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#### Abstract

We generalize a formula due to Macdonald that relates the singular Betti numbers of $X^{n} / G$ to those of $X$, where $X$ is a compact manifold and $G$ is any subgroup of the symmetric group $S_{n}$ acting on $X^{n}$ by permuting coordinates. Our result is completely axiomatic: in a general setting, given an endomorphism on the cohomology $H^{\bullet}(X)$, it explains how we can explicitly relate the Lefschetz series of the induced endomorphism on $H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)^{G}$ to that of the given endomorphism on $H^{\bullet}(X)$ in the presence of the Künneth formula with respect to a cup product. For example, when $X$ is a compact manifold, we take the Lefschetz series given by the singular cohomology with rational coefficients. On the other hand, when $X$ is a projective variety over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, we use the $l$-adic étale cohomology with a suitable choice of prime number $l$. We also explain how our formula generalizes the Pólya enumeration theorem, a classical theorem in combinatorics that counts colorings of a graph up to given symmetries, where $X$ is taken to be a finite set of colors. When $X$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$, our formula also generalizes a result of Cheah that relates the Hodge numbers of $X^{n} / G$ to those of $X$. We also discuss how the generating function for the Lefschetz series of the endomorphisms on $H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)^{S_{n}}$ is rational, and this generalizes the following facts: 1. the generating function of the Poincaré polynomials of symmetric powers of a compact manifold $X$ is rational; 2 . the generating function of the Hodge-Deligne polynomials of symmetric powers of a smooth projective variety $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is rational; 3 . the zeta series of a projective variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is rational. We also prove analogous rationality results when we replace $S_{n}$ by the alternating groups $A_{n}$.
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Motivation

Let $X$ be a compact complex manifold of (complex) dimension $d$ and consider the $n$-th symmetric power $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)=X^{n} / S_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. One may ask how to compute the singular Betti numbers $h^{0}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right)$, $h^{1}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right), \ldots$ for various $n$ with respect to those of $X$. In his influential paper Mac1962A, Macdonald settled this question: he proved

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{u}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right) t^{n}=\frac{(1-u t)^{h^{1}(X)} \cdots\left(1-u^{2 d-1} t\right)^{h^{2 d-1}(X)}}{(1-t)^{h^{0}(X)} \cdots\left(1-u^{2 d} t\right)^{h^{2 d}(X)}}
$$

where

$$
\chi_{u}(Y):=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-u)^{i} h^{i}(Y)
$$

a power series ${ }^{1}$ in $u$ with integer coefficients, defined for any topological space $Y$ with finite singular Betti numbers. Note that the right-hand side of the above identity is rational in $t$.

[^0]There is an analogous result when $X$ is a projective variety of dimension $d$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ due to Grothendieck:

$$
Z_{X}(t)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{1}(X)}-\operatorname{Fr}_{q, 1}^{*} t\right) \cdots \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{2 d-1}(X)}-\operatorname{Fr}_{q, 2 d-1}^{*} t\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{0}(X)}-\operatorname{Fr}_{q, 0}^{*} t\right) \cdots \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{2 d}(X)}-\operatorname{Fr}_{q, 2 d}^{*} t\right)}
$$

where

$$
Z_{X}(t):=\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}\right)\right| t^{r}}{r}\right)
$$

is the zeta series of $X$. In the above result, the notation $H^{i}(X)$ now denotes the $i$-th $l$-adic étale cohomology ${ }^{2}$

$$
H_{\text {et }}^{i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right):=H_{\text {ett }}^{i}\left(X_{/ \bar{F}_{q}}, \mathbb{Z}_{l}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{l}} \mathbb{Q}_{l}
$$

of $X_{/ \mathbb{F}_{q}}:=X \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)} \operatorname{Spec}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$, which is a finite-dimensional vector space over the field $\mathbb{Q}_{l}$ of $l$-adic rational numbers for any fixed prime number $l$ not dividing $q$. We write $\mathrm{Fr}_{q}$, which we call the Frobenius endomorphism on $X$, to mean the map from $X$ to itself given by the identity on the underlying topological space and the $q$-th power map on the structure sheaf $\mathscr{O}_{X}$, giving an endomorphism on $X_{/ \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}}$, inducing the $\mathbb{Q}_{l}$-linear endomorphism $\mathrm{Fr}_{q, i}^{*}$ on $H^{i}(X)$. In particular, this shows that $Z_{X}(t)$ is rational in $t$, which was first shown by Dwork Dwo1960. It is well-known that

$$
Z_{X}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| t^{n}
$$

so writing

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| t^{n}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{1}(X)}-\operatorname{Fr}_{q, 1}^{*} t\right) \cdots \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{2 d-1}(X)}-\operatorname{Fr}_{q, 2 d-1}^{*} t\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{0}(X)}-\operatorname{Fr}_{q, 0}^{*} t\right) \cdots \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{2 d}(X)}-\operatorname{Fr}_{q, 2 d}^{*} t\right)}
$$

one may visibly find a similarity between Grothendieck's formula and Macdonald's formula. When we take $u=1$ in Macdonald's formula, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right) t^{n}=\left(\frac{1}{1-t}\right)^{\chi(X)}
$$

By making analogies between taking the Euler characteristic and counting $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points, Vakil [Vak2015] explained how to interpret Grothendieck's

[^1]formula as the specialization $u=1$ of Macdonald's formula in the $l$-adic setting. In this paper, we take this analogy one step further by generalizing both Macdonald's formula and Grothendieck's formula.

Our main theorem (i.e., Theorem 1.4) is too formal to state without providing a concrete consequence:

Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be either a compact complex manifold of dimension $d$ or a projective variety of dimension $d$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For any endomorphism $F$ on $X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} & L_{u}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(F)^{*}\right) t^{n} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{1}(X)}-F_{1}^{*} u t\right) \cdots \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{2 d-1}(X)}-F_{2 d-1}^{*} u^{2 d-1} t\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{0}(X)}-F_{0}^{*} t\right) \cdots \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{2 d}(X)}-F_{2 d}^{*} u^{2 d} t\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- $H^{i}(X)$ is the singular cohomology of $X$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients when $X$ is a compact complex manifold,
- $H^{i}(X)$ is the étale cohomology of $X_{/ \mathbb{F}_{q}}$ with $\mathbb{Q}_{l}$-coefficients when $X$ is a projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for some prime number $l$,
- $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(F)$ is the endomorphism on $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)$ induced by $F$,
- $F_{i}^{*}$ is the endomorphism on $H^{i}(X)$ induced by $F$, and
- $L_{u}\left(F^{*}\right):=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-u)^{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F_{i}^{*}\right)$.

Indeed, taking $F=\mathrm{id}_{X}$ in the singular setting of Theorem 1.1, we obtain Macdonald's formula. Taking $F=\mathrm{Fr}_{q}$ in the $l$-adic setting for choosing primes $l \nmid q$ with $u=1$, we obtain Grothendieck's formula thanks to the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula (e.g., Mil1980, VI, Theorem 13.4), which implies that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=L_{1}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{q}\right)^{*}\right)
$$

noting that $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{q}\right)$ is equal to the Frobenius endomorphism on $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)$. Note that Theorem 1.1 is more general than the two formulas in either setting, and we still get the rational generating function in $t$. Our main theorem, which we introduce in the next subsection, is much more general than Theorem 1.1, and yet it is a simple representation-theoretic observation.

We hope that experts in various cohomology theories may find our general formulation clear and useful.

