Topological aspects of Boolean functions

ANDERS BJÖRNER, MARK GORESKY, AND ROBERT MACPHERSON

To Claudio Procesi, with friendship and admiration, on the occasion of his eightieth birthday

Abstract: We discuss ways in which tools from topology can be used to derive lower bounds for the circuit complexity of Boolean functions.

Keywords: Boolean function, circuit complexity, order complex, Betti number, simplicial sheaf.

1	Introduction	1030
2	Boolean functions and circuits	1031
3	The red corner model	1035
4	The subspaces model	1041
5	Reduction to the order complex $\Sigma(f)$	1044
6	Sheaves on the simplex Δ	1046
7	Proof of Theorem 1.1	1048
8	Examples	1049
9	Further speculation	1055
\mathbf{A}	Decomposition of $\theta_*(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$	1056
в	Alexander-Verdier duality	1058
Acknowledgements		1061
References		

Received June 2, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 94D10, 94C11, 06A07, 13F55.

1. Introduction

By a Boolean function (of n variables) we mean a function $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$. Various notions of the computational complexity of a Boolean function f exist, but all are asymptotically equivalent to the *circuit complexity* CC(f), (or *formula size*, see §2), which is notoriously difficult to compute. It is known (see, e.g., [V]) that random Boolean functions tend to have exponential circuit complexity.

It is an interesting goal to construct for any Boolean function f a topological space X_f , with the property that some standard topological invariants applied to X_f would give estimates for the circuit complexity of f. Such an approach has been tried with some success in the case of some non-Boolean functions. See e.g. [BLY], where the topological model is arrangements of linear subspaces and the topological measure is the sum of Betti numbers.

In this paper we take the first steps towards the goal of exploring the potential use of "topological complexity" in the setting of Boolean functions f. We introduce two constructions of a topological space associated to f: the red corner model $\Sigma(f)$, obtained from the order complex of the partially ordered set defined by $f^{-1}(1)$, and the subspaces model Z(f), a collection of coordinate subspaces and their complements in \mathbb{C}^n , defined by f. For these models we define, in equations (3.1) and (4.1), certain integers $\beta(f)$ and $\gamma(f)$, essentially the sum of the Betti numbers of the corresponding topological space. We prove several basic computational facts about these invariants.

Using the standard rules of logic, Boolean functions may be combined using \wedge ("AND"), \vee ("OR") and \sim ("NOT"), leading to computational issues. The permutation group \mathfrak{S}_n on *n* letters, acts on the set of Boolean functions by setting

$$f^{\sigma}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. If $f : B_n \to \{0, 1\}$ and $g : B_m \to \{0, 1\}$ are Boolean functions, we use the identification $B_n \times B_m \to B_{n+m}$ to define Boolean functions $f \wedge g$ and $f \vee g$.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ is a Boolean function. Define $\beta(f)$ as in (3.1) and $\gamma(f)$ as in (4.1). Then

- 1. $\beta(f^{\sigma}) = \beta(f)$ and $\gamma(f^{\sigma}) = \gamma(f)$, for any σ in the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n ,
- 2. $\beta(\sim f) = \beta(f)$. If f is not identically 0 or 1 then $\gamma(\sim f) = \gamma(f)$.
- 3. $\beta(f \lor g) = \beta(f \land g) = \beta(f)\beta(g)$. If neither f nor g is identically 0 or 1, then $\gamma(f \lor g) = \gamma(f \land g) = \gamma(f)\gamma(g)$.
- 4. Suppose f does not depend on one of the variables: $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = g(x_2, ..., x_n)$. Then $\beta(f) = 0$ and $\gamma(f) = \gamma(g)$.

5. Suppose the Boolean function f may be computed by a Boolean circuit (see §2) that is a tree. If f is not identically 0 or 1, then $\beta(f) = 1$ and $\gamma(f) \leq 2^n$.

This is proved in §3.1 and §7, respectively. In §9 we suggest additional techniques to associate a space with a Boolean function.

2. Boolean functions and circuits

2.1. Boolean circuits

Identify 0 with "False" and 1 with "True" and denote $\vee =$ "OR" and $\wedge =$ "AND" and $\sim =$ "NOT". By a *Boolean circuit* with *n* inputs we shall mean a circuit consisting of AND and OR gates having a fan-in of two, a fan-out of one, and arbitrarily many NOT gates; the whole circuit having *n* inputs and one output. (By deMorgan's rule, all NOT gates may be moved to the bottom of the circuit.) The output of such a circuit Ω defines a Boolean function $f_{\Omega}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$. We say that the circuit Ω computes the function f_{Ω} .



Figure 1: A circuit to calculate "parity": the sum modulo 2.

Denote by $|\Omega|$ the number of AND/OR gates in the circuit. (We do not count the NOT gates.) The *circuit complexity* or *formula size* of a Boolean function f is

$$CC(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{|\Omega| \mid \Omega \text{ computes } f\}.$$

A theorem of C. Shannon (see [V, §1.5]) states (roughly) for large n that most Boolean functions with n inputs require circuits of exponential size, $2^n/n$.

2.2. Product of valences

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let a_i denote the *valence* or fan-out of the input node x_i , so that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ is the number of leaves on the tree that is obtained by cutting off all the inputs. Define the number $PV(\Omega) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i$, the product of the valences for each of the variables, and define PV(f) to be the minimum of $PV(\Omega)$ over all circuits Ω that compute f. A Boolean circuit Ω is a tree if and only if $PV(\Omega) = 1$.

The number of gates is one less than the number of inputs. Hence, the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality implies that

(2.1)
$$CC(f) + 1 \ge n(PV(f))^{1/n},$$

showing that the product-of-valences number PV(f) has the potential to lower-bound circuit complexity.

Conjecture. Suppose the Boolean circuit Ω computes f. Then

$$(2.2) PV(\Omega) \ge \gamma(f).$$

See §8 for motivation for this conjecture.

Computer experiments suggest that for each n, the parity function maximizes $\beta(f)$ among all Boolean functions f of n variables, and similarly for $\gamma(f)$. In particular, even if the conjecture is true, these models fail to detect exponential complexity.

2.3. Boolean lattices

The Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}_n is the set of subsets $I \subset [n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, partially ordered by inclusion and ranked by the cardinality |I|. We identify $\mathcal{B}_n \cong \{0, 1\}^n$, associating a subset $I \subset [n]$ with the Boolean vector $x_I = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ where $x_i = 1$ if and only if $i \in I$. If $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathcal{B}_n$ then its rank is $|x| = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, and $x \leq y$ if and only if $x_i \leq y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The unique minimal element $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{B}_n$ corresponds to the empty set and the maximal element $\mathbf{1}$ corresponds to $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. The punctured Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}'_n is the lattice \mathcal{B}_n with the minimal element $\mathbf{0}$ removed. If $m, n \geq 1$ the Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}_{m+n} may be identified with the partially ordered set $\mathcal{B}_m \times \mathcal{B}_n$ (where $(v, w) \leq (v', w') \iff v \leq v'$ and $w \leq w'$).

2.4. Sum of Betti numbers

If P is a partially ordered set (poset) we denote its order complex by $\Sigma(P)$. It is the abstract simplicial complex with one k-simplex for each chain $v_0 < v_1 < \cdots < v_k$ of elements of P and the obvious face relations. If Σ is a simplicial complex we denote by $|\Sigma|$ its geometrical realization. In this paper every simplicial complex has a canonical geometrical realization. If X is a topological space denote by

$$b^*(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i \ge 0} \operatorname{rank} H_i(X; \mathbb{Q})$$

the sum of its Betti numbers. Denote by $\tilde{b}^*(X)$ the sum of Betti numbers of the reduced homology of X with the convention that $\tilde{H}_{-1}(\phi; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$. If \mathcal{M} is a sheaf of rational vector spaces on X, denote by $b^*(X, \mathcal{M})$ the sum of the ranks of its cohomology groups.

2.5. Order complex

If $f : \mathcal{B}_n \to \{0, 1\}$ is a Boolean function, let $\mathcal{B}(f) = f^{-1}(1)$ denote the poset of elements v such that f(v) = 1 with its induced partial order. The order complex $\Sigma(f) = \Sigma(\mathcal{B}(f))$ is the full subcomplex of $\Sigma(\mathcal{B}_n)$ with one k-simplex for every chain $x_0 < x_1 \cdots < x_k$ of elements such that each $f(x_j) = 1$. Denote its canonical geometric realization by $|\Sigma(f)| \subset [0, 1]^n$, where [0, 1] is the real unit interval.

If $f : \mathcal{B}'_n \to \{0, 1\}$ is a *punctured* Boolean function (or equivalently, if $f(\underline{0}) = 0$) then using (2.3) its order complex may be considered as a subcomplex of Δ' , hence $\Sigma(f) \subset \Sigma(\mathcal{B}'_n) \cong \Delta'$.

2.6. Geometry of the cube

The order complex $\Sigma(\mathcal{B}_n)$ of the Boolean lattice has a canonical geometrical realization

$$|\Sigma(\mathcal{B}_n)| \cong [0,1]^n = I^n$$

as a triangulation of the *n* dimensional cube I^n . Namely, let e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n denote the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n , and for each subset $J \subset [n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ let

$$\widehat{e}_J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \in J} e_j \in [0, 1]^n$$

and set $\hat{e}_{\phi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$. The vectors $\{\hat{e}_J\}_{J \subset [n]}$ form the set of vertices of the *n*-dimensional cube $[0, 1]^n$ and correspond to the elements of the Boolean lattice. The resulting triangulation of the cube I^n has one k-simplex

$$\sigma = \langle v_{J_0}, v_{J_1}, \dots, v_{J_k} \rangle$$

for each chain of subsets $J_0 \subset J_1 \subset \cdots \subset J_k \subset [n]$. There are 2n facets (codimension one faces) of the cube: for each choice $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\partial_i^- I^n = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n \mid x_i = 0 \} \partial_i^+ I^n = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n \mid x_i = 1 \}$$

Each facet $\partial_i^- I^n$ contains the origin $\underline{\mathbf{0}} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, and each facet $\partial_i^+ I^n$ contains the vertex $\underline{\mathbf{1}} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Let

$$\partial^+ I^n = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} \partial_i^+ I^n.$$

This space is the union of all facets in I^n that do not contain the origin.



