^{i}+\sum_{i=-m}^{m} y^{i}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-x)(1-y) \Delta_{L}(x, y) \\
\doteq & -(2 m+1)(1-x)(1-y)+\left(x^{-m}-x^{m+1}\right)(1-y)+(1-x)\left(y^{-m}-y^{m+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $l>3$, we have $m \geq 2$, therefore the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of $(1-x)(1-y) \Delta_{L}(x, y)$ is strictly greater than 12 , which contradicts the assumption.

In conclusion, we have $l=3$, and the lemma is proved.

Combining (3.2, (3.4), Lemma 3.7, and Lemma 3.8, we obtain the following corollary, which may be of independent interest.

Corollary 3.9. Suppose $L$ is an exchangeably braided link with linking number $l \geq 3$, then $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\operatorname{HFK}}(L ; \mathbb{Q}) \geq 12$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\operatorname{HFK}}(L ; \mathbb{Q})=$ 12 , then $l=3$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The "if" part of the theorem follows from a straightforward computation. Now suppose $L$ is a 2 -component link such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=8 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we prove that $L$ is isotopic to L4a1 or its mirror image.
Denote the two components of $L$ by $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Batson-Seed's inequality
[3, Corollary 1.6] gives

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \geq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{1} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{2} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{i} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \geq 2$, we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \mathrm{Kh}\left(K_{i} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \leq 4
$$

By [16, Corollary 3.2.C],

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}\left(K_{i} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{i} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \leq 2
$$

The parity of $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}\left(K_{i} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)$ is always odd, thus it has to be 1 . Kronheimer-Mrowka's unknot detection theorem [8] then implies that both $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are unknots.

Pick a base point $p \in K_{2}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}(L, p ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=4
$$

By Proposition 2.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}(L, p ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=4 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}$ is viewed as a knot in the solid torus $S^{3}-N\left(K_{2}\right)$.
If $\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=0$, then $K_{1}$ is homotopic to the unknot in the solid torus. By Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.6, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1} ; 0\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(\mathcal{U}_{1} ; 0\right)=2
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1} ; i\right) \equiv \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(\mathcal{U}_{1} ; i\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2), \quad \text { for all } i .
$$

Therefore, by (2.1) and (4.2), $\mathrm{AHI}\left(K_{1}\right)$ must be supported at f-degree 0 . By Theorem 2.2, this implies $K_{2}$ bounds a disk that is disjoint from $K_{1}$, and
hence $L$ is the disjoint union of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, which implies $L$ is the unlink. However, the unlink does not satisfy (4.1), which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we have $l=\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)\right|>0$, and hence $K_{1}$ is homotopic to the closure $\hat{\beta}$ of an $l$-braid $\beta$ in the solid torus $S^{3}-N\left(K_{2}\right)$. By Proposition 2.6, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{AHI}\left(K_{1} ; i\right) \equiv \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}(\hat{\beta} ; i)(\bmod 2)
$$

and by (the easy direction of) Proposition 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}(\hat{\beta} ; \pm l)=1 \\
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}(\hat{\beta} ; \pm i)=0 \text { for all } i>l
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore (2.1) and (4.2) yield that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{AHI}\left(K_{1} ; \pm l\right)=1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1} ; \pm i\right)=0 \text { for all } i>l
$$

By Proposition 2.3, this implies $K_{1}$ is the closure of an $l$-braid in $S^{3}-$ $N\left(K_{2}\right)$. A similar argument shows that $K_{2}$ is the closure of an $l$-braid in $S^{3}-N\left(K_{1}\right)$. Therefore $L$ is exchangeably braided.

By the universal coefficient theorem,

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Khr}(L, p ; \mathbb{Q}) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}(L, p ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=4
$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, we have $l \leq 2$.
If $l=1$, then $L$ is the Hopf link, which does not satisfy (4.1).
If $l=2$, then $L=U \cup \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{m}$, where $\sigma_{1} \in B_{2}$ is a generator of the braid group with 2 strands and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since both components of $L$ are unknots, we have $m= \pm 1$, therefore $L$ is isotopic to L4a1 or its mirror image.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The "if" part of the theorem follows from a straightforward calculation. Now suppose $L$ is a 3 -component link with $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=12$, we prove that $L$ isotopic to $L 6 n 1$ or its mirror image.

Denote the three components of $L$ by $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$. By Batson-Seed's inequality [3, Corollary 1.6], we have
$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{k} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=12$
for all triples $(i, j, k)$ with $\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\}$. Since $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{k} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \geq$ 2 , we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \leq 6
$$

We apply a similar parity argument as before. By [16, Corollary 3.2.C], we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \leq 3
$$

Since $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)$ is always even, we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \leq 2
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)=2 \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}\left(K_{i} \cup K_{j} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right) \leq 4
$$

By [19, Theorem 1.2], $K_{i} \cup K_{j}$ is either a Hopf link or an unlink. In particular, $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)\right|=0$ or 1 . Hence after permuting the labels of the components, we may assume that $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{3}, K_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{3}, K_{2}\right)\right|$.

Pick a base point $p \in K_{3}$. By [16, Corollary 3.2.C], we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}(L, p ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=6
$$

View $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ as a link in the solid torus $S^{3}-N\left(K_{3}\right)$, Proposition 2.4 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1} \cup K_{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Khr}(L, p ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=6 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We discuss two cases.