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 holds more generally, although we do not seek its maximum generality in this paper. For the singular setting, one may take $X$ to be any compact smooth manifold of (real) dimension $2 d$ or any finite CW complex such that $h^{i}(X)=0$ for all $i>2 d$. In the $l$-adic setting, we must require $l>n$ whenever we deal with $H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right)=H_{\text {et }}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X), \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ because the result depends on the isomorphism $H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right) \simeq H^{i}\left(X^{n}\right)^{S_{n}}$ (HN1975, Proposition 3.2.1) that uses the fact that $l$ does not divide $\left|S_{n}\right|=n!$. Moreover, since $H^{i}(X)=H_{\text {ett }}^{i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)=0$ for $i>2 d$ ([Mil1980], VI, Theorem 1.1), we have

$$
H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right) \simeq H^{i}\left(X^{n}\right)^{S_{n}} \simeq\left(\bigoplus_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=i} H^{i_{1}}(X) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^{i_{n}}(X)\right)^{S_{n}}=0
$$

if $i>2 d n$ with any choice of $l>n$, so each $L_{u}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(F)^{*}\right)$ is a polynomial in $u$ for any such $l$. In the $l$-adic setting, one can instead use the compactly supported $l$-adic étale cohomology $H_{\text {et, } \mathrm{c}}^{\bullet}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$, which allows us to consider $X$ to be any quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. This is particularly useful for revisiting a previously known point-counting result over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, discussed in Section 5

Later in this paper, we run the same story, replacing the full symmetric groups $S_{n}$ with their alternating subgroups $A_{n}$. In particular, we obtain the following analogue of Theorem 1.1. This is restated as Theorem 4.4, and more concrete consequences of this can be found in Section 4 .

Theorem 1.3. Let $X$ be either a compact complex manifold of dimension $d$ or a projective variety of dimension $d$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For any endomorphism $F$ on $X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{u}\left(\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(F)^{*}\right) t^{n}= & \prod_{i=0}^{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{i}(X)}-F_{i}^{*} u^{i} t\right)}\right)^{(-1)^{i}} \\
& +\prod_{i=0}^{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{i}(X)}+F_{i}^{*} u^{i} t\right)}\right)^{(-1)^{i+1}}-1-L_{u}\left(F^{*}\right) t
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the same notation as in Theorem 1.1 except $\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(F)$, the endomorphism on the $n$-th alternating power $\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(X)=X^{n} / A_{n}$ of $X$ induced by $F$.

### 1.2. Main result and its applications

In this subsection, we formulate our main result. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category where any finite products exist. Fix a field $k$, and suppose that we have a functor

$$
H^{\bullet}: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G r V e c}_{k}
$$

from the opposite category $\mathcal{C}^{\text {op }}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ to the category $\mathbf{G r V e c}_{k}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded vector spaces over $k$ whose morphisms are $k$-linear graded maps (of degree 0 ). Given any object $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$, we may write

$$
H^{\bullet}(X)=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^{i}(X)
$$

where each $H^{i}(X)$ is a vector space over $k$. Given any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, the induced $k$-linear map $f^{*}: H^{\bullet}(Y) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(X)$ can be decomposed into $f_{i}^{*}: H^{i}(Y) \rightarrow H^{i}(X)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by definition. In addition, we assume the following axioms:

Axiom 1. Given any object $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$, we assume that there is a cup product, namely a $k$-bilinear map $\cup: H^{i}(X) \times H^{j}(X) \rightarrow H^{i+j}(X)$ defined for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$
a \cup b=(-1)^{i j} b \cup a
$$

for all $a \in H^{i}(X)$ and $b \in H^{j}(X)$.

Axiom 2. Assuming Axiom 1, given any objects $X$ and $Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$, we assume the Künneth formula:

$$
H^{\bullet}(X) \otimes_{k} H^{\bullet}(Y) \simeq H^{\bullet}(X \times Y)
$$

given by $a \otimes b \mapsto p_{X}^{*}(a) \cup p_{Y}^{*}(b)$ for any homogeneous elements $a \in H^{\bullet}(X)$ and $b \in H^{\bullet}(Y)$, meaning $a \in H^{i}(X)$ and $b \in H^{j}(Y)$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. (In such a case, we write $i=\operatorname{deg}(a)$ and $j=\operatorname{deg}(b)$ and call them the degree of $a$ and that of $b$, respectively, for the rest of this paper.)

Axiom 3. Given any object $X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the $k$-vector space $H^{i}(X)$ is finite-dimensional.

The reader may immediately note that Axiom 1 is only meaningful due to Axiom 2 since otherwise one can always give trivial bilinear maps for a cup product of $H^{\bullet}(X)$. Note that these two axioms give

$$
H^{\bullet}(X)^{\otimes n} \simeq H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n} \mapsto p_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{n}^{*}\left(v_{n}\right)
$$

for any homogeneous $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in H^{\bullet}(X)$, where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ are the projection maps $X^{n} \rightarrow X$. If $G$ is any subgroup of $S_{n}$, then $G$ acts on $X^{n}$ by permuting coordinates. The induced action of $G$ on $H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)$ is precisely given by

$$
g \cdot\left(p_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{n}^{*}\left(a_{n}\right)\right)=p_{g(1)}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{g(n)}^{*}\left(v_{n}\right)
$$

for $g \in G$. If $\phi=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_{i}: H^{\bullet}(X) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(X)$ is any $k$-linear graded endomorphism, then it induces a $k$-linear graded map $\phi_{X^{n}}: H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)$ given by

$$
p_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{n}^{*}\left(v_{n}\right) \mapsto p_{1}^{*}\left(\phi\left(v_{1}\right)\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{n}^{*}\left(\phi\left(v_{n}\right)\right)
$$

This map is compatible with the $G$-action we discussed above, so $\phi$ induces a $k$-linear graded map $\left.\phi_{X^{n}}\right|_{H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)^{G}}: H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)^{G} \rightarrow H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)^{G}$ on the $G$-invariant subspaces. Note that if $F: X \rightarrow X$ is an endomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{X^{n}}^{*}\left(p_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{n}^{*}\left(v_{n}\right)\right) & =p_{1}^{*}\left(F^{*}\left(v_{1}\right)\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{n}^{*}\left(F^{*}\left(v_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(F \circ p_{1}\right)^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup\left(F \circ p_{n}\right)^{*}\left(v_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(p_{1} \circ F^{n}\right)^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup\left(p_{n} \circ F^{n}\right)^{*}\left(v_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(F^{n}\right)^{*}\left(p_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{n}^{*}\left(v_{n}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $F_{X^{n}}^{*}=\left(F^{n}\right)^{*}$, where $F^{n}: X^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}$ is induced by $F: X \rightarrow X$. Using Axiom 3, we can define the Lefschetz series of $\phi$ as

$$
L_{u}(\phi):=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-u)^{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \in k \llbracket u \rrbracket .
$$

We are now ready to state our main theorem:

Theorem 1.4. Keeping all the notation above, suppose that $H^{\bullet}: \mathcal{C}^{\text {op }} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{G r V e c}_{k}$ satisfies Axiom 1, Axiom 2, and Axiom 3. If the characteristic of $k$ does not divide $|G|$, then for any object $X$ of $\mathcal{C}$, we have

$$
L_{u}\left(\left.\phi_{X^{n}}\right|_{H \bullet\left(X^{n}\right)^{G}}\right)=Z_{G}\left(L_{u}(\phi), L_{u^{2}}\left(\phi^{2}\right), \ldots, L_{u^{n}}\left(\phi^{n}\right)\right),
$$

where

$$
Z_{G}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right):=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} x_{1}^{m_{1}(g)} \cdots x_{n}^{m_{n}(g)} \in k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]
$$

denoting by $m_{i}(g)$ the number of $i$-cycles in the cycle decomposition of $g$ in $S_{n}$.