Figure 2: The cube $\Sigma(\mathcal{B}_3)$ and the simplex Δ' .

2.7. Geometry of the simplex

The n-1 dimensional simplex

$$\Delta = \Delta^{n-1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 \le x_i \le 1, \ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \right\}$$

is the convex hull of its vertices, the standard basis vectors e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n . Each nonempty subset $J \subset [n]$ determines a face $e_J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{convex span} \{e_j | j \in J\}$. Each $j \in [n]$ determines a facet

$$\partial_j \Delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta \cap \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j = 0 \} = e_{[n] - \{j\}}.$$

Let Δ' denote the barycentric subdivision of Δ . It is the order complex

$$\Delta' = \Sigma(\mathcal{B}'_n)$$

of the poset $\Delta = \mathcal{B}'_n$ of non empty faces of Δ . It has one vertex \hat{e}_J for each (non empty) face e_J of Δ . To be explicit, the correspondence

subsets of
$$[n] \longleftrightarrow$$
 faces of $\Delta \longleftrightarrow$ vertices of $\Delta' \longleftrightarrow$ Boolean vectors
 $J \longleftrightarrow e_J \longleftrightarrow \widehat{e}_J \longleftrightarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

(where $x_j = 1 \iff j \in J$) determines simplicial isomorphisms

(2.3)
$$|\Delta'| \longleftarrow |\Sigma(\mathcal{B}'_n)| \longrightarrow \partial^+ I^n.$$

The simplicial complex described in various ways by (2.3), is topologically an (n-1)-dimensional ball. More precisely, it is the cone over an (n-2)dimensional sphere.

In §A.3 we shall use the following observation: If $\tau : \partial^+ I^n \to |\Delta'|$ denotes the composed isomorphism in (2.3) then for any $j \in [n]$,

(2.4)
$$\tau\left(\partial_j^- I^n \cap \partial^+ I^n\right) = \partial_j \Delta.$$

3. The red corner model

For each vertex $v \in \{0,1\}^n$ let R_v be the union of those facets (codimension one faces) $F \subset I^n$ such that $v \in F$. We sometimes refer to R_v as the *red corner* at v. The remaining facets of I^n are those in $R_{\sim v}$, the red corner of the opposite vertex. The *total Betti number* $\beta(f)$ in the red corner model is

(3.1)
$$\beta(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_n} b^*(|\Sigma(f)|, R_v \cap |\Sigma(f)|).$$

It is a sum of 2^n terms, each of which is the sum of all the Betti numbers of the relative homology of the pair $(|\Sigma(f)|, R_v \cap |\Sigma(f)|)$.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for the red corner model

Part (1) is clear from the definition. Parts (2) and (3) will be verified in §3.8 and §3.9. For part (4), if the function f does not depend on a variable, say x_n then $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f'(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ for some Boolean function f' of n-1variables, so $\Sigma(f)$ is the Cartesian product of $\Sigma(f')$ and the unit interval. This implies that for every vertex $v \in I^n$ and for every simplex σ of $\Sigma(f')$ either $\sigma \times \{0\} \subset R_v$ or else $\sigma \times 1 \subset R_v$. Therefore the relative homology $H_*(|\Sigma(f)|, R_v \cap |\Sigma(f)|)$ vanishes. Part (5) follows from part (3) by induction: at the top gate each branch involves different input variables.

3.2. Sheaves and the red corner model

Throughout this paper the word "sheaf" refers to a sheaf of finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces.

On the circle $T = S^1$ let m denote the Möbius local system. It is the rank one local coefficient system over \mathbb{Q} such that the monodromy around the circle is multiplication by -1. One checks that $H^i(S^1, m) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and that multiplication $m \otimes m \to \underline{\mathbb{Q}}$ induces a *self duality* isomorphism $m \cong \operatorname{Hom}(m, \underline{\mathbb{Q}})$, where $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the trivial (rank one) local system on S^1 .

Let r_0 be the sheaf on the unit interval [0, 1] that is the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{(0,1]}$ on (0, 1] and is zero on the point $\{0\}$. Let r_1 be the sheaf that is $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{[0,1]}$ on [0, 1) and is zero on $\{1\}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\pi : S^1 \to [0,1]$ be the mapping $\pi(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos(\theta))$. Then there is an isomorphism of sheaves, $\pi_*(m) \cong r_0 \oplus r_1$.



Figure 3: The mapping π .

Proof. A simplicial sheaf A on the interval [0, 1] is an arrangement of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces and morphisms,

$$A_{\{0\}} \longrightarrow A_{(0,1)} \longleftarrow A_{\{1\}}.$$

An isomorphism of simplicial sheaves, $\Phi : \pi_*(m) \to r_0 \oplus r_1$ is defined by the following commutative diagram, where $\Phi(a, b) = (\frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2})$:



3.4. The torus

On the torus $T^n = (S^1)^n$ let $\mathcal{M} = m \boxtimes m \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes m$ be the tensor product of the Möbius local systems from the factors. It follows from the Künneth theorem that $H^i(T^n, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ for all $i \ge 0$, and that $\mathcal{M} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \underline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is self dual. Let $\pi : T^n \to [0, 1]^n$ be the product of the projections. It follows that there is an isomorphism of sheaves,

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{M}) \cong \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{B}_n} \mathcal{M}_v$$

where, for each vertex v of the cube I^n , the sheaf \mathcal{M}_v is zero on R_v (the red corner corresponding to v) and is the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the complement, $I^n - R_v$. So the cohomology of \mathcal{M}_v is the relative cohomology, that is, for any closed subset $W \subset I^n$ we have: $H^i(W, \mathcal{M}_v) = H^i(W, W \cap R_v)$.

Since π is a finite mapping, we conclude that for any Boolean function f, and for any $i \ge 0$ there is an isomorphism (see Remark 3.5 below),

$$H^{i}(\pi^{-1}(|\Sigma(f)|), \mathcal{M}) \cong H^{i}(|\Sigma(f)|, R\pi_{*}\mathcal{M}) \cong H^{i}(|\Sigma(f)|, \pi_{*}(\mathcal{M}))$$
$$\cong \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{B}_{n}} H^{i}(|\Sigma(f)|, \mathcal{M}_{v}) \cong \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{B}_{n}} H^{i}(|\Sigma(f)|, R_{v} \cap |\Sigma(f)|).$$

Hence $\beta(f) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \operatorname{rank} H^i(\pi^{-1}(|\Sigma(f)|), \mathcal{M}).$

3.5. Remark

Suppose $h: X \to Y$ is a continuous map between locally compact Hausdorff spaces and suppose that S is a sheaf on X whose stalk cohomology

is finite dimensional at each point. Let $h_*(S)$ be its pushforward to Y. Fix $i \geq 0$. It is not always true that $H^i(X, S) \cong H^i(Y, h_*(S))$. However, there is a canonical isomorphism $H^i(X, S) \cong H^i(Y, \operatorname{Rh}_*(S))$ where $\operatorname{Rh}_*(S)$ is the push-forward of the sheaf S, in the sense of the derived category of constructible sheaves. In general, $\operatorname{Rh}_*(S)$ will be a complex of sheaves, rather than a single sheaf. However, in our case the mapping π is finite and it is even simplicial, so there is an isomorphism in the derived category between $\pi_*(\mathcal{M})$ and $\operatorname{R\pi}_*(\mathcal{M})$. More classically, one would say that the Leray-Serre spectral sequence for the map π collapses because the E^2 page lives on a single line.

We will need the following standard facts.

Lemma 3.6. Let X be a simplicial complex with vertex set V. Let $\Sigma_0 \subset X$ be a full subcomplex, spanned by a subset of the vertices $V_0 \subset B$. Let $\Sigma_1 \subset X$ be the supplementary subcomplex, that is, the full subcomplex spanned by the set of remaining vertices $V_1 = V - V_0$. Then there is a simplicial map $h: X \to \Delta^1$ (so $h: |X| \to [0,1]$) with $h^{-1}(t) = \Sigma_t$ (t = 0,1) and there are natural deformation retractions, $|X| - |\Sigma_0| \to |\Sigma_1|$ and $|X| - |\Sigma_1| \to |\Sigma_0|$.

Lemma 3.7. Let X be a smooth compact n-dimensional manifold and let \mathcal{M} be a local coefficient system of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces on X. Let \mathcal{M}^* be the dual local coefficient system. Let $\Sigma \subset X$ be a closed subset that is a subcomplex with respect to some smooth triangulation of X. Then the pairing $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}^* \to \underline{\mathbb{Q}}$ induces the Poincaré duality isomorphism

(*)
$$H^{j}(X, X - \Sigma, \mathcal{M}) \cong H_{n-j}(\Sigma, \mathcal{M}^{*}) \cong \left(H^{n-j}(\Sigma, \mathcal{M}^{*})\right)^{*}.$$

3.8. Proof of Theorem 1.1 (part 2)

Let $g = \sim f$ be the negation of f. Then $\Sigma(g)$ is supplementary to $\Sigma(f)$ in the simplicial complex I^n so $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma(f))$ is supplementary to $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma(g))$ in the torus T and there is a deformation retraction

$$T^n - \pi^{-1}(|\Sigma(f)|) \to |\Sigma(g)|.$$

Using Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and the fact that the sheaf \mathcal{M} is acyclic and self-dual on T^n , it follows, for each $i \geq 0$ that

$$H^{i}(\pi^{-1}(|\Sigma(g)|), \mathcal{M}) \cong H^{i}((T^{n} - \pi^{-1}|\Sigma(f)|, \mathcal{M}))$$
$$\cong H^{i-1}((T^{n}, T^{n} - \pi^{-1}|\Sigma(f)|, \mathcal{M}))$$

$$\cong H_{n-i+1}(\pi^{-1}|\Sigma(f)|, \mathcal{M}^*) \cong H_{n-i+1}(\pi^{-1}|\Sigma(f)|, \mathcal{M}) \cong H^{n-i+1}(\pi^{-1}|\Sigma(f)|, \mathcal{M})^*,$$

where "*" denotes the (rational vector space) dual. Consequently

$$\beta(g) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \operatorname{rank} H^i(|\Sigma(g)|, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{j \ge 0} \operatorname{rank} H^j(|\Sigma(f)|, \mathcal{M}) = \beta(f).$$

This completes the proof of part 2 of Theorem 1.1.

