

# Extending vector bundles on curves

SIDDHARTH MATHUR

Given a curve in a (smooth) projective variety  $C \subset X$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$ , we show that a vector bundle  $V$  on  $C$  can be extended to a ( $\mu$ -stable) vector bundle on  $X$  if  $\text{rank}(V) \geq \dim(X)$  and  $\det(V)$  extends to  $X$ .

## 1. Introduction

Understanding which vector bundles on a subvariety extend to an ambient variety is a well studied problem in algebraic geometry. A famous example is the Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorems which considers the case of a complete intersection in a projective variety. A particularly striking consequence of this work is that complete intersections  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  with dimension  $\dim(X) \geq 3$  always have a Picard group which is freely generated by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)|_X$ . However, there are many counterexamples to this statement when the hypothesis on the dimension is dropped: indeed, all elliptic curves can be realized as ample divisors in  $\mathbf{P}^2$  but they have a non-finitely generated Picard group. The purpose of this paper is to show that this is the only obstruction when the subvariety is a curve and the rank of the vector bundle is sufficiently large.

**Theorem 1 (Main Theorem).** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$  be a projective scheme over an algebraically closed field  $k$ ,  $C \subset X$  a 1-dimensional closed subscheme and  $V$  a vector bundle on  $C$  with  $\text{rank}(V) \geq \dim(X)$ . Then  $V$  extends to  $X$  if and only if  $\det(V)$  extends to  $X$ . If  $X$  is assumed to be integral, smooth and  $\det(V)$  extends to  $X$ , then  $V$  may be extended to a  $\mu$ -stable vector bundle on  $X$ .*

The proof involves two main ingredients:

- 1) Classical avoidance lemmas, Bertini-type arguments, and
- 2) the theory of elementary transformations due to Maruyama (see [5] for a gentle introduction to the technique).

**Example 2.** The main theorem has some surprising consequences. For instance, if  $C \subset X$  is as in the statement of the theorem and  $L$  is *any* line bundle on  $C$ , the vector bundle  $V = (L \oplus L^\vee)^{\oplus n}$  extends to a vector bundle on  $X$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Similarly, if  $V$  is *any* vector bundle on  $C$  with sufficiently large rank, then  $V \otimes V^\vee$  and  $V \oplus V^\vee$  both extend to vector bundles on  $X$ . In general, the main theorem shows that (smooth) projective schemes have plenty of ( $\mu$ -stable) vector bundles.

## 2. Some Lemmas

*Let  $k$  denote a fixed algebraically closed field.*

The first step of the proof of Theorem 1 boils down to a simple observation: the dual of any globally generated vector bundle  $V$  on a curve  $C$  can be realized as an elementary transformation of the trivial bundle along a Cartier divisor  $D \subset C$ . This is the content of the following:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $C$  be a 1-dimensional scheme which is proper over  $k$  and suppose  $Z \subset C$  is a finite set containing the associated points of  $C$ . Moreover, let  $V$  denote a rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $C$  which is globally generated, then there exists an effective Cartier divisor  $D$  (which can be viewed as a closed subscheme  $i : D \rightarrow C$  in a natural way) which doesn't meet  $Z$ , as well as an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow V^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r} \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* By [7, Lemma 27] there is a Cartier divisor  $i : D \subset C$  not meeting  $Z$ , a line bundle  $L$  on  $D$  and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r} \rightarrow V \rightarrow i_* L \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $D$  is a finite scheme,  $L$  is trivial and so we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r} \rightarrow V \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing this sequence we obtain:

$$0 \rightarrow V^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ext}}^1(i_* \mathcal{O}_D, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, the first term  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(i_* \mathcal{O}_D, \mathcal{O}_C)$  vanishes because  $D$  contains no associated points of  $C$  and the term  $\underline{\text{Ext}}^1(V, \mathcal{O}_C)$  vanishes since  $V$  is locally

free. To determine

$$\underline{\text{Ext}}^1(i_*\mathcal{O}_D, \mathcal{O}_C)$$

one can dualize the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow i_*\mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0$$

to see that

$$\underline{\text{Ext}}^1(i_*\mathcal{O}_D, \mathcal{O}_C) \simeq \text{coker}(s_D : \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(D)) \simeq i_*\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(D)$$

where  $s_D$  is the section corresponding to  $D$ . Since  $D$  is a finite scheme this is just isomorphic to  $i_*\mathcal{O}_D$ . □

The following lemma is a refinement of an argument in [3, Theorem 5.2.5] which, itself, is adapted from the appendix of [6]. It will allow us to guarantee our construction produces a  $\mu$ -stable vector bundle.