In combinatorics, the polynomial $Z_{G}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, often defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, is called the cycle index of $G$ in $S_{n}$. Much is known about cycle indices. For instance (e.g., [Sta1999], p.20), we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) t^{n}=\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{r} t^{r}}{r}\right)
$$

This immediately provides the following:

Corollary 1.5. Assume the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.4. If $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H^{\bullet}(X)\right)$ is finite so that $H^{i}(X)=0$ for all $i>2 d$ for some $d$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} & L_{u}\left(\left.\phi_{X^{n}}\right|_{H \bullet\left(X^{n}\right)^{S_{n}}}\right) t^{n} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{1}(X)}-\phi_{1} u t\right) \cdots \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{2 d-1}(X)}-\phi_{2 d-1} u^{2 d-1} t\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{id}_{H^{0}(X)}-\phi_{0} t\right) \cdots \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{2 d}(X)}-\phi_{2 d} u^{2 d} t\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Both sides are invariant under taking any field extension of $k$, so we may assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. In particular, the field $k$ we work with is now infinite, so we may assume that $u$ is an element of $k$. By

Theorem 1.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{u}\left(\left.\phi_{X^{n}}\right|_{H \cdot\left(X^{n}\right)^{S_{n}}}\right) t^{n} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{S_{n}}\left(L_{u}(\phi), L_{u^{2}}\left(\phi^{2}\right), \ldots, L_{u^{n}}\left(\phi^{n}\right)\right) t^{n} \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{L_{u^{r}}\left(\phi^{r}\right) t^{r}}{r}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2 d} \frac{\left(-u^{r}\right)^{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{i}^{r}\right) t^{r}}{r}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=0}^{2 d} \exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\phi_{i} u^{i}\right)^{r}\right) t^{r}}{r}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=0}^{2 d} \exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\phi_{i} u^{i}\right)^{r}\right) t^{r}}{r}\right)^{(-1)^{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the result follows from the fact that

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{id}-t A)}=\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{r}\right) t^{r}}{r}\right)
$$

for any linear map $A$ on a finite-dimensional vector space (e.g., [Mus, Lemma 4.12).

Theorem 1.1 is an immediate corollary of Corollary 1.5. This is because, in either the singular or the $l$-adic setting, we have the quotient map $X^{n} \rightarrow X^{n} / S_{n}=\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)$ either in the category of topological spaces or the category of varieties over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and the map induces an isomorphism

$$
H^{\bullet}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right) \simeq H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)^{S_{n}}
$$

in either setting, whose proofs can be found in Mac1962J] and HN1975] (Proposition 3.2.1) as long as we choose $l>n$ in the $l$-adic setting.

Over the course of proving Theorem 1.4, we will show that

$$
L_{u}\left(g \phi_{X^{n}}\right)=L_{u}(\phi)^{m_{1}(g)} L_{u^{2}}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{m_{2}(g)} \cdots L_{u^{n}}\left(\phi^{n}\right)^{m_{n}(g)} \in k \llbracket u \rrbracket
$$

without any assumption on the characteristic of the base field $k$ for the cohomology. When $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H^{\bullet}(X)\right)$ is finite (i.e., $H^{i}(X)=0$ for $i \gg 0$ ), taking
$u=1$ and $\phi=\operatorname{id}_{H \cdot(X)}$ in the above identity gives us

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g G H^{i}\left(X^{n}\right)\right)=\chi(X)^{m_{1}(g)+2 m_{2}(g)+\cdots+n m_{n}(g)}=\chi(X)^{n}
$$

for any $g \in G$, where $\chi(X)=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H^{i}(X)\right)$. In the $l$-adic setting, the expression on the left-hand side is generally known to be an integer independent to the choice of $l$, due to Deligne and Lusztig (DL1976], Proposition 3.3) for $l \nmid q$. If $X$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, this follows from the fact that $\chi(X)=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H^{i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right)$ is independent to the choice of $l$ as a consequence of a theorem of Deligne, which states that the size of the eigenvalues of the Frobenius action on the $i$-th $l$-adic étale cohomology of $X$ is $q^{i / 2}$ (e.g., Mil1980, VI, Remark 12.5.(b)). It is worth noting that in our case, the number on the left-hand side is also independent of the choice of $g \in G$, which must be due to the simplicity of the group action we are dealing with. Answering this question for our specific case does not require anything more than merely applying the proof of Macdonald's formula in the $l$-adic setting on top of Deligne's result.

If $X$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ with dimension $d$, then $i$-th singular cohomology $H^{i}(X)$ of (the analytification of) $X$ with $\mathbb{C}$-coefficients has the Hodge decomposition:

$$
H^{i}(X)=\bigoplus_{p+q=i} H^{p, q}(X)
$$

In general, the variety $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)$ is not smooth, but its singular cohomology still admits the Hodge decomposition as $H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right) \hookrightarrow H^{i}\left(X^{n}\right)$ so that we can use the Hodge decomposition of $H^{i}\left(X^{n}\right)$. In fact, it turns out that this structure on $H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right)$ is identical to its pure Hodge structure, in the sense of Deligne's mixed Hodge structure introduced in [Del1971, although we do not need this language for the sake of this paper. In this setting, if we take

$$
\phi=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bigoplus_{p+q=i} x^{p} y^{q} \operatorname{id}_{H^{p, q}(X)}
$$

for fixed $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ in Corollary 1.5, using $H^{\bullet}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right) \simeq H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)^{S_{n}}$ (over $\mathbb{C}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} & \sum_{i=0}^{2 d} \sum_{p+q=i} h^{p, q}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right) x^{p} y^{q}(-u)^{i} t^{n} \\
& =\prod_{i=0}^{2 d} \prod_{p+q=i}\left(\frac{1}{1-x^{p} y^{q} u^{i} t}\right)^{(-1)^{i} h^{p, q}(X)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
h^{p, q}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\right) \cap H^{p, q}\left(X^{n}\right)\right),
$$

whenever $p+q=i$. Since $x, y$ are arbitrary, we may treat them as formal variables, and this identity is a result of Cheah ([Che1994], p.119). When we take $u=1$, this shows that the generating function for the Hodge-Deligne polynomials of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)$ is rational in $t$. This generating function is hence analogous to the zeta series of a projective variety over a finite field. Moreover, the two settings for the specialization $u=1$ can be studied at once using the motivic zeta series of a variety defined over the Grothendieck ring of varieties as explained in Vak2015] and VW2015. However, it is unclear whether the Grothendieck ring is the right general setting to study these phenomena when we do not specialize the variable $u$.

### 1.3. Pólya enumeration theorems

Let $G$ be a subgroup of $S_{n}$. Keeping in mind that $H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n} / G\right) \simeq H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)^{G}$, if we directly apply Theorem 1.4 to a situation where $X$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ without specifying $G$ to be the full symmetric group $S_{n}$, we have

$$
\chi_{u}\left(X^{n} / G\right)=Z_{G}\left(\chi_{u}(X), \chi_{u^{2}}(X), \ldots, \chi_{u^{n}}(X)\right)
$$

and

$$
\chi_{u}\left(X^{n} / G, x, y\right)=Z_{G}\left(\chi_{u}(X, x, y), \chi_{u^{2}}\left(X, x^{2}, y^{2}\right), \ldots, \chi_{u^{n}}\left(X, x^{n}, y^{n}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\chi_{u}(Z, x, y):=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p+q=i} h^{p, q}(Z) x^{p} y^{q}(-u)^{i}
$$

and these are also results of Macdonald Mac1962A and Cheah Che1994. Taking $u=1$ in the $l$-adic setting, Theorem 1.4 also implies the following
result regarding the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-point counting:

$$
\left|\left(X^{n} / G\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=Z_{G}\left(\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|,\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)\right|, \ldots,\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)\right|\right) .
$$

One can even take $X$ to be a finite set. Then giving $X$ the discrete topology, we have $\chi(X)=|X|$, and thus

$$
\left|X^{n} / G\right|=Z_{G}(|X|,|X|, \ldots,|X|)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G}|X|^{m(g)},
$$

where $m(g)$ is the number of cycles in the cycle decomposition of $g$ in $S_{n}$. Since $\left|\left(X^{n}\right)^{g}\right|=|X|^{m(g)}$, where $\left(X^{n}\right)^{g}$ is the set of elements in $X^{n}$ fixed by $g$, the last statement also follows from Burnside's lemma. This statement is a special case of the Pólya enumeration theorem in combinatorics, which we discuss in Section 2, so it makes sense to use the same name for the preceding results including Theorem 1.4, even though they seem to be in the realm of algebraic geometry. This is the rationale behind the title of this paper.