3.9. Proof of Theorem 1.1 (part 3)

Let $f : B_n \to \{0, 1\}$ and $g : B_m \to \{0, 1\}$ be Boolean functions. Using the identification $B_n \times B_m \to B_{n+m}$ we have that

$$\begin{split} \Sigma(f \wedge g) &= \Sigma(f) \times \Sigma(g) \\ \Sigma(f \vee g) &= \left(\Sigma(f) \times I^m\right) \cup \left(I^n \times \Sigma(g)\right). \end{split}$$

Lift these statements to the torus

$$T^n \times T^m \cong T^{n+m} \xrightarrow[\pi_{n+m}]{} I^{n+m}$$

where we have an isomorphism of Möbius local systems $\mathcal{M}_n \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_m \cong \mathcal{M}_{n+m}$. Using the Künneth formula gives

$$H^*(\pi_{n+m}^{-1}(|\Sigma(f \wedge g)|, \mathcal{M}_{n+m}) \cong H^*(\pi_n^{-1}(|\Sigma(f)|, \mathcal{M}_n) \otimes H^*(\pi_m^{-1}|\Sigma(g)|, \mathcal{M}_m)$$

and

(3.2)
$$H^*(\pi_{n+m}^{-1}(|\Sigma(f)| \times I^m), \mathcal{M}_{n+m}) = H^*(\pi_{m+n}^{-1}(I^n \times |\Sigma(g)|, \mathcal{M}_{n+m}) = 0.$$

The first equation says that $\beta(f \wedge g) = \beta(f)\beta(g)$. For $f \vee g$ we use the Mayer Vietoris theorem for the two sets $|\Sigma(f)| \times I^m$ and $I^n \times |\Sigma(g)|$ whose intersection is $|\Sigma(f \wedge g)| = |\Sigma(f)| \times |\Sigma(g)|$. From the vanishing condition (3.2) it follows that $\beta(f \vee g) = \beta(f \wedge g) = \beta(f)\beta(g)$. (Alternatively, one may use Alexander duality to reduce the case of $f \vee g$ to that of $f \wedge g$.)

3.10. Computations with red corners

With notation as in the preceding section, observe that

$$R_v \cap \Sigma(f) = \{ (x_0 < \dots < x_k) \in \Sigma(f) \mid x_0 \land v \neq \underline{\mathbf{0}} \text{ OR } x_k \lor v \neq \underline{\mathbf{1}} \}$$
$$= \Gamma_v^+(f) \cup \Gamma_v^-(f)$$

where

$$\Gamma_v^+(f) = \{ (x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k) \in \Sigma(f) \mid x_0 \land v \neq \underline{\mathbf{0}} \}$$

$$\Gamma_v^-(f) = \{ (x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k) \in \Sigma(f) \mid x_k \lor v \neq \underline{\mathbf{1}} \}$$

The complex $R_v \cap \Sigma(f)$ is not, in general, an order complex: There may be $y_1 < \cdots < y_k$ and $y_k < \cdots < y_m$ both belonging to $R_v \cap \Sigma(f)$ but $y_1 < \cdots < y_k \cdots < \cdots y_m$ not belonging. However, the following is an order complex, which facilitates computation with known methods:

$$\Gamma_{v}(f) = \Gamma_{v}^{+}(f) \cap \Gamma_{v}^{-}(f)$$

= {(x_{0} < \dots < x_{k}) \in \Sigma(f) | x_{0} \land v \neq \underline{\mathbf{0}} \text{ AND } x_{k} \lor v \neq \underline{\mathbf{1}} }
= \Sigma ({x \in \mathcal{B}_{n} | f(x) = 1 \text{ AND } x \not< v \text{ AND } x \not> v})

where $\sim v$ denotes the complementary 0/1 vector in \mathcal{B}_n .

Proposition 3.11. Let $f : \mathcal{B}_n \to \{0, 1\}$ be a Boolean function with $f(\underline{\mathbf{0}}) = f(\underline{\mathbf{1}}) = 1$. Then

$$\beta(f) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_n} \tilde{b}^*(\Gamma_v(f)).$$

Here $\tilde{b}^*(X)$ denotes the sum of the Betti numbers of the *reduced* homology of X, with the convention that $H_{-1}(\phi; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since $\Sigma(f)$ is contractible,

$$H_i(\Sigma(f), R_v \cap \Sigma(f)) \cong \tilde{H}_{i-1}(R_v \cap \Sigma(f)) = \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Gamma_v^+(f) \cup \Gamma_v^-(f)).$$

Both $\Gamma_v^+(f)$ and $\Gamma_v^-(f)$ are contractible so Mayer-Vietoris for reduced homology gives

$$\tilde{b}^*(R_v \cap \Sigma(f)) = \tilde{b}^*(\Gamma_v(f)).$$

¹This is not a serious restriction. If $f((\mathbf{0})) = f((\mathbf{1})) = 1$ use the opposite Boolean function. Otherwise changing either of these values will have minimal effect on the complexity of f.

4. The subspaces model

4.1. Stratification

The Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}_n may be used to define a stratification of \mathbb{R}^n consisting of coordinate subspaces and their complements. To each Boolean vector $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{B}_n$ we associate the following "stratum" in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$Z_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid z_i = 0 \iff x_i = 0 \} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(equivalently, $z_i \neq 0 \iff x_i = 1$). If $x \neq y \in \mathcal{B}_n$ then $Z_x \cap Z_y = \phi$. If x < y then $Z_x \subset \overline{Z_y}$. The strata form a decomposition,

$$\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{B}_n} Z_x = \mathbb{R}^n$$

If $f : \mathcal{B}'_n \to \{0, 1\}$ is a "punctured" Boolean function² define the following "arrangement" of linear spaces and complements,

$$Z(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{B}'_n} \left\{ Z_x | f(x) = 1 \right\}.$$

As described in the introduction, define³

(4.1)
$$\gamma(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b^*(Z(f)) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \operatorname{rank} H_i(Z(f); \mathbb{Q})$$

4.2. A formula for γ

There is a remarkable red corner-like formula for the subspaces model. Recall from §2.7 the standard n-1 dimensional simplex, $\Delta = \Delta^{n-1}$ with one facet $\partial_i \Delta$ for each $i \in [n]$. If $J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ let $\partial_J \Delta = \bigcup_{j \in J} \partial_j \Delta$ be the union of the facets corresponding to the subset J, with inclusion mapping

$$i_J: |\partial_J \Delta| \to |\Delta|.$$

²Cf. §2.3. Equivalently we could require $f(\underline{\mathbf{0}}) = 0$.

³The complexification of this stratification $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{B}_n} Z_x^{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined by replacing \mathbb{R}^n with \mathbb{C}^n in the definition. It seems likely that $\gamma(f) = \gamma^{\mathbb{C}}(f) = b^*(Z^{\mathbb{C}}(f))$ for any Boolean function f. A similar thing happens for complements of linear spaces, see [GM2, §III Cor. 1.4].

As in §2.7 we may identify the barycentric subdivision Δ' of the n-1 dimensional simplex with the order complex of the punctured Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}'_n . If $f: \mathcal{B}'_n \to \{0, 1\}$ is a Boolean function we may consider the order complex $\Sigma(f)$ to be a subcomplex of Δ' . To be explicit, each non-zero Boolean vector $h = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n)$ (with $h_i \in \{0, 1\}$) corresponds to a vertex $v(h) \in \Delta'$, the barycenter of the face spanned by h_1e_1, \ldots, h_ne_n . Then $\Sigma(f)$ is the full subcomplex of Δ' spanned by vertices v(h) such that f(h) = 1.

Theorem 4.3. Let $f : \mathcal{B}'_n \to \{0,1\}$ be a (punctured) Boolean function. Then

(4.2)
$$\gamma(f) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} b^*(|\Sigma(f)|, |\Sigma(f)| \cap |\partial_J \Delta|)$$

The proof, which appears in 6.4, summarizes the developments of the next few sections.

4.4. The simplicial complex X(f)

The first step in analyzing the subspaces model is the construction of a simplicial complex X(f) whose geometric realization |X(f)| is homotopy equivalent to Z(f). Fix $n \ge 1$. Let

(4.3)
$$\mathbb{D}^{n} = \{ (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : |x_{i}| \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \}$$

denote the *n* dimensional cube (or cubical disk) and let $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \partial \mathbb{D}^n$ denote its boundary, the *cubical sphere*. Define the simplicial complex $\underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1}$ to be the barycentric subdivision of the cell complex $\partial \mathbb{D}^n$. Equivalently $\underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1} = \Sigma(\mathbf{F}^0(\mathbb{D}^n))$ is the order complex of the poset $\mathbf{F}^0(\mathbb{D}^n)$ of *proper* faces of the cube \mathbb{D}^n . Under the natural identification $|\underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1}| \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the subset $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap Z(f)$ is a union of interiors of simplices.

The vertices of $\underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1}$ are the barycenters of proper faces of \mathbb{D}^n , that is, the points $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that each $x_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. The partial ordering defined by

$$x \le y \iff |x_i| \le |y_i| \quad \forall i \in [n]$$

is the *reverse* of the partial ordering of the proper faces of \mathbb{D}^n . For any chain of vertices

(4.4)
$$x = x^{(0)} < x^{(1)} < \dots < x^{(r)} = y$$

in $\underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1}$, their convex hull forms an *r*-dimensional simplex σ , with a standard orientation given by the ordering of its vertices in (4.4). The interior σ^{o} is the



Figure 4: The cubical sphere \mathbb{S}^2 .

intersection

$$\sigma^o = \sigma \cap Z_{|y|}$$

with the stratum $A_{|y|}$ where $|y| = (|y_1|, |y_2|, \dots, |y_n|)$. The cubical sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is equal to the disjoint union of the interiors of such simplices $\sigma \in \underline{S}^{n-1}$.