**Lemma 4.** *Fix an integral, smooth, projective  $k$ -scheme  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$  of dimension  $n$  and an effective Cartier divisor  $H \subset X$  which is irreducible and generically smooth. Let  $E$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r \geq 2$  on  $X$  which fits into an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(N) \rightarrow 0$$

for some integer  $N > 0$ . Moreover, suppose the surjection  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(N)$  does not factor through any torsion-free sheaf  $F$  with  $\mu(F) \leq \frac{r-1}{r} \text{deg}(\mathcal{O}_X(H))$  and  $\text{rk}(F) < r$ . Then  $E$  is a  $\mu$ -stable sheaf on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq E' \subset E$  be a saturated proper subsheaf and set  $F'$  equal to the saturation of  $E'$  in  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ . Since  $E'$  is saturated in  $E$ , it follows that the natural map  $j : F'/E' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(N)$  is injective. First suppose that  $F'/E'$  is nonzero. It follows that the injection  $j$  induces an isomorphism  $(F'/E')_\eta \cong \mathcal{O}_H(N)_\eta$  at the (unique) generic point  $\eta$  of  $H$  in  $X$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{O}_H(N)$  is a line bundle on an irreducible and generically smooth scheme so  $\mathcal{O}_H(N)_\eta \cong \mathcal{O}_{H,\eta} = k(H)$  for a field  $k(H)$ . Moreover, since  $H$  is Cohen-Macaulay, it has no embedded associated points which implies the localization  $(F'/E')_\eta$  is a nonzero vector space over  $k(H)$  (see, for instance, [8, Tag 0B3L]). It follows that the induced map  $(F'/E')_\eta \cong \mathcal{O}_H(N)_\eta$  is an isomorphism. Therefore we

have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F'/E' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(N) \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

where the support of  $B$  has codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $X$ , so the determinant of  $B$  in  $X$  is trivial. From this, it follows that  $\det(F'/E') \cong \det(\mathcal{O}_H(N)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(H)$  and  $\det(E') \cong \det(F') \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-H)$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E') &= \frac{\deg(\det(F')) + \deg(\mathcal{O}_X(-H))}{\text{rk}(E')} \\ &= \mu(F') - \frac{\deg(\mathcal{O}_X(H))}{\text{rk}(E')} < 0 - \frac{\deg(\mathcal{O}_X(H))}{\text{rk}(E)} = \mu(E) \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows because  $\text{rk}(E') = \text{rk}(F')$ , the inequality follows because  $\mu(F') \leq \mu(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}) \leq 0$  and  $\text{rk}(E') < \text{rk}(E)$ , as desired.

On the other hand, if  $F'/E' = 0$ , then  $F = \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}/E'$  is torsion-free and  $\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(N)$  factors through the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow F$ . By hypothesis, this implies  $\mu(F) > \frac{r-1}{r} \deg(\mathcal{O}_X(H))$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E') &= \frac{\deg(\det(E'))}{\text{rk}(E')} = \frac{-\deg(\det(F))}{\text{rk}(E')} = \frac{-(r - \text{rk}(E'))}{\text{rk}(E')} \mu(F) \\ &< \frac{-(r - \text{rk}(E'))}{\text{rk}(E')} \frac{(r - 1)}{r} \deg(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \\ &\leq \frac{-\deg(\mathcal{O}_X(H))}{r} \\ &= \mu(E) \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality follows because  $\mu(F) > \frac{r-1}{r} \deg(\mathcal{O}_X(H))$  and the second follows because  $E'$  is a saturated *proper* subsheaf of  $E$ , so  $\frac{(r - \text{rk}(E'))(r - 1)}{\text{rk}(E')} \geq 1$ . It follows that  $E$  is  $\mu$ -stable. □

To be able to apply the previous lemma, we will need to find a Cartier divisor  $H \subset X$  which is irreducible and generically smooth. This can be arranged by a standard Bertini-type result. However, the statement we require could not be found in the literature and so we include a proof for the sake of completeness.