### 1.4. Structure of the rest of the paper

In Section 2, we explain a more general version of the Pólya enumeration theorem in combinatorics and show how Theorem 1.4 generalizes this as well. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 1.4, the main theorem of this paper. In Section 4, we show how to compute various cohomological information about the alternating powers $\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(X)=X^{n} / A_{n}$ of given $X$ analogous to computations for the symmetric powers $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)=X^{n} / S_{n}$ in the introduction. In Section 5, we point out that our formula for $\left|\left(X^{n} / G\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ holds even when $X$ is a quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. We give an example to explain why this generalization is interesting.
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## 2. The Pólya enumeration theorem in combinatorics

Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}$ be a finite set of colors. A common problem in combinatorics is to count the number of ways to color $n$ vertices (which we write as $1,2, \ldots, n$ ) of a graph with colors in $X$. The graph may have symmetries, so we want to count the colorings of $n$ vertices modulo the action of the group $G$ of symmetries of the graph. This group $G$ is a subgroup of $S_{n}$, and each coloring corresponds to an element $\boldsymbol{x} \in X^{n} / G$, and we denote by $e_{i}=e_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})$ the number of $x_{i}$ appearing in $\boldsymbol{x}$. For example, we have $e_{2}\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{2}\right]\right)=2$. Note that $e_{1}+\cdots+e_{r}=n$. Given any $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{r}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_{i}=n$, we write $N_{\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)}$ to mean the number of $\boldsymbol{x} \in X^{n} / G$ such that $e_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})=k_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. We note that our counting problem is equivalent to computing the following degree $n$ homogeneous polynomial:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{X^{n} / G}(\boldsymbol{t}) & =P_{X^{n} / G}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right) \\
& :=\sum_{\substack{\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{r}, k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}=n}} N_{\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)} t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{k_{r}} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

A classical theorem of Redfield [Red1927], which was also independently discovered by Pólya Pol1937, computes the polynomial $P_{X^{n} / G}(\boldsymbol{x})$ in terms of the subgroup $G \leqslant S_{n}$. This theorem is often called the Pólya enumeration theorem:

Proposition 2.1 (Pólya enumeration). Given the notation above, we have

$$
P_{X^{n} / G}(\boldsymbol{t})=Z_{G}\left(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{t}^{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{t}^{n}\right)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{t}^{j}:=t_{1}^{j}+\cdots+t_{r}^{j}$.

Our main theorem, Theorem 1.4, generalizes this classical result. Namely, we may consider $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}$ as a topological space with the discrete
topology and $\phi$ the diagonal matrix on the singular cohomology

$$
H^{\bullet}(X)=H^{0}(X)=\mathbb{Q} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Q} x_{r}=\mathbb{Q} X
$$

whose entries are given by $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}$. We have $\left(\mathbb{Q} X^{n}\right)^{G} \simeq \mathbb{Q} X^{n} / G$ given by $\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n}}\right) \mapsto\left[x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n}}\right]$, whose inverse is given by

$$
\left[x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n}}\right] \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G}\left(x_{i_{g(1)}}, \ldots, x_{i_{g(n)}}\right)
$$

Thus, we have

$$
H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n} / G\right)=H^{0}\left(X^{n} / G\right)=\mathbb{Q} X^{n} / G \simeq\left(\mathbb{Q} X^{n}\right)^{G}=H^{0}\left(X^{n}\right)^{G}=H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)^{G}
$$

and the induced endomorphism $\phi_{X^{n}}$ satisfies

$$
\phi_{X^{n}}:\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n}}\right) \mapsto t_{i_{1}} \cdots t_{i_{n}}\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n}}\right)
$$

so on $\mathbb{Q} X^{n} / G$, it satisfies

$$
\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto t_{1}^{e_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})} \cdots t_{r}^{e_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \boldsymbol{x}
$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.4 with $u=1$ implies Proposition 2.1. That is, the classical Pólya enumeration theorem is a special case of Theorem 1.4, which deals with more than degree 0 piece of the cohomology with more diverse choices for $X$.

## 3. Proof of main theorem

### 3.1. Motivation for general set-up

In this section, we prove our main theorem, Theorem 1.4. In fact, we prove Theorem 3.2, a more general statement about a particular permutation representation on the $n$-fold tensor product of a graded vector space, which immediately implies Theorem 1.4. The representation we work with is not the usual permutation representation on the pure tensors, and it involves a sign depending on the grading. The reason is that for any $G \leqslant S_{n}$, we are interested in the $G$-action on $H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)$, induced by the $G$-action on $X^{n}$,
which can be seen as

$$
g \cdot\left(p_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{n}^{*}\left(v_{n}\right)\right)=p_{g(1)}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{g(n)}^{*}\left(v_{n}\right)
$$

where $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in H^{\bullet}(X)$ are homogeneous elements and $g \in G$, denoting by $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}: X^{n} \rightarrow X$ the projection maps. Following the Künneth formula

$$
H^{\bullet}(X)^{\otimes n} \simeq H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)
$$

given by $v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n} \mapsto p_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup p_{n}^{*}\left(v_{n}\right)$, if $g=(12)$, the transposition switching 1 and 2 , then the corresponding action of $g$ on $H^{\bullet}(X)^{\otimes n}$ is given by

$$
g \cdot\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}\right)=(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{2}\right)} v_{2} \otimes v_{1} \otimes v_{3} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}
$$

which is not equal to $v_{2} \otimes v_{1} \otimes v_{3} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}$ unless one of $v_{1}$ or $v_{2}$ has even degree. (This is often called the Koszul sign rule.) In general, one may check that the formula

$$
g \cdot\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}\right)=(-1)^{Q_{g}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{n}\right)\right)} v_{g^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{g^{-1}(n)}
$$

where $Q_{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \epsilon_{i j}(g) x_{i} x_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is defined by

$$
\epsilon_{i j}(g):= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } g(i)>g(j) \text { and } \\ 0 & \text { if } g(i)<g(j)\end{cases}
$$

defines the $G$-action on $H^{\bullet}(X)^{\otimes n}$ that is compatible with the $G$-action on $H^{\bullet}\left(X^{n}\right)$. The above formula for the $G$-action on $H^{\bullet}(X)^{\otimes n}$ is the most crucial observation in Macdonald's work Mac1962A, which we fully use for the proof of Theorem 1.4. In what follows, we use this formula as a definition, not a result so that we can merely work on graded vector spaces instead of cohomology groups.

### 3.2. General set-up

Throughout this section, we fix a ground field $k$. Let $V=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_{i}$ be a graded vector space over $k$. Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, consider the $n$-fold tensor product $V^{\otimes n}$ of $V$ over $k$, where $V^{\otimes 0}=k$. We have

$$
V^{\otimes n}=\bigoplus_{r \geq 0}\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}
$$

where

$$
\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}=\bigoplus_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=r} V_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_{n}}
$$

This makes $V^{\otimes n}$ a graded vector space over $k$. Given any subgroup $G \leqslant S_{n}$, we consider the action of $G$ on $V^{\otimes n}$ according to the Koszul rule. That is, we define

$$
g \cdot\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}\right):=(-1)^{Q_{g}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{n}\right)\right)} v_{g^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{g^{-1}(n)}
$$

for homogeneous $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V$ (i.e., $\left.v_{i} \in V_{\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)}\right)$ and $g \in G$, where $Q_{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is defined right before this subsection. It is important to note that this action respects the grading of $V^{\otimes n}$. In particular, it can be thought of as a family of $k$-linear maps $\left\{G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{k}\left(\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}\right)\right\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$.