Now let $f: \mathcal{B}'_n \to \{0,1\}$ be a Boolean function and define

$$X(f) := \left\{ \sigma \in \underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1} \mid f(|v|) = 1 \text{ for every vertex } v \in \sigma \right\}$$

to be the (closed) subcomplex of $\underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1}$ that is spanned by the simplices $\sigma \in \underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1}$ whose vertices correspond to Boolean inputs to which the function f assigns the value 1.

Proposition 4.5. For any Boolean function $f : \mathcal{B}'_n \to \{0,1\}$ the following natural inclusions are homotopy equivalences:

$$Z(f) \longleftarrow Z(f) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \xleftarrow{\alpha} |X(f)|$$

Proof. The first inclusion is clearly a homotopy equivalence. For each $x \in \mathcal{B}'_n$ the connected components of $Z_x \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ are cells, that is, each connected component is homeomorphic to the unit disk in some Euclidean space. Moreover, these cells constitute a *regular* cell decomposition of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , meaning that the closure of each cell is a union of (lower dimensional) cells. The simplices of $\underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1}$ constitute the first barycentric subdivision of this cell complex. The inclusion α is a homotopy equivalence as a consequence of the following more general statement. Let $\underline{\mathbf{S}}$ be a regular cell complex and let $R \subset |\underline{\mathbf{S}}|$ be a union

of interiors of cells. Let $X \subset R$ be the union of the set of (closed) simplices $\sigma \in \underline{\mathbf{S}}'$ in the first barycentric subdivision of $\underline{\mathbf{S}}$ such that $\sigma \subset R$. Then X is a deformation retraction of R, hence the inclusion $X \to R$ is a homotopy equivalence.

4.6. Group action

The group $G = \{\pm 1\}^n$ acts on \mathbb{R}^n by coördinate-wise multiplication. If $f : \mathcal{B}'_n \to \{0,1\}$ is a Boolean function then the group G preserves $X(f) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Hence, it induces a decomposition

(4.5)
$$H_i(|X(f)|;\mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} H_i(|X(f)|)_{\chi}$$

into isotypical pieces, indexed by the characters \widehat{G} of G, which in turn, correspond to subsets $J \subset [n]$ with $\chi_J(s_1, \ldots, s_n) = \prod_{j \in J} s_j \in \{\pm 1\}$. In §6.4 we prove the following:

Proposition 4.7. The sum in equation (4.2) corresponding to a subset $J \subset [n]$ is precisely the isotypical component of $\gamma(f)$ corresponding to the character χ_J .

5. Reduction to the order complex $\Sigma(f)$

5.1. Two ways

The quotient of \mathbb{R}^n by the action of the group G (of §4.6) may be described as the map

$$\theta: \mathbb{R}^n \to (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^n = \mathbb{R}^n / G$$

by $\theta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|)$. As in §4 let \mathbb{S}^{n-1} be the cubical n-1 dimensional sphere with its triangulation $\underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1}$. Then

$$\theta(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}/G = \partial^+ I^n \cong |\Delta'|$$

using the simplicial isomorphism of equation (2.3). The composition

$$\pi: \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \to \Delta'$$

1044

is the simplicial map⁴ whose value on each vertex $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is given by

(5.1)
$$\pi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{\Sigma |x_i|} (|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|).$$

It is induced from an *order reversing* map of posets $\mathbf{F}^0(\mathbb{D}^n) \to \mathbf{F}(\Delta)$, or equivalently, an order preserving map of posets

$$\bar{\pi}: \mathbf{F}^0(\mathbb{D}^n)^{op} = \mathbf{F}^0(\Diamond^n) \to \mathbf{F}(\Delta) = \mathcal{B}'_n$$

from the poset of proper faces $\mathbf{F}^{0}(\Diamond^{n})$ of the hyperoctahedron to the poset of nonempty faces of Δ . If $f: \mathcal{B}'_{n} \to \{0,1\}$ is a Boolean function then X(f) is the order complex of the poset $\bar{\pi}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(f))$ and

$$\pi: X(f) \to \Sigma(f).$$

There are two ways to compute $H^*(|X(f)|)$ in terms of data on $|\Sigma(f)|$. One method is to use sheaf theory, see §6 below. The other is based on poset topology and the following fiber formula of [BWW].

Proposition 5.2. Let $g : P \to Q$ be a poset map such that Q is connected and for all $q \in Q$ the order complex $\Sigma(g^{-1}(Q_{\leq q}))$ is $\ell(g^{-1}(Q_{< q}))$ -connected. Then there is a homotopy equivalence,

$$\Sigma(P) \simeq \Sigma(Q) \lor \bigvee_{q \in Q} \left(\Sigma(g^{-1}(Q_{\leq q})) * \Sigma(Q_{>q}) \right).$$

The wedge on the right side represents a quotient of the disjoint union, where an arbitrarily chosen point of $\Sigma(g^{-1}(Q_{\leq q}))$ is chosen (one for each $q \in Q$) and identified with some (arbitrarily chosen) point of $\Sigma(Q)$.

There is a corresponding result for homology under a corresponding acyclicity assumption which, in the case of the map $\pi : X(f) \to \Sigma(f)$ says that for each vertex v in $\Sigma(f)$, the (reduced) homology of $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma(f)_{\leq v})$ vanishes except possibly in the top dimension. With this assumption the following formula holds for the Betti sum of reduced homology:

(5.2)
$$\gamma(f) = b^*(X(f)) = b^*(\Sigma(f)) + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}(f)} b^*(\pi^{-1}(\Sigma(f)_{\le v})) \cdot b^*(\Sigma(f)_{>v}).$$

Applications will be given in \$8.

⁴The mapping π of equation (5.1) is *pseudo-linear*: although its restriction to each simplex fails to be linear, it is homotopic, by a simplex preserving homotopy, to the piecewise linear map that agrees with π on each vertex. For the purposes of this paper there is no harm in pretending these are the same map.

6. Sheaves on the simplex Δ

6.1. Sheaves

As in §5 and equation (5.1) we consider the simplicial map $\pi : \underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1} \to \Delta' \cong \underline{\mathbf{S}}^{n-1}/G$. Denote the constant sheaf on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} by $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_S$. Then its direct image $\pi_*(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_S)$ is a constructible sheaf⁵ on $|\Delta'|$, on which the group G acts. So it also splits up as a direct sum

(6.1)
$$\pi_*(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}}) \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \mathbf{A}_{\chi}$$

of constructible (or simplicial) sheaves on Δ' . (This is a general fact about the action of a finite group on objects in a Q-linear semisimple category.)

Lemma 6.2. Let $Y \subset |\Delta|$ be a closed subset and let $X = \pi^{-1}(Y)$. Then the decomposition (4.5) of the homology of X is induced by the "universal" decomposition (6.1) of the sheaf $\pi_*(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}})$, that is, for any $\chi \in \widehat{G}$,

$$H^i(X)_{\chi} \cong H^i(Y; \mathbf{A}_{\chi}).$$

Proof. We have a Cartesian square of inclusions and projections,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{\omega}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \\ \downarrow \pi & \qquad \downarrow \pi \\ Y & \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} & |\Delta| \end{array}$$

Consequently there is a G-equivariant isomorphism⁶ of sheaves

$$\bigoplus_{\chi} \mathbf{A}_{\chi} | Y \cong \iota^* \pi_*(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}}) \cong \pi_* \omega^*(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}}) \cong \pi_* \omega^* \pi^*(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\Delta}) \cong \pi_* \pi^* \iota^*(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\Delta}) \cong \pi_*(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{X})$$

⁵Meaning that it is constant on the interior of each simplex of $|\Delta'|$. Such a sheaf is then determined by the data of a *simplicial sheaf*, that is, a contravariant functor from the category Δ' (its faces and inclusions) to the category of Abelian groups.

⁶Strictly speaking these morphisms and isomorphisms should be interpreted in the bounded constructible derived category of sheaves on Δ however in this case, the derived functor $R\pi_*$ coincides with π_* .

by equation (6.1). Using (4.5), this gives a G-equivariant isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} H^i(Y; \mathbf{A}_{\chi}) \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})_{\chi}.$$

The result now follows from Schur's lemma.

It is possible to explicitly describe the sheaves \mathbf{A}_{χ} in our case. Let $J \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ be a subset. Let $\partial_J \Delta = \bigcup_{j \in J} \partial_j \Delta$ be the union of the codimension one faces corresponding to the subset J, with inclusion mapping $i_J : |\partial_J \Delta| \to |\Delta|$. Let $(i_J)_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\partial_J \Delta}$ denote the constant sheaf on $|\partial_J \Delta|$, viewed as a sheaf on $|\Delta|$.

Proposition 6.3. In the decomposition (6.1), the sheaf \mathbf{A}_J corresponding to the character χ_J is

$$\mathbf{A}_J = \ker \left(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta \to (i_J)_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\partial_J \Delta}\right).$$

The proof will be given in Appendix A.