Recall that if  $X$  is a proper  $k$ -scheme,  $L$  a line bundle on  $X$  and  $N \subset H^0(X, L)$  a linear system, then one says  $N$  *separates tangent vectors on*  $U$

if the natural map

$$\text{Ker}[N \rightarrow L_p/\mathfrak{m}_p L_p] \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_p L_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2 L_p$$

is surjective for every  $p \in U$ . As the term suggests, if  $N$  separates tangent vectors on  $U \subset X$  and has no base points along  $U$ , then the associated map  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  induces injective differentials

$$df_p : T_{U/k,p} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}^n/k,f(p)}$$

and so by [8, Tag 0B2G], the map  $f$  is unramified.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$  be an integral, smooth, and projective  $k$ -scheme and fix proper closed subschemes  $D \subset C \subset X$  with  $\text{codim}_X D \geq 2$  and  $C$  a 1-dimensional subscheme. If a linear system  $N \subset H^0(X, L)$  is base point free on  $X \setminus D$  and separates tangent vectors on  $X \setminus C$ , then the vanishing of the general member  $s \in N$  is an irreducible Cartier divisor which is smooth away from  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is base point free away from  $D$  and separates tangent vectors away from  $C$ , a choice of basis of  $N$  defines a morphism  $f : X \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  which is unramified over  $X \setminus C$ . Since  $X \setminus D$  is geometrically integral, it follows that the general hyperplane section in  $X \setminus D$  is geometrically irreducible by [4, Corollaire 6.11.3]. Indeed, the fact that  $X \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  is unramified implies  $\text{Im}(f|_{X \setminus C}) \geq 2$  and so we may apply the cited Corollary. Similarly, since  $X \setminus C$  is smooth and  $N$  induces an unramified morphism on  $X \setminus C$ , the general hyperplane section in  $X \setminus C$  is smooth by [4, Corollaire 6.11.2]. Since the general hyperplane section over  $X \setminus D$  (respectively,  $X \setminus C$ ) corresponds to the intersection of the vanishing of the general member of  $N$  in  $X$  with  $X \setminus D$  (respectively,  $X \setminus C$ ), viewing the vanishing of the general member in  $X$  yields the result. The only thing to check is that the vanishing of such a general section remains irreducible, but the only components the vanishing over  $X$  could gain are those which lie entirely in  $D$ . This is not possible because a Cartier divisor cannot have components of codimension  $\geq 2$ .  $\square$

Recall that the dual of any globally generated vector bundle on a curve  $C$  can be written as an elementary transformation of the trivial vector bundle along a Cartier divisor  $D \subset C$ . In the proof of Theorem 1, we will show that one may extend this elementary transformation to one over all of  $X$ . As such, we need to show that the Cartier divisor  $D \subset C$  must be the intersection of a Cartier divisor,  $H$ , on  $X$ , with  $C$ . This is the primary purpose of the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $C \subset X$  be the inclusion of a proper 1-dimensional closed subscheme in a projective  $k$ -scheme  $X$ . Suppose that  $E$  is a rank  $r \geq 2$  vector bundle on  $C$  whose determinant extends to  $X$ , then there is an ample line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  with the following properties*

- 1)  $E \otimes L|_C$  is globally generated,
- 2)  $\det(E \otimes L|_C)$  is ample,
- 3) if  $\det(E \otimes L|_C) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(D)$  for some effective Cartier divisor  $D \subset C$  not containing any associated point of  $X$ , then there is an ample Cartier divisor  $H \subset X$  with  $H \cap C = D$  scheme-theoretically, and
- 4) if  $X$  is integral, smooth and projective we may also take  $H$  in (3) to be irreducible and generically smooth.

*Proof.* The existence of  $L$  satisfying the conditions (1)-(3) follows from [7, Lemma 28]. Assume  $X$  is integral and smooth, we will show that  $L$  can be chosen so that (4) is satisfied. Let  $L'$  denote a line bundle on  $X$  with  $L'|_C \cong \det(E)$ . Begin by choosing an ample line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  so that

- 1)  $E \otimes L|_C$  is globally generated,
- 2)  $L' \otimes L^{\otimes r}$  is very ample,
- 3)  $H^1(X, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r} \otimes I_C) = 0$ , and
- 4) The linear system  $M = H^0(X, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r} \otimes I_C) \subset H^0(X, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r})$  has no base points away from  $C$  and separates tangent vectors on  $X \setminus C$ .