### 3.3. Trace formulas on tensor products

To discuss traces of linear endomorphisms, assume that each homogeneous piece $V_{i}$ of $V$ is finite-dimensional. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{End}_{k}(V)$ be graded (with degree $0)$ meaning that $\phi=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \phi_{i}$, where $\phi_{i} \in \operatorname{End}_{k}\left(V_{i}\right)$. This means that if $v \in V$ is a homogeneous element, then $\phi(v) \in V$ is a homogeneous element of degree $\operatorname{deg}(v)$ so that $\phi(v)=\phi_{\operatorname{deg}(v)}(v)$. Consider the Lefschetz series

$$
L_{u}(\phi)=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-u)^{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \in k \llbracket u \rrbracket
$$

of $\phi$ in $u$. It is important to note that when we have another graded endomorphism $\psi=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \psi_{i}$ on $V$ and a constant $c \in k$, we have

$$
L_{u}(\phi+c \psi)=L_{u}(\phi)+c L_{u}(\psi)
$$

We also get the induced endomorphism $\phi^{\otimes n} \in \operatorname{End}_{k}\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)$ given by

$$
\phi^{\otimes n}\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}\right):=\phi\left(v_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi\left(v_{n}\right)
$$

for homogeneous $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V$, which hence respects the grading of $V^{\otimes n}$ so that we can write

$$
\phi^{\otimes n}=\bigoplus_{r \geq 0}\left(\phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r} & :=\bigoplus_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=r} \phi_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{i_{n}} \\
& \in \operatorname{End}_{k}\left(\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}\right)=\operatorname{End}_{k}\left(\bigoplus_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=r} V_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Given any $g \in G \leqslant S_{n}$ and homogeneous $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g \cdot \phi^{\otimes n}\right)\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}\right) \\
& \quad:=g\left(\phi\left(v_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi\left(v_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{Q_{g}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{n}\right)\right)} \phi\left(v_{g^{-1}(1)}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi\left(v_{g^{-1}(n)}\right) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{Q_{g}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{n}\right)\right)} \phi_{\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{g^{-1}(1)}\right)}\left(v_{g^{-1}(1)}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{g^{-1}(n)}\right)}\left(v_{g^{-1}(n)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This extends to a $k$-linear endomorphism $g \phi^{\otimes n}$ on $V^{\otimes n}$. It is important to note that we have the following commutativity even though it is immediate from definitions:

Lemma 3.1. Keeping the notation above, we have

$$
\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}\right)=\phi^{\otimes n}\left(g\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}\right)\right)
$$

The following is the core of the proof of Theorem 1.4 .
Theorem 3.2 (Trace formula on $V^{\otimes n}$ ). Let $\phi=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \phi_{i}$ be a graded endomorphism on a graded vector space $V=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_{i}$ over $k$, where each $V_{i}$ is finite-dimensional. For any $g \in S_{n}$, we have

$$
L_{u}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)=L_{u}(\phi)^{m_{1}(g)} L_{u^{2}}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{m_{2}(g)} \cdots L_{u^{n}}\left(\phi^{n}\right)^{m_{n}(g)} \in k \llbracket u \rrbracket
$$

Remark 3.3. In the setting of Section 1.2 (assuming Axioms 1, 2, an 3), Theorem 3.2 gives

$$
L_{u}\left(g \phi_{X^{n}}\right)=L_{u}(\phi)^{m_{1}(g)} L_{u^{2}}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{m_{2}(g)} \cdots L_{u^{n}}\left(\phi^{n}\right)^{m_{n}(g)} \in k \llbracket u \rrbracket,
$$

for any $g \in G \leqslant S_{n}$ as mentioned in the introduction. We note that until now there is no extra condition on the field $k$.

In our proof of Theorem 3.2, we will make use of the following properties about the quadratic forms $Q_{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ defined above that we learned from Mac1962A. Both properties are immediate from definition:

Lemma 3.4. For any disjoint $\sigma, \tau \in S_{n}$, we have

$$
Q_{\sigma \tau}(\boldsymbol{x})=Q_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{x})+Q_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

If $\sigma$ is a cycle of the form $\sigma=(\lambda+1 \lambda+2 \cdots \lambda+r)$ with $1 \leq r \leq n$ (and $0 \leq \lambda \leq n-r$ ), then

$$
Q_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(x_{\lambda+1}+x_{\lambda+2}+\cdots+x_{\lambda+r-1}\right) x_{\lambda+r}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Since the identity is only regarding traces of (homogeneous parts of) endomorphisms $g \phi^{\otimes n}$ and $\phi, \phi^{2}, \ldots, \phi^{n}$, we may assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. Both sides of the identity are power series in $k \llbracket u \rrbracket$, so it is enough to show that for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, their coefficients of $u^{r}$ match. This lets us reduce the problem to the case $V=V_{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{r}$ and $\phi=\phi_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_{r}$ essentially because

$$
\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}=\bigoplus_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=r} V_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_{r}}
$$

where the right-hand side only consists of tensor products of $V_{0}, \ldots, V_{r}$. In particular, we are now dealing with the case where $d=\operatorname{dim}_{k}(V)=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V_{0}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V_{r}\right)$ is finite.

Considering $\phi \in \operatorname{Mat}_{d}(k)=\mathbb{A}^{d^{2}}(k)$, where $d=\operatorname{dim}_{k}(V)$, we note that the desired equality for the coefficients of $u^{r}$ cuts out a closed subset in $\mathbb{A}^{d^{2}}(k)$, with respect to the Zariski topology (on the set of closed points in $\mathbb{A}^{d^{2}}$ over $k$ ) as we can use the Kronecker product for the matrix form of $\phi^{\otimes n}$. The matrices with distinct eigenvalues form a Zariski open subset in $\operatorname{Mat}_{d}(k)=\mathbb{A}^{d^{2}}(k)$ because we can understand them as points of the locus whose discriminant of the characteristic polynomial is nonzero. This open locus is nonempty because $k$ has at least $d$ elements as it is infinite now that we are in the setting where $k$ is algebraically closed. Thus, such matrices are dense in $\operatorname{Mat}_{d}(k)=\mathbb{A}^{d^{2}}(k)$, as the affine space is irreducible. This means that it is enough to show the desired statement for $\phi$ with distinct eigenvalues, and this means that each $\phi_{i}$ is diagonalizable.