Case 1. $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{3}, K_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{3}, K_{2}\right)\right|=0$. Then $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is homotopic to the unlink in the solid torus $S^{3}-N\left(K_{3}\right)$. Hence by Proposition 2.5 and

Proposition 2.6. we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1} \cup K_{2}, 0\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(\mathcal{U}_{2}, 0\right)=4
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1} \cup K_{2}, i\right) \equiv \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{AHI}\left(\mathcal{U}_{2}, i\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2), \text { for all } i
$$

By (2.1) and (4.3), $\mathrm{AHI}\left(K_{1} \cup K_{2}\right)$ must be supported at f-degree 0 . By Theorem 2.2, this implies $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is split from $K_{3}$, so $L$ is either the unlink or the disjoint union of a Hopf link and an unknot. In both cases we have $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \mathrm{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=8$, which contradicts the assumption.

Case 2. $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{3}, K_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{3}, K_{2}\right)\right|=1$. Recall that the link $\mathcal{K}_{2} \subset S^{1} \times$ $D^{2}$ is defined by $\mathcal{K}_{2}=S^{1} \times\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$, and it can be viewed as a link in $S^{3}-$ $N\left(K_{3}\right)$. The assumption above implies that $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is homotopic to $\mathcal{K}_{2}$. By Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1} \cup K_{2} ; i\right) \geq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{AHI}\left(\mathcal{K}_{2} ; i\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1} \cup K_{2} ; i\right) \equiv \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{AHI}\left(\mathcal{K}_{2} ; i\right)(\bmod 2) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$. Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{AHI}\left(\mathcal{K}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}_{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{(-2)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{(0)}^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts represent the f-gradings. It then follows from (2.1), (4.3), (4.4), 4.5), 4.6) that

$$
\operatorname{AHI}\left(K_{1} \cup K_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}_{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{(-2)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{(0)}^{4} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathbb{C}_{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{(-2)} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{(0)}^{2}
$$

By Proposition 2.3, in both cases $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is the closure of a 2-braid $\beta \in B_{2}$ in the solid torus $S^{3}-N\left(K_{3}\right)$. Since $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is either the unlink or the Hopf link, $\beta$ is either trivial or $\sigma_{1}^{ \pm 2}$, where $\sigma_{1}$ is a generator of $B_{2}$. If $\beta$ is trivial, then $L$ is the connected sum of two Hopf links, and $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z} / 2} \operatorname{Kh}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)=8$, which contradicts the assumption. Therefore $\beta=\sigma_{1}^{ \pm 2}$, thus $L$ is isotopic to L6n1 or its mirror image, and hence the result is proved.

## References

[1] J. A. Baldwin and S. Sivek, Khovanov homology detects the trefoils, Duke Math. J. 171 (2022), no. 4, 885-956.
[2] J. A. Baldwin, S. Sivek, and Y. Xie, Khovanov homology detects the Hopf links, Math. Res. Lett. 26 (2019), no. 5, 1281-1290.
[3] J. Batson and C. Seed, A link-splitting spectral sequence in Khovanov homology, Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), no. 5, 801-841.
[4] J. S. Birman, Braids, links, and mapping class groups, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo (1974). Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 82.
[5] N. Dowlin, A spectral sequence from Khovanov homology to knot Floer homology, arXiv preprint, arXiv:1811.07848, (2018).
[6] M. Hedden and Y. Ni, Khovanov module and the detection of unlinks, Geom. Topol. 17 (2013), no. 5, 3027-3076.
[7] M. Khovanov, A categorification of the Jones polynomial, Duke Math. J. 101 (2000), no. 3, 359-426.
[8] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka, Khovanov homology is an unknotdetector, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2011), no. 113, 97-208.
[9] R. Lipshitz and S. Sarkar, Khovanov homology detects split links, Amer. J. Math. 144 (2022), no. 6, 1745-1781.
[10] G. Martin, Khovanov homology detects $T(2,6)$, Math. Res. Lett. 29 (2022), no. 3, 835-849.
[11] H. R. Morton, Exchangeable braids, in Low-dimensional topology (Chelwood Gate, 1982), Vol. 95 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 86-105, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1985).
[12] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, Holomorphic disks and knot invariants, Adv. Math. 186 (2004), no. 1, 58-116.
[13] —, Holomorphic disks, link invariants and the multi-variable Alexander polynomial, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 8 (2008), no. 2, 615-692.
[14] J. A. Rasmussen, Floer homology and knot complements, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI (2003), ISBN 978-0496-39374-9. Thesis (Ph.D.)Harvard University.
[15] S. Sarkar, A note on sign conventions in link Floer homology, Quantum Topol. 2 (2011), no. 3, 217-239.
[16] A. N. Shumakovitch, Torsion of Khovanov homology, Fund. Math. 225 (2014), no. 1, 343-364.
[17] G. Torres, On the Alexander polynomial, Ann. of Math. (2) 57 (1953) 57-89.
[18] Y. Xie, Instantons and Annular Khovanov Homology, Adv. Math. 388 (2021), Paper No. 107864, 51 pp.
[19] Y. Xie and B. Zhang, Classification of links with Khovanov homology of minimal rank, to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc.
[20] —, Instanton Floer homology for sutured manifolds with tangles, arXiv preprint, arXiv:1907.00547, (2019).

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research
Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
E-mail address: yixie@pku.edu.cn
Department of Mathematics, Princeton University
New Jersey 08544, USA
E-mail address: bz@math.princeton.edu
Received July 23, 2020
Accepted September 5, 2020


[^0]:    The first author is supported by National Key R\&D Program of China SQ2020YFA0712800 and NSFC 12071005.