It is well-known that the first three conditions can be arranged by choosing  $L$  to be a high power of an ample line bundle. For the fourth, just note that we can arrange  $L$  to be ample enough so that  $L' \otimes L \otimes I_C$  is globally generated and  $L^{\otimes r-1}$  is very ample (so, in particular, the associated complete linear system separates tangent vectors on  $X$ ). Then the linear system  $H^0(X, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r} \otimes I_C)$  has no base points on  $X \setminus C$  and separates tangent vectors on  $X \setminus C$ . Note that  $\det(E \otimes L|_C) \cong L' \otimes L^{\otimes r}|_C$  and therefore the first two items in the statement of the lemma are now satisfied.

Now suppose  $\det(E \otimes L|_C) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(D)$  for some effective Cartier divisor  $D \subset C$ . Then take cohomology of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L' \otimes L^{\otimes r} \otimes I_C \rightarrow L' \otimes L^{\otimes r} \rightarrow (L' \otimes L^{\otimes r})|_C \rightarrow 0$$

and use the fact that  $H^1(X, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r} \otimes I_C) = 0$  to see that the second map induces a surjection on global sections. In particular, a section

$s_D \in H^0(C, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r}|_C)$  defining  $D \subset C$  can be lifted to a section  $s_{H'} \in H^0(X, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r})$  whose vanishing,  $H' \subset X$  intersects  $C$  precisely at  $D$ . However, it is not clear that  $H'$  is irreducible or generically smooth. To remedy this, note that the general member of the linear system

$$N = \langle s_{H'} \rangle + H^0(X, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r} \otimes I_C) \subset H^0(X, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r})$$

is smooth away from  $C$  and irreducible by lemma 5. Indeed, the linear system  $N$  contains  $H^0(X, L' \otimes L^{\otimes r} \otimes I_C)$  and  $s_{H'}$  and therefore is base point free away from  $D$  and separates tangent vectors away from  $C$ . Lastly, observe that for the general  $s \in N$ ,  $s|_C$  and  $s_D$  cut out the same Cartier divisor since it has the form  $s = \lambda s_{H'} + s'$  where  $s'|_C = 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Thus a general  $s \in N$  defines an effective Cartier divisor  $H$  on  $X$  which is irreducible, generically smooth and has  $H \cap C = D$  scheme-theoretically.  $\square$

We are almost ready to construct an elementary transformation of  $X$  along  $H$ . However, to guarantee  $\mu$ -stability, we need to show that the hypothesis of lemma 4 is satisfied. The next lemma shows that there are enough points in the space of maps  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N))$  which satisfy the desired properties.

Before we state the following lemma, we introduce some basic notation. If  $F$  is a coherent sheaf on a scheme  $Y$ , then define

$$\mathbb{A}(F) = \underline{\text{Spec}}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\text{Sym}^* F^\vee)$$

to be the associated linear scheme. However, if  $Y = \text{Spec } A$  and  $V = \tilde{M}$  for an  $A$ -module  $M$ , then we will write  $\mathbb{A}(M)$  instead.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$  denote an integral  $k$ -projective variety and let  $H$  be an ample Cartier divisor with a finite subscheme  $D \subset H$ . Fix integers  $r$  and  $\rho$ , then there exists an  $N_0$  such that for all  $N \geq N_0$  and any  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N))$  there is a nonempty open subscheme*

$$U_N \subset \psi + \mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N) \otimes I_D)) \subset \mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N)))$$

*such that all points of  $U_N$  correspond to maps  $\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(N)$  which do not factor through a torsion-free quotient  $F$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$  with  $\mu(F) \leq \rho$  and  $\text{rk}(F) < r$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of all torsion-free quotients of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$  with  $\mu(F) \leq \rho$  and  $\text{rk}(F) < r$ . By [3, Lemma 1.7.9], there is a finite type Quot-scheme  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$  so that each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  appears as a fiber of the universal

quotient on  $X \times Q$ :

$$u : \mathcal{O}_{X \times Q}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow F_{\text{univ}}.$$