Thus, we may find $\eta_{i} \in \mathrm{GL}_{d_{i}}(k)=\mathrm{GL}\left(V_{i}\right)$ such that $\eta_{i} \phi_{i} \eta_{i}^{-1}$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are eigenvalues of $\phi_{i}$, where $d_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(V_{i}\right)$. Then $\eta_{i} \phi_{i}^{m} \eta_{i}^{-1}$ for any $m \geq 1$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries consists of $m$-th powers of the full list eigenvalues of $\phi_{i}$ counting with multiplicity. Writing $\eta=\eta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_{r} \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}(k)$, we see $\eta \phi \eta^{-1}=\eta_{1} \phi_{1} \eta_{1}^{-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus$
$\eta_{r} \phi_{r} \eta_{r}^{-1}$ is a diagonal matrix, and so is

$$
\left(\eta \phi \eta^{-1}\right)^{m}=\eta \phi^{m} \eta^{-1}=\eta_{1} \phi_{1}^{m} \eta_{1}^{-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_{r} \phi_{r}^{m} \eta_{r}^{-1}
$$

Note that $\eta$ respects the grading of $V$ and $\eta^{\otimes n}$ commutes with the action of $g$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $\left(\eta \phi \eta^{-1}\right)^{\otimes n}=\eta^{\otimes n} \phi^{\otimes n}\left(\eta^{-1}\right)^{\otimes n}$, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\left(g\left(\eta \phi \eta^{-1}\right)^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}=\left(\eta^{\otimes n} g \phi^{\otimes n}\left(\eta^{-1}\right)^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}=\left(\eta^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}\left(\left(\eta^{-1}\right)^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}
$$

Since

$$
\eta^{\otimes n}\left(\eta^{-1}\right)^{\otimes n}\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}\right)=\eta \eta^{-1} v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta \eta^{-1} v_{n}=v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}
$$

for any homogeneous $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V$, we see that $\left(\eta^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}$ and $\left(\left(\eta^{-1}\right)^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}$ are $k$-linear endomorphisms on $\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}$ that are inverses to each other. Thus, replacing $\phi$ with $\eta \phi \eta^{-1}$, or equivalently $\phi_{i}$ with $\eta_{i} \phi_{i} \eta_{i}^{-1}$ for each $i$, does not affect the desired identity, so our problem is reduced to the case where each $\phi_{i}$ is diagonal.

Let $v_{i, 1}, \ldots, v_{i, d_{i}} \in V_{i}$ be homogeneous elements of $V$ forming an eigenbasis of $V_{i}$ for $\phi_{i}$ as we vary $i \geq 0$. We shall denote the corresponding eigenvalues as $\alpha_{i, j} \in k$ so that $\phi\left(v_{i, j}\right)=\phi_{i}\left(v_{i, j}\right)=\alpha_{i, j} v_{i, j}$. To compute the coefficient of $u^{r}$ on the left-hand side of the desired statement, fix pure tensor

$$
w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n} \in\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}=\bigoplus_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=r} V_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_{n}}
$$

where $w_{j}=v_{i_{j}, h_{j}}$ for some $h_{j}$ so that $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{j}\right)=i_{j}$ and $\phi\left(w_{j}\right)=\phi_{i_{j}}\left(w_{j}\right)=$ $\alpha_{i_{j}, h_{j}} w_{j}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right. & )\left(w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n}\right) \\
& =\phi^{\otimes n}\left(g\left(w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{Q_{g}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)} \phi\left(w_{g^{-1}(1)}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi\left(w_{g^{-1}(n)}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{Q_{g}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)} \alpha_{i_{g^{-1}(1)}, h_{g^{-1}(1)}} w_{g^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i_{g-1}(n), h_{g-1}(n)} w_{g^{-1}(n)} \\
& =\alpha_{i_{1, h_{1}}} \cdots \alpha_{i_{n}, h_{n}}(-1)^{Q_{g}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)} w_{g^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{g^{-1}(n)},
\end{aligned}
$$

so the vector $w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n}$ can possibly contribute a nonzero amount to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}$ only when $w_{j}=w_{g^{-1}(j)}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Now, the key is to note that the statement only depends on the cycle type of $g$ in $S_{n}$ because
any other $h \in S_{n}$ with the same cycle type is conjugate to $g$ in $S_{n}$ so that $h=\omega g \omega^{-1}$ for some $\omega \in S_{n}$, which gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(h \phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r} & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\omega g \omega^{-1} \phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\omega g \phi^{\otimes n} \omega^{-1}\right)_{r} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\omega_{r}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}\left(\omega^{-1}\right)_{r}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have reduced the problem to the case where we have the following cycle decomposition for $g$ :
$g=\left(1 \cdots \lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}+1 \cdots \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) \cdots\left(\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}+1 \cdots \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l}\right)$,
where $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l}=n$. In this situation, saying that $w_{j}=w_{g^{-1}(j)}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ is equivalent to saying

- $y_{1}:=w_{1}=\cdots=w_{\lambda_{1}}$,
- $y_{2}:=w_{\lambda_{1}+1}=\cdots=w_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}$,
- $y_{l}:=w_{\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}+1}=\cdots=w_{\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l}}$,
while $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}$ may or may not be distinct. This also guarantees that
- $e_{1}:=\operatorname{deg}\left(y_{1}\right)=i_{1}=\cdots=i_{\lambda_{1}}$,
- $e_{2}:=\operatorname{deg}\left(y_{2}\right)=i_{\lambda_{1}+1}=\cdots=i_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}$,
- $e_{l}:=\operatorname{deg}\left(y_{l}\right)=i_{1+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}+1}=\cdots=i_{\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l}}$.

Thus, we also have

- $\alpha_{1}:=\alpha_{i_{1}, h_{1}}=\cdots=\alpha_{i_{\lambda_{1}}, h_{\lambda_{1}}}$,
- $\alpha_{2}:=\alpha_{i_{\lambda_{1}+1}, h_{\lambda_{1}+1}}=\cdots=\alpha_{i_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}, h_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}}$,
- $\alpha_{l}:=\alpha_{i_{\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}+1}, h_{\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}+1}}=\cdots=\alpha_{i_{n}, h_{n}}$.

Note that $y_{j} \in V_{e_{j}}$ and $\phi\left(y_{j}\right)=\phi_{e_{j}}\left(y_{j}\right)=\alpha_{j} y_{j}$. We also note that $\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l} e_{l}=r$ because $\left(V_{e_{1}}\right)^{\otimes \lambda_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(V_{e_{l}}\right)^{\otimes \lambda_{l}}$ is a direct summand of $\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}$ in the decomposition of $V^{\otimes n}$ that gives the grading for the tensor product.

Thus, for this particular $g \in S_{n}$, applying Lemma 3.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{g}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=Q_{\left(1 \cdots \lambda_{1}\right)}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)+\cdots+Q_{\left(\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}+1 \cdots \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l}\right)}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{\lambda_{1}-1}\right) i_{\lambda_{1}}+\cdots+\left(i_{\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}+1}+\cdots+i_{\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l}-1}\right) i_{\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l}} \\
& \quad=\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right) e_{1} \cdot e_{1}+\cdots+\left(\lambda_{l}-1\right) e_{l} \cdot e_{l} \\
& \quad=\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right) e_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\left(\lambda_{l}-1\right) e_{l}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{g}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) & \equiv\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right) e_{1}+\cdots+\left(\lambda_{l}+1\right) e_{l} \\
& =r+e_{1}+\cdots+e_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the congruence is taken modulo 2. Hence, we have computed the sign:

$$
(-1)^{Q_{g}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)}=(-1)^{r+e_{1}+\cdots+e_{l}} .
$$

This implies that the vector $w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n}=y_{1}^{\otimes \lambda_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{l}^{\otimes \lambda_{l}}$ contributes

$$
(-1)^{r+e_{1}+\cdots+e_{l}} \alpha_{i_{1}, h_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{i_{n}, h_{n}}=(-1)^{r+e_{1}+\cdots+e_{l}} \alpha_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{l}^{\lambda_{l}}
$$

to $\operatorname{Tr}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}$. We keep fixing the partition $\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right] \vdash n$, which is the equivalent datum to the cycle decomposition of $g$ in $S_{n}$. Write $B_{i}:=$ $\left\{v_{i, 1}, \ldots, v_{i, d_{i}}\right\}$, the chosen eigenbasis for $V_{i}$. So far, we have seen that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r}=\sum_{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l} e_{l}=r} \sum_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in B_{e_{1}} \times \cdots \times B_{e_{l}}}(-1)^{r+e_{1}+\cdots+e_{l}} \alpha_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{l}^{\lambda_{l}}
$$

We note that $\alpha_{i}$ appearing in the computation above is the eigenvalue for $y_{i} \in B_{e_{i}}$. (Ideally, it is better use $\alpha_{y_{i}}$ instead of $\alpha_{i}$, but we just keep our
notation for the sake of simplicity.) This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{u}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)=\sum_{r \geq 0}(-u)^{r} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)_{r} \\
& =\sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l} e_{l}=r} \sum_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in B_{e_{1}} \times \cdots \times B_{e_{l}}}(-1)^{e_{1}+\cdots+e_{l}} \alpha_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{l}^{\lambda_{l}} u^{r} \\
& =\sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{l} e_{l}=r} \sum_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in B_{e_{1}} \times \cdots \times B_{e_{l}}}(-1)^{e_{1}+\ldots+e_{l}} \alpha_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{l}^{\lambda_{l}} u^{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l} e_{l}} \\
& =\sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l} e_{l}=r} \sum_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in B_{e_{1}} \times \cdots \times B_{e_{l}}} \alpha_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{l}^{\lambda_{l}}\left(-u^{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{e_{1}} \cdots\left(-u^{\lambda_{l}}\right)^{e_{l}} \\
& =\sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l} e_{l}=r}\left(\sum_{y_{1} \in B_{e_{1}}} \alpha_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}\left(-u^{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{e_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{y_{l} \in B_{e_{l}}} \alpha_{l}^{\lambda_{l}}\left(-u^{\lambda_{l}}\right)^{e_{l}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{r \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l} e_{l}=r} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{e_{1}}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(-u^{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{e_{1}} \cdots \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{e_{l}}^{\lambda_{l}}\right)\left(-u^{\lambda_{l}}\right)^{e_{l}} \\
& =\sum_{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{l} \geq 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{e_{1}}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(-u^{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{e_{1}} \cdots \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{e_{l}}^{\lambda_{l}}\right)\left(-u^{\lambda_{l}}\right)^{e_{l}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{e_{1} \geq 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{e_{1}}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(-u^{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{e_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{e_{l} \geq 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{e_{l}}^{\lambda_{l}}\right)\left(-u^{\lambda_{l}}\right)^{e_{l}}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{i}^{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(-u^{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{i}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{i}^{\lambda_{l}}\right)\left(-u^{\lambda_{l}}\right)^{i}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{i}\right)(-u)^{i}\right)^{m_{1}(g)}\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{i}^{2}\right)\left(-u^{2}\right)^{i}\right)^{m_{2}(g)} \\
& \ldots\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{i}^{n}\right)\left(-u^{n}\right)^{i}\right)^{m_{n}(g)} \\
& =L_{u}(\phi)^{m_{1}(g)} L_{u^{2}}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{m_{2}(g)} \cdots L_{u^{n}}\left(\phi^{n}\right)^{m_{n}(g)} \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

### 3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Keeping all the notation in the previous subsection, the following immediately proves Theorem 1.4 .

Theorem 3.5 (Trace formula on $\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)^{G}$ ). Assume the notation in Theorem 3.2. Let $G \leqslant S_{n}$ such that $|G| \neq 0$ in $k$. Then

$$
L_{u}\left(\left.\phi^{\otimes n}\right|_{\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)^{G}}\right)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} L_{u}(\phi)^{m_{1}(g)} L_{u^{2}}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{m_{2}(g)} \cdots L_{u^{n}}\left(\phi^{n}\right)^{m_{n}(g)}
$$

Proof. Since $|G| \neq 0$ in $k$, we can consider the averaging operator $e_{G}: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow$ $V^{\otimes n}$ given by

$$
e_{G}(\alpha):=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \alpha
$$

where again, we use the representation of $G$ on $V^{\otimes n}$ introduced in the beginning of this section according to the Koszul sign rule. Note that we have $\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)^{G}=e_{G}\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)$, so any element of $\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)^{G}$ can be written as $e_{G}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in V^{\otimes n}$. Using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\phi^{\otimes n}\left(e_{G}(\alpha)\right)=\phi^{\otimes n}\left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \alpha\right)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \phi^{\otimes n}(\alpha) .
$$

Thus, we have shown that

$$
\phi^{\otimes n} \circ e_{G}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \phi^{\otimes n} \in \operatorname{End}_{k}\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)
$$

Note that both sides restrict to $\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)^{G}$, and since $e_{G}$ is the identity on $\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)^{G}$, we get

$$
\left.\phi^{\otimes n}\right|_{\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)^{G}}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \phi^{\otimes n} \in \operatorname{End}_{k}\left(\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)^{G}\right)
$$

Applying $L_{u}$ both sides, we get

$$
L_{u}\left(\left.\phi^{\otimes n}\right|_{\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)^{G}}\right)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} L_{u}\left(g \phi^{\otimes n}\right)
$$

so applying Theorem 3.2, we are done.

## 4. Alternating powers

In the introduction, say for Corollary 1.5, we only cared about the full symmetric groups $\left(S_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{Z 0}}$. It is natural to consider other sequences of subgroups of $S_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In this section, we consider the alternating subgroups $A_{n} \leqslant S_{n}$, using a well-known lemma in combinatorics:

Lemma 4.1 ([HP1973], p.36, (2.2.6)). For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, we have the following identity relating cycle indices of $A_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ :

$$
Z_{A_{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n}\right)+Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1},-x_{2}, \ldots,(-1)^{n+1} x_{n}\right)
$$

Given a sequence $G_{n} \leqslant S_{n}$ of subgroups for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we write

$$
Z_{G_{\bullet}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{G_{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) t^{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[\boldsymbol{x}] \llbracket t \rrbracket .
$$

Corollary 4.2. We have

$$
Z_{A_{\mathbf{0}}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=Z_{S_{\mathbf{\bullet}}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)+\frac{1}{Z_{S_{\mathbf{\bullet}}}(\boldsymbol{x},-t)}-1-x_{1} t .
$$

Proof. Recall from the introduction that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) t^{n}=\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{r}}{r} t^{r}\right),
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1},-x_{2} \ldots,(-1)^{n+1} x_{n}\right) t^{n} & =\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r+1} x_{r}}{r} t^{r}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{r}}{r}(-t)^{r}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{r}}{r}(-t)^{r}\right)^{-1} \\
& =Z_{S_{\bullet}}(\boldsymbol{x},-t)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 4.1, we are done.

Applying Corollary 4.2, our main theorem (Theorem 1.4) and its corollary immediately gives the following:

Corollary 4.3. Assume the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.4. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} & L_{u}\left(\left.\phi_{X^{n}}\right|_{H \bullet\left(X^{n}\right)^{A_{n}}}\right) t^{n} \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{u}\left(\left.\phi_{X^{n}}\right|_{H \cdot\left(X^{n}\right)^{S_{n}}}\right) t^{n}+\frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{u}\left(\left.\phi_{X^{n}}\right|_{\left.H \bullet\left(X^{n}\right)^{S_{n}}\right)(-t)^{n}}\right.}-1-L_{u}(\phi) t \\
= & \prod_{i=0}^{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{i}(X)}-\phi_{i} u^{i} t\right)}\right)^{(-1)^{i}}+\prod_{i=0}^{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{i}(X)}+\phi_{i} u^{i} t\right)}\right)^{(-1)^{i+1}} \\
& \quad-1-L_{u}(\phi) t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Just as Corollary 1.5 implies Theorem 1.1, Corollary 4.3 implies the following concrete theorem:

Theorem 4.4. Let $X$ be either a compact complex manifold of dimension $d$ or a projective variety of dimension $d$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For any endomorphism $F$ on $X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{u}\left(\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(F)^{*}\right) t^{n}= & \prod_{i=0}^{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{i}(X)}-F_{i}^{*} u^{i} t\right)}\right)^{(-1)^{i}} \\
& +\prod_{i=0}^{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{i}(X)}+F_{i}^{*} u^{i} t\right)}\right)^{(-1)^{i+1}}-1-L_{u}\left(F^{*}\right) t
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the same notation as in Theorem 1.1 except $\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(F)$, the endomorphism on the $n$-th alternating power $\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(X)=X^{n} / A_{n}$ of $X$ induced by $F$.

Theorem 4.4 is interesting in its own right. For instance, in the singular setting, if we take $F=\mathrm{id}_{X}$, we have the following identity that computes the singular Betti numbers of $\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(X)$ in terms of those of $X$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{u}\left(\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(X)\right) t^{n} \\
& \quad=\prod_{i=0}^{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{1-u^{i} t}\right)^{(-1)^{i} h^{i}(X)}+\prod_{i=0}^{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{1+u^{i} t}\right)^{(-1)^{i+1} h^{i}(X)}-1-\chi_{u}(X) t
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, taking $u=1$, we have the following formula for the Euler characteristics:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi\left(\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(X)\right) t^{n}=\left(\frac{1}{1-t}\right)^{\chi(X)}+\left(\frac{1}{1+t}\right)^{-\chi(X)}-1-\chi(X) t
$$

If $X$ is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$, then we also get the alternating power analogue of Cheah's result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} & \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{p+q=i} h^{p, q}\left(\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(X)\right) x^{p} y^{q}(-u)^{i} t^{n} \\
= & \prod_{i=0}^{2 d} \prod_{p+q=i}\left(\frac{1}{1-x^{p} y^{q} u^{i} t}\right)^{(-1)^{i} h^{p, q}(X)} \\
& +\prod_{i=0}^{2 d} \prod_{p+q=i}\left(\frac{1}{1+x^{p} y^{q} u^{i} t}\right)^{(-1)^{i+1} h^{p, q}(X)} \\
& -1-\sum_{i=0}^{2 d} \sum_{p+q=i} h^{p, q}(X) x^{p} y^{q}(-u)^{i} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the right-hand side is rational in $t$. We can also obtain an alternating analogue of the formula

$$
Z_{X}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(X)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| t^{n}
$$

where $Z_{X}(t)$ is the zeta series of a projective variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. That is, taking $u=1$ in the $l$-adic setting of Theorem 4.4, we can deduce

$$
Z_{X}(t)+\frac{1}{Z_{X}(-t)}-1-\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| t=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(X)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| t^{n}
$$

Remark 4.5. In a collaboration with Yinan Nancy Wang, we started to question if the above identity holds in the Grothendieck ring of varieties over a field, where taking the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-point counting is replaced by taking the class in the Grothendieck ring. The problem seems nontrivial even when $X$ is $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ or $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ over any field.

Remark 4.6. The upshot of this section is that because Theorem 1.4 is formulated in terms of cycle indices, we can use combinatorial knowledge
about the cycle indices $Z_{A_{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ of alternating subgroups $A_{n}$ to understand cohomological information about alternating powers $\operatorname{Alt}^{n}(X)=X^{n} / A_{n}$. We consider another example here. The cycle index for the cyclic subgroup $C_{n}$ generated by $(12 \cdots n)$ in $S_{n}$ is given by

$$
Z_{C_{n}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi(d) x_{d}^{n / d}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the Euler's totient function. Applying Theorem 1.4, we get

$$
\left|\left(X^{n} / C_{n}\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi(d)\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}\right)\right|^{n / d}
$$

for a projective variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and

$$
\chi_{u}\left(X^{n} / C_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi(d) \chi_{u^{d}}(X)^{n / d}
$$

for any finite CW-complex $X$, the second of which appears in Macdonald's paper ([Mac1962A], p.568, (8.4)). We believe that there are more sequences of subgroups $G_{n}$ of $S_{n}$ such that the generating function for certain cohomological information (e.g., singular Betti numbers, $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-point counts, or Hodge numbers) of $X^{n} / G_{n}$ is rational. Namely, whenever the generating function for $Z_{G_{n}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ has a formula that involves exponentiation, we should be able to get such a rationality for cohomological information of $X^{n} / G_{n}$ by applying Theorem 1.4. Classifying the list of such sequences $\left(G_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ may be an interesting combinatorial problem.

## 5. More on point counting over finite fields

Let $X$ be a projective variety over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and consider any subgroup $G \leqslant S_{n}$ acting on $X^{n}$ by permuting coordinates. An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.4 in the $l$-adic setting, for a prime $l$ not dividing $q$ nor $|G|$, by taking $u=1$ and applying the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula is that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(X^{n} / G\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| & =Z_{G}\left(\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|,\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)\right|, \ldots,\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)\right|\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G}\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|^{m_{1}(g)}\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)\right|^{m_{2}(g)} \cdots\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)\right|^{m_{n}(g)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It turns out that the formula even holds when $X$ is a quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ by using Theorem 1.4 with the compactly supported $l$-adic étale
cohomology, noting that all the results we use for the $l$-adic étale cohomology when $X$ is projective over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ generalize to the compactly supported l-adic étale cohomology when $X$ is quasi-projective over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ as long as $l \nmid q,|G|$. In particular, taking $X=\mathbb{A}^{1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, we have

$$
\left|\left(\mathbb{A}^{n} / G\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=q^{n} .
$$

When $G=A_{n}$ and $q$ is odd, we have

$$
\mathbb{A}^{n} / A_{n} \simeq \operatorname{Spec}\left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, y\right]}{\left(y^{2}-\Delta_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)}\right),
$$

where $\Delta_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is the discriminant of the monic polynomial

$$
x^{n}+t_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+t_{n-1} x+t_{n} .
$$

Thus, we see that for $n \geq 2$, the polynomial function $\Delta_{n}: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ given by the discriminant satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \Delta_{n}^{-1}\left(\left\{\text { quadratic residues in } \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}\right\}\right) \mid \\
& \quad=\mid \Delta_{n}^{-1}\left(\left\{\text { quadratic non-residues in } \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}\right\}\right) \mid,
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\left|\Delta_{n}^{-1}(0)\right|=q^{n-1}$, as there are precisely $q^{n}-q^{n-1}$ degree $n$ monic square-free polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$. The above equality was also observed by Chan, Kwon, and Seaman using more direct computations ([CKS2018], Corollary 3.3).

## 6. Further directions

The conjecture with Yinan Nancy Wang mentioned in Remark 4.5 is extremely challenging. For the case $X=\mathbb{A}^{1}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, it says $\left[\mathbb{A}^{n} / A_{n}\right]=\left[\mathbb{A}^{n}\right]$ in the Grothendieck ring $K_{0}\left(\operatorname{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ of complex varieties. In particular, it implies that $\operatorname{Alt}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{A}^{n} / A_{n}$ is stably rational ([CNS2018], Theorem 6.1.5), which seems to be an open problem for any $n \geq 6$. Thus, any significant progress of this conjecture would require innovative approaches to deal with the relations defining $K_{0}\left(\operatorname{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$, which is likely to go beyond the approaches introduced in this paper. Nevertheless, we are still hopeful that extending approaches in this paper will be able to reach more results on various specializations of this conjecture in the near future.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this paper, we call $\chi_{u}(Y)$ the Poincaré series of $Y$ although it is more common to use the terminology for $\chi_{(-u)}(Y)$, the generating function for $h^{i}(Y)$. If $h^{i}(Y)=0$ for large enough $i$, we have $\chi_{1}(Y)=\chi(Y)$, the Euler characteristic of $Y$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In this paper, we say the "étale cohomology with $\mathbb{Q}_{l}$-coefficients" to mean this tensor product.