In other words, the sheaf \mathbf{A}_J is the constant sheaf on $|\Delta| - |\partial_J \Delta|$ and it is zero on the (closed) face $|\partial_J \Delta|$. The sheaf \mathbf{A}_J may also be described as the sheaf on $|\Delta|$ that is obtained from the constant sheaf on $|\Delta| - |\partial_J \Delta|$ by extension by zero. In more down-to-earth terms, if $Y \subset |\Delta|$ is a closed subspace then its cohomology is given by the relative cohomology group

(6.2)
$$H^{i}(Y; \mathbf{A}_{J}) = H^{i}(Y, Y \cap |\partial_{J}\Delta|; \mathbb{Q}).$$

6.4. Proof of Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.7

By Proposition (4.5), the quantity $\gamma(f)$ is the sum of Betti numbers of the subset $|X(f)| \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of the "cubical sphere". The projection $\pi : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to |\Delta'|$ takes X(f) to the order complex $\Sigma(f)$ but its cohomology breaks up under the action of the group $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(2)^n)$, which, according to Lemma 6.2 is a reflection of the fact that the push forward of the constant sheaf breaks up under this action into sheaves A_{χ} . These sheaves A_{χ} are explicitly described in Proposition (6.3), and the effect on cohomology is described in equation (6.2) (with $Y = |\Sigma(f)|$) as giving the relative cohomology groups that appear in Theorem 4.3. That is:

$$H^{i}(Z(f)) \cong H^{i}(|X(f)|) \cong \bigoplus_{\chi} H^{i}(|\Sigma(f)|; A_{\chi})$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{J \subset [n]} H^i(|\Sigma(f)|, |\Sigma(f)| \cap |\partial_I \Delta|).$$

It also follows that $\beta(f) \leq \gamma(f)$ since $f(\underline{\mathbf{0}}) = 0$ and for each red corner, $R_v \cap |\Sigma(f)| = |\partial_J \Delta| \cap |\Sigma(f)|$, where $J = [n] - \{v\}$.

7. Proof of Theorem 1.1

A proof for the case of the Red Corner model was given in Section 3.1.

7.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for the subspaces model

Part (1) of Theorem 1.1 is clear from the definition of Z(f). Part (2) will be proven below.

Part (3), the Künneth theorem applied to $Z(f \wedge g) \cong Z(f) \times Z(g)$ implies $\gamma(f \wedge g) = \gamma(f)\gamma(g)$ and the same result for $\gamma(f \vee g)$ follows from this and part (2), provided neither f nor g is identically 0 or 1. Part (4) is clear since $Z(f) \cong Z(g) \times \mathbb{R}$. Part (5) follows from parts (2) and (3) and induction by considering the top gate since each branch involves different input variables. The induction begins with n = 2, noting that $\gamma(\text{OR}) = 2$ and $\gamma(\text{AND}) = 4$.

The proof of part (2) requires some technical results from the Appendix B. Given Boolean functions $f : \mathcal{B}'_n \to \{0,1\}$ and $g = \sim f$ then $\Sigma(f)$ and $\Sigma(g)$ are (geometric realizations of) supplementary subcomplexes of the simplex Δ' (cf. Lemma 3.6). As above, decompose

(7.1)

$$H^*(|X(f)|) = \bigoplus_{I \subset [n]} H^*(|\Sigma(f)|, A_I) \quad H^*(|X(g)|) = \bigoplus_{J \subset [n]} H^*(|\Sigma(g)|, A_J).$$

We will compare these sums, term by term. If $I, J \subset [n]$ are complementary subsets then by Lemma B.4 the sheaves $A_I = A_{\chi_I}$ and $A_J = A_{\chi_J}$ are (Verdier) dual to each other (up to a shift).

First consider the case when $I, J \neq \phi$. Then $H^r(|\Delta|, \mathbf{A}_J) = 0$ for all r, because the relative cohomology of the simplex $|\Delta^n|$ modulo any nonempty connected contractible subset vanishes in all degrees. So if I, J are proper subsets of [n] and complementary, then the sheaves $\mathbf{A}_I, \mathbf{A}_J$ are acyclic and Verdier dual (up to a shift). Taking $\mathbf{A}^{\bullet} = \mathbf{A}_J$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\bullet} = \mathbf{A}_I[n-1]$ in Proposition B.2 gives cohomology isomorphisms

$$b^*(|\Sigma(f)|, \mathbf{A}_I) = b^*(|\Sigma(g)|, \mathbf{A}_J).$$

1048

If $I = \phi$ then $A_I = \mathbb{Q}_{\Delta}$ and J = [n] so A_J is the constant sheaf on the interior of $|\Delta|$, extended by zero (which is denoted $\mathbb{Q}_!$ in §B.3). So we must prove that

(7.2)
$$b^*(|\Sigma(f)|) + b^*(|\Sigma(f)|, |\Sigma(f)| \cap |\partial\Delta|) = b^*(|\Sigma(g)|) + b^*(|\Sigma(g)|, |\Sigma(g)| \cap |\partial\Delta|).$$

We may suppose that $f(\underline{1}) = 1$ (otherwise $g(\underline{1}) = 1$). Let \widehat{f} be the Boolean function $\widehat{f}(\underline{1}) = 0$ and $\widehat{f}(v) = f(v)$ for $v \neq \underline{1}$. Then $|\Sigma(f)| \cap |\partial\Delta| = |\Sigma(\widehat{f})|$ and $|\Sigma(f)|$ is the cone over $|\Sigma(\widehat{f})|$. It follows that $b^*(|\Sigma(f)|) = 1$ and $b^*(|\Sigma(f)|, |\Sigma(f)| \cap |\partial\Delta|) = b^*(|\Sigma(\widehat{f})|) - 1$. (In fact, it is the total Betti number of the reduced cohomology of $|\Sigma(\widehat{f})|$.)

On the other hand, $b^*(|\Sigma(g)|, |\Sigma(g)| \cap |\partial \Delta|) = 0$ since $\Sigma(g) \subset \partial \Delta$. If g is not identically zero then the complexes $|\Sigma(\widehat{f})|$ and $|\Sigma(g)|$ are Alexander dual within \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : the complement of one deformation retracts to the other. Thus,

$$b^*(|\Sigma(g)|) = b^*(|\partial \Delta| - |\Sigma(\widehat{f})|) = b^*(|\Sigma(\widehat{f})|)$$

by Alexander duality, which states that the reduced homology of $|\Sigma(\hat{f})|$ equals the reduced cohomology of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} - |\Sigma(\hat{f})|$ so they have the same total Betti sum. Thus we have shown that both sides of equation (7.2) are equal to $b^*(|\Sigma(\hat{f})|)$.

The theorem omits the cases when $f \equiv 0$ or 1, since $\gamma(f) = 2$ if $f \equiv 1$ but $\gamma(\sim f) = 0$.

8. Examples

In this section we discuss estimates for the circuit complexity of some of the most basic Boolean functions, PARITY (see \$8.2), MAJORITY and THRESHOLD (see \$8.7), and the role of conjecture (2.2).

Proposition 8.1. If the conjecture (2.2) is true, then

$$\begin{split} CC(f_n^{\text{parity}}) &\geq \frac{1}{5}n^2,\\ CC(f_n^{\text{majority}}) &\geq 2\sqrt{2} \ n,\\ CC(f_{n,[2n/3]}^{\text{threshold}}) &\geq 3 \ n. \end{split}$$

Knowing that random Boolean functions have exponential circuit complexity, these bounds may seem disappointingly modest. However, these bounds have the right order of magnitude, comparable to the best bounds known in the literature, see e.g. [We].

8.2. The parity function

The function $f_n^{\text{PARITY}}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$ equals 1 if $s_i = 1$ for an even number of the *n* inputs, and equals 0 otherwise. The quadratic lower bound given above for PARITY can with a little more care be improved to $\frac{1}{4}n^2$. In fact ([K1, K2]), the circuit complexity of parity is known to be exactly n^2 , so our estimate is not bad.

To prove the bound for the parity function, we need to use some properties of *Euler numbers* E_n , defined by $\sum \frac{E_n}{n!} x^n = \sec(x) + \tan(x)$. They have a wellknown combinatorial interpretation (see [St2]), namely,

 E_n = the number of alternating permutations in the symmetric group \mathbb{S}_n .

A permutation $\pi : [n] \to [n]$ is said to be *alternating*, if

$$\pi(1) < \pi(2) > \pi(3) < \pi(4) > \pi(5) < \cdots$$

For the Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}_n and any subset $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, consider the rank-selected subposet $\mathcal{B}_J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in \mathcal{B}_n \mid \operatorname{rank}(v) \in J\}$. Its order complex has the following enumerative properties, quoted from Theorem 10 in [St1] and Corollary 3.13.2 in [St3]. The descent set of $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$ is

$$D(\pi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ j \mid \pi(j) > \pi(j+1) \}.$$

Proposition 8.3. 1. The simplicial complex $\Sigma(\mathcal{B}_J)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.⁷

2. The sum of Betti numbers of $\Sigma(\mathcal{B}_J)$ is equal to the number of permutations of \mathbb{S}_n with descent set J.

Specialized to the case of interest $J = D(\pi) = \{2, 4, 6, \ldots\}$, this implies that the sum af Betti numbers of $\Sigma(\mathcal{B}_J) = \Sigma(f_n^{\text{PARITY}})$ equals E_n . Thus we have

(8.1)
$$\gamma(f_n^{\text{PARITY}}) \ge b^*(\Sigma(f_n^{\text{PARITY}})) = E_n:$$

where the inequality comes from Proposition (5.2).

⁷A complex is said to be *Cohen-Macaulay* if its homology vanishes below the top dimension, both for the complex itself and for the links of all its faces. For such a complex, the sum of Betti numbers equals the top Betti number, which in turn equals the reduced Euler characteristic, up to sign.

From [FJ] or [St2] we have the following estimate for Euler numbers:

$$E_{2n} > 8\sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \left(\frac{4n}{\pi e}\right)^{2n}$$

Thus, for even numbers n,

$$E_n > \frac{8}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{2n}{\pi e}\right)^n \ge \left(\frac{n}{c}\right)^n \ge \left(\frac{n}{5}\right)^n,$$

where $c = \frac{e\pi}{2} \cong 4.27$. The algebraic-geometric mean inequality (2.1) then gives

$$CC(f_n^{\operatorname{parity}}) \geq n \cdot (\gamma(f_n^{\operatorname{parity}}))^{1/n} \geq n \cdot \frac{n}{5},$$

as was to be shown.