Since a Quot-scheme parametrizes flat families of quotients, the universal object  $F_{\text{univ}}$  is  $Q$ -flat. Now, throw out all the connected components of  $Q$  which do not contain a quotient belonging to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $F_{\text{univ}}$  and  $p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H(N)$  are flat over  $Q$  for every  $N \geq 0$  (here  $p_1 : X \times Q \rightarrow X$  is the projection), all the fibers  $(F_{\text{univ}})_q$  have rank less than  $r$  and [2, Corollaire 7.7.8] implies the functors  $G_N, H_N : \underline{\text{Aff}}/Q \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$  defined by

$$G_N(T) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_T}}(F_{\text{univ}}|_T, p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H(N)|_T)$$

$$H_N(T) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_T}}(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}|_T, p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H(N)|_T)$$

for any affine  $Q$ -scheme  $T$ , are representable by linear schemes. Let  $Y_N$  denote the linear  $Q$ -scheme representing  $G_N$  and note that, by cohomology and base change, for all sufficiently large  $N$ ,  $H_N$  is naturally represented by the geometric vector bundle  $\mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N))) \times_k Q$ . Thus, the quotient  $u$  induces a monomorphism

$$g : Y_N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N))) \times_k Q$$

which can be interpreted as follows: at a closed point  $q \in Q$ , the morphism  $g$  is the inclusion of homomorphisms  $\psi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(N)$  which factor through  $(F_{\text{univ}})_q$ . Thus, to prove the lemma it suffices to show there is a  $N_0$  so that for every  $N \geq N_0$

$$\dim Y_N < \dim(\mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N) \otimes I_D))).$$

Indeed, in that case the composition  $\pi_1 \circ g$ :

$$Y_N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N))) \times_k Q \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N)))$$

cannot have an image whose closure contains a coset of  $\mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N) \otimes I_D))$  (here  $\pi_1$  denotes the projection onto the first factor). Thus, the complement of the closure of the image of  $\pi_1 \circ g$  can be intersected with any such coset to define the nonempty open:

$$U_N \subset \psi + \mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N) \otimes I_D)) \subset \mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N)))$$

so all  $\phi \in U_N$  do not factor through any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ .



For all  $N \gg 0$ :

$$\dim Y_N \leq \dim(Q) + \max_{q \in Q} \{ \chi(\underline{\text{Hom}}((F_{\text{univ}})_q, (p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H(N))_q)) \}$$

because there is a canonical identification of fibers

$$(Y_N)_q = \mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}((F_{\text{univ}})_q, (p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H(N))_q))$$

for any point  $q \in Q$ . Moreover, for every  $q \in Q$  and all  $N \geq 0$ , we have:

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}(N)) = \chi(\underline{\text{Hom}}((F_{\text{univ}})_q, (p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H(N))_q)) + p_q(N)$$

for some nonconstant polynomial  $p_q(t)$  since  $(F_{\text{univ}})_q$  has rank  $< r$ . Indeed,  $p_q(t)$  is the Hilbert polynomial of the cokernel of

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}((F_{\text{univ}})_q, (p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H)_q) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}((\mathcal{O}_{X \times Q}^{\oplus r})_q, (p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H)_q)$$

and because this cokernel is supported on the positive-dimensional projective scheme  $H$ ,  $p_q(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t$  gets large. Next, we claim that the set  $\{p_q(t)\}_{q \in Q}$  is finite. Indeed, this is a consequence of the finiteness of the Hilbert polynomials associated to the sheaves  $\{\underline{\text{Hom}}((F_{\text{univ}})_q, (p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H)_q)\}_{q \in Q}$ . This follows by Noetherian induction on  $Q$ , generic  $Q$ -flatness of  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(F_{\text{univ}}, p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H)$  (see [8, Tag 052A]) and [1, Lemma 6.8]. Lastly, since  $D$  is a finite subscheme of  $H$ , there is a fixed constant  $d > 0$  with

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}(N) \otimes I_D) + d = \chi(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}(N))$$

for all large  $N$ . Thus, by the finiteness of the set  $\{p_q(t)\}_{q \in Q}$ , there is a  $N_0 \gg 0$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim Y_N &\leq \dim(Q) + \max_{q \in Q} \{ \chi(\underline{\text{Hom}}((F_{\text{univ}})_q, (p_1^* \mathcal{O}_H(N))_q)) \} \\ &\leq \dim(Q) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_H(N)^{\oplus r}) - \min_{q \in Q} \{ p_q(N) \} \\ &= \dim(Q) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_H(N)^{\oplus r} \otimes I_D) + d - \min_{q \in Q} \{ p_q(N) \} \\ &< \dim(\mathbb{A}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_H(N) \otimes I_D))) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $N \geq N_0$ , as desired. □

### 3. Proof of the main theorem

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$  denote an ample line bundle on  $X$ . By replacing  $V$  with  $V(m) = V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)^{\otimes m}|_C$  for  $m \gg 0$  we may suppose that

the conclusion of lemma 6 holds. In particular,  $V$  is globally generated. Thus, by lemma 3 there exists a Cartier divisor  $i : D \rightarrow C$  which misses the associated points of  $X$  and the associated points of  $C$  with the property that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r} \rightarrow i_*\mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0.$$

By adjunction, the surjection on the right, call it  $\phi'_D$ , is determined by the induced map of sheaves on  $D$ :

$$\phi_D : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r}|_D = \mathcal{O}_D^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D.$$

To prove the theorem it suffices to show  $V^\vee$  extends to  $X$ . Let  $L$  denote a fixed line bundle on  $X$  with  $L|_C \cong \det(V)$ . Observe that  $L|_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C(D) \cong \det(\mathcal{O}_D)$  and that  $D \subset C$  is a Cartier divisor in  $C$  missing the associated points of  $X$ . Thus, we may apply the full conclusion of lemma 6. In particular, there is an effective ample Cartier divisor  $H \subset X$  with  $H \cap C = D$  scheme-theoretically. Moreover, if  $X$  is integral and smooth, we may take  $H$  to be smooth away from  $C$  and irreducible.

The idea will be to extend the elementary transformation of  $\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r}$  on  $C$  along  $D$  to an elementary transformation of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$  on  $X$  along  $H$ . To make this precise, first fix an isomorphism  $g_1 : \mathcal{O}_X(1)|_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$  and note that this induces isomorphisms  $g_N : \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_D \cong \mathcal{O}_D$  for every  $N > 0$ . Our goal is to find a surjective morphism  $\psi : \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H$  for some  $N > 0$  so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{CD} \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}|_D @>\psi|_D>> \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_D @>>> 0 \\ @VV\text{id}V @VVg_NV \\ \mathcal{O}_D^{\oplus r} @>\phi_D>> \mathcal{O}_D @>>> 0. \end{CD}$$

Once we have found such a  $\psi$ , compose it with the natural adjunction morphism to obtain  $\psi' : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H$ . Now consider the associated elementary transformation on  $X$ :

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H \rightarrow 0$$

and observe that because  $H$  is a Cartier divisor,  $W$  must be locally free. Moreover, note that  $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$  since it injects into the vector bundle  $W|_C$  and is supported on  $D$  (which contains no associated points

of  $C$ ). Thus, upon restriction to  $C$ , the isomorphism  $g_N : \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$  induces a morphism of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & W|_C & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}|_C & \xrightarrow{\psi'|_C} & \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_D \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow g_N \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & V^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r} & \xrightarrow{\phi'_D} & \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

thereby producing an isomorphism  $W|_C \simeq V^\vee$ , as desired. Note that the isomorphisms  $g_N : \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_D \simeq \mathcal{O}_D$  we have fixed determine isomorphisms

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_D^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_D).$$

As such, the rest of the proof is devoted to finding a  $\psi$  which restricts to  $\phi_D$  via the isomorphism  $g_N$  (for some large  $N > 0$ ).

Next, take  $N$  to be large enough so that the short exact sequence on  $H$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H) \otimes I_D \\
 &\rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_D^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_D) \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

remains exact after taking global sections so that we may lift  $\phi_D \in H^0(H, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_D^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_D))$  to a section  $\psi_D \in H^0(H, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H))$ . The issue is that

$$\psi_D : \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H$$

may not be surjective away from  $D$ . We will rectify this by adding a factor from

$$H^0(H, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H) \otimes I_D)$$

which doesn't change the behavior of  $\psi_D$  along  $D$ .

For all sufficiently large  $N$ , we may fix a basis

$$\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in H^0(H, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H) \otimes I_D)$$

so that at any point  $p \in H \setminus D$ , there is a collection of  $r$  sections among the  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  which form a basis for the vector space  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H) \otimes k(p)$ . Viewing the sections  $\psi_D, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  in  $H^0(H, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H))$  we

set  $\mathbf{A}_k^n = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and consider the universal section

$$\psi_{\text{univ}} = \psi_D + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \psi_i$$

of  $\psi_D + \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H) \otimes I_D$  pulled back to  $\mathbf{A}_k^n \times_k H$ . Thus, by construction, the universal section restricts to the section  $\psi_{\underline{a}} = \psi_D + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \psi_i$  over  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{A}_k^n(k)$ .