Remark. Formula (5.2) applies and yields the following recursive description of the γ function of parity (details omitted):

$$\gamma(f_n^{\text{parity}}) = B_n + 1,$$

where

$$B_n = E_n + \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \binom{n}{2k} E_{n-2k} B_{2k} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \binom{n}{2k-1} E_{n-2k+1} B_{2k-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

We compute from this:

	n = 1	n=2	n = 3	n = 4	n = 5	n = 6
E_n	1	1	2	5	16	61
B_n	1	3	11	57	361	2763

The numbers $B_n = \gamma((f_n^{\text{PARITY}}) - 1$ appear in the online encyclopedia of integer sequences, where they are identified as the exponential generating function of $1/(1 - \tan(x))$.

8.4. Monotone functions

Suppose $f : \mathcal{B}_n \to \{0, 1\}$ is monotone, meaning that $v \leq w \implies f(v) \leq f(w)$. Equivalently, the function f is computable by a circuit with no NOT gates. For the purposes of this section only, we temporarily consider $\mathcal{B}(f) = f^{-1}(0)$ rather than $f^{-1}(1)$, because it is easier to keep track of the relations this way. If $v \in \mathcal{B}(f)$ then f(v) = 0 so every $w \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with $w \leq v$ is also in $\mathcal{B}(f)$. Thus, $\mathcal{B}(f)_{\leq v}$ is the poset of faces of a simplex of dimension |v| - 1. Therefore $\mathcal{B}(f)$ can be interpreted as the face poset of an abstract simplicial complex K_f with one simplex $\langle v \rangle$ for each $v \in \mathcal{B}(f)$. Moreover, the pre-image $\bar{\pi}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(f)_{\leq v})$ is the full poset $\mathbf{F}^0(\Diamond^{|v|})$ of proper faces of the |v|-dimensional hyperoctahedron (cf. §5.1), whose order complex is topologically a sphere of dimension |v| - 1. Also, $\mathcal{B}(f)_{>v}$ is the face poset of the link of the simplex $\langle v \rangle$ in the simplicial complex K_f . Thus, for monotone f formula (5.2) specializes to

(8.2)
$$\gamma(f) = b^*(K_f) + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}(f)} b^*(\operatorname{link}_{K_f}(\langle v \rangle)).$$

In this case $\Sigma(f)$ can be interpreted as (the barycentric subdivision of) the simplicial complex K_f , and X_f has a simplicial interpretation as the 2-inflation of K_f (in the language of [BWW]) so that formula (8.2) is a consequence of [BWW, Cor. 6.3].

8.5. Symmetric functions

A Boolean function $f(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$ is said to be symmetric or cardinalitybased, if its value depends only on the number of input variables s_i that are equal to one. For $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, we denote by f_J the function that takes value one precisely if the number of input ones is a number belonging to J. Threshold functions and parity functions are of this kind. The formula (5.2) is applicable to all symmetric functions, because rank-selection preserves the Cohen-Macaulay property (see [St1]).

If $u \leq v \in \mathcal{B}_n$ let $\Sigma(u, v)$ be the order complex of the open interval (u, v). For any simplicial complex Σ let $H_{top}(\Sigma) = H_{dim(\Sigma)}(\Sigma)$. For the red corner model we have:

Proposition 8.6. Suppose f is symmetric and $f(\underline{0}) = f(\underline{1}) = 1$. Then

(8.3)
$$\beta(f) \ge \sum_{\{v|f(v)=0\}} \operatorname{rank} H_{\operatorname{top}}(\Sigma(\underline{0}, v) \cap \Sigma(f)) \cdot \operatorname{rank} H_{\operatorname{top}}(\Sigma(v, \underline{1}) \cap \Sigma(f)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.11 it suffices to show that the quantity on the right side of equation (8.3) is bounded by

$$\sum_{i\geq -1} \operatorname{rank} \tilde{H}_i(\Gamma_v(f)).$$

Let m_1 be a maximal chain in $\Sigma(\underline{0}, v) \cap \Sigma(f)$ and m_2 a maximal chain in $\Sigma(v, \underline{1}) \cap \Sigma(f)$. Then the concatenation $m_1 * m_2$ is a maximal chain in $\Gamma_v(f)$.

The totality of all such concatenated chains $m_1 * m_2$ are the facets of the simplicial join of the order complexes of $\Sigma(\underline{0}, v) \cap \Sigma(f)$ and $\Sigma(v, \underline{1}) \cap \Sigma(f)$. These complexes are homotopy-wedges-of spheres in the top dimension, by a general fact about rank-selection in Boolean lattices.

Hence, the cycle space of these concatenated chains has rank equal to the product of the ranks of $H_{top}(\Sigma(\underline{0}, v) \cap \Sigma(f))$ and $H_{top}(\Sigma(v, \underline{1}) \cap \Sigma(f))$. In the top dimension there are no boundaries so these concatenated cycles contribute independently to the top homology $H_{top}(\Gamma_v(f))$.

8.7. Threshold functions

The function $f_{n,k}^{\text{THRESHOLD}}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$ equals 1 if $s_i = 1$ for at least k + 1 of the *n* inputs, and equals 0 otherwise. The majority function (k = n/2) is a special case. The class of threshold functions equals the intersection of the classes of monotone and symmetric function. The following can be said about the problem of maximizing $\gamma(f_J)$ for threshold functions.

Proposition 8.8.

1. The total Betti number of a threshold function is

$$\gamma(f_{n,k}^{\text{THRESHOLD}}) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n}{j} \binom{n-j-1}{k-j}.$$

- 2. Among all symmetric functions f_J , the total Betti number $\gamma(f_J)$ is maximized by f_n^{PARITY} .
- 3. Among all monotone symmetric functions f_J , the total Betti number $\gamma(f_J)$ is maximized by $f_{n,[2n/3]}^{\text{THRESHOLD}}$

Proof. Formula (8.2) applies and specializes to the formula of part (1). Parts (2) and (3) follow from work by M. Readdy on the Möbius function of rank-selected subposets of Boolean lattices [R, §3]. \Box

We can estimate the magnitude of the total Betti number for these Boolean functions using Stirling's approximation $n! \sim (\frac{n}{e})^n \sqrt{2\pi n}$. For instance,

$$\gamma(f_{n,[2n/3]}^{\mathrm{THRESHOLD}}) \geq \binom{n}{[n/3]} \binom{[2n/3]-1}{[n/3])} \geq 3^n.$$

Thus, we reach the following estimate for the most expensive threshold function:

$$CC(f_{n,[2n/3]}^{\text{THRESHOLD}}) \geq 3 \ n.$$

8.9. Graphs

Boolean functions arise from graphs and higher multigraphs in a very natural way. A graph on n labeled vertices may be interpreted as an element of the (punctured) Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}_m where $m = \binom{n}{2}$, that is, a vector of 1s (representing an edge) and 0s (representing no edge) with coordinates given by unordered pairs of vertices, or in symbols,

$$\mathcal{B}_m = \{0,1\}^{\binom{V}{2}},$$

where V denotes the set of vertices. Graphs on n vertices are partially ordered by inclusion. A Boolean function $f : \mathcal{B}_m \to \{0, 1\}$ is a yes/no function defined on the set of all graphs with n vertices. It is sometimes fruitful to think a Boolean function f in this setting as a "graph property", the graphs having the property in question being the ones mapped by f to 1. We mention below a couple of examples, for many more see [J].

A *k*-clique in a graph *G* is a subset of *k* vertices in *G* spanning an induced complete subgraph. A *k*-anticlique (= independent set = stable set) is a collection of *k* vertices in *G* with no edges between any of them. Let $c_{n,k}$ be the Boolean function on the set \mathcal{B}_m of graphs with *n* vertices which verifies that a given graph contains no clique of size *k*. Let $a_{n,k}$ be the Boolean function on the set of graphs with *n* vertices which verifies that a given graph contains no anticlique of size *k*. Then $a_{n,k}$ and $1 - c_{n,k}$ are monotone functions.

The corresponding complexes $A_{n,k} = \Sigma(a_{n,k})$ and $C_{n,k} = \Sigma(c_{n,k})$ are Alexander dual in the simplicial sense, which implies, (see [B2]) for all j that

$$\tilde{H}_j(A_{n,k}) = H_{\binom{n}{2}-j-3}(C_{n,k}).$$

The maximal faces of $C_{n,k}$ are known from Turan's theorem [T, AZ]. For each partition τ of the vertex set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ into k - 1 blocks let G_{τ} be the complete (k - 1)-partite graph having all edges that connect vertices in different blocks but no edges within a block. Each G_{τ} (together with all of its sub-graphs) forms a maximal simplex in $C_{n,k}$ and there are S(n, k - 1)(Stirling number of the second kind) such choices τ . Assume for simplicity that k - 1 divides n. Then the number of edges in G_{τ} is

$$\dim(C_{n,k}) = \binom{k-1}{2} \left(\frac{n}{k-1}\right)^2 - 1 = \frac{n^2(k-2)}{2(k-1)} - 1.$$

Homology groups of $C_{n,3}$ (that is, triangle-free graphs) were computed by Jonsson [J, §26.7]:

$H_i(C_{n,3})$	i=2	i = 3	i = 4	i = 5	i = 6	i = 7	i = 8
n = 4	\mathbb{Z}^3	0	0	0	0	0	0
n = 5	0	\mathbb{Z}^5	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	0
n = 6	0	0	\mathbb{Z}^6	$\mathbb{Z}^9\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0	0
n = 7	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}^7	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}^{55}	\mathbb{Z}

9. Further speculation

We will describe another source of topological spaces that may be associated to any Boolean function.

Suppose a projective complex algebraic variety $X \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ is preserved by the action of an algebraic torus $T = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$. Suppose the fixed point set $F = X^T$ consists of finitely many points. The action of the real torus $(S^1)^n \subset T$ determines a moment map $\mu : \mathbb{C}P^N \to \mathbb{R}^n$. We are interested in the cases when the set F of fixed points contains 2^n elements and the image $\mu(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an *n*-dimensional cube, whose vertices are the 2^n distinct points $V = \mu(F)$.

If $\mathcal{O} \subset X$ is an orbit of T then its closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ contains various fixed points: $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^T \subset F$. For example, the closure of any 1 dimensional orbit contains exactly two fixed points. For any subset $S \subset V$ of the vertices, define the T-invariant closed subvariety $X_S \subset X$ to be the union of all T-orbits \mathcal{O} with the property that $\mu(\overline{\mathcal{O}}^T) \subset S$.

Choose an identification of the vertices V with Boolean vectors. (There may or may not exist a natural choice for this identification.) Then a Boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ may be considered a mapping $F : V \to \{0,1\}$ and it determines a subset $S = F^{-1}(1) \subset V$. From the resulting subvariety $X_S \subset X$ we may associate a "complexity measure"

$$\alpha_X(f) = b^*(X_S) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \operatorname{rank} H^i(X_S).$$

One example of such a variety X is the (complex) Lagrangian Grassmannian of n-dimensional Lagrangian subspaces of \mathbb{C}^{2n} (with its usual symplectic 2-form ω). It is a nonsingular projective algebraic variety of dimension n(n + 1)/2 and it admits the action of an n dimensional torus. The standard basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, f_1, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ of \mathbb{C}^{2n} is a symplectic basis, that is, $\omega(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\omega(e_i, e_j) = \omega(f_i, f_j) = 0$. The standard torus, consisting of diagonal symplectic matrices $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0\\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ (with $t \in (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$) takes Lagrangian subspaces to Lagrangian subspaces, which defines an action on the Lagrangian Grassmannian.

The fixed points of this torus action are the Lagrangian subspaces defined by the multivectors $g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_n$ where each $g_i = e_i$ or f_i . So there is a natural identification of fixed points with Boolean vectors, with $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ corresponding to $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n$ and with $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ corresponding to $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n$ and in fact the moment map may be chosen so that the image $\mu(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is precisely the standard *n*-cube with sides of unit length.

In summary, this gives a Lagrangian Grassmannian model for complexity of Boolean functions. We do not know if there is a useful relation between this number $\alpha_X(f)$ and the circuit complexity CC(f).

Appendix A. Decomposition of $\theta_*(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$

A.1.

In this appendix we prove Proposition 6.3. As in §4.4 let \mathbb{D}^n denote the *n* dimensional cube (4.3) with its boundary, the cubical sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Dividing by reflections gives a covering $\theta : \mathbb{D}^n \to I^n = [0, 1]^n$ with group $G = (\mathbb{Z}/(2))^n$.

Pushing forward the constant sheaf gives a sheaf $T_n = \theta_*(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{D}^n})$ which decomposes under the action of G into isotypical components that we now determine.

First consider the case n = 1 and write $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}^1$, $I = I^1 = [0, 1]$ and $T = T_1$ so that T has stalk $\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ at points in (0, 1] and stalk \mathbb{Q} over $\{0\}$. The group $G = \mathbb{Z}/(2)$ acts on T and the subsheaf T(1) corresponding to the trivial character (1) is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]}$ with inclusion $T(1) \to T$ given by $x \mapsto (x, x) \in \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ over points in (0, 1]. The subsheaf T(-1) corresponding to the nontrivial character (-1) has stalk zero at $\{0\}$ and stalk \mathbb{Q} at other points with inclusion $T(-1) \to T$ given by $y \mapsto (y, -y)$ so that

$$T = \theta_*(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{D}}) = T(1) \oplus T(-1).$$

Thus, $T(1) = \mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]}$ is the constant sheaf and $T(-1) = \ker(\mathbb{Q}_{[0,1]} \to \mathbb{Q}_{\{0\}})$ or equivalently, it is the extension by zero of the constant sheaf \mathbb{Q} on (0, 1]. See also Lemma 3.3. The map $[-1, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is illustrated in Figure 5.

A.2.

In general, for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ let $p_j : I^n \to [0, 1]$ be projection $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto x_j$ to the j-th coordinate and let $\partial_j^- I^n$ be the facet where $x_j = 0$. The map



Figure 5: The mapping $\theta : \mathbb{D}^1 \to [0, 1]$.

 $\theta: \mathbb{D}^n \to I^n$ is a product of copies of the n = 1 case and $T_n = \bigotimes_{j=1}^n p_j^*(T)$. If $J \subset [n]$ let $\partial_J^- I^n = \bigcup_{j \in J} \partial_j^- I^n$ with inclusion $i_J: \partial_J^- I^n \to I^n$. Then

$$\bigotimes_{j\in J} p_j^*(T(-1)) = \ker\left(\mathbb{Q}_{I^n} \to \mathbb{Q}_{\partial_J^- I^n}\right).$$

It is the extension by zero (to all of I^n) of the constant sheaf on $I^n - \partial_J^- I^n$. It follows that

$$T_n = \theta_*(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{D}}) = \bigoplus_{J \subset [n]} T_n(\chi_J) = \bigoplus_{J \subset [n]} \ker \left(\mathbb{Q}_{I^n} \to (i_J)_* \mathbb{Q}_{\partial_j^- I^n} \right).$$

A.3.

Let us restrict these sheaves to $\partial^+ I^n$. If $j : \partial^+ I^n \to I^n$ is the inclusion then

$$\theta_*(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}) = j^*T_n = \bigoplus_{J \subset [n]} \mathbf{A}_J \cong \bigoplus_{J \subset [n]} \ker \left(\mathbb{Q}_{\partial^+ I^n} \to (i_J)_* \mathbb{Q}_{\partial_J^- I^n} \right).$$

Using the simplicial isomorphism $\tau : \partial^+ I^n \to \Delta'$ we may interpret these as sheaves on Δ' . By (2.3) and (2.4) this isomorphism takes $\partial^+ I^n \cap \partial_J^- I^n$ to $\partial_J \Delta$. Therefore the term \mathbf{A}_J corresponding to the subset $J \subset [n]$ is:

$$\mathbf{A}_J = \ker \left(\mathbb{Q}_\Delta \to (i_J)_* \mathbb{Q}_{\partial_J \Delta} \right),$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 6.3.

Appendix B. Alexander-Verdier duality

B.1.

Let X be a finite simplicial complex. Recall that a complex of sheaves \mathbf{A}^{\bullet} (of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces) on |X| is *(cohomologically) constructible* (with respect to the simplicial decomposition) if each of its cohomology sheaves $\mathbf{H}^{i}(\mathbf{A}^{\bullet})$ has finite rank and is constant on the interior of each simplex. If \mathbf{T}^{\bullet} is the Verdier dual⁸ of \mathbf{A}^{\bullet} then \mathbf{T}^{\bullet} is also constructible and Verdier duality gives an isomorphism $H^{-r}(|X|, \mathbf{T}^{\bullet}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(H^{r}(|X|, \mathbf{A}^{\bullet}), \mathbb{Q})$ for each integer r.

Proposition B.2. Let \mathbf{A}^{\bullet} be a constructible complex of sheaves of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces on |X|, with Verdier dual sheaf \mathbf{T}^{\bullet} . Suppose also that $H^{r}(|X|; \mathbf{A}^{\bullet}) = 0$ for all r. Suppose $K, L \subset X$ are supplementary (cf. Lemma 3.6) subcomplexes. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, Verdier duality induces an isomorphism

$$H^{-i-1}(|L|; \mathbf{T}^{\bullet}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(H^{i}(|K|; \mathbf{A}^{\bullet}), \mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. This may be proven using the method of [BT]. The following proof uses the technology of the derived category of sheaves on a stratified space, see for example, [I], [GeM], [GM], [D]. Let $j : |K| \to |X|$ and $\iota : U = |X| - |K| \to |X|$ denote the inclusions of these complementary closed and open sets respectively. There are dual exact triangles in the derived category of sheaves on |X|,



where $i_{!}$ denotes the push-forward with proper supports, which amounts in this case, to extension by zero. Similarly, $j^{!}\mathbf{T}^{\bullet}$ is the sheaf of sections of \mathbf{T}^{\bullet} with support in |K|.

These triangles give rise to dual exact cohomology sequences. In the following diagram, each cohomology group on the first line of (B.1) is dual to the group immediately below it on the second line: the second sequence is

⁸Meaning that there is a homomorphism $\mathbf{A}^{\bullet} \otimes \mathbf{T}^{\bullet} \to \omega_X$ that induces a quasiisomorphism $\mathbf{T}^{\bullet} \cong \operatorname{RHom}(\mathbf{A}^{\bullet}, \omega_X)$ where ω_X is the dualizing sheaf on X.

obtained from the first by $\operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{Q})$.

(B.1)

$$H^{i}(|X|; \mathbf{A}^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow H^{i}(|K|; \mathbf{A}^{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{[1]} H^{i+1}(|X|, |K|; \mathbf{A}^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(|X|; \mathbf{A}^{\bullet})$$

$$H^{-i}(|X|; \mathbf{T}^{\bullet}) \longleftarrow H^{-i}_{|K|}(\mathbf{T}^{\bullet}) \xleftarrow{[1]} H^{-i-1}(U; \mathbf{T}^{\bullet}) \longleftarrow H^{-i-1}(|X|; \mathbf{T}^{\bullet})$$

Since \mathbf{A}^{\bullet} is acyclic, the sheaf \mathbf{T}^{\bullet} is also, and the connecting homomorphisms denoted [1] are isomorphisms, which implies that $H^{i}(|K|; \mathbf{A}^{\bullet})$ is dual to $H^{-i-1}(U; \mathbf{T}^{\bullet})$.

Finally we claim that the retraction $U = |X| - |K| \rightarrow |L|$ induces an isomorphism on sheaf cohomology. Let $h : |X| \rightarrow [0,1]$ be the simplicial projection of Lemma 3.6. Then h(U) = [0,1) is a half-open interval, and the complex $Rh_*\mathbf{T}^{\bullet}$ is (cohomologically) constructible on the closed interval [0,1]. Moreover, we have natural isomorphisms, $H^*(U; \mathbf{T}^{\bullet}) \cong H^*([0,1), Rh_*\mathbf{T}^{\bullet})$ and $H^*(|K|; \mathbf{T}^{\bullet}) \cong H^*(\{0\}; Rh_*\mathbf{T}^{\bullet})$. So it suffices to show that for any cohomologically constructible complex of sheaves \mathbf{A}^{\bullet} (= $Rh_*(\mathbf{T}^{\bullet})$ in this case) on [0,1) the inclusion $\{0\} \rightarrow [0,1)$ induces an isomorphism on cohomology,

(B.2)
$$H^*([0,1), \mathbf{A}^{\bullet}) \cong H^*(\{0\}, \mathbf{A}^{\bullet} | \{0\}).$$

This is a standard fact (see, e.g. [Bo, Lemma 3.8], or [GM, §1.4]) whose proof we include for completeness, as follows.

Modifying the preceding notation, let $j : \{0\} \to [0,1]$ (resp. $\iota : (0,1] \to [0,1]$) denote the closed (resp. open) inclusion. Using the first of the above triangles, equation (B.2) is equivalent to the statement that $H^*(R\iota_!\iota^*\mathbf{A}^{\bullet}) = 0$ for all i,. There is a spectral sequence for this cohomology group, whose E^2 page is $H^i([0,1); R\iota_!\mathbf{H}^j(\iota^*\mathbf{A}^{\bullet})$. Since the cohomology sheaf $\mathbf{H}^j(\iota^*\mathbf{A}^{\bullet})$ is constant on the open interval (0,1), it suffices to show that $H^i([0,1);\iota_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{(0,1)}) = 0$ for all i, which is equivalent (again using the first triangle with $\mathbf{A}^{\bullet} = \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{[0,1)}$) to the obvious statement that $H^i([0,1);\mathbb{Q}) \to H^i(\{0\};\mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism.

B.3. The sheaves A_J

Let Δ denote the n-1-simplex. If σ is a simplex denote its interior by σ^{o} and let $i: \Delta^{o} \to |\Delta|$ be the inclusion. We also denote by

$$\mathbb{Q}_! = i_!(\mathbb{Q}_{\Delta^o})$$

the constant sheaf on the interior Δ^o extended by zero to all of $|\Delta|$.

The dualizing complex ω_{Δ} on the simplex $\Delta = \Delta^{n-1}$ is a complex of sheaves whose stalk cohomology at any point $x \in |\Delta|$ is

$$H_x^{-r}(\omega_{\Delta}) = H_r(U, U - x) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } x \in \Delta - \partial \Delta \text{ and } r = n - 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $U \subset \delta$ is a sufficiently small regular neighborhood of the point x. Therefore in the derived category of sheaves, the (shifted) dualizing complex is represented by the single sheaf,

$$\omega_{\Delta}[-n+1] = \mathbb{Q}_!$$

where [t] denotes the shift $(\mathbf{B}^{\bullet}[t])^s = \mathbf{B}^{s+t}$.

If $J \subset [n]$ let $\alpha : |\Delta| - |\partial_J \Delta| \to |\Delta|$ denote the inclusion and

$$\mathbf{A}_J = \ker \left(\mathbb{Q}_\Delta \to (i_J)_* \mathbb{Q}_{\partial_J \Delta} \right) \cong \alpha_! (\mathbb{Q}_{\Delta - \partial_J \Delta})$$

denote the constant sheaf on $|\Delta| - |\partial_J \Delta|$ extended by zero to all of $|\Delta|$.

Lemma B.4. If $I, J \subset [n]$ are complementary subsets then the sheaves A_J and $A_I[n-1]$ are Verdier dual:

$$\mathbf{A}_I \cong \mathbf{RHom}(A_J, \omega_\Delta)[-n+1] = \mathbf{RHom}(\mathbf{A}_J, \mathbb{Q}_!).$$

B.5.

A quick but rather opaque proof may be given using technology of the derived category. Rather, we give a more explicit proof within the category of simplicial sheaves on the simplicial complex Δ' (the barycentric subdivision of Δ). Because the higher cohomology of these sheaves vanish, the sheaf **RHom** $(A_J, \mathbb{Q}_!)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the simplicial sheaf **Hom** $(A_J, \mathbb{Q}_!)$ which may be calculated directly. As a simplicial sheaf,

$$\mathbb{Q}_{!}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \subset \partial \Delta \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } A_{J}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \subset \partial_{J}\Delta \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for each simplex $\sigma \in \Delta'$. Recall the simplicial sheaf $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ assigns to any simplex σ the group $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{A}|St^o(\sigma), \mathbf{B}|St^o(\sigma))$ where $St^o(\sigma)$ denotes the open star of σ .

Let us divide $|\partial \Delta|$ into three disjoint subsets,

$$|\partial \Delta| = (|\partial_I \Delta| \cap |\partial_J \Delta|) \coprod \partial_I \Delta^o \coprod \partial_J \Delta^o$$

1060

where $\partial_I \Delta^o = |\partial_I \Delta| - (|\partial_I \Delta| \cap |\partial_J \Delta|)$. If $|\sigma| \subset |\partial\Delta|$ we need to show that (B.3)

$$\operatorname{Hom}(A_J, \mathbb{Q}_!)(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\sigma| \subset |\partial_I \Delta| \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma^o \subset \partial_I \Delta \cap \partial_J \Delta \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma^o \subset \partial_I \Delta^o \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } \sigma^o \subset \partial_J \Delta^o \end{cases}$$

If $J = \phi$ or $I = \phi$ these statements are easily checked so suppose that $|\partial_I \Delta| \cap |\partial_J \Delta| \neq \phi$. Let us check the first condition in (B.3). If $|\sigma| \subset |\partial_I \Delta| \cap |\partial_J \Delta|$ then there is a simplex $\tau > \sigma$ with $\tau^o \subset |\partial_I \Delta^o|$. Let $\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\sigma}$ denote the join with the interior vertex $\underline{\mathbf{1}} \in \Delta^o$ so that

Then an element $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(A_J, \mathbb{Q}_!)(\sigma)$ is determined by a commutative mapping

$$A_J(*) \xrightarrow{\phi} \omega_\Delta(*),$$

that is,



So $\phi = 0$. The other two conditions in (B.3) are similar but easier.

Acknowledgements

The second and third authors are grateful for the hospitality shown by Institut Mittag-Leffler and KTH, Stockholm, during their visits in 2008 and 2013. The authors are grateful to Richard Ehrenborg and an anonymous referee for many useful comments and suggestions. This paper was typeset by \mathcal{AMS} -LATEX using Paul Taylor's package diagrams.sty.

References

[AZ] M. AIGNER and G. ZIEGLER, Turan's theorem, in: Proofs from The Book, Springer Verlag, NY, 2018, Chap. 41. MR3823190

- [B2] A. BJÖRNER, Subspace arrangements, in: First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Paris, 1992), Progr. Math., 119, pp. 321– 370, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994. MR1341828
- [BT] A. BJÖRNER and M. TANCER, Combinatorial Alexander duality a short and elementary proof, Discrete Comput. Geom., 42 (2009), no. 4, 586–593. MR2556456
- [BLY] A. BJÖRNER, L. LOVASZ and A. YAO, Linear decision trees: volume estimates and topological bounds, in: Proc. 24th Ann. ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing, pp. 170–177, ACM Press, NY, 1992.
- [BWW] A. BJÖRNER, M. WACHS and V. WELKER, Poset fiber theorems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 357 (2004), 1877–1899. MR2115080
 - [Bo] A. BOREL, Intersection Cohomology, Progress in Mathematics, 50, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1984.
 - [D] A. DIMCA, Sheaves in Topology, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR2050072
 - [FJ] P. FLAJOLET and R. SEDGEWICK, Analytic Combinatorics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. MR2483235
 - [GeM] S. GELFAND and Y. MANIN, Methods of Homological Algebra, second ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2003. MR1950475
 - [GM] M. GORESKY and R. MACPHERSON, Intersection homology II, Inv. Math., 72 (1983), 77–130. MR0696691
 - [GM2] M. GORESKY and R. MACPHERSON, Stratified Morse Theory, Erbegnisse Math., Springer Verlag, 1988. MR0932724
 - B. IVERSON, Cohomology of Sheaves, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR0842190
 - [J] J. JONSSON, Simplicial Complexes of Graphs, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1928, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 2008. MR2368284
 - [K1] V. KRAPCHENKO, Complexity of the realization of a linear function in the class of II-circuits, Acad. Sci., USSR, 1971, 21–23; Orig. in Mat. Zamet 9, 35–40. MR0290872
 - [K2] V. KRAPCHENKO, A method of obtaining lower bounds for the complexity of II-schemes, English translation in Math. Notes Acad. Sci USSR, 1972, 474–479; orig. in Mat. Zamet 10, 83–92. MR0287982

- [R] M. READDY, Extremal problems for the Möbius function in the face lattice of the *n*-octahedron, Discrete Math., **139** (1995), 361– 380. MR1336848
- [St3] R. STANLEY, Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 1, second ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012. MR2868112
- [St1] R. STANLEY, Cohen Macaulay complexes, in: MARTIN AIGNER, Ed., Higher Combinatorics. Proc. NATO Advanced Study Inst. (Berlin, 1976), NATO Adv. Study Inst. Ser. C: Math. and Phys. Sci., **31**, pp. 51–62, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1977. MR0572989
- [St2] R. STANLEY, A survey of alternating permutations, in: Combinatorics and Graphs, Contemp. Math., 531, pp. 165–196, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010. MR2757798
 - [T] P. TURÁN, On an extremal problem in graph theory, Matematikai és Fizikai Lapok, 48 (1941), 436–452 (in Hungarian). MR0018405
 - [V] H. VOLLMER, Introduction to Circuit Complexity, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999. MR1704235
- [We] I. WEGENER, Complexity Theory, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2005. MR2146155
- [W] G. W. WHITEHEAD, Generalized homology theories, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 102 (1962), 227–283. MR0137117

Anders Björner Department of Mathematics KTH Stockholm Stockholm Sweden Mark Goresky

School of Mathematics IAS Princeton USA Robert MacPherson

School of Mathematics IAS Princeton USA