Over the complement  $U = H \setminus D \subset H$ , consider the closed locus of non-surjective maps

$$Z = \{(a, u) \mid \psi_{\underline{a}} \otimes k(u) \text{ is not surjective}\} \subset \mathbf{A}_k^n \times U.$$

For any  $u \in U$  the fiber  $Z_u$  has codimension  $r$  since the  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  generate

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H)$$

at all  $u \in U$ . Indeed, there is a surjective map

$$\pi : \mathbf{A}_{k(u)}^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k(u)}(k(u)^r, k(u)) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H) \otimes k(u)$$

sending

$$\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (\psi_D)_{k(u)} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (\psi_i)_{k(u)}$$

and only the zero map doesn't have full rank. Therefore the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(0) = Z_u$  has dimension  $n - r$  so the dimension of  $Z$  (and the closure of its image  $\mathbb{p}_1(Z)$  in  $\mathbf{A}_k^n$ ) is at most

$$n - r + \dim(H) < n$$

because  $r = \text{rank}(V) \geq \dim(X) > \dim(H)$ .

Thus, there is a point  $\underline{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbf{A}_k^n(k)$  avoiding  $\overline{\mathbb{p}_1(Z)}$ , and we claim that the corresponding section of  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H)$  works as desired. Indeed, a point avoiding  $\mathbb{p}_1(Z)$  corresponds to a section

$$\psi_{\underline{c}} = \psi_D + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \psi_i \in H^0(H, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H))$$

which is a surjective linear map for every  $u \in U$  (since  $(\underline{c}, u)$  is not in  $Z$ ). Moreover, on  $D$ , we have  $\psi_{\underline{c}}|_D = \psi_D|_D = \phi_D$ . Also,  $\phi_D$  is surjective so Nakayama's lemma implies  $\psi_{\underline{c}}$  is surjective over all of  $H$ . Thus, the kernel of  $\psi'_{\underline{c}} : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(N)$  is a vector bundle  $W$  on  $X$  extending  $V^\vee$ .

If  $X$  is smooth, lemma 7 says that after perhaps enlarging  $N$ , there is a nonempty open subset

$$U_N \subset \psi_D + \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H) \otimes I_D$$

so that the corresponding composition  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H$  does not factor through a torsion-free sheaf  $F$  on  $X$  with  $\text{rk}(F) < r$  and  $\mu(F) \leq \frac{r}{r-1} \text{deg}(\mathcal{O}_X(H))$ . Therefore, the general section

$$\underline{c} \in \mathbf{A}_k^n \cong \psi_D + \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{O}_X(N)|_H \otimes I_D)$$

induces a surjective map  $\psi'_{\underline{c}} : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(N)$  (because it misses  $\overline{p_1(Z)}$ ) and the resulting kernel satisfies the hypothesis of lemma 4 (because  $\underline{c} \in U_N$ ). It follows that the kernel of  $\psi'_{\underline{c}}$  is a  $\mu$ -stable vector bundle on  $X$  extending  $V^\vee$ . □

### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Lucas Braune, Yajnaseni Dutta, Jack Hall, Andrew Kresch and David Stapleton for helpful comments. I would also like to thank the referee for many useful comments and suggestions. This research was conducted in the framework of the research training group GRK 2240: Algebraic-geometric Methods in Algebra, Arithmetic and Topology, which is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

### References

- [1] Michael Artin. Algebraization of formal moduli. i. *Global analysis (papers in honor of K. Kodaira)*, pages 21–71, 1969.
- [2] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, part 2. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (17):91, 1963.
- [3] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [4] J.P. Jouanolou. *Théorèmes de Bertini et applications*. Progress in mathematics (Birkhäuser) 42. Birkhäuser, 1983.
- [5] Masaki Maruyama. Elementary transformations in the theory of algebraic vector bundles. In *Algebraic geometry (La Rábida, 1981)*, volume 961 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 241–266. Springer, Berlin, 1982.

- [6] Masaki Maruyama et al. Moduli of stable sheaves, ii. *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 18(3):557–614, 1978.
- [7] Siddharth Mathur. Experiments on the Brauer map in High Codimension. [arXiv:2002.12205](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.12205), February 2020.
- [8] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2020.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, HEINRICH-HEINE-UNIVERSITÄT  
40204 DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* [siddharth.p.mathur@gmail.com](mailto:siddharth.p.mathur@gmail.com)

RECEIVED OCTOBER 16, 2020

ACCEPTED APRIL 4, 2